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## PREFACE

Tine great majority of the papyri published in the following pages, including the chief literary pieces, were discovered in the season of 1905-6; a few come from the finds of the years 1903 and 1904, and one or two in the non-literary section from those of 1897.

In editing these texts I have unhappily lacked the co-operation of the friend and colleague with whom I have worked in partnership since the foundation of the Graeco-Roman Branch. The effects of his absence are, I fear, likely to be apparent to the readers of this book not only in its somewhat reduced size, which on the present occasion corresponds with our advertised intentions more closely than has frequently been the case. In particular, the principal novelty here produced, the Callimachus papyrus (1011), happens to abound in problems for the solution of which a second pair of eyes would have been more than usually valuable. In these circumstances it is a matter for much satisfaction that I have again been able to obtain the generous assistance of Professor U. von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, who has made important contributions to the reconstruction and interpretation of the new classical fragments (1011-1015), especially of 1011 . For some further helpful suggestions on the last-named text I am indebted to Professor Gilbert Murray; while Professor U. Wilcken has very kindly looked through the proofsheets of the non-literary documents, and they have naturally profited not a little from his criticism.

I regret that the promised excursus on the excavations and topography of Oxyrhynchus has had to be postponed, and that I cannot undertake that it will be included in the volume for 1910 , which will consist of another instalment of the Oxyrhynchus Papyri. But a plan of the site has been prepared, and I hope that its appearance will not be much longer delayed.

ARTHUR S. HUNT.

Queen's College, Oxford, December. 1909.
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## NOTE ON THE METHOD OF PUBLICATION AND <br> LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

THE general method followed in this volume is the same as that in Parts I-VI. Of the new literary texts, two, 1011 and 1013, are printed in a dual form, a literal transcript being accompanied by a reconstruction in modern style. In other cases, and in the fragments of extant authors, the originals are reproduced except for division of words, capital initials in proper names, expansion of abbreviations, and supplements of lacunac. Additions or corrections by the same hand as the body of the text are in small thin type, those by a different hand in thick type. Non-literary documents are given in modern form with accentuation and punctuation. Abbreviations and symbols are resolved; additions and corrections are usually incorporated in the text and their occurrence is recorded in the critical apparatus, where also faults of orthography, \&c., are corrected if they seemed likely to give rise to any difficulty. Iota adscript has been printed when so written, otherwise iota subscript is employed. Square brackets [] indicate a lacuna, round brackets () the resolution of a symbol or abbreviation, angular brackets $\rangle$ a mistaken omission in the original, braces \{ \} a superfluous letter or letters, double square brackets []] a deletion in the original. Dots placed within brackets represent the approximate number of letters lost or deleted; dots outside brackets indicate mutilated or otherwise illegible letters. Letters with dots underneath them are to be considered doubtful. Heavy Arabic numerals refer to the texts of the Oxyrhynchus papyri in this volume and in Parts 1-VI, ordinary numerals to lines, small Roman numerals to columns.

The abbreviations used in referring to papyrological publications are practically those adopted in the Archiz fier Papyrusforschung, viz.:P. Amh. $=$ The Amherst Papyri (Greek), Vols. I-II, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.

Archion $=$ Archiv fiir Papjrusforschnng.
B. G. U. = Aeg. Urkunden aus den K. Museen zu Berlin, Griechische Urkunden. P. Brit. Mus. = Greek Papyri in the British Museum, Vols. I-II, by F. G. Kenyon ; Vol. III, by F. G. Kenyon and H. I. Bell.
C. P. R. = Corpus Papyrorum Raineri, Vol. I, by C. Wessely.
P. Fay. = Fayûm Towns and their Papyri, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and D. G. Hogarth.
P. Flor. $=$ Papiri Fiorentini, Vol. I, by G. Vitelli.
P. Gen. = Les Papyrus de Genève, Vol. I, by J. Nicole.
P. Goodsp. = Greek Papyri from the Cairo Museum, by E. J. Goodspeed (University of Chicago Decennial Publications).
P. Grenf. $=$ Greek Papyri, Series I, by B. P. Grenfell, and Series II, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
P. Heidelberg $=$ Veröffentlichungen aus der Heidelberger Papyrussammlung, Vol. I, by A. Deissmann.
P. Leipzig $=$ Griech. Urkunden der Papyrussammlung zu Leipzig, Vol. I, by L. Mitteis.

P'. Leyden = Papyri Graeci Musei Antiquarii Lugduni-Batavi, by C. Leemans.
P. Oxy. = The Oxyrhynchus Papyri, Parts I-VI, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
P. Reinach $=$ Papyrus grecs et démotiques, by Théodore Reinach.
P. Strassb. $=$ Griech. Papyrus der K. Universitätsbibliothek zu Strassburg im Elsass, Vol. I, Parts 1-2, by F. Preisigke.
P. Tebt. $=$ The Tebtunis Papyri, Part I, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and J. G. Smyly ; and Part II, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and E. J. Goodspeed.

- P. Tor. = Papyri Graeci Regii Taurinensis Musei Aegyptii, by A. Peyron.

Wilcken, Ost. = Griechische Ostraka, by U. Wileken.

## 1. THEOLOGICAL FRAGMENTS.

1007. Genesis ii, iii.
$5 \times 16.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. Late third century. Plate I (recto).
These few verses from the second and third chapters of Genesis are contained on a fragment of a vellum leaf, which, like the Genesis papyrus from Oxyrhynchus already published (656), appears to be of an unusually early date. The text is in double columns, written in a medium-sized upright uncial which can hardly be later than the end of the third century, at any rate. A date anterior to the third century has been claimed for two vellum leaves, the Kretes fragment at Berlin (Berl. Klassikertexte v. 2. 17), attributed to the first century, and a fragment in the British Museum of the De Falsa Legatione which Kenyon assigns to the second (Palaeogr. of Greek Papyri, p. 113). Of the latter no facsimile has been published, but the age of the former seems to have been considerably exaggerated, and it may be doubted whether either of them is to be separated from the present example by a very wide interval. The columns of 1007 , which contained about 33 lines, may be estimated to have measured some 16.5 cm . in height, the leaf having been of a rather square shape, not much taller than it was broad, like that of the Kretes. No stops occur; a short blank space in 1. 25 marks the close of a chapter. $\theta$ tós is contracted in the usual way, but $\alpha ้ \nu \rho \omega \pi \sigma s, \pi a \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \rho$ and $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \rho$ are written out in full, and the only other compendium used is a most remarkable abbreviation of the so-called Tetragrammaton, which in the Septuagint is regularly represented by кúptos. This abbreviation consists of a doubled Yod, the initial of the sacred name, written in the shape of a $Z$ with a horizontal stroke through the middle, the stroke being carried without a break through both letters; the same form of Yod is found on coins of the second century B.C. This compendium exactly corresponds with that employed in Hebrew MSS. of a later period, "", which,
as Dr. Cowley informs me, occurs in the tenth contury and no doubt goes back to a much earlier epoch. As is well known, it was a peculiarity of the version of Aquila to write the Tetragrammaton in the archaic Hebrew letters instead of translating it by ки́ptos; but neither the carlier nor later Hebrew forms of the Tetragrammaton, nor the Greek imitation of the later form, ПІПI, has previously appeared in the text of a Greek MS. of the LXX, except the Hexapla fragment published by C. Taylor, Cairo Palimpsests, p. 26. A decided tendency to omit the word rúpos was, however, observable in the early Oxyrhynchus papyrus (658), where in one passage a blank space was originally left in which the missing word was supplied by a second hand. Possibly the scribe of that papyrus or its archetype had Hebrew symbols before him which he did not understand, or the archetype had been intended to show the Hebrew symbols and they had not been filled in. At any rate, in the light of the present example, the question may be raised whether Origen's statement (in Ps. ii) that 'in the most accurate copies the (sacred) name is written in Hebrew characters' was intended to apply, as is commonly assumed, only to the copies of Aquila's version.

Apart from the substitution of the Tetragrammaton for кv́plos, the text, though interesting, is not so far as it goes particularly notable. As usual, it cvinces no pronounced affinities with any one of the chief extant MSS., but agrees here with one, there with another. In two passages, again (II. 20 and 28), it sides with some of the cursives against the earlier MSS. evidence, in one of them ( 1.20 ) having the support of citations in the New Testament and in Philo.

Verso.

## Col. i.

```
    [\epsilon\iotas \tauо \pi\rhoo\sigma\omega]\pi\0\nu au\tauov \pi\nuo\eta\nu ii. 7
    [\delta\omega\etas к`\alpha! \epsilon\gamma\epsilon! \\epsilon\tau\tau]o o a\nu
    [\epsilon\iotas] \psi ! \\chi\eta\nu \zeta\omega\sigma\alpha, ка\iota \epsilonфv\tau\epsilonv\sigma\epsilon!.
    ZZ o Os mapa\delta\epsilonl\sigmao\nu є\nu E\delta\epsilon\mu к[a]
5 та avato\lambdaas ка\ell \epsilonӨєто єкє\ell то\nu
```



```
    [\epsilon\xi{\nu\mp@code{\epsilon\epsilon\iota\lambda\epsilon\nu}00
```


## Col. ii.




кає $\pi$ оу $\eta \rho o \nu$ ov $\phi \alpha \gamma \epsilon \sigma[\theta \epsilon \alpha \pi \alpha v$
тоv $\eta \delta$ $\alpha \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \rho a \quad \phi a \gamma \eta$ [ $\alpha \pi$ avtov

$\pi \epsilon \nu \quad Z Z$ o $\overline{\theta_{S}}$ ov к $\alpha[\lambda] \rho[\nu$ єı $\nu a l$ то $\nu$


$[\pi \lambda] a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ o $\begin{array}{llll}\theta_{S} & \epsilon \tau[\iota\end{array}$

Recto．

## Col．i．

## Col．ii．

<br><br>zo［ $\tau о \nu \pi] \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ кає т $\tau \nu \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota$<br>$[\pi \rho \circ \sigma] \kappa о \lambda \lambda \eta \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \pi \rho \circ s \tau \eta v$ ［үvvaık］а аuтоv кає єбоעтаl ot $\delta v o$ <br><br>25 ［avtov кає ov］к $\eta \sigma \chi^{v \nu}{ }^{2} \nu \tau 0 \quad$ o $\delta \epsilon$ iii． 1<br><br>$[\tau \omega \nu \quad \tau \omega \nu \quad \theta \eta \rho] \omega \nu \quad \tau \omega \nu \in \pi \iota \tau \eta]^{\top}$

ii． $23 \quad \kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon[\alpha \nu \tau \eta s \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \quad \alpha \nu \tau \eta s$ iii． 6
$\kappa \alpha \iota$ єфаүобаv ка［८ $\delta \iota \eta \nu o l \chi \theta \eta$
3о $\sigma \alpha \nu$ ol оф $\theta a \lambda \mu 0 \ell$ т $\omega[\nu$ dvo кає $\epsilon$
$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ отt $\gamma v \mu \nu$ vol $\eta \sigma \alpha[\nu$ каı $\epsilon \rho$
ра廿а $\phi \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha$ бuкךs кає єто九ך
$\sigma \alpha \nu$ єavтors $\pi \epsilon \rho!\zeta \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ кає
$\eta \kappa o u \sigma \alpha \nu$ т $\eta \nu \phi \omega[\nu] \eta[\nu$ тou $\overline{\theta \nu}$
$35 \pi \epsilon \rho \imath \pi \alpha \tau[o v \nu \tau o s$

2．The letters are very faint and uncertain．Possibly the article was omitted，as in some cursives and other authorities．

4．On the abbreviation of the Tetragrammaton cf．introd．
12．$\phi_{a} \eta$（so E ）suits the space better than $\phi a \gamma \eta[\sigma \theta \epsilon$（AM）．The $\eta$ is directly beneath $\eta$ of $\phi a \eta \eta$ in 1． 9 ，final $\nu$ of $\gamma \epsilon \ell \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu$ and $\epsilon \sigma$ of $\phi a \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ，and so eight letters are the most that would be expected，whereas $\phi a y,[\sigma \theta \epsilon$ would give ten．But as the ends of the lines are not kept very even and final letters are sometimes considerably compressed，such inferences have little security．

18．avrn is omitted in E．
20．тatepa autov ．．．uprepa avtov AEM．avtov after aatepa is omilted in the citations of this passage in Philo，Matt．xix．5，Ephes．v．31，\＆c．，after $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \rho a$ in one of Philo＇s two quotations，Matt．xix．5，Mark x．7，Ephes．v．3i，\＆c．，as well as by several cursives．
 Ephes．；of．the previous note．

26．фро $\nu \mu \omega \tau$ татоs：фроиннтє $[\rho о s]$ D．
28．$\kappa a t$ is added before $\tau \omega$ av $\delta \rho t$ in AELAI，but is omitted by some cursives as well as in the Armenian and Ethiopic versions．

29．The form єфaरo夫av here seems to be peculiar to this MS．Such forms appear sporadically in the papyri from the second century b．c．，e．g．P．Tebt．I．24．II кarj̄дөоoov： cf．Mayser，Grammatik，p． 322.

34．$\mp \eta \nu \phi \omega\left[\nu, \eta \eta_{\nu} \nu\right.$ ：so ALN ；$\tau \eta s \phi \omega \nu \eta s$ E．
tov $\overline{\theta v}$ ：kvplov tov $\theta$ cov MSS．，but the space seems too short for the abbreviation of the Tetragrammaton as well as rov $\theta_{\text {fov．krotov }}$ is omitted in one of two citations of this passage by Theodoret．

## 1008. I Corinthians vii-viii.

$$
26.5 \times 14 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century. }
$$

A fairly preserved leaf from a papyrus book, covering parts of the seventh and eighth chapters of the First Epistle to the Corinthians. The handwriting, a good-sized sloping uncial, may be assigned on its own evidence to the second half of the fourth century, and to this date the accompanying documents, which were of the late fourth or early fifth century, also point; 1009 and the Callimachus papyrus (1011) were discovered at the same time as this leaf. A rough breathing is occasionally used, and a mark of elision is found in 1. 7; a small comma, which is often not more than a dot, is sometimes employed to separate syllables when consonants occur in juxtaposition, and the same symbol is added after final consonants other than $v$ and s. Punctuation is effected by means of blank spaces, which, in the case of longer pauses, are exaggerated and accompanied by a marginal coronis, the next line being at the same time made to protrude slightly to the left (11. 63 and 70 ). In addition to the common theological contractions that of кoб $\mu$ s (ll. $3^{6}$ and 40 ) is noticeable.

The text is not without interest. On the whole it is a good one, generally agreeing with the earliest uncials BNA ; for some exceptions see notes on 11. $\mathbf{1}$, 29, and 43. Noteworthy coincidences with B may be remarked in Il. 29 (punctuation), 41 , and 61 . A reading found in one cursive of the tenth century, $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$ for $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a \quad \theta \epsilon 0 \hat{v}$ in vii. 40, reappears in 1. 68. There are also five peculiar variants, at $11.5,46,49,54$, and 57 ; of these the last two are probably merely due to lipography.

```
                    Verso.
    [\sigma\pi\alpha]\sigma0\omega \epsilonv \alphax\rhoо\betav\sigma\pit\alpha TtS к\epsilon[к\lambda]\eta vii. I8
    [\tau\alpha\iota] \mu\eta \pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau\epsilon\mu\nu\epsilon\sigma0\omega \eta \pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau"о]
    [\mu\eta] ov\delta\epsilonv \epsilon\sigmaтוv каt \eta акро\betav\sigmaт\iota\alpha
    [ov\delta]\epsilon\nu \epsilon\sigmaт|\nu a\lambda\lambda\alpha \tau\eta\rho\eta\sigmaוS \epsilon\nu\tauо\lambda\overline{\omega}
5[\overline{0v}] \epsilonка\sigma\tauos \epsilon\nu \tau\eta к\lambda\eta\sigma\epsilon\epsilon \epsilonv \dot{\eta}\epsilon\kappa\lambda\eta
    [0\eta] \epsilon! \tauаv\tau\eta \mu\epsilon'\epsilon\tau\omega \deltaov\lambdaos \epsilonк\lambda\eta
    [0\eta]s \mu\eta \sigmaol \mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\tau\omega \alpha\lambda\lambda' \epsilont к\alphat \deltavv\alpha
    [\sigma\alphal] \epsilon\lambda\epsilonv0\epsilon\rhoos \gamma\epsilonl'\epsilon\sigma0\alphal \mu\alpha\lambda\lambdaol' X\rho\eta
    [\sigma\alphal] o \gammaa\rho' \epsilonv \overline{\kappa\omega} к\lambda\eta0\epsilonts \deltaov\lambdaos a\pi\epsilon
10 [\lambda\epsilon]v0\epsilon\rhoos रेv \epsilon\sigma\taul\nu o\muоו\omegas о \epsilon\lambda\epsilonv
```

```
    [0\epsilon\rho]os к\lambda\eta}0\epsilonts \deltaov\lambdaos \epsilon\sigma\tauו\nu X\overline{X
    [\tau\imath]\mu\etas \eta\gammaор\alpha\sigma0\eta\tau\epsilon \mu\eta \gamma\epsilon\omega\nu\epsilon\sigma0\epsilon
    [\deltao]v\lambdao\iota а, \[0]\]\omega\nu \epsilonка\sigmaтоs \epsilon\nu \stackrel{&}{\omega}\epsilonк\lambda\eta
    [0\eta] \alpha\delta\epsilon\lambda\phiOt \epsilon\nu \tauо\nu\tau\omega \mu\epsilon\nu\epsilon\tau\omega \pi\alpha [
{ } ^ { 2 } 5 [ \rho \alpha ] \boldsymbol { \theta } = \quad \pi [ \varepsilon \rho \iota ] \delta [ \epsilon ] ~ \tau \omega v , \pi \alpha \rho [ \theta ] \epsilon [ \nu \omega ] , \nu ~ \epsilon \gg
```



```
    [\delta]\omega\mu\iota \omegas \eta\lambda[\epsilon\eta\mu\epsilon]vos \ddot{j\pio \overline{kv}\pi\iota\sigma\tauo[s}
    [\epsilont]v\alpha\ell \nuо\mul}\omega оv\nu тоито к\alpha\lambdaо\nu \ddot{v}
```



```
20[\kappa\eta]\nu o\taul к[\alpha\lambda]ov \overline{a\nu\omega}\tau[o] ov\tau\omegaS \epsilonur[\alphal]
    [\delta\epsilon]\delta\epsilon\sigma\alpha[\ell] \gammavv\alpha\iotaKl \mu\eta \zeta\eta\tau\epsilonl \lambdav\sigma!,
    [\lambda\epsilon]\lambdav\sigma\alpha\iota a\pi[0 \gamma.vva\ellkos \mu\eta\zeta\eta\tau\epsilont
    [\gamma\nu]v\alpha<к\alpha \epsilon[\alphav] \delta\epsilon [k\alpha\iota 
    [\eta]\mu\alpha\rho\tau\epsilons [\kappa\alphal] \epsilon\alpha[\nu \gamma\eta]\mu\eta \eta \pi\alpha\rho0\epsilon
25 [\nu]os ovк' \eta[\mu\alpha]\rho\tau]\epsilon\nu 0\\lambda\epsilon\iota\psi|\nu \delta\epsilon \tau\eta
    [\sigma\alpha]\rhoк\iota \epsilon\xiоv[\sigma\iota\nu ol Tolov]rol \epsilon\gamma\omega \delta\epsilon
    [\nu]\mu\omega\nu \phi\epsilon[\iota\deltaо\muа\iota \tauоv]\tauо \delta\epsilon ф\eta\mut
    [\alpha\delta]\epsilon\lambda\phio\iota o [k\alpha\ell\rhoos \sigmav\nu\epsilon]\sigma\tau\alpha\lambda\mu\epsilon\nuOS
    [\epsilon\sigma]\tau|v \lambda[ol\piov v.\alpha k\alphal] ol €\chi[0]\nuT\epsilonS \gammav
30 [\nu]\alpha<k\alphas \omega[S \mu\eta \epsilonXO\nu]T\epsilonS \omega\sigma\iota\nu к\alpha\ell
    [ol] к\lambda\alpha\iotao\nu[\tau\epsilonS \omegaS \mu\eta] к\lambda\alpha\iotao\nuT\epsilonS
```



```
    [\tau\epsilon]s к\alphal ol [\alpha\gammao\rho\alpha}ov]T\epsilonS \omegaS \mu\eta к\alpha
    [\tau\epsilon]Xov\tau\epsilonS [к\alpha\iota о\iota X\rho\omega]\mu\epsilon\nuо\iota то\nu ко
35[\sigma\mu]o\nu \omegaS \mu[\eta кат\alpha\chi\rho] \omega\mu\epsilon\nuо\iota \pi\alpha\rho\sigma
    [\gamma\epsilon]l \gammaа\rho то \sigma[X\eta\mu\alpha тоv] \overline{к\muоט тоитоv}
    [0\epsilon]\lambda\omega \delta\epsilon \ddot{ u}[\alpha\Omega \alpha\mu\epsilon\rho\iota]\mu!'ovs \epsilont\nu\alpha\iota
```



```
Recto.
```



```
40 [\tau\alpha] \tauоv \widehat{\kappa\muоv \pi\omegas \alpha\rho\epsilon\sigma\eta \tau\eta \gammavv[\alpha\iotaкь}
    \kappa\alpha\iota }\mu\epsilon\mu\epsilon\rhot\sigma\tau\alpha\iota к\alpha\iota \eta \gammav\nu\eta \eta{\alpha\gamma
    \muоs к\alphat \eta}\pi\alpha\rho'0\epsilon!оS \mu\epsilon\rhot\mu\nu\alpha [\tau\alpha тоv
```

$\overline{\kappa v} \quad \ddot{i \nu} \alpha \quad \eta \quad \alpha \gamma \iota \alpha \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota \quad \kappa \alpha \iota[\overline{\pi \nu \alpha \tau \iota}$
$\eta \delta_{\epsilon} \gamma \alpha \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \sigma[\nu \overline{\kappa \mu о v}$
$45 \pi \omega \varsigma \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \eta \tau \omega \alpha \nu \delta \rho t$ тоvтo $\delta[\epsilon \pi \rho o s$
$\tau о \ddot{\mu} \mu \omega \nu \quad \sigma v \mu \phi \circ \rho o{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ ov[X ${ }^{1 \nu \alpha}$

то $\epsilon \nu \sigma \chi \eta \mu \circ \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \cup \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \delta \rho \rho[\nu \tau \omega$
$\overline{\kappa \bar{\omega}} \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho t \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \tau o v s \in l v a l \quad \epsilon[l \delta \epsilon$

$\alpha \nu \tau о \nu \quad \nu \quad \mu \iota \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \quad \epsilon \alpha \nu \quad \eta \ddot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \rho^{\prime} \alpha \kappa[\mu \circ S$
$\kappa \alpha \iota$ оит $\omega$ оф $\iota \lambda \epsilon l$ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad$ o $\theta[\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$
$[\pi o \iota \epsilon]!\tau \omega \quad \circ[v \chi]^{\prime}[\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha] \nu \epsilon t \quad \gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon[\tau \tau \omega$
$\sigma \alpha \nu[o s ~ \delta]\} \epsilon \sigma \tau[\eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \eta]$ кар $\delta \iota \alpha$ a $[v \tau о v$
$55 \epsilon \delta \rho \alpha \iota \circ \Omega \quad \mu \eta \in \chi \omega \nu[\alpha \nu \alpha] \gamma \kappa \eta \nu \quad \epsilon \xi \circ[\nu \sigma \iota$
$\alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \in Х \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ тov $\bar{i}[\delta]$ ]ov $\theta \epsilon \lambda \eta[\mu \alpha$

$\kappa \alpha \rho ' \delta \iota \alpha$ т $\eta \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ т $\eta \nu$ є $\alpha \nu \tau о v ~ \pi[\alpha \rho \theta \epsilon$

$60 \quad \mu \epsilon i \xi \omega \nu \quad \tau \eta[\nu \quad \dot{\epsilon}] \alpha v\left[\begin{array}{lll}\tau 0 \nu & \pi\end{array}\right] \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \quad[\kappa \alpha$
$\lambda \omega S \pi 0 \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon[t \quad \kappa] \alpha \iota \quad \circ[\mu \eta] \quad \gamma \alpha \mu \iota \zeta \omega[\nu$


$\epsilon \alpha \nu^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \kappa \rho\left[\begin{array}{lllll} & \mu \eta \theta \eta & o & \alpha \nu\end{array}\right] \eta \rho^{\prime} \in \lambda \epsilon v_{[ }^{\prime} \theta \epsilon$
$65 \rho \alpha \in \sigma \tau t \nu\left[\begin{array}{lll}\omega & \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon t & \gamma \alpha \mu] \eta \theta \eta \nu[\alpha t\end{array} \mu_{0}\right.$ $\nu 0 \nu \in \nu \overline{k[\omega} \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho t \omega] \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \delta \in[\epsilon \sigma \tau t \nu$
$\epsilon \alpha \nu$ ovt $\omega \varsigma \mu[\epsilon \omega \eta \quad \kappa \alpha \tau] \alpha$ т $\eta \nu \quad \epsilon \mu[\eta \nu$ $\left.\gamma^{\mu} \omega \mu \eta \nu \quad \delta_{\ell} \circ \kappa \omega \quad \delta \epsilon \quad \kappa \alpha\right] \gamma \omega \overline{\pi \nu \alpha} \bar{X}[v \in$
$\chi \epsilon l \nu \quad \pi[\epsilon \rho t \delta \epsilon \tau \omega] \nu \in i \delta \omega \lambda 0[\theta v \tau \bar{\omega}$

$\left.\mu \in \nu \quad \eta \gamma \nu \omega_{\mathrm{t}}^{\prime \sigma t s} \phi \nu \sigma t o l\right] \eta$ $\eta \in \alpha \gamma[\alpha \pi \eta$
otкоסоцєt $[\epsilon t$ тtS $\delta o k] \epsilon l \in \gamma v \omega[\kappa \epsilon$

ral $\epsilon l$ $\delta \epsilon \operatorname{Ti[s} \alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha$ тolv $\overline{\theta \nu}$ ovitos

viii. I
 T(extus)-R(eceptus).
5. The addition of $\epsilon \nu$ before $\stackrel{\eta}{\eta}$ is peculiar to the papyrus.

11. $\mathbf{N}^{*} \mathrm{FG}$ place єбтьр after Xpıatov.
12. The first $\&$ of $\gamma \in u \epsilon \pi \theta_{\epsilon}$ has been converted from an $t$.

13-14. In DEFG a $\delta є \lambda \phi \circ \iota$ follows єкабтоs. єк $\begin{gathered}\text { 1 } \\ \theta_{\eta} \eta_{\tau} \epsilon \mathrm{D}^{*} \text {. }\end{gathered}$
$1^{14-15} . \pi a \mid \rho a$ : so NBDEF, \&c., W-IH; but $\left.\pi a^{t} \rho a \mid \tau \omega\right](A, T-R)$ would be an equally suitable reading.
20. र $\kappa a \lambda] o \nu: ~ D * F G ~ a d d \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$.

24. $\gamma \eta \mu \eta$ : the papyrus may of course have had $\gamma a \mu \eta$ with D*FG. $\eta$ before $\pi a_{p} \theta \in \nu a s$ is omitted by BFG, and bracketed by $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$.
$2_{5}^{5} . \tau \eta$ : $\epsilon \nu \tau \eta \mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{FG}$. avk should have been avy before $\eta \mu \pi \rho \tau \epsilon ⿻$, as in J .23 .
28. о кицраs: so NAB, \&c., W-H ; атı о каıраs DEFG, T-R.



 NcDbeEKLP, T-R.
39. apeo $\eta$ : so NABDEFG, W-IH; apєoєє KLP, T-R. The same variation occurs in II. 40 and 45 .

кає $\eta$ : от. кає $\mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{E}$.
 $\pi a \rho \theta_{\epsilon} \nu=s{ }^{\eta} \boldsymbol{\pi} \gamma_{0}$ DEFGKL.

The reading and punctuation of the papyrus coincides with that adopted by W-II
 addition of кaı before and after $\mu є \mu є \rho \sigma \tau \pi a$.
43. кat is read after ayta by NBFGKL; om. AD. [кat] W-HI.
$\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota$ кає $\langle\pi \nu(\epsilon \nu \mu) a \tau \iota$ : so DEFGKL, T-R ; $\tau \omega$ is added before both words by $\mathrm{NAB}, \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$.
44. тã $\tau \sigma^{\top} v \kappa(o \sigma) \mu o v: o m . \mathrm{B}$.
45. afєoך : cf. note on l. 39 .
46. v $\mu \omega \nu$ : v $\mu \omega \nu$ avi $\omega \nu$ MSS. $\sigma v \mu \phi o \rho o \nu$ is also the reading of $\aleph^{*} \mathrm{ABD}^{*}, W-\mathrm{H}$; $\sigma \varepsilon \mu \phi \subset \rho n \nu \geqslant{ }^{c}{ }^{c} E F G K L, T-R$.


50-1. D* places voцı乡є before $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \nu \pi \pi \rho \theta \epsilon v o \nu$.
53. $\gamma$ (аєєт $\mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{FG}$.
54. $\tau_{\eta}$ : $\epsilon \nu \tau \eta$ MSS., but the inclusion of $\epsilon \nu$ would make the supplement rather long for the lacuna, and its omission, which was easy after the preceding e $\epsilon v$, is supported by 1. 57. T-R omits autou with KL.
55. $\epsilon \delta$ дatas is placed after $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ in NcKL, T-R.
56. $\delta_{\epsilon}:$ om. A.
57. $\tau \eta$ : $є \nu \tau \eta$ MSS. ; cf. 1. 54 , note.
tôєa карঠ̂ta : so NAB, W-II ; каро́ta avtau DEFGKL, T-R.


 better and is further confirmed by l. 61.
 om. KL, T-R.

к'at o: so N*ABDEFG, W-H; о \&́NcKL, T-R.

 the line for $\nu \circ \mu \omega$ which is added after $\delta \in \delta \epsilon \tau a t$ by $N^{c} D^{b e E F G L}$ (so T-R) ; $\nu п \mu \omega$ is omitted by $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{II}$ with $\mathbf{N}^{*} \mathrm{ABD}^{*}$.

$a \nu]_{\eta \rho}$ : so NABK, W-H; av $\eta \rho$ avт $\eta s$ DEFGL, T-R.
68. It is impossible to tell whether the papyrus had $\delta \in$ (NADEFGKL) or $\gamma^{a} \rho$ ( $B$ ). $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$ put the latter in the text and the former in the margin.

X (pıoto) $v$ : so the cursive 17 ; $\theta$ eov other MSS.
72. It is practically certain that the papyrus agreed with $N A B$ (so $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$ ) in omitting Se after $\epsilon$. $\delta \epsilon$ is added by DEFGKL, T-R.

є $\boldsymbol{\imath} \omega{ }^{\top} \kappa \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \mathrm{va}$ : so NABDEFG, W-H ; єьঠєvat KL, T-R.

$\epsilon \gamma \nu \omega$ : the papyrus certainly omitted ovofv which is added here by DbeEKL (T-R), and probably read $\epsilon \gamma^{\nu} \omega$ with NABD*FG (W-H) rather than $\epsilon \gamma^{\nu} \omega \kappa \epsilon$ with DeEKL (T-R).
75. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \eta s$ : the papyrus did not agree with DeE in reading $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ \& $\tau \eta s \beta_{\rho} \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega$ in place of the belter supported $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \eta s$ ß $\beta \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ovv ( $\pi \epsilon \rho t \delta \epsilon \tau \eta s \quad \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s \mathrm{D}^{*}$ ).
1009. Phillpplans iii, iv.

$$
15.1 \times 11.1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century: }
$$

Probably this fragment, containing parts of some verses from the Epistle to the Philippians, belonged to the same codex as 1008 , with which it was found. At first sight it does not appear to do so, for the writing is rather smaller and the ink, instead of being black, is of a brown colour; but the formation of the letters is closely similar, the height and breadth of the column would be approximately the same, and punctuation is effected, as in 1008, by means of blank spaces, not stops. A rough breathing is also occasionally employed (1. 34 ; cf. 1008. 5) ; the supposed circumflex accent in 1.26 is perhaps a misformed breathing.

The textual qualities of this leaf bear also a general resemblance to those of 1008 . Its tendency is to support the three chief MSS., though it does not agree at all consistently with any one of them, and occasionally strikes out a line of its own. A remarkable coincidence with the Sinaiticus occurs in 11. 25-6, but against this may be set discrepancies in 11.2 and 16 . Disagreements with 13
 a parallel is only to be found in the versions, while the variants in $11.10,19$, and $3^{6}$ are apparently not otherwise recorded.

Recto.

```
    [\epsilon\pil \tau\eta \pil\sigma\tau\epsilonl \tauov \gamma\nu\omega]!'\alpha! av\tauо\nu каl
    iii. }
    [\tau\eta! \deltavv\alpha\alpha\mu\nu \tau\etaS а\nu\alpha\sigma]\tau\alpha\sigma\epsilon\omegaS \alphav\tauоv
    [\kappa\alpha\iota ко\iota\nu\omega\nu\iota\alpha\nu \tau\omega\nu] \pi\alpha0\eta\mu\alpha\tau\omega\nu
    [\alpha\nu\tauо\nu \sigmav\nu\muо\rhoф!\xio]\mu\epsilon\nuOS \tau\omega 0\alpha
5 [va\tau\omega а\nu\tauоv \epsilon\iota \pi\omegaS] ката\nu\tau\eta\sigma\omega \epsilonוS
    [\tau\eta\nu \epsilon\xi\alpha\nu\alpha\sigma\sigma\tau\alpha\sigma\iota\nu \tau\eta]\nu \epsilonк \nu
    [ov\chi o\tau\iota \eta\delta\eta \epsilon\lambda\alpha\betaov] \eta \eta\delta\eta \tau\epsilon\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota
    [\omega\mu\alphal \deltat\omegaк\omega \delta\epsilon \epsilont ка\tau]a\lambda\alpha\beta\omega \epsilon\phi \omega
    [к\alpha\iota кат\epsilon\lambda\eta\phi0\eta\nu v\piо \overline{X]v a\deltaє\lambda\phiо\iota \epsilon}
10 [\gamma\omega оv\pi\omega \lambdaо\gamma\iota\zetaо\mu\alphal є \mu\alphav\tauоv катєl
    [\lambda\eta\phi\epsilon\nual \epsilon\nu \delta\epsilon \tau]\alpha \mu\epsilon\nu о\pi\iota\sigma\omega
    [\epsilon\piı\lambda\alpha\nu0\alpha\nuo\mu\epsilon\nuos] rols \delta\epsilon \epsilon\mu\pi\rhoo
    |\sigma0\epsilon\nu \epsilon\pi\epsilonк\tau\epsilont\nuо\mu\epsilon`\nuоs ката \sigmaко
    [\piо\nu \delta\iota\omegaк\omega \epsilonl]s то [\beta\rho]а\betaiov т\eta\ а
$ [\nu\omega к\lambda\eta\sigma\epsilon\omegas fov \overline{0v}\in\nu\overline{Iv}}\overline{X}
    [ov\nu \tau\epsilon\lambda]\epsilonlol тоvтo \phi[\rhoo]\nu\omega\mu\epsilon\nu к\alphal
    [\epsilonl it \epsilon\tau]\epsilon\rho\omegas ф\rhoо⿱⿲㇒\epsilon\tau[\epsilon] к\alphal [\tau]ovтo
    [0 0; v\mul]\nu а\piока\lambdav\psi[\epsilonl ] |\lambda\eta\nu \epsilonts
    [o \epsilon\phi0\alpha\sigma]a\tau\epsilon \tau\omega av\tau\omega [\sigma\tau]0t\chi\epsilon\iota\nu \sigmau\nu
20 [\mu\iota\mu\eta\tau\alpha\iota] \mu0v \gamma\iota\nu\epsilon\sigma0\epsilon[\alpha\delta]\epsilon\lambda\phiо\iota ка\iota
```

Verso.

$\gamma \nu \eta \sigma \iota \epsilon \sigma v \zeta[v \gamma \epsilon \sigma v \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu 0 v$ avtals
$\alpha i \tau t v \epsilon s \in \nu[\tau \omega \in \cup a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda t \omega \sigma v \nu \eta$

$2_{5} \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \omega \nu$ ovi [ $\epsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ رov каь $\tau \omega \nu \lambda_{0 \iota}$
 $\eta S \quad \chi^{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in[\epsilon \nu \overline{\kappa(\omega)} \pi \alpha \nu \tau 0 \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \quad \epsilon \rho \omega$
$\chi^{\alpha l \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon} \quad \tau 0$ [ $\left.\epsilon \pi t \epsilon I K \epsilon S \quad v \mu \omega 1\right\rangle \gamma \nu \omega$

зо $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \rho\left[\iota \nu \alpha \tau \epsilon \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \in \imath^{\prime} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \iota\right.$ $\tau \eta \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon v[X \eta$ к $\alpha t \tau \eta \delta \in \eta \sigma \epsilon \ell \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$
$\epsilon \nu \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha[s \quad \tau \alpha$ аเт $\eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \quad v \mu \omega \nu$
$\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta[\omega] \pi \rho \sigma[s$ रov $\overline{\theta \nu} \kappa \alpha \iota \eta \epsilon \iota$
$\rho \eta \nu \eta$ Tov $\bar{\theta}[v] \quad \dot{\eta} \ddot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho[\epsilon] \times \underline{\rho}[v \sigma \alpha \pi \alpha \nu$


$\tau \alpha \ddot{v} \mu \omega \nu[\epsilon \nu]$ X $\omega \overline{I v}$ то $\lambda о[\iota \pi о \nu \alpha \delta \epsilon \lambda$
$\phi \circ \iota \quad \sigma \sigma \alpha \in[\sigma \tau l]_{\nu} \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \quad o[\sigma \alpha \quad \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \alpha$
oб $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa \alpha[\iota \alpha] \quad \sigma \alpha a \gamma \downarrow \alpha \quad \sigma \sigma[\alpha \quad \epsilon \nu \phi \eta \mu \alpha$

1. The $z$ of $\gamma \nu \omega$ jua has the appeatance of a $\tau$, perhaps caused by the running of the ink.

 but omitted in $\mathfrak{N}$ *B. кoveruav alone will not fill the lacuna, and the papyrus must have had either $\tau \eta \nu$ or $\tau \omega \nu$, but probably not both. кotvaviav $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}, \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa . \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{R}$.
 N'DeEKL, T-R, or ouvфoptetsouevos with FG.
2. $\tau \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa \nu \in \kappa \rho \omega \nu$ : so $\mathrm{NABDE}, \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$; $\tau \omega \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \omega \nu \mathrm{KL}, \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{R}$.

3. The addition of кut after $\epsilon t$ would unduly lengthen the supplement. каи is omitted in $\boldsymbol{\aleph}^{*} D^{*} \mathrm{E}^{*} \mathrm{FG}$ but added by AB and others, $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{R}$.
4. It is impossible to say exactly what stood in this lacuna, which is longer by the space of one letter than those of the preceding six lines. Some MSS. omit кat (DEF, for instance), others including NA have Xpưтov I $\eta \sigma o v$, and the spelling кaтe $\lambda \eta \mu \phi \theta_{\eta} \nu$ has better



5. оขтш: so NAD, W-H text, ov BDeEFGKL, W-11 marg., T-R. The position of $\epsilon \mu a v \tau o v$, which ordinarily follows $\epsilon \gamma \omega$, is peculiar.
6. тots $\delta \varepsilon$ : $\epsilon \mathrm{s} \delta \varepsilon \epsilon a \mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{FG}$.
7. $\epsilon 1$ : : so NAB, W-H ; $\epsilon \pi$ DEFGKL, T-R.
8. $1(\eta \sigma \sigma) \nu \mathrm{X}(\rho \iota \sigma \tau) \omega$ : so the Syriac and Aethiopic ; $\epsilon \nu \kappa \nu \rho \omega \omega$ I $\eta \sigma \sigma v$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega \mathrm{D}^{*}$ EFG, $\epsilon \nu$ Xporte I $\eta$ rov other MSS., W-II, T-R.
9. $\phi \rho \rho] \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ : so most MSS., W-H, T-R ; фрovovuє NL (ovv $\phi \rho . \mathbb{N}$ ).
10. єфөaन aatє: єфөa⿱apev MSS. The papyrus agrees with $\mathbf{N}^{*} \mathrm{AB}$ and $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$ in reading



22．$\gamma \boldsymbol{\nu} \| \sigma \epsilon$ ousure：this order，which has much the strongest support，is inverted in KL， T－R．There are some faint marks above the $\zeta$ which might be interpreted as an over－ written $\nu$（ $\sigma v \nu \zeta \nu y_{\epsilon}$ ），but they are not certainly ink．

24．It is clear that ka，which is omitted in D＊EFG，stood in the lacuna．
$2_{5}^{-6}$ ．The papyrus agrees with $\mathbf{N}^{*}$ against other MSS．，which read кat т $\omega \nu$ 入otn $\nu$ बvvєрү⿳亠丷厂甲 $\mu$ ov $\omega \nu$ ．

29．A adds rots after $\pi a \sigma u$ ．

 FG and some other minor authorities substitute $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau a$ for vonpara．The papyrus is peculiar in having both．

## 1010． 6 EZRA．

$$
8.4 \times 5.6 \mathrm{~cm} \text {. Fouth century. Plate } 1 \text { (recto). }
$$

Oxyrhynchus has already presented us with several fragments in the original Greek of theological works extant，entirely or in part，only in translations，－the Apocalypse of Baruch（403），the conclusion of the Shepherd of Hermas（404），Irenaeus，Contra Hacreses（405；cf．1＇．Oxy．iv．p．264），the Acts of Peter（849）；and there is now to be added to the list the following specimen of the Greek of 6 Ezra，as modern scholars call the apocalyptic writing which appears in the printed editions of the Vulgate as 4 Ezra，chapters xv－xvi．

This specimen is but a short one，extending to three verses only（xvi．57－9） which are inscribed on a vellum leaf comparable for its miniature dimensions to $\mathbf{8 4 2}$ ，the fragment of a lost Gospel．There，however，the size of the writing was more in proportion with that of the leaf than is the case in 1010，where the letters are of medium size，so that ten or eleven are the usual complement of a line，and twelve lines fill the page．The upright and neat though rather heavily formed uncials may be attributed to the fourth century．No stops occur nor other signs beyond a diaeresis；the usual horizontal stroke above a vowel at the end of a line represents a $y$ ．On the recto（flesh－side），which is numbered at the top $\bar{\mu}$ ， the writing is well preserved，but on the other side of the leaf it is rubbed and sometimes indistinct，though only in one place（1l．2I－2）is there a real doubt about the reading．

The sixth book of Ezra was written during a period of persecution，and James（Texts and Stutdics，iii．2，p．lxiv）following Gutschmid（Zeitschr．f． wissensel．Theol．iii．1860）places the date of composition about A．D． 268 ； Weinel，however（Neutest．Apokryphen，p．312），holds that the time cannot be fixed more definitely than between A．D． 120 and 300．An Egyptian origin has often been postulated，and the discovery of this early fragment at Oxyrlaynchus，
though of course not conclusive, to some extent strengthens that hypothesis. That the Latin version which alone exists was made from Greek is evident from the use of such words as rumphea in the passage quoted below; Dr. Charles believes, on the strength of certain Hebraisms, that some Jewish document lies behind, but that is a question which does not here arise. Resemblances to passages in 6 Ezra have been pointed ont in Books xi (ix) and xii ( x ) of the Sibylline Oracles, but with that doubtful exception no traces of the document have been recognized in Greek, and there are very few early references in Latin. The oldest certain quotations are those of the English writer Gildas, who lived in the sixth century, though it has been supposed that there is an allusion to xvi. 60 in Ambrose, Ep. xxix.

Two recensions of the Latin version are to be distinguished, a French and a Spanish, of which the principal representatives respectively are the MSS. SA and CM. ${ }^{1}$ In 6 Ezra ( $=4$ Ezra xv-xvi), according to the conclusions of Dr. James (op, cit., p. lxxvii), the Spanish text, though it has often preserved right readings, is the less trustworthy on the whole ; moreover in xv. 59-xvi. $3^{2}$, of which the first verse figures on our leaf, $S$ parts company with $A$ and joins CM, but the text of $A$, which is supported by the quotations of Gildas, remains generally preferable. A comparison of the two versions with the Greek bears out this criticism. The text of SA may be said to be very literal, though it


 by the same tendencies, but is also less faithful in other ways. Thus in $v .57$ ipsc (ipsa) is not in the Greek, rumphca (SA) though not a Latin word, is closer than gladio (CM) which is used again later in the verse to translate $\mu \alpha \chi^{\alpha}{ }^{i} p \eta$, and subiertentur is a much less exact rendering of ovirpußijoovac than conterentur ; and in v. 59 manducabunt carnes suas retains the original order which in CM is made to conform to the commoner Latin usage. On the other hand the repetition of the possessive adjective in suum sangrincm must be placed to the credit of CM, and in v. 59, where S and CM coincide and there is a serious divergence from $A$, the Greek, though the reading is unfortunately uncertain, is evidently closer to the version of SCM than that of A, which is corrupt; cf. note ad loc.

A slight difficulty is raised by the occurrence mentioned above of the numeral $\mu$ at the top of the recto. Does this refer to the forticth leaf or the

[^0]fortietl page? There is no sign of any figure in the corresponding position of the verso, but that may be due to the damaged condition of that side of the leaf: it was usual, at any rate when the numeral was placed, as here, in the centre of the upper margin, for each page to be numbered; cf. e.g. 656, 697, P. Amh. I. Neither supposition, however, is quite satisfactory. The Greek contained on the two sides of the leaf corresponds with four lines of Bensly's text; and since the preceding 56 verses occupy only 96 lines the fortieth leaf would not be expected so early. No doubt with a page of such small size an estimate of this kind can only be approximate; a preliminary page or two may also be reckoned at the beginning of the book, and some allowance made for the compression which has been noted in the Latin. But these considerations combined would not account for a difference of $1_{5}$ leaves out of $39(96 \div 4=24,24+15=39)$. On the other hand the fortieth page or twentieth leaf ought to have been passed; the forty-ninth page is what would be looked for. This discrepancy, however, may be explained without much difficulty by supposing that the writing was rather smaller at the beginning of the book and that it gradually increased in size.

But on either hypothesis some modification of the ordinary view of these two chapters seems necessary. It is generally considered that they were written as an appendix to 4 Ezra (James, op. cit., p. lxxviii, Weinel, op. cit., p. 311), and that they never circulated in any other guise or position. That view is now tenable only on the supposition that this pocket edition extended to more volumes than one; and it certainly does not appear at all probable that the form liere exhibited would have been selected for a work on the seale of 4 Ezra and 6 Ezra, which might easily have been reproduced in a small single volume by the employment of a somewhat larger page and a more compressed script. The present discovery therefore rather suggests that the sixth book of Ezra was originally current independently of the fourth. If the figure 40 is the number of the leaf, this would point to the existence of some prefatory matter no longer represented in the Latin. If, on the other hand, the numeration, as is more likely, refers to the page, the book began in the same abrupt manner that now characterizes it.
Recto.
$\bar{\mu}$
$\mu \omega \delta \iota a \phi \theta \alpha$
$\rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha l$ к $\alpha l$
$\sigma \nu \epsilon \nu \rho o \mu \phi \alpha l$
$\alpha \pi \epsilon \sigma \eta$ к $\alpha l \alpha l$
а $\pi \epsilon \sigma \eta$ ка! ає

```
5 mo\lambda\epsilonts \sigmaov \sigmaü
    \tau\rho!\beta\eta\sigmaо\nu\tau\alpha\iota
    каt \pi\alpha\nuT\epsilons \sigmaov 5%
    O\ell \epsilon\nu Tots \pi\epsilon\delta\ell
    ols \pi\epsilon\sigmaouv
10 \tauul \epsilon\nu \mua\ \alphal
    \rho\eta Kat ot \epsilonv, TotS
    ор\epsilon\sigmat каъ }\mu\in\tau
                \omega\rhoots
```

    \kappa\alphal то at\mu\alpha \alphav
    ```
    \kappa\alphal то at\mu\alpha \alphav
    \tau\omega\nu \pi\iotaо\nu\tau\alpha\iota
    \tau\omega\nu \pi\iotaо\nu\tau\alpha\iota
    \alpha\piо \lambda\epsilon!\muov \alpha\rho
    \alpha\piо \lambda\epsilon!\muov \alpha\rho
20 тov ка\iota \delta\iota\psi\etaS
20 тov ка\iota \delta\iota\psi\etaS
    |ठ\alpha\tauos \tau\alpha
    |ठ\alpha\tauos \tau\alpha
    59
    59
    \mu\in\nu \etaK\inlS \tau\alpha
    \mu\in\nu \etaK\inlS \tau\alpha
    \lambda\alpha[t]\nu\alpha к\alpha[l l}
    \lambda\alpha[t]\nu\alpha к\alpha[l l}
    \epsilonк \delta\epsilonv\tau\epsilon\rhoоv
```

```
    \epsilonк \delta\epsilonv\tau\epsilon\rhoоv
```

```
' (Thy children) shall die of hunger, and thou shalt fall by the sword; and thy cities shall be destroyed, and all thy people that are in the plains shall fall by the sword, and they that are on the mountains and highlands shall die of hunger and shall eat their own flesh and drink their own blood in hunger for bread and thirst for water. At first thou art reduced to misery (?) and again a second time (thou shalt receive woe)."

Latin Version of SA (Bensly, Liber Esrac quartus xv. 57-9, in Texts and Studies, iii. 2) :
57. Et nati tui fame interient, et tur rumphea cades, et civitates tuae contercutur, 55 ct omnes tui in campo gladio cadent; Et qui sunt in montibus fame peribunt, ct manducabunt carnes suas et sangouncm bibent a fame panis
\(5_{9}\) et siti aquac. Infelix primariar renies, ct rursum accipics mala.
57. famae \(\mathrm{A} \quad\) tui in \(\mathrm{SA}^{1}\), tuae in \(\mathrm{A} \quad\) 58. famae A (bis) manducabant S bibent \(\mathrm{S}^{1} \mathrm{~A}\), bitant \(\mathrm{S} \quad\) sili \(\mathrm{SA}^{\mathrm{t}}\), silis \(\mathrm{A} \quad 59\). infelix. .. mala S , propler priorem (-em in ras.) mise ... et iterum excipies mala A

Latin Version of CM (Bensly, op. cit., p. 89) :
57 Et fulii tui fame interient et the ipse gladio cades, et civitates tue subvententur 58 ct omues tui in campum gladio cadent. Et qui sunt in montibus fame dispcricnt. ct carnes suas manducabunt ct sanguincm sum bibcnt a fame 59 panis et siti aquac. Infclix, primaria venies. ct rursum accipics mala.
```

57. ipsa MI gladio cadent in campo M 59 om. primaria M
```
1. The sentence is to be completed кає \(\pi a \pi a \delta \partial a\) боv \(\epsilon \nu \lambda \epsilon \mid \mu \omega\).

21-2. It is unlucky that there is a doubt concerning the reading here. The termination of \(\pi \rho \omega \tau\) - is quite uncertain; it may be \(\pi \rho \omega \tau a\) or \(\pi \rho \omega \tau \bar{\omega}\) or \(\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta\); the last would best account for primaria in SCM, but \(\pi \rho \omega \tau a\) or \(\pi \rho \omega\) ov are more intelligible and give the expected antithesis to \(\pi a \lambda ı \nu \in \kappa\) סevtepov. In \(\mu \epsilon \nu \eta x \in \epsilon s\) the \(\nu\) is the most doubtful point, the traces suggesting rather a or \(\lambda\). \(\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon\) is or \(\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon\) ors or \(\mu \epsilon\) aveєs could be read, but none
of these gives any good sense or explains either of the later versions, whereas \(\pi p \omega r a \mu \in\) \(\eta \kappa \epsilon s\), even if not very satisfactory, is not far from infelix primaria vonies; \(\eta \xi \in a s\) is unsuitable. The alternative in A however remains hardly accounted for. James, op. cit., p. Ixxiii, proposes that propler priora miscrima should be restored, and that the Greek might have
 be right, but it is now seen that the ingenious suggestion for the Greek was mistaken. miserrima is corroborated by both infelix and ràava: propter priora is not a proper rendering of \(\pi \rho \omega \tau a(-\tau o \nu) \mu \epsilon \nu\); it is an interpretation rather than a translation.

\section*{II. NEW CLASSICAL TEXTS.}

\section*{1011. Callimachus, Aetia And Iambi.}

Fol. I \(30 \times 18 \mathrm{~cm}\).
Late fourth century. Plates II and III (Fol. 1 recto, Fol. 2 verso).
It might reasonably have been expected that, among the many classical authors represented by the papyri of Egypt, an Alexandrian poet so celebrated and so prolific as Callimachus would not fail to find a prominent place. Hitherto that expectation has not been realized. A wooden tablet at Vienna has indeed supplied some considerable pieces of the Hccale (edited by Th. Gomperz, 1893; cf. Wilamowitz, Götting. Nachrichten, 1893, pp. 731-47) ; but the contributions of the papyri have consisted of a small fragment at Alexandria from the Hymns, and a scrap of scholia, also on the Hymns, in the Amherst collection (P. Amh. 20). The deficiency is, however, now amply made good by a discovery restoring to us substantial pieces of two important works, previously known only from short and disconnected citations, the Aetia and Iambi; and by a fortunate chance the new fragments include what was probably the most popular passage of the Actia, the famous love story of Acontius and Cydippe.

As now reconstituted the find, which was made in the winter of 1905-6, consists of seven leaves from a papyrus book, with a few small pieces still unplaced. One of the leaves is nearly perfect and a second is only slightly broken; but the others are all more or less severely damaged. Even where the papyrus is intact, lowever, it is often extremely difficult to read, owing partly to the rubbed and discoloured state of the surface, partly to the fading of
the ink, which is of the light brown kind frequently met with in the Byzantine period. Its ancient readers had already found the manuscript unsatisfactory in this respect, and letters or words, occasionally whole lines, have here and there been rewritten. In some parts of Foll, 6 and 7 , moreover, the ink has run badly, and the papyrus is besides worm-eaten. Where there has been no deterioration the large and handsome script is of course legible enough. Though generally sloping it is sometimes erect, and in the size and quality of the writing, too, some variation is noticeable; an irregular appearance is also caused by the occasional exaggeration of certain letters, e.g. к. The coarsc down strokes contrast strongly with the light horizontal lines, which are at times barely distinguishable from the fibres of the papyrus. \(o\) and \(\omega\) are commonly small ; \(\epsilon\) and \(\sigma\) narrow. Like that of 847 , this hand seems to represent a transitional stage between the sloping oval style, predominant in the third century, and the squarer, heavier type of the fourth and fifth. Two further considerations assist in the determination of the date: (I) the semicursive notes and additions which have been occasionally inserted, in several cases by the original writer, and of which the age is more easily estimated than that of the more formal script of the text; (2) the fact that a small group of documents in the company of which the present papyrus was discovered ( 1033 is one of them) was dated about the year 400 . On these various grounds the production of this codex is to be placed in the fourth century and, if greater precision is desired, the third quarter of it is perhaps the likeliest period; 1008 and 1008, which were also found along with 1011, appear to belong to about the same epoch.

The work of the original scribe has undergone a good deal of modification. To him are due the pagination and the stichometrical figures below the columns, some paragraphi, and frequently diaereses and marks of elision; but accents, breathings, and stops are to a large extent subsequent additions by one or other of the later hands which have introduced corrections or annotations. Two such hands, at least, are distinguishable, one writing in irregular uncials (e.g. the glosses at 11. 121, 123, 218), the other in semicursive (c.g. 11. 261-4), and both, but especially the latter, using an ink darker than that of the text. The accentuation of \(\mathrm{II} . \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{I}-9}\) has the appearance of being original, but this is exceptional, and elsewhere the different shade of ink in the accents commonly shows a later hand, which, however, sometimes only renovated what was already there. Accents are not inserted at all systematically, some leaves (Foll. 2, 3, 4) being plentifully supplied, others (Foll. 1, 6, 7) having very few, while Fol. 5 shows many more on the verso than on the recto. From the same source come a few marginal signs, the significance of which is not always evident. The text as it originally stood was not a very accurate one; and in spite of the efforts of the
correctors the text sometimes remains in an unsatisfactory condition; cf. notes on 11. \(7,39,62, \& c\).

It remains to consider the arrangement and subject-matter of the fragments. The position in the codex of three out of the seven leaves is fixed by the pagination. Fol. 1, containing the conclusion of the story of Acontius and Cydippe, is numbered in the left-liand corner of the recto \(\mathbf{1 5}_{52}\). It was already known from Callim. Fr. 26 that this elegy was part of the third book of the Aetic, and according to Schneider, Callimachea, ii. pp. 99 sqq., it stood early in the book, a view which, as will be seen, suits the data of the papyrus. The subject of the third book is supposed by Schneider to have been inventions and inventors, and Cydippe's history was, he thinks, introduced in connexion with the art of writing as an illustration of the injurious results to which that art might lead. Acontius, a handsome youth, fell in love with the beautiful Cydippe; and seeing her one day in the temple of Artemis he wrote on a fine apple the words, 'By Artemis, I will marry Acontius,' and unobserved rolled this in front of Cydippe. She picked it up and read the inscription, then threw it aside, and, thinking no more of Acontius, proceeded to wed another suitor. The preparations were all made when she suddenly fell ill. Three times the same obstacle to the marriage occurred, and at last her father betook himself to the oracle of Apollo and inquired the cause. Apollo informed him of the broken oath and of the anger of Artemis, and advised him to carry out his daughter's undesigned engagement to Acontius. He accepted the advice, the nuptials were duly celebrated, and Acontius and Cydippe lived in happiness. Such in brief summary is the story as told with elaborate elegance by Aristaenetus, Epist. i. 10, whose debt to Callimachus has long been recognized; cf. Buttmann, Mythol. ii. p. 115 , and, more recently, Dilthey, De Callim. Cydippa. The papyrus, which preserves the latter part of the tale, including the illnesses of Cydippe, the visit of her father to the oracle, and the happy event (11.1-52), now enables us to see the extent of the debt. Aristaenetus follows Callimachus in the main outlines, and his prose frequently echoes the language of the poet: cf. 11. 20, 29-31, 42-3, 47-9 with the excerpt from Aristaenetus quoted in the note on 1. 10: but he omits some details and introduces others of his own. The relation of the two Ovidian letters between Acontius and Cydippe (Epist. Her. 20, 21) to the Greek versions is comparatively remote.

This discovery, however, not only displays the beauty of the model of Aristaenetus; it reveals the source of Callimachus. He obtained the story, he says, from Xenomedes, an early historian of Ceos, whose true character now emerges for the first time; cf. 1.54 and the note \(a d\) loc. The legend, then, was a Cean one; and the fact that a similar tale is told by Antoninus Liberalis,

Metamorph. I, on the authority of Nicander, concerning the Cean heroine Ctesylla, at once becomes more intelligible. Callimachus proceeds (1l. \(5^{6-74}\) ) to give a brief summary of the mythical history of Ceos as narrated by Xenomedes, several details of which are quite novel ; and he expressly credits the historian with a love of the truth \(\left(1.7^{6}\right)\). The last three verses of the page form the transition to another theme.

Between Fol. I and Fol. 2 a large gap intervenes. The verso of Fol. 2 contains the conclusion of the following book of the Actia. In this epilogue Callimachus, after a reference to the meeting of Hesiod with the Muses at Hippocrene, an experience which he had in the proëm to his work represented as having happened in a dream also to himself, takes a formal farewell of poetry, and declares that he will now devote himself to prose. The poet must then at this time have had in view a large and important prose work; and it is natural to suppose that he was here alluding to his Mivakes, a kind of literary encyclopaedia, which is said by Suidas to have extended to 120 books and must have occupied the author during a long period. But the Пivanes were certainly written at Alexandria ; and it would hence follow that the Actic were not completed, as held by Schneider, op. cit. ii. p. 40, at Cyrcne, and the choice would lie between the view of Merkel (Apollon. Rhod. p. xxi), that these poems, though begun were not published in youth, and that of Hecker, Com. Callim. p. I6, that they were the product of the poet's maturity. At any rate the present passage is in thorough accordance with the view of Wilamowitz (Tcxtgesch. d. gr. Bukoliker, pp. 173-4, cf. Götting. Nachr. 1893, pp. 745-6) that the poetical activity of Callinachus is to be assigned to the prior part of his carcer, and that his appointment at the Alexandrian library turned his energies into another channel. Below these final verses is inscribed the title of the foregoing book, 'The fourth Book of the Aetia of Callimachus.' From the fact that no number beyond four had been mentioned in the citations from this work, the inference had been drawn that it did not include more than four books; and this is now definitely confirmed by the papyrus.

The fourth book of the Actia is followed by the Iambi, with which the remainder of the leaves are occupied. Their arrangement depends largely upon the view taken of the foliation of this codex: do the numbers refer to leaves or to pages? As has been remarked in connexion with 1010, in these early books both sides of a leaf often bear a figure, which is commonly set in the centre of the upper margin and gives the number of the column rather than that of the page; ef. e.g. 656, 697, P. Amh. 1, and, for the analogous numeration of columns in a roll, 657. In the present case the left-hand corner of the under side only of the leaf is foliated. Since, however, the three numbers whieh
can be read with certainty (Foll. I, 3 and 5) are all even, it is legitimate to suppose that they refer to pages which were numerated in the series \(2,4,6\), 8 , \&ec. An early parallel for such a method of pagination is, indeed, to seek; while, on the other hand, an example of numeration of leaves as opposed to pages is probably to be recognized in Berliner Klassikertextc, v. 2. 18, where a leaf bears in opposite corners the figures 9 and 65 . If the gatherings were, as seems likely, quaternions, the 9 th gathering would begin with the 65 th leaf. But let us consider the consequences of the hypothesis that in 1011 leaves and not pages are meant. On the recto of Fol. 2 the critical figure is unfortunately illegible, but since Fol. 3 is numbered 188 , and is no doubt either the next leaf or the next but one to Fol. 2, the defaced number on the latter would be either 186 or 187 . Thirty-three leaves at least would therefore be lost between Fol. I ( \(=152\) ) and Fol. 2, i.e., on an average of 80 lines to the leaf, 2,640 lines. Foll. 1 and 2 themselves add 89 lines more; and the earlier portion of the story of Cydippe, if it bore about the same relation in bulk to the account of Aristaenetus as the latter part does, may be estimated at approximately \(1_{5}\) verses. One more leaf, at least, must be added by way of preface; so that even if the Cydippe came at the beginning of the third book, the two last books of the Aetio will have extended to upwards of 3,000 lines, or, on the assumption that they were more or less equal in size, some 1,500 lines each. Evidently this is not a satisfactory result. There is the analogy of Apollonius Rhodius; but poetical books other than dramatic works, whether in Greek or Latin, do not usually run to so great a length, and moreover we have some positive evidence that the Aetia were no exception to the rule. Suidas relates that Marianus, who flourished in the fifth century, produced a \(\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \phi \rho a \sigma \iota s\) of the Hecale, Hj'mns, Aetia, and Epigrams of Callimachus in 6,810 iambic verses. Marianus is hardly likely to have effected a considerable reduction in the number of the lines; the tendency would rather be in the opposite direction. But the extant hymns and genuinc epigrams of Callimachus amount to 1,400 lines, and the Hecale appears to have been a lengthy poem; therefore, if the four books of the Actia averaged some 1,500 lines, a much larger total than 6,810 iambics would be expected. If on the other hand the alternative view be adopted, that the foliation of this MS. referred to pages, and consequently the foregoing estimate of leaves and lines be divided by two, the difficulties disappear. Seven or eight hundred lines is the normal compass of a book, and the scope of Marianus' metaphrase, with some allowance for hymns and epigrams no longer extant, becomes more natural.

The Iambi open with a general prologue, extending to about 30 lines, of which the first three and a half had already been correctly reconstructed from
scattered citations. At 1.103 begins the story of Bathycles' cup, which was to be given to the wisest man and went the round of the seven sages until it came a second time to Thales, by whom it was dedicated to Apollo of Didyma: cf.




 verses on Fol. 2 are much obscured by mutilation, but Fol. 3 verso is in rather better case. Thales is discovered drawing geometrical figures by Bathycles' son, who offers him the cup. The first two verses and the gist of part of the following passage were previously known from Diogenes Laertius and Diodorus Excerpt. Vat., by means of which attempts had been made at restoration (Fr. 83 a) with, as is now seen, indifferent success; cf. note on 11. 124-8. The sequel is lost with the lower half of the leaf; but since 11.121 sqq. apparently relate to the first visit to Thales, and the recto of Fol. 3 concerns a different subject, it follows that the story was finished off very briefly. The question then arises, what is the extent of the loss between Fol. 2 and Fol. 3? Does the latter follow immediately upon the former, or is there a leaf missing? The second alternative appears to make the introductory part of the story rather disproportionately long: if the travels of the cup were narrated in about 40 verses, about 35 ought to have sufficed to explain the occasion of them. This Iambus then becomes a very short one, certainly; but that would be in accordance with the promise of brevity made at the outset (1. 1O3). A further slight advantage may be claimed for this vicw, that it is consistent with a gathering of six sheets, which is perhaps a more likely number than seven ; cf. p. 22. The identity of the third figure on the recto of Fol. 2 is too doubtful to be urged as evidence on either side. Several verses from the missing later portion of the poem are preserved in the extant fragments ; cf, note on 1. 138.

With the recto of Fol. 3, which is clearly numbered in the left corner 188 , a fresh topic is reached. Some man who was the object of gencral aversion is introduced; but after the first few lines the subject is completely obscured by the mutilation of the papyrus.

Fol. 5, which bears on the recto the number 192, was separated from Fol. 3 by a single leaf, and the gap is certainly to be filled by Fol. 4. This is quite evident from the fact that the last few lines of the recto of Fol. 4 (ll. 21 I sqq.) are the commencement of the story of the contest between the olive and the laurel, which is recounted in Fol. 5. Of the verso of Fol. 4 the first \({ }^{I} 5\) verses are fairly preserved. They apparently relatc to a legend of a reversal of the
common order of nature in the reign of Saturn, when the spheres of men and beasts were exchanged. This story is referred in 11. 171-3, a passage already known as an adespoton, to Aesop (cf. the citation in 1. 54 of Xenomedes), but is not found in the extant collection of Aesopian fables or in those of Babrius. The rest of the verso and the recto is severely damaged, and there is little that is intelligible until in 1.211 the narrative of the dispute between the two trees is begun. If, as may well be the case, the preceding lines of the recto all belong to the preface of this, the fable would appear to have been narrated by one of the persons whose meeting is described in 11.192 sqq. The first two and a half verses of the story itself were already extant (Fr. 93 a), but nothing was linown concerning the nature of the quarrel, or of Callimachus' treatment of it in the poem of which a substantial portion is now happily recovered in Fol. 5. Schneidewin, Exercit. Crit. ix. p. 57, suggested that the point resembled that of one of the fables of Aphthonius (Furia 212), where an olive-tree after deriding a fig for the loss of its leaves is broken by a snowstorm which left the fig unharmed. This conjecture, however, is not verified; the discussion is of a much more elaborate kind. In rhetorical speeches the rivals expatiate in turn upon their own respective merits and advantages, the laurel dwelling upon its ritualistic and ceremonial uses, and taunting the olive with the indignity of association with corpses (11. 218-239). To this the olive replies at length (11. 242 sqq.), priding itself on assisting to honour the dead, and, with regard to the pretensions of the laurel, pointing out that the olive-branch was the prize of victory at Olympia, which ranked before Delphi. The olive proceeds (1l. 260 sqq.) to claim superiority on the ground, first, of a more illustrious origin, secondly, of its serviceable qualities, and thirdly, of being the emblem of the suppliant. At 11. 291-6 another tree intervenes in the interests of peace, but with the result of making the laurel, which is getting the worst of the argument, the more angry, and the would-be peacemaker only meets with abuse. Here the papyrus fails us and, since the next leaf is missing, we cannot tell how the quarrel was brought to a termination.

The position of Foll. 6 and 7 is less definitely determined. The latter, which is filled on both sides not with iambics but trochaic tetrameters, belongs to the same sheet as Fol. 5; but since the contents are quite different the pair must have been separated by at least two leaves. It is convenient to assign Fol. 6, which in outward condition rather resembles Fol. 7 (cf. p. 16), to the vacant position. But Fol. 6 does not contain the beginning of the trochaic poem and therefore cannot be the immediate predecessor of Fol. 7; neither does it appear to follow directly on Fol. 5, for there is no sign of any connexion with the story of the olive and laurel, which can scarcely have been brought to its
conclusion in the course of the eight or nine lines which are missing at the top of Fol. 6. Hence, if Fol. 6 be placed here, it is best to suppose that four leaves intervened between Foll. 5 and 7, and that Fol. 6 was the sccond or third of them. On this theory, unless the unsatisfactory assumption be made that the disposition of the sheets was irregular, the gatherings in this codex will have consisted of six sheets at least. It is certain in the case of Foll. 2-5, of which the last three and probably all four were consecutive, that the verso preceded; and either recto and verso should precede alternately (c.g. P. Amh. 1 and the Cairo Menander), or one should consistently come first in the left half of the gathering, the other in the right (e.g. P. Heidelberg i ; cf. Dziatzko, Buchuescn, p. 145). The gatherings of the present MS. were arranged on the latter method, Foll. 2-5, where the verso is consistently uppermost, belonging to the left-hand portion of the gathering; the recto would of course be uppermost in the opposite portion, to which Fol. 7 is referred. A difficulty, however, arises with regard to Fol. I, which being divided from Fol. 2 by 34 pages or I7 leaves would, if the gatherings uniformly consisted of six sheets, fall in the right-hand half of a gathering, where the recto should precede the verso. The reverse is actually the case; and we have therefore to suppose that some of the gatherings were of fewer sheets than six.

To return to Fol. 6, it is doubtful which side of the leaf came uppermost, but the aspect of the two margins slightly favours the view that here too the verso preceded. Literary matters are the prevailing topic-tragedians (11. 312, 367), pentameters (11. 313, 366), poetry (11. 321-2), choliambics and Hipponax (11. \(334-5\), cf. I. 362 ), the Muses (11. 357,361 ) ; but the leaf is badly mutilated, and only here and there a complete verse emerges. No coincidences occur here with the extant fragments, though the latter include lines which may well



Of the contents of Fol. 7 there is not much to be said. No verse on either side is complete, or, apparently, capable of successful completion. It is, however, something to learn that Callimachus, like other iambographers, wrote in trochaic tetrameters (trochaic pentameters are exemplified in Fr. 115) ; and the remains are sufficient to show that his use of the measure was marked by an unexpected freedom. Caesura in the middle of the verse is repeatedly neglected; cf. 11.378 , \(3^{81}, 390,396,418,421\), \&c. Callimachus thus allows himself the same licence in this respect as the comedians. On the other hand, he must have been sparing of resolution, since no instance of it occurs in an equivalent of about 30 verses.

That the Iambi did not extend far beyond this point cannot of course be
asserted, but it would hardly be expected, since the book is already of some length. Fol. 2 contained about 65 lines, and, if the argument above is correct, not less than scven more leaves, or 560 lines, preceded Fol. 7, making, with the addition of the latter, a total of some 700 lines. Extant fragments prove that the \(I a m b i\) also included pure iambics, so that, unless these occurred on the leaves supposed to be missing between Fol. 5 and Fol. 7, a further considerable addition has to be made on their account. The minimum length of the book may thus be estimated at from 800 to 900 lines.

For the sake of clearness a brief summary of the disposition and contents of the leaves may here be added :-

Fol. I verso and recto (pp. 151-2) Act. iii, story of Cydippe.
Fol. 2 verso (p. 185 ? ) \(=\) Act. iv, conclusion, and Iamb., prologue.
recto \((\) p. 186 ?) \(=\) conclusion of prologue, and story of Bathycles (Iamb. 1).
Fol. 3 verso (p. 187 ) = story of Bathycles continued.
recto (p. 188) : subject doubtful (Iamb. 2).
Fol. 4 verso \([\) p. 189] \(=\) story of the reign of Saturn (continuation of Iamb. 2 ?). recto \([\) p. 190] \(=\) story of dispute between laurel and olive (Iamb. 3).
Fol. 5 verso and recto (pp. 191-2) = dispute between laurel and olive continued.
Fol. 6 verso and recto \([\) pp. 195-6 or 197-8 ? \(]=\) a piece relating to poetical composition, especially tragedy (Iamb.4).
Fol. 7 recto and verso \([\mathrm{pp} .201-2\) ? \(]=\) trochaic poem (Iamb. 5).
In the reconstruction and interpretation of this difficult text I have received invaluable assistance from Professor U. von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, to whom is due in no slight degree such success as may have been attained. Many restorations and comments will be found expressly attributed to him in the notes below; but the frequency of these references is by no means the measure of my great obligations. The proofsheets were also seen by Professor Gilbert Murray, whom I have to thank for a number of acute suggestions and criticisms.

\section*{Fol. 1 verso.}
\(\eta \delta \eta \kappa \alpha เ к о \nu \rho \omega เ \pi \alpha \rho \theta_{\epsilon \nu 0 \sigma \epsilon v \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \tau о}\)
\(\tau \epsilon \theta \mu \iota \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \nu v \mu \phi \iota \frac{\nu \ddot{̈} \pi \nu \sigma \nu \ddot{\imath} \alpha \nu \sigma \alpha \iota}{}\) \(\alpha \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu \iota \tau \eta \nu \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \sigma v \nu^{\prime} \alpha \mu \phi \ell \theta \alpha \lambda \epsilon \epsilon\)
\(\eta \rho \eta \nu \gamma \alpha \rho к о т \epsilon ф \alpha \sigma \iota \kappa v 0 \nu \kappa \nu о \nu і ̈ \sigma \chi \epsilon 0 \lambda \alpha \iota \delta \rho \epsilon\)
5 \(\theta \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma v \gamma^{\prime} \alpha \epsilon \iota \sigma \eta \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \chi\) Х \(\sigma \iota \eta\)
 \(v\)
 є
 \(\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon 0 \nu \pi \alpha \iota \sigma 0 \delta \epsilon \mu \alpha \nu \lambda u^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \chi^{\epsilon} l^{\prime}\). \(\eta \omega о \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \in \mu \in \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\imath} \delta \delta \alpha \tau \iota \theta \nu \mu \nu \nu \alpha \mu \nu \xi \in \iota \nu\) o८ \(\beta \circ \epsilon \sigma \circ \xi \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \rho \kappa о \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \delta о \rho i ́ \delta \alpha\) \(\delta \epsilon \iota \epsilon \lambda \iota \nu \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \lambda[\lambda] \epsilon \kappa \alpha \kappa о c \chi \lambda о о \sigma \epsilon!\lambda \epsilon \delta \in \nu 0 \nu \sigma \circ \sigma\) \(\alpha \iota \gamma \alpha \sigma \epsilon \sigma \alpha \gamma \rho!\alpha \underset{\delta}{ } \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \alpha \pi о \pi \epsilon \mu \pi о \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \cdot\) \(\psi \in \nu \delta о \mu \in \nu 0 เ \delta^{\prime} i \epsilon \rho \eta \nu \phi \eta \mu \iota \zeta \rho \mu \in \nu \cdot \eta \tau \circ \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \iota \gamma \rho \eta\)
\({ }^{1} 5\) т \(\left.\eta \nu \kappa о \nu \rho \eta \nu \alpha \alpha_{-}^{-}.\right] \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \chi \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \xi \in \delta \circ \mu \omega \nu\)
 ,
\(\epsilon \pi \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \iota \omega \mu \eta \nu \alpha \sigma \epsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \nu \in \pi \nu \rho \iota\)
 \(\kappa v \delta \iota \pi \pi \eta \nu 0 \lambda о о \sigma \kappa \rho \nu \mu о \sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega \kappa \iota \sigma \alpha \tau о\)

 \(\alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \delta \sigma \tau \eta \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \gamma \alpha \mu о \nu \beta \alpha \rho \nu \sigma о \rho к о \sigma \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \lambda \alpha l\) \(\lambda v \gamma \delta \alpha \mu \iota \nu о v \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \mu \eta \tau \eta \nu о \nu \epsilon \kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota \sigma\)


\(\delta \eta \lambda \omega \delta^{\prime} \eta \nu \in \pi!\delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau ⿺ \circ \nu о \pi \pi о \tau \epsilon \sigma \eta \pi \alpha \iota \sigma\)
 \(\underset{\sim}{\alpha} . . . v \xi \alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \mu \in \theta \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \sigma v \mu \phi \rho \alpha \delta \mu 0 v \alpha \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota\)
[. .] \(\nu \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup\) ? \(\eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \circ \rho \kappa \iota \alpha \theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma\)
\(30 \alpha \rho \gamma v \rho о \nu о \nu \mu о \lambda \iota \beta \omega!\gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa о \nu \tau \iota о \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \phi \alpha \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \iota\)

\section*{Fol．I verso．}

グ \(\delta \eta\) каì кои́ \(\rho \omega\) тар月є́vos єủváбато






\(\hat{\eta} \pi o \lambda v \iota \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ \eta \chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \kappa o ́ v\), ö \(\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon i\)









 \(K v \delta i \pi \pi \pi \eta \nu\) ỏ \({ }^{\prime} o o ̀ s ~ к \rho \nu \mu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \omega \kappa i ́ \sigma \alpha \tau o . ~\)








 ［ \(\pi \alpha ́] \nu \tau \alpha\) \(\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma\) ö \(\rho \kappa เ \alpha ~ \theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o s . ~\)

\(\eta \lambda \epsilon K \tau \rho \circ \nu \chi \rho v \sigma \omega \iota \phi \eta \mu \iota \sigma \epsilon \mu \iota \xi \in \mu \in \nu \alpha \iota\)
\(\kappa о \delta \rho \in \iota \delta \eta \sigma \sigma v \gamma^{\prime} \alpha \nu \omega \theta \in \nu 0 \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \in \rho \circ \sigma \alpha v \tau \alpha \rho \circ \kappa \in 10 \sigma\) \(\gamma \alpha \mu \beta \rho о \sigma \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota о\). . т!обарфїєршу

\(35 \pi \rho \eta \nu \nu \in \iota \nu \chi \lambda \times \cdot \pi \eta \nu^{\prime} \mu \alpha!\rho \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \in \rho \chi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu\) \(\alpha \iota \tau \in \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \circ \delta^{\prime} \alpha \eta \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \delta \iota \sigma \omega \tau \epsilon \theta \alpha \mu \in l \nu 0 \iota\)
€
\(\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau \alpha!\lambda \iota \nu \alpha \iota \sigma \circ \rho \tau v \gamma \epsilon \sigma \in \nu \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma\)
\(\eta \theta \epsilon о \sigma \alpha v \tau \alpha \rho о \nu \alpha \xi 0 \nu \epsilon \beta \eta \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon!\rho \in \tau 0 \delta^{\prime} \alpha v \tau \eta \nu\) \(\kappa 0 \cup \rho \eta \nu \eta \delta^{\prime} \alpha \nu \in \tau \omega \sigma \pi \alpha \nu \in \kappa \alpha \lambda \nu \downarrow \in \nu^{\prime} \in \pi о \sigma\)
 € \(\sigma \tau \alpha \iota \tau \eta \nu \iota \delta \iota \eta \nu \epsilon \sigma \delta \iota 0 \nu v \sigma \iota \alpha \delta \alpha\)
\[
\bar{\mu}
\]

Fol. I recto. Plate II.
\(\overline{\rho^{v} \beta}\)
 \(\epsilon \ell \delta \circ \nu v \mu \eta \nu \alpha \iota о v \sigma о \nu \kappa \alpha \nu \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda о \mu \epsilon \nu\) оvб
 \(\alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \epsilon \tau \eta \sigma \mu \iota \tau \rho \eta \sigma \eta \psi \alpha 0 \pi \alpha \rho \theta \in \nu \iota \eta \sigma\)
 ov \(\delta^{\prime} \alpha \kappa \epsilon \lambda \eta \nu i \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \alpha \tau ו \sigma \tau о \mu \iota \delta \eta \sigma\) \(\delta \epsilon \xi \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota \psi \eta \phi o v \delta^{\prime} \alpha \nu \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \in \pi \iota \mu \alpha \tau \tau \nu \rho \epsilon \sigma \in!\in,{ }^{\prime}\)

50 єк \(\delta \epsilon \gamma \mu \mu о \cup к \epsilon เ \nu о \iota о \mu \epsilon \gamma о \cup \nu о \mu a \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) \(\delta \eta \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \theta^{\prime} v \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \phi \cup \lambda о \nu \alpha к о \nu \tau \iota \alpha \delta \alpha \iota\) \(\pi о \nu \lambda \nu \tau \iota к \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \iota \mu о \nu і ̈ 0 v \lambda \iota \delta_{\imath \nu} \alpha \iota \epsilon \tau \alpha 0 v \sigma \iota v\) \(\kappa \epsilon \iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon о \nu \delta^{\prime} \eta \mu \epsilon \iota \sigma \ddot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \rho о \nu \epsilon \kappa \lambda \nu о \mu \epsilon \nu\) \(\tau о \nu \delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \chi \alpha \iota o v \xi \in \nu 0 \mu \eta \delta \in 0 \sigma \rho 0 \sigma \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \sigma \bar{\alpha}\)
\(55 \nu \eta \sigma о \nu \in \nu \iota \mu \nu \eta \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \theta \in \tau о \mu \nu \theta о \lambda о \gamma \omega\) \(\alpha \rho \chi \llbracket 0 \rrbracket \mu \mu \nu \sigma \sigma \omega \sigma \nu v \mu \phi \eta \sigma \iota[..] \nu \alpha \iota \epsilon \tau о \kappa \omega \rho v \kappa \iota \eta \sigma!\) \(\tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \pi о \pi \alpha \rho \nu \eta \sigma \sigma o v \lambda \iota \sigma \epsilon \delta \iota \omega \xi \in \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \sigma\) \(\nu \delta \rho о v \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \iota \mu \iota \nu \epsilon \phi \eta \mu \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \iota \rho \omega .\). [.? \({ }^{?}\). . \(\theta v \sigma[\cdot] \tau ?\)

 \(\gamma \alpha \mu \beta \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A \rho t \sigma \tau \alpha i o v ~ \lambda \eta ́\langle t \tau\rangle o s ~ \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi\) ' \(i \in \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\)

\(35 \pi \rho \eta v ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \chi^{\alpha \lambda[\epsilon] \pi \grave{\eta} \nu} M \alpha \hat{\imath} \rho \alpha \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \chi^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu\),
 \(\pi \lambda \eta ́ \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon ́ \alpha \iota s\) ơ \(\rho \tau v \gamma \epsilon s\) év \(\nu \in \phi \in ́ \lambda \alpha \iota s\).'





\section*{Fol. I recto. Plate II.}

\(\left\langle\eta^{3}\right\rangle \delta o \nu\) vं \(\mu \eta \nu \alpha i ́ o u s\) oủk \(\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda о \mu\) '́vous.
ой \(\sigma \epsilon\) סокє́ \(\omega\) т триойтоs, 'Aкóvтtє, vvктòs є́кєíl' \(\eta s\) \(\alpha \nu \tau i ́ \kappa \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta} s\) нiт \(\rho \eta s \eta^{\eta} \psi \alpha o \pi \alpha \rho \theta \in \nu i \eta s\)


 oïttvєs oủ \(\chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi o v ̂ ~ \nu \eta ́ \iota \delta e ́ s ~ \epsilon i \sigma t ~} \theta \in o \hat{v}\).

\(\delta \grave{\eta} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\prime \prime} \theta^{\prime} \quad \dot{v} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \quad \phi \hat{v} \lambda o \nu\) 'Aкоעтьá \(\delta \alpha \iota\)








\(60[\cdot] \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \nu \epsilon \nu \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \tau 0 \tau \epsilon \omega \nu \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha \xi \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota \epsilon\)
\(\zeta \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma \omega \nu \iota \rho \alpha \beta \circ \eta \delta \epsilon \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\)
\(\kappa \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma о \mu о v \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota, \epsilon_{\bullet} \sigma v v o \mu \alpha \delta^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \lambda о \beta \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \theta^{-}\) \(\stackrel{\mu}{\mu}\)
фогßоvк \(\alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \eta \sigma \iota \nu \iota \sigma \epsilon \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \omega \sigma\)

\(\left.{ }_{5} \tau \epsilon \lambda \chi \iota \nu \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \omega \nu \tau о \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \nu \tau \alpha \llbracket \sigma\right] \theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu\) \(\eta \lambda \epsilon \alpha \delta \eta \mu \omega \nu \alpha \kappa[[\alpha]] \tau \alpha \gamma \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \tau 0 \delta \epsilon \lambda \tau[\) \(\kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \rho \eta \nu \nu \mu \alpha \kappa \epsilon \lambda \omega \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \delta \epsilon \xi \iota \theta \epsilon \eta \sigma\)
 \(v \beta \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha \sigma \kappa \eta \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \iota \pi о \nu \alpha \theta \alpha \nu^{\prime} \alpha \tau о \iota\)
\(70 \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi о \lambda \eta \alpha \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \backslash \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \kappa[.] \eta \sigma\) \(\delta^{\prime}\)


 \(\alpha \sigma \tau v \rho o v \alpha \phi \rho \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \sigma \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \rho \eta[] \cdot]!0 \nu \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \delta \in \epsilon \in \in!\epsilon\)


\(\mu \nu \theta \circ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \nu \in \delta \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \pi \pi \eta \nu\) ov
\(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \alpha \iota 0 \cup \varsigma \eta \eta \nu 0 \sigma o \pi \iota \sigma \pi\). . . ! \(\theta \eta!\)
 \(\sigma\)

\section*{Fol. 2 verso. Plate III.}


[. . .] \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \rho \eta \sigma o v \sigma \epsilon \psi \epsilon v \delta o v[. ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~] ~ \mu \alpha \tau!~\)
\(\pi \alpha \nu \tau^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau[\cdot.] \epsilon \sigma \phi о \rho о \nu \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon . . . .[.\).\(] .\)

 \({ }^{\epsilon v}\)



\(Z \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \mathrm{\epsilon}\) є́ \(\pi i \quad \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi i \gamma \gamma \omega \nu\) ipà \(\beta\) oñ \(\delta^{\prime} \chi \in \tau \alpha t\)




 каì \(\gamma \rho \eta \hat{\nu} \nu\) Maкє入̀े \(\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon \rho \alpha \Delta \epsilon \xi \iota \theta \epsilon ́ \eta S\),




єǘкр \({ }^{\prime}\)







8o \(\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}\) ८. . \(\nu \eta \sigma\). кроитоvа . . . . . . . . . . s
ı 6 (?) leaves lost.
Fol. 2 verso. Plate III.


[. . .] \(\tau \in \rho \eta s\) ой \(\sigma \epsilon \psi \in v \delta o \nu[. . . . ..] \mu \alpha \tau \iota\)






 \(\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda t \mu \alpha \chi \nu \varphi[. . ..] \omega \nu \bar{\delta}\)
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>1 ] \(\quad\) >>>>>>>>>
 \(\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \mu \alpha \chi o v>\iota \alpha \mu[. .\).
\(\qquad\)
\(\alpha \kappa o v ́ \sigma \alpha \theta \ddot{i \pi} \pi \omega ́ \nu \alpha \kappa \tau \sigma \sigma[\cdot] v \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta \kappa \omega\)
] . . оцо
]. \(a \pi^{a}\)
]ar.

[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ]. . \({ }^{\ell l \nu}\)
].

Fol. 2 recto.
\(\rho \pi\).

. \(\phi \hat{\epsilon}!\kappa \epsilon \sigma\). [. . . . . . . \(] \pi о \theta \dot{v} \mu a \tau о \sigma \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi[\)




\(\sigma \grave{\omega} \pi \eta \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega \kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma{ }^{\prime}\)
\(\alpha, \eta \eta \beta \beta \alpha \theta v \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \sigma\) оv \(\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \eta \nu \alpha \xi \omega\)


 §





\(90 \quad K \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi o v\left[\right.\) Aití \({ }^{1} \omega \nu \delta\).

K \(\alpha \lambda \lambda t \mu a ́ \chi o v{ }^{\nu} I \alpha \mu[\beta o l\).



Fol. 2 recto.

```

\pii0av\omegaิs rù\nu
imara\oévra

```

```

...[..]..... . . i\lambdaols \epsilońv[.......] \pi\nuo\età\nu á\nua\lambda\omega\sigma\sigma\epsilon\iota
101 . . . . v[. . .]. \lambdaov тòv \tau\rhoiß\beta\omega\nu\alpha \gammav \mu\nu\omegá[\sigma . .
\sigma\omega\pi\grave{\eta} \gamma\epsilon\nu\epsiloń\sigma0\omega каi \gamma\rho\alpháф\epsilon\sigma0\epsilon т\età\nu \rhoि\etâ\sigmat\nu. ...o:[

```

```

    ...v.\sigma..[.]!'[....\imath\nu\epsilon, каì \gamma六\rho ov`\delta' \alphavंтòs
    ```



```

        0\epsilonoi \tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\hat{v}\nu[\tau\epsilonS . .]. as €}\pií\sigma\tau\alpha\nu\tau\alphal
    \eta̋\delta\eta к\alpha0\dot{[. . . . .] . \sigma\etá. . . \etá\mu\epsilon . \alpha , \nu....}
    ```



Fol. 3 verso.
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \dot{\xi} \xi \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \in \gamma \in \tau \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha\),

\(\epsilon \dot{\cup} \rho \epsilon \nu \delta^{\prime}\) о \(\pi \rho \circ \nu \sigma \in ́ \lambda \eta \nu \rho[\cdot] \alpha \iota \sigma\) í \(\omega \iota \sigma i ́ \tau \tau \eta\) opvєov
\(\epsilon \nu \tau 0 v \delta!\delta \cup \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \tau 0 \nu \gamma \epsilon \rho[\cdot] \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \omega \nu \eta \omega\)


\(125 \tau \rho\left[{ }^{1}.\right] \omega \nu \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \kappa[. . . ..] \pi \rho \bar{?} \tau о \sigma є ́ \gamma \rho[.] \psi \epsilon\)

\(\left.\tau \omega \nu \epsilon \mu \pi \nu \epsilon \sigma_{[.}.\right] \omega \nu \in[\)

\(\left.\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \delta_{[\cdot]}\right] \stackrel{\iota}{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ́ \phi \eta \sigma \epsilon\). [

ou \(\kappa\) [.] \(] \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \in \phi \in i \tau 0 \tau\) ov[
ઈоヘ̂. . .] \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tilde{v}^{\prime} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \sigma \circ \phi[\)

[........] ]бкím \(\omega \nu \iota \tau\) ขv้ \(\delta \alpha[\)


\(\sigma v \delta^{\prime} \epsilon[.] \cdot \epsilon \hat{\omega} v o \sigma \mu \eta \lambda \overline{[ }\)
\(\beta \iota \eta \sigma[\ldots . . . . .\).
\(\tau \omega \nu\).. . . . [. . . . .] тov̀s \(\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \stackrel{\not v}{\epsilon} \nu \theta \alpha\) тoùs \(\delta^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \theta \alpha\)




[..] тıиіо七s . [. . .]... [
\([\epsilon \epsilon] \pi \epsilon เ \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \notin[\)
[...] \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot[\)

Fol. 3 verso.
каì \(\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha ́ \xi \eta \varsigma\) '̀ \(\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau о \quad \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\)
120 тoùs \(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i ́ \sigma k o u s, \hat{\eta} \pi \lambda\) द́oval Фoívikєs.




125 т \(\rho[i \nmid \gamma] \omega \nu \alpha\) каi \(\sigma \kappa[\alpha \lambda \eta \nu \grave{\alpha}] \pi \rho \bar{\tau} \tau о{ }^{\epsilon} \epsilon \gamma \rho[\alpha] \psi \epsilon\)



\(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \eta ́[\mu] \omega \nu \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}\) ' \(\epsilon \phi \eta \sigma \epsilon\). [



\(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \cdot \kappa \eta \gamma \gamma \dot{\omega}\) бoì \(\delta i \delta \omega \omega\left[{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \nu\right.\).



\(\sigma \grave{\nu} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon[.\).\(] . \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu o s \mu \eta े \lambda o ́[\gamma\)
\(B i\langle\alpha\rangle s[. . . . . . . . . ..] \in L \lambda[\)

Fol. 3 recto.
\(\overline{\rho \pi \eta}\)



\(\omega \sigma \in \sigma\). . . . \(\sigma!? \frac{1}{0}\). . кот



t. T . . ..] . . [. . . . . . . . . . .] . . .








\(1_{55}\) [. . . . . . .] \(]\) доıккаเ
\([\ldots . . ..] \pi i \theta[\epsilon]] \sigma \theta \in \kappa \alpha, \gamma a \rho \eta\). [
. . . . . . . . .] . тatover.'фar'og . . . . . !

[. . . . . . . . . . . . 1 ] \({ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \sigma \epsilon[\).

Fol + verso.








Fol. 3 secto.



```

    @s द́ध . [.] . . \sigmaIV ó . . кsT.
    \circ}\mp@subsup{\ddot{c}}{}{\prime
    ```


```

    \epsilon- . . . . . . . . . . . . . s f
    ```

```

    [. . . . . . . . . .va . . . . . . apjovos ...园
    ```


```

    -. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ov . . єv%
    [. . . . . . . . .ov\sigma . \rho . . . . a\sigmaso . [. . .\ov
    ```


```

\mp@subsup{}{}{5}55 [............... rai 7é\uTos i

```





Fol. \(\div\) verso.
160 -ảai Kpóvou tois àvtita . . ot.
入éyouat kaí кws \(\because\) ? \(\because\) !mpevats. I





D 2
 ot[. . .] \(\tau \rho \alpha \gamma \omega \delta \circ \iota \tau \omega \nu \theta \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha y o![\)


 v



\({ }^{1} 75\) [. . . . . . .] \(]!\) ! \(\cdot \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma v \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \tau^{\prime} \epsilon[\cdot] \rho \mu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon\)
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] \(]_{\epsilon} \nu^{*}\) [ ] [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . [. . . . .] .
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .]โ! ! \(\sigma \alpha u ̀ \tau є \pi o ́ \imath \eta \sigma \epsilon v^{*}\)
180
.] \(] \in \rho \theta \in \delta \in!\kappa \in \tilde{I}\). \(\cdot 1 \theta a \downarrow\)



185

[. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . . ['] . . к. • ! \(\psi \eta\) • [.] . \(\sigma\)

Fol. 4 recto.
 \(\nu\) º' \(^{\circ}\)
\(190[. . . . . . ..] \cdot \rho \in \nu\left[\frac{\sigma}{\square}\right] \cdot \mu o \cdot \eta \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho\)
[. . .] . \(\alpha \nu \alpha[.] \nu v \nu o v \delta \in \pi v \rho \in \nu \alpha v o v \sigma!и\)


\([\cdots] \nu \nu \nu \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket \ell \rho \alpha \iota \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi[\cdot] \nu[. ..] \rho \alpha!\sigma \eta \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu\)
```

        \omega фi\lambdao\psiov \psi\iota\tau\tau\alphaкô \delta\epsilon[
    ```

```

    \epsilon'Xo[v]\sigma\iota ф\omega\nu\eta\eta\nu` oi \deltaछ̀ \piáv\tau\epsilonS. [
    170 каì \piov`\lambda]v́\muv0ol каì \lambdaá\lambdao\iota \pi\epsilon. [

```

```

    o \Sigma\a\rho\delta\iota\eta\nu\langleò)S \epsiloni\pi\epsilon\epsilon\nu,ö\nu\tau\iota\nu' oi }\Delta\epsilon\lambda\phio
    ```


```

175 [........]\alphav каì \sigmav̀ к\alphá\rho\tau' \epsilon'[\phio]\rho\mu\hat{\alpha}\sigma0\epsilon
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] }\epsilon\nu.
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . [. . . . ].
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] TוS \alphaü\tau' ध́\piоó\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu*
180

```

```

    . . . . . . . . . . . . .\\lambda\iota\sigmaт\iota \delta` оiкє\hat{v}\mu\in\nu
    ```


```

    [...............]v` oivvpaф\epsilonis \delta' \dot{v}\mu\hat{\imathv}
    185
[. . . . . . . . . . . .] \epsilońк\epsilonivos, \omega้\nu0\rho\omega\pito\iota
[. . . . . . . . . . . . .] . . [`] . . к . เ\psi\eta . [.] . s

```

Fol. + recto.


```

    kov ]. \(v\)
    $190[. . . . . . ..] \cdot \rho \in v[\llbracket]] \cdot \mu o \cdot \eta \mu \eta \dot{\tau} \eta \rho$
[. . .] . $\alpha \nu \alpha[.] \nu \hat{v} \nu$ ov̉d̀̀ $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ '̇vaíovaıv
oa

```




. v[.] . . [.] . . \(\stackrel{\epsilon!}{\delta^{\prime}}\). . [.] \(] \nu \kappa \rho \eta \gamma v \omega \sigma \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \nu\)














а́коv[.]§ŋŋтovaîvovє[
\(\delta \alpha \phi \nu \eta \nu \in \lambda \alpha ́ \iota \eta \nu \epsilon \epsilon[\)


315 む \(\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \sigma[\).\(] ? o v \sigma o ́ \rho \pi \eta \kappa[\)
of? . .] . . \(\mu \eta\). \(\eta \phi \eta[\)
[.] . . [.] [.єор . . ouva .

Fol. 5 verso.
\([,] \omega \sigma\)


© \(ب[\) [. . ] \(\epsilon\)

\(\tau \iota \sigma \delta^{\prime}\) оv \(\mu \epsilon \mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota \sigma \eta े \tau i \sigma \sigma v \theta v \tau \eta \rho \epsilon \in \lambda \kappa \epsilon \iota\)


```

            195 к\alphaì \gamma\alpha\mu\betapo[, 白]\pi\lambda\omegaिs \epsilon... фì\lambda[..\nu 0\epsiloń\sigma0\alpha\iota
    ```

```

                            \beta.[...... .v[.] . [.]ov\sigma\alpha \tau\omega\dot{\gamma\alphaOòv \beta\lambda\epsiloń\psi\alpha\alpha}
                                    [........].. к\alphai 0\epsilonov̀s \alphà\pi\rho\eta\gamma\epsilonv̂\nu\tau\alpha\iota
                                    .........]. \muo\chi0\eta\rhoòs द̀ '\xiєк\nu\eta}\mu\omega\sigma\sigma
    ```


```

        [.\rho\rhoú\pitov[\sigma .]. v\lambdaov \゙ \piov\etá\rho' \alphȧ\sigma\epsilon\lambda\gamma\alphaiv\in!\nu
    ```

```

        \rho\eta.\epsilon\ell . . \epsilontv.. v\nu кo. aplos t's Mov́\sigmaas
    ```



```

.va\lambda[.]...[... [
\pi\alpha\rho[. . . . ] \eta["
210 \grave{\eta}\mu\epsiloǹ\nu \pi\epsilon[\sigma]\epsilonil\nu \epsilońк

```

```

            \deltaá\phi\nu\eta\nu \epsiloń\lambda\alphaí\eta \nu\inî[кos oi \pi\alphá\lambda\alpha\iota Av\deltaoi
            \lambda\epsiloń\gammaоv\sigma\iota 园园, к\alphal \gamma\grave{\alpha}[\rho \hat{\eta}\nu \tau\alpha\nuv́\pi\tauо\rho0о\nu
            \kappa\alpha\lambdaò\nu \tau\epsilon \delta'\epsilon}\varphi\delta\rhoo[
        2!5 \sigma\epsiloní\sigma\alpha\sigma[\alpha] \tauov̀s ö\rho\pi\eta\kappa[\alphas
            o\delta . .] . . }\mu\eta.\eta\phi\eta`\sigma
            [.] . . [.] véov . . ouv\alpha . [
    ```

Fol． 5 verso．
 on \(\delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \xi\) òs \(\tau \grave{\alpha}[\pi] o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}\) rv \(\mu \nu o u ̂ \tau \alpha \iota\) ．











 \(\epsilon \pi \eta \nu \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\omega} \pi \sigma\) ó \(\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \sigma \sigma \iota \rho \alpha \gamma i \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota\)


\({ }^{2} 35 \alpha\left[\right.\). .] \(\eta \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota \mu \iota \kappa o \partial \nu \pi \alpha \tau \llbracket!\epsilon \nu \rrbracket \epsilon \in \hat{\nu} \sigma \iota{ }^{\prime} \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \circ!\) [,]
\(\iota \rho \eta \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota \mu \iota \sigma \sigma \iota \hat{\delta}_{\epsilon} \chi \omega \pi \sigma \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \nu \in \kappa \rho \circ \nu\)
\(\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \iota \nu \eta[\cdot \cdot \cdot] \phi[\cdot] \pi \epsilon \rho เ \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon![\)
\(\alpha \nu \tau \circ!\tau^{`} \alpha \nu \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \psi[. . . ..] \dot{u} \pi о \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \in \nu \rho \alpha\)

\(240 \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \delta \alpha \nu \kappa \epsilon \cup[\cdot] \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \eta \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu[\)
\(\mu \alpha \lambda^{\prime} \alpha \tau \rho \epsilon \mu \epsilon \omega \sigma \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa о \nu \sigma \alpha \tau 0 \chi \rho \iota \mu\) [
\(\omega \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \ldots \quad \tau \omega \nu \in \mu \omega \nu \tau \circ \kappa[\)
\(\epsilon \nu \tau \eta \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa \nu \kappa \nu \circ \sigma[\)
\(\eta \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \sigma \circ \underline{\varphi}\left[{ }^{\prime}\right]\). . . \(\eta \kappa \alpha \mu о \iota \mu[\)
\(2_{45}\) єर̣ต \(\omega \in \nu \alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha \sigma o v \sigma \alpha \rho \eta[\)
\(\sigma v \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega \mathrm{X} \stackrel{\nu}{[ }\)

[. . . .] \(\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup к \eta \nu \dot{\eta} \nu \iota \kappa \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ф о \nu \tau \eta \theta \eta \nu\)



\(\epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \omega \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \epsilon v \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta s\)
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \tau 0 \cup \tau 0 \kappa \omega \sigma \alpha \in \theta \lambda\) оvovк \(\epsilon \gamma \omega \kappa \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma \omega \nu\)
\(\stackrel{y}{3}\)

\({ }^{255}\) ! \(!\tau \tau \sigma[.] \sigma \iota \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi 0 \iota \sigma \cdot \alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \varphi \eta \sigma \omega \pi \eta\).









\(\left.\check{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu \quad \in \lambda \alpha_{[ }^{\prime} \hat{\imath}\right] \eta, \pi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \delta^{\prime}\) oúXi \(\gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \omega\), oú \(\delta^{\prime}\) oî \(\delta^{\prime}\) óк[oí \(] \nu \nu\) oú \(\lambda \alpha \phi \eta \phi\) ópos ка́ \(\mu \pi \tau \epsilon!\),

 \(\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma \iota ~ к \alpha i \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \ddot{\eta}[\tau \alpha ́] \phi[\omega] \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota[\nu\), \(\alpha u ่ \tau o i ́ ~ \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \psi[\alpha \nu \tau o \quad \chi] \hat{v} \pi \grave{o} \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \rho \grave{\alpha}\)


\(\mu \alpha ́ \lambda ’ \alpha \tau \rho \epsilon \mu \alpha i ́ \omega s\) ท̀ \(\tau \epsilon \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha\) тò \(\chi \rho i ̂ \mu[\alpha\).
' \(\hat{\omega} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau\) ’ \({ }^{\circ} \kappa \nu \theta_{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) ' \(\mu \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\) то́к[ \([\omega \nu \quad \delta \alpha ́ \phi \nu \eta\),

\(\eta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha s\) ov'.']. . . \(\eta \kappa \alpha\) ноє \(\mu_{\text {I }}\)






... \(\epsilon \ldots \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \grave{v}\) тoîs \(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu\)



\({ }^{2} 55 \ddot{\eta}\) ' \(\nu\) тo[̂̂] \(\sigma \iota \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi 0 i ̂\); \(\alpha^{\lambda} \lambda \lambda\) ' "̈ \(\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \pi \dot{\eta}\).
\(\epsilon \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau\) оעоит \(\epsilon \sigma \epsilon \gamma \rho \nu\} \omega\)
\(\alpha \pi \eta \nu \epsilon \sigma o v \delta \in \nu \cdot \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \eta \theta \epsilon \llbracket!] \sigma \circ \rho \nu \iota \theta \epsilon \sigma\)
[.] \({ }^{2} \tau \operatorname{l}\)
€ \(\delta\)
\(\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha!\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \omega \tau \iota \lambda \cdots \sigma \cdot \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha \iota\).
\(260 \tau \iota \sigma \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \cup \rho \in \delta \alpha \phi \nu \eta \nu \gamma \underset{.}{ } \neq \alpha\). [. .] . . . [. . . \(] \sigma\)

Fol. 5 recto.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { агทрофьттауєр } \theta \in v а \mu ф \iota т \eta \sigma а к т \eta \sigma
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \omega \sigma \pi \rho เ \nu \circ \nu \omega \sigma \delta \rho v \nu \omega \sigma \kappa v \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \omega \sigma \hat{\nu} \lambda \eta \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \iota \kappa \eta \nu
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \alpha \phi \nu \eta \nu \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \eta \delta \in \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \eta \nu \in \nu \rho \in \nu
\end{aligned}
\]
\(\tau \cdot \cdot] \tau \eta \sigma \delta \alpha \phi \nu \eta \sigma о к \alpha \rho \pi о \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau!\chi \rho \eta \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota\)
\({ }^{\sigma}\)
\(\epsilon\)
\({ }^{2} 70 \mu \eta \tau^{\prime} \in \theta \epsilon \mu \eta \eta \tau \in \pi \epsilon \in!\varphi \in \mu \eta \tau^{\prime} \in \pi \iota \chi \rho \iota \sigma \eta \sigma \sigma\)
เт
\(\omega \varphi \sigma \epsilon[. ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \nu ~ \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma t \nu \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \tau o \chi \rho t \mu \alpha\)
\(\epsilon \nu\left[\right.\). . .] . \(v \mu \beta \underset{\alpha}{\alpha}\). \({ }_{\eta}^{\eta} \nu \epsilon \pi \underset{\sim}{\alpha}\). . . \(\underset{\sim}{\omega} \omega \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \sigma\)
[. . ] \(] \varphi \varphi[.\). .]ovт \(\theta \eta \mu \iota \tau \eta \delta \alpha \phi \nu \eta \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha\).
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \phi \in \nu \tau \omega \nu \alpha \tau \rho \nu \tau \omega \nu 0 \iota \alpha \kappa \omega T!\lambda \iota \zeta \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [. . . .] } \rho \tau о \pi \rho \epsilon \mu \nu \circ \nu \delta \eta \lambda \iota \circ \iota \phi \nu \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma 0 v \sigma t
\end{aligned}
\]



\(\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota ~ к а ́ \theta \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ к \omega т i ̂ \lambda ~ . ~ . ~ \sigma ~ . ~ . ~ є v ิ \sigma \alpha ц . ~\)


Fol. 5 recto.





тís т̀̀ \(\nu\) є́ \(\lambda \alpha i ́ \eta \nu ~ \tau i ́ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~[\tau] \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta \alpha ́ \phi \nu \eta \nu ~ \tau \iota \mu \hat{a}\);

\(\xi u v o ̀ v ~ \tau o ́ \delta ~ a u ̉ z \alpha i ̂ s, ~ \theta \epsilon o u ̀ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o u ̉ ~ \delta ı a к \rho i v a . ~\)
\(\tau[i ́ s] \tau \hat{\eta} s\) ס́á \(\nu \nu \eta s \dot{o}\) картós; '̇s \(\tau i ́ \chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \iota\);









\([\tau \epsilon \hat{v} \gamma\rceil \grave{\alpha} \rho\) тò \(\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \nu 0 \nu \Delta\) й \(\lambda \iota o l ~ \phi u \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu \sigma_{i} ;\)
```

280 [. . . ${ }^{2} \eta \sigma \epsilon \lambda \alpha \iota \eta \sigma \stackrel{\downarrow}{\eta} \kappa[. . . . ..] \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \lambda \eta \tau \omega$
[....] . oıто入ıтаıк[. . . . .] $\sigma \iota \tau \omega \delta \eta \mu \omega$

```

```

            \(\iota\)
        \(\therefore . . ..] \alpha \theta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \nu \iota \kappa \sigma \sigma \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \iota \eta\)
    ```



```

    [. . . . . . . . . . . . .] vov \(\epsilon \pi \iota \alpha[.] \nu \in!\sigma\)
    [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . \(\eta \mu t \tau \eta \nu \delta \alpha \phi \nu \eta \nu\)
        \(\theta \mu\)
    \(\omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \tau \eta \delta^{\prime} \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \mu \nu \theta \circ \sigma \alpha \mu \phi \iota \eta \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon!\)
    \(\underset{\gamma}{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\square}\)
    $290 \eta \gamma \cdot \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon \zeta 0 \nu \delta^{\prime} \eta \tau 0 \pi \rho 0 \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \ldots[..\} \nu$
[. .]фєчто入о!тоขєєко . єбтоуочт . [. .] .

```

```

    \(\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \xi \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \circ \cup \kappa \alpha \pi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu\)
    ovк' \(\omega \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \iota \pi \alpha v \sigma o \mu \in \sigma \theta \alpha \mu \eta \lambda \epsilon \ell \eta \nu\)
    $295 \gamma \epsilon \nu \rho \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon X \theta \rho \alpha \iota \sigma \mu \eta \lambda \epsilon \cdot \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \alpha \sigma$

```

```

    \(\left.\tau \eta \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \rho![\cdot] \sigma \phi \alpha \nu \in!\sigma \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \rho \circ \sigma \eta \delta \alpha \phi \nu!\right]\)
    \(\epsilon \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi \in \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \delta є \iota \pi \epsilon \nu \omega \kappa \alpha \kappa \eta \lambda \omega \beta \eta\)
    \(\omega \sigma \delta \eta \mu!\eta \mu \epsilon \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \nu \mu \eta \mu \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \iota\)
    ```

```

    [....] . боинафо九ßоvоv \(\mu \alpha є \sigma \pi о \iota \nu \alpha \nu\)
    ```


Fol． 6 verso．

［．．．．］upaкка！\(\gamma\) р \(\alpha\) ．［
305 ［．．．．vขоує ．．．oßpp ．［
［．．．．．］．кa！．［．］\(!^{\prime} \circ!\sigma \epsilon[\)
［．．．．．］єк \(\quad\) vт \(\alpha \theta \eta \kappa[\)




［．．．．．］\(\alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}\) ка入入ívıкos \(\dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha i ́ \eta\) ．
［．．．．．．．．］．ф \(\alpha \iota \epsilon \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \eta ่ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ o ̈ \pi \lambda \omega \nu\)
285 ［．．．．．．．．．．．］．．\(\tau \epsilon \rho \eta \nu \nu t \nu\) aivєĩat
［．．．．．．．．．．．］］גıкоv \(\tau \in\) коí \(\mu \alpha ́ v \tau є \iota s\)
．．．．．．．．．．．．．\(] \nu\) oűтє \(\pi \iota \alpha[\imath ̂] \nu \in \iota \varsigma\)
［．．．．．．．．．．．．ф］\(\eta \mu i\) т \(\grave{\nu} \nu ~ \delta a ́ \phi \nu \eta \nu\). ．


［．．］фє̂̀ тò 入oוтò̀ єıкo ．єбтovovt ．［．．］．

 ＇ov́к，育 \(\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \iota, \pi \alpha v \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha, \mu \grave{\eta}\) 入í \(\eta \nu\)




 \(300 \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \circ \nu, \hat{\eta} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau 0 \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \nu \dot{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota s\).
 \([. . . \sigma] v \mu \beta \alpha \lambda o . . . . \epsilon v \epsilon \ldots \mu^{\prime}\) àтокт［єtข ．．．

Fol． 6 verso．
```

[. . . . . . <<\epsilon! . . \delta . [
[.....]v\rho\alpha к\alpha\grave{\imath\rho\alpha}. [

```
\(3 \circ 5\)［．．．．vvovє ．．．opp ．［

［．．．．］\(] \kappa \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta_{\eta} \quad \kappa\)＜

```

    [. . . .]o!\sigma\alpha\mu[.]\sigma0ov . . [
    зг [.....].. [.]\nuк\alpha . . є.[
[... .]ov\chi\iota\muо\nuо\nuє\xi\eta[
[. . . .]v\sigma\tauра\gamma\omega\deltaov\sigma\alpha\lambda\lambdaa\kappaọ[
[. . . . .]\epsilon\nu\tau\alpha\mu\epsilon\tau\rhoо\nuоv\chi'а . . . |[. . .] . \nu
[. . . . . . . . .] . . . . . . . . . . | [. .]kpov\sigma\epsilon
315 [. . . . .]\tau\epsilon\rho\omega\nu . . \rho\alpha\rho\rho[. .] . . [. . .]ov\sigma\iota

```

```

        \lambda\epsilon
    ```

```

    [. . . .] . тауо\iota\nuоук\alpha\iota\chi . . . . . \nuє\pi\lambda\alpha\sigma\sigma0\eta
    [. . . . ]|¢\epsilon\rho\eta\mu\epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon . . \alpha\gamma\alpha\rho\rho . [. .]кєL\nuov\sigma
    320 [. . . . .] . \alpha\sigma\eta\gamma\alpha\pi\eta\sigma\alpha\nu\alpha|\tau\alpha . . . \alphav\tau\eta

```

```

                x
    [. . . .]v\alphaot\delta\alpha!к\alpha!!\muє\lambda\eta\eta . . \tau\alpha\pi\̣v\gamma . \eta[. .]
    ```

```

    \eta!\deltaov\lambdaо\nu\epsilon!\nu\alpha!ф\eta\sigma!к\alpha!\pi\alpha\lambda!!\mu\pi\rho\eta\tauо\nu
    ```

```

    \omega\sigmaточка\rho... [. . . . . .] . \\chi . . . к\rho[.].
    \phiаv\lambdaо\iota\sigmaо\mu[.]\epsilon![[. . . . .] . v\pi[.][\rho\epsilon\pi\tau\eta\sigma\alpha\nu\nu
    к\alphay\tau\alpha\iota\tau\rhoо\mu\epsilonv[. . . .]\etaк\alphaк . \sigma\alphaкоv\sigma\omega\sigma\sigmat
    \tauои\delta'оу\nuєкоу . [. . . . . ] . . [. . . .] . \iota\mu\eta!'
    330 єкабто\sigmaак\rhoо![
. .]k\nuL}\epsilonL
\omega\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\epsilon\lambda\alpha\iota\eta\sigma[. . . . . . . . . . .]к\etaT\eta
\mu\eta0. [. .] . €XX[. . . . . . .] . . [. .]\epsilont\delta\omega\sigma
ov\tau[. . . . .] . к\proptoֻ . . yov . [. .]\sigma\iota\sigmav\mu\mu!\xiฺ\alpha\sigma
\epsilon\phi€\sigmaо\nu0}\mp@subsup{0}{\epsilon}{\prime}\nu
335\tau\alpha\chi\omega\lambda\alpha\tau!к\tau\epsilon!\nu\mu\eta\alpha\mu\alpha0\omega\sigma\epsilon\nu\alphavo\nu\tau\alpha\iota

```

Fol. 6 recto.

```

    [. . . .]ots \dot{\alpha}\mu[i]\sigma0ov .. [
    3го [.....]..[.]\nu ка.. є. [
[. . . .] ov́Xi \muo\langlev\ranglevov '́ }\xi\eta
[....]vs т\rhoа\gamma\omega\deltaov̀s à\lambda\lambda\grave{\alpha ко[}
[. . . \pi \epsilonv\tau\tau\alphá\mu\epsilon\tau\rhoov ờ\chi \dot{\alpha}... [. . .j.v
. . . . . . . . . .] . . . . . . . . . . . . [. \epsiloń]кроv\sigma\epsilon
315 [. . . . ]r\epsilon\rho\omega\nu . . \rho\alpha\rho . .] . . [. . .ov\sigmat
[. . . .] . . ро\sigma . . \lambdao\nu \lambda . . . . [.] . . . at к[\epsiloń]]\rho\deltaos
[. . .]..\nu \gamma\grave{\alpha}\rho\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsiloǹs }\mu\epsilon\chi\rho\mathrm{ . ..] }\mu\alpha
[. . .]. т\alpha \gamma' oì\nuov к\alphaì X . . . . . \nu\epsilon\pi\lambda\alphá\sigma0\eta

```

```

320 [. . . .]. as \età\gamma\alphá\pi\eta\sigma\alphal \alphai \tau\alpha ... \alphav\tau\eta
[.... ả]o\iota\deltaòs \epsilońs кє́\rho\alphas \tau\epsilon0v́\mu\omega\tau\alpha\&
[. . . .]\nu \alpháot\delta\alphai каì \mu\epsiloń\lambda\eta . . \tau\alpha\piv\gamma . . \eta[. .]
[. . . . .]. \delta[.] . \eta\tau\alpha\iota \tau\età\nu \xíє\nu\eta\nu \alphȧ\nu\alphaкрí'є\iota
\etaे\nu \deltaov̂\lambdaov \epsiloniv\alphaí \phi\eta\sigma\iota к\alphai \pi\alpha\lambdaí\mu\pi\rho\eta\tauо\nu,

```

```

    \omega\sigma\tau' ои`к \dot{\alpha}\rho . .\lceil. . . . . .]. `\chi . . . . к\rho[.].
    \phi\alphaú\lambdaots ó\mu\mu[\lambda]\inT. . . . . ] . \nu \pi}~\alpha]\rhoé\pi\tau\eta\sigma\alpha
    ```

```

    \tauov̂\delta' oṽ\nu\epsilon\kappa' ov̉ . [. . . . . .] . . [. . . .] . \\mu\eta\nu
    ```


```

    \mu\eta0 . [. .] . \epsilon\chi0[. . . . . . . .] . . [. .] \epsiloni\deltaळ̀s
    ov̈\tau' ['̇\sigma . . .]. к\alpha . . vov . [. .]\sigma\iota \sigmav\mu\mui\xi\alphas
    ```



Fol. 6 recto.
```

    . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . . [.]r' . 
    [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . . . . . v[
    [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . . \alphat . . [
    ```
```

    [ . . . . . . . . . . . . . ]. . v!\sigma\piत\ell\ell\nu\sigma\alpha\iota
    340

```

```

    [. . . . . . . . . . . . . \delta. . \tau^\chi . ọxo!
    [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . . . %[.]T\\eta\nuE[
    [..................]. \mu\piоג.. v. [
    345 [. . . . . .] . . . . .\pi\. . . . .]v\sigma!\sigmav\mu\mu!\xi{\alpha\sigma

```

```

    оит'є\sigma | [ . ] . . . \epsilon@ . . . . . . . [.] . . [
    \epsilonф\epsilon\sigmaорv0[. . .] . or![.]\alpha . . [. .]p[.]\mu\in\\]
    \tauа\chi\omega\a\tau!к[]]!!! . \eta\alpha\muа0\omega\sigma\epsilon\\alphav[
    ```

```

    \epsilonIT'O\nu\nu\epsilon\tau . . [.] . \alpha\rhoX@!ov\epsilon!\tau'\alpha\mu\alpha\rhoT\eta[
    ```


```

    \tau[.] . \mu!\chi\rho!\tau . . \mu . . \alpha\iotaф\iota\lambdaо\iota\sigma . \epsilon\delta\eta\sigma[
    355 к[.] . vov\nu\epsilon . . . v\epsilonү\chiєоv\sigma!\tau\eta\nu[

```

```

    . [..] . \delta\eta\pi[![\]|\sigma\omega\sigmav\pi! . . . [.] . . . . \lambda\alpha\muov\sigma\alphat
    ov . . . . . \tauа\iotaк\alpha[. .] . [.] . [. .] . . \eta\nu . . \mu[.]
    ```

```

360
a\mu . . . . . . . . . [. . . . . ]\etap . . \tau\in\sigma\pi\alpha[.] . .
Ov\tau\epsilon<br>lambda. . [. . . . ] . . [. . . . .] . є\tau\alpha\sigma\muоv\sigma\alpha\sigma

    \omega . кпр\[[ . . . . . . . . . .] . . \muє\tauроркоча\sigma
    єчта.. [.. . . . . . . . . . .]. \nuєрvкочоби
    ```


```

    \sigmav\pi\epsilonข\tau\alpha\mu\epsilon\tauрабv\vartheta\tau!0\epsilon!\sigmav . . [. . . ].
    \sigmav\deltaє\tau\rhoа\gamma\omegaठо[. .] . . . . . єк\lambda\eta\rho@\omega . .
    ```

```

                . vis m\lambda\epsilon\hat{v}\sigma\alphat
    340
] . a\lambdaov [.] . v\eta\rho \delta . . [
.]v\tau\eta . o \mu\iota\muv[
}. \tau\imath\chi - оико[
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . . . \delta[.]\pi\eta\nu\epsilon[
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . \mu\pio\lambda . . v .
\sigma\pi

```

```

    ov้\tau}\mp@subsup{\tau}{}{\prime}\epsilon\sigma[. .] . . . \epsilon\omega
    ```

```

    \tau\grave{\alpha}}\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{\omega\lambda\grave{\alpha}}
    350 \alpha}\lambda\lambda\mp@subsup{\lambda}{}{\prime}\epsilon\check{l}\tau| 0v\mu.{.]\nu\epsilon.. . \gamma\alpha\sigma\tau\epsiloń\rho\alpha \piv\epsilonv\sigma .[

```

```

    \tauоvि'` ' }\mu\pi[\epsilon]\pi\lambda\epsilonко́\sigma\iota каi \lambda\alpha\lambdaо\hat{v}\sigma[
    'I\alpha\sigma\taui каi }\Delta\omega\rho!\sigma\taui к\alphai \tauò \sigmav́\mu\mu\iotaк[\tauо
    ```


```

    \omega. . . \epsilonl véov \delta\ell̀ \tau\etaví\chiX 白\psi\alpha . . [.]\epsilon\tau[
    \etav
    . [.] . \delta\tilde{\eta} \tauts ís vi\pit . . . [.] . . . . \lambda\alpha Mov̂\sigma\alphat
    ov . . \nu . . . \tau\alpha\iota к\alpha[. .] . [.] . [. .] . . \eta\nu . . \mu[.].
    . ą. . .] \alphav . . . . \sigma[. . . . . . . .] . \rho\eta \rho}\hat{\rho
    360 а\mu . . . . . . . . . . [. . . . . '\eta\nu . . \tau\epsilon\sigma\pi\alpha\alpha[.]
ov\tauє\lambda\lambda.[......]..[......]. є \tauа̀s Mov́\sigmaаs
\omega.к\etâ\rho \lambda[. . . . . . . . . . ] . . \mu'́т\rhoo\nu кó\psiаs
\epsiloǹv \piа . . [. . . . . . . . . . .] .v é\rhov́коv\sigma\iotav
ка\lambda\grave{\alpha}s \lambda\epsilon[. . . . . . . . . . . .]lv \dot{\rho}\epsilon\hat{v}\nu\tau\alpha\iota
365 \tauו\sigma . \mu\epsilon\nu \alphavं . [. . .] . \delta\epsilon . . \epsilon \epsilon\rho\delta[. . . .]\eta
\sigma\grave{v}\pi\epsilon\nu\tau\alphá\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\alpha \sigmav\nu\taui0\epsilonis í .. . [. . .].
\sigma\grave{v}}\delta\grave{\epsilon} \tau\rhoа\gamma\varphi\deltaо'. .] . . . . . \epsilonк\lambda\eta\rho\omega ..
\deltaок\epsiloń\omega \mu

```

Fol. 7 recto.


Fol. 7 recto.
```

    [......]er \gamma\grave{\alpha}\rho[
                \betaaívol \pió\lambda\epsilon!s
    370 [. . . .. .' Xov
[. . . . .] \mu'́\sigma\sigma\omega к . [
[.....] єv̉\rho\epsiloní\etas к[
]. oư\rho\epsilon\alpha \beta\lambdaє́\pi\epsilon\iota
]. \sigma\tau\epsilon\nu\eta\sigma\sigma\nu\sigma\alpha\lambda\eta. [
].. x \ovòs [
[. .]0\epsilon\tau' oúX \dot{v}\mu\epsilon\hat{i}v a[
к\alphaì 0\epsiloń\mu\epsilonv каi \pia[

```

```

    фарнако....].. vало . . [
    \epsiloń\sigma\tau\iota\nu oik[. .] . . . . a\psi\epsilon\epsilon[.]\in\nu \lambda\epsiloń\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu [
    каì \tauафо[. . .]\nu к[.]. ta \gammaו\nu\omegá\sigmaк\epsilon\iota\nu [
    \phi\eta\sigmai к\alphai \pi\alphaт\rhoò[s .] . . v кт\epsilonív\epsilont\nu o!
    380 тои้\nu\epsilonк` àv \tau\eta.[....]\pi\rhoov \alphai 0\epsilon\alphai \lambda[

```

```

    \deltaov̂\sigma\alpha \tau\hat{\eta} \mu\iotaкк\hat{\eta} \tau\iota \tau\eta .. \eta\nuа\iota\mu\epsilon.[
    ```

```

    \epsilon\beta\deltaó\mu\eta\nu \sigma. [.].\piа\tauрòs ка..... [
    ```

```

    [[.....]. Tis \pi\alpha . [
    \tau\epsilon . [.]. . а \tau\iota\mu\eta\S \epsilon'\sigma\tau[
    Z\epsilon\grave{v}[s] \pi\alpha\tau\grave{\eta}\rho ov̉ \phi\alphav̂\lambda . . . [. . . . .ok[
    \pi0\lambda\lambda\grave{\alpha}\tau\epsilon\chi\nu\eta
    390 \pi\alphaí\chi\nu[\iotaa] T\rho\iota\tau\omega\nuis \etă\nuє\gammaк\in\nu ко́\rho\eta [
\pio\lambda\lambda\grave{\alpha} [.] . . . . וov \sigma[\kappa]v\lambda\muòs av̉\chi\epsilońvo[s
\epsilonкк \tau\epsilon \tau\hat{\s . [. . . . . . . . .] . . \etas á\lambdaòs [}
к\alphaì \tauò \tauv\gamma[XX́\nu\epsilonlv . . . . . .].\sigma. [
\epsilon[.]\pi\nu . . . [. .]\etav . [
395\pi\alphat\chi\nuto . [. .]v\epsilon... \epsilons \epsilońк\beta\alpha\lambda\lambda[ ]

```

```

    \rho!\eta\deltaí\omegas a[...] . [.a<\delta . . . [. . .] \iota. [
    \piо\lambda\lambda\grave{\alpha}ка\grave{ [. .] . . [.]. \etal}
    \eta \sum\alphá\betaov \mu[.]. av [
    ```

```

    \pi\alpha\iota\deltaòs \eta
    ```
```

        ]
    ```
        ]
        ]
        ]
        ]...
        ]...
        ] . p[.\lambda.. . \epsilon
        ] . p[.\lambda.. . \epsilon
        ]\eta\pi\epsilon\nu \deltaак\rho.
        ]\eta\pi\epsilon\nu \deltaак\rho.
        ]\lambda\eta|\sigma\tau\eta.[....]
```

        ]\lambda\eta|\sigma\tau\eta.[....]
    ```
```

    \iota\pi\pio\sigma\alpha\sigma\tau\epsilon[ ] . v\nuк\rhoо ...
    \kappa\omega\sigma\epsilon\chi\omega\tau\alpha\lambda[[ . . . ].v€..
    \piа\nuтака[ a!! ]..[........]\epsilon .[.]\omega\nu
    ```

```

\tau\alpha\sigma.`..]\mu\epsilon[ ] \psi \psi\epsilon\iota.[
оу\pi[! ]\alphav\mu% • [
]o\sigmaot\sigma!kv[...]'
]\omega\sigmat . . . . . [. .....]
]a\lambda\lambda\eta\lambda0!\sigma\epsilon!\eta\sigma

```

Fol． 7 verso．


410 ］\(\quad \circ เ \pi \nu \theta \omega \cdot \circ \sigma \alpha \rho \tau[\)

］！T€••［
```

                ]
    ]. \epsilon'\mu\epsilon\rho[
        ]\sigma\omega.. [
        ]v\pi0Y\eta[
        ]!\rhoє\sigma\tau\iota\sigmao!
                                ]тoryє\iotaT\rho!тova
                            ]\sigmaot\delta'v\pi о\rhoофоt
                            \epsilon\phi[..] . \xi\omega\tau[.]$\epsilon
    ] . . . . кot\sigma.vav\tauo[. . .] . !\nu
]}\omega\mp@subsup{\delta}{}{\prime}\alpha\lambda\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\tau\iota\nuO[.] . \eta\sigma[. . . '\omega

```

```

] . a!\sigma\tau\epsilon . . ! ! . [.] . . . \beta@o<br>alpha
]а\chi\rhov\sigmaо\nu}\mu\in\varphi[.....] . . киvє\sigma
]0є\nu\mu\nu\rho\mu\etaкє\sigma. . [. .]\sigma\iota\piтє\rhoо\iota\sigma
]\v\sigma\iota\sigmaкаוфаv\lambda.0voוк\eta\sigmaєוסо\muоv
]\sigma\alpha\rho\chi\alpha\iotaov\sigma 每\alphaт!\mu\eta\sigma\epsilon![! . . . ]\sigma
] . \sigma!りк\alphaкп!! . . . [.] . v . \alpha . a!

```

```

                                    ]. є\sigmaто⿱亠乂\alpha`.]![. . . .]
    ```

```

        ]\epsilonp[. . . . . .] . . кр: . 
    ```

```

        ]. \tau\alpha[.]\piа\iota\delta\iotaка\lambda\lambda\iota\sigma\tau\eta\deltao\sigma!\sigma[] ]
    ```

```

    ]o\gammaor.]\<\alpha\iota\rho\omega\sigmau[[.]\rho\pi\alpha, [. .] . .
    ```
425
4.30
ím \(\pi\) os \(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon\)［
\(\kappa \hat{\omega} \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \chi^{\omega} \tau \alpha \lambda[\) \(\pi a ́ v \tau \alpha\) к \([\quad] \alpha![\)
405 іруатаıб．［
\(\tau \alpha \sigma \cdot[.]^{7} \mu \in[\)
or \(\quad\)［
aıo．［
．\(\psi \in \iota\) ．

```

］．．vукро ．．
]. \nu\epsilon . .
].. [. . . . . . .]\epsilon. [.] }\omega
]o\sigmaot\sigma\iota кv[. . .]
\omega\sigmat . .... [. . . .]

```


Fol． 7 verso．


410 ］гоя ПúӨ由vos арт［
 ］\(\iota \pi \epsilon \ldots\)［
    n
    ]. \(\epsilon v \mu \in \rho[\)
        ] \(\sigma \omega\). . [
    lv \(\pi 0 \nu \eta\) [

    ]rov \(\gamma^{\prime}\) єi tpímous
                                    ]s oi \(\delta\) ' \(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}} \pi \dot{\omega} \rho о ф о \iota\)
］．．．．ко ．
 ］\(\omega \delta^{\prime} \not \ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \tau \omega \nu[\).\(] ． \eta \sigma\left[. . .{ }^{\gamma} \omega \nu\right.\)
 ］．\(\alpha t \sigma \tau \epsilon \ldots \nu \cdot[\cdot] \ldots \beta o \lambda \alpha\)
 \(\theta \in \nu \quad \mu v ́ \rho \mu \eta \kappa є s\) ．．．\(] \sigma t \pi \tau \in \rho o i ̂ s\)

 ］．\(\sigma \iota \nu\) какฑ̀ \(\nu \delta \ldots[\cdot] \cdot v \cdot a \cdot \alpha t\) ］．．\(\nu \tau \in \varsigma ~ \alpha ٌ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o l \pi o ́ \nu \varphi\) ］．єбтоע ка［．］T［．．．．］ ］\(\sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \rho \in \overline{i v}\) סот \(\cdot[\)

 ］．та［．］паıঠi ка入入í \(\tau \tau \eta\) סóбts
 \(\lambda]\) ó \(\gamma o[s] \chi^{\alpha i \rho \rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu}[.] \rho \pi \alpha,[.] ..\).
```

$435 \cdot$.
. $\delta$
$01 \delta^{\prime} \epsilon[$
$\omega \nu$. [
т...]. [
440 т $\epsilon\}[\cdot] \mu a![$
$\epsilon \iota{ }^{\prime} \alpha \nu \alpha \xi!\eta \pi[$
a . . . . To • TT[

```


```

445 Ө $\quad \eta \sigma \in \tau[\cdot] \cdot[.] \cdot$ [
х $\rho \eta \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega[. \cdots] \omega[\cdot] \tau \epsilon \rho[$

```


```

$\bar{\mu} \bar{\alpha}$

```

Unplaced Fragments.
Fr. 1 recto. Fr. I verso. Fr. 2 recto. 2 verso.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ]ral. . [ & ]. \(\sigma \alpha / \pi 0 \lambda\) [ \\
\hline ]... ¢ & ] \(\kappa \alpha_{!} \lambda \in\) [ \\
\hline ]. [.] . \(\kappa\) [ & ] \(\epsilon \sigma \beta\) ov . [ \\
\hline ]. [ & \(] \lambda \in[\) \\
\hline ]. [ & \% ] \(\phi\) [ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 3 recto. Fr. 3 verso.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(] \cdot \underline{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\sigma}\). & ] . . . [ \\
\hline ] \(\lambda \alpha\) & ]xp! \\
\hline ]áypop & ] \(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma}\) ¢! \({ }^{\text {d }}\) [ \\
\hline ]... &  \\
\hline - • - & ] \(\boldsymbol{7}\). . \(\eta\) • [ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. + recto.
Fr. + verso.

```

$435 \cdots[\quad] \cdot \tau \cdot \mu \pi \alpha \ldots \sigma \mu \ldots[\cdot] a$.
]. $\nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \nu v ́ \mu \phi \eta$. . $\delta \ldots[.$.$] .$

```

```

    ] \(\pi[\cdot]\). . \(\nu \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \tau \alpha[. .\).
    ```

```

    \(\boldsymbol{\tau}\). . .]. [
    440 Tє́ $\xi[0] \mu a \iota$ !
єiк’ ä $\nu \alpha \boldsymbol{\xi} \eta \pi[$
а.....то. $\pi[$
Фิ $\nu$ Xopoto ... [

```

```

445 Ө́⿱㇒冋刂 $\sigma \epsilon \tau[$.$] . [.] . . [$
$\chi \rho \eta े ~ \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}[] \mid. \omega[.] \tau \epsilon \rho[$

```

```

    \(\omega \gamma\). . \(\eta\).. \(\tau \iota \sigma \omega \nu\) тis . [
    . \(\delta[\)
    oi \(\delta{ }^{\prime} \in\)
    \(\omega \nu\). [
        ]. \(\tau \sigma\). . \(\alpha \nu[\) [. ]. . pos
    ```





] \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \omega \nu \ldots[..] \cdot[. . . ..] \cdot[. ..] \pi o ́ \delta a s\)
]... \(\eta \cdot[\cdot] \cdot[\cdot.] \cdots[\cdot]\). . [. . .]s

Unplaced Fragments．

```

    ]! P.[.] . [
    ```

    ]... [
\(5] \cdot[\cdot \cdot] / \sigma \cdot[\)


Fr. 9 recto.


Fr. if recto.
Fr. 11 verso.
\(] k \in \pi o[\)
] \(\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma 0\)

Fr. 10 recto.

]pu pr[
] \(\nu \nu\) є [

Fr. 12 recto. Fr. 12 verso.
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\log \cdot[\) & \(] \cdot \mu[\) \\
\(] \rho \mathrm{x}[\) & \(]!\nu \tau[\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 5 recto. & Fir. 6 verso. \\
\hline - . . & - . . . \\
\hline ] \(\epsilon \bullet \eta[\) & ] \(\alpha\) / \(\sigma\). [ \\
\hline ] \(\gamma^{\alpha} \rho \rho \eta \lambda[\) & ] vinous [ \\
\hline ]tpooa[ & \(\mu\). \\
\hline . . . & . . . . \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 1 I recto.
Fr. 11 verso.
[. . . . . \(]_{\delta \epsilon \sigma \omega[ }\) [.] Tєє . . \(\lambda o \gamma\). [
[

\(] \kappa \in \pi \sigma_{[ }^{-}\)
\(\Delta t \omega \cdot \nu \cup ́ \sigma 0 v\)


Fr. 13 recto.

] \(\in![\)
Fr. 13 verso.
] \(\pi\) เova \([\)
]โ䖝. . [
Fr. 14 recto.
Fr. 14 verso.
]. [
] \(\mu \eta[\)
]. [

Fr. 15 recto.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ] } \ddagger!\sigma \alpha \cdot \epsilon \cup \text {. } \\
& \text { ] } \alpha \nu \epsilon \mu \circ \sigma \theta \text {. [ } \\
& \text { ]бربє } \\
& \text { ] . . yovov[ }
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr. 15 verso.
\[
\begin{gathered}
] \cdots \epsilon![ \\
] \cdot \sigma \tau o v \cdot[ \\
] \eta \sigma v \cdots[ \\
] \alpha \beta \in[\cdot] v \cdot[
\end{gathered}
\]

Fr. 16 recto. Fr. 16 verso.

\section*{]pa. [}
\(1 . v\).
].. [
\(\epsilon \varphi[\)

Fr. 17 recto.
โ.․
\(\eta T[\)

Fr. 17 verso.
blank

Fr. 19 verso.


Fr. 18 recto.
].. [
.

Fr. 20 recto.
Fr. 20 verso.


Fr. 18 verso.
\[
]!\xi[
\]

Fr. 19 recto.
]x • [

```

    `\sigma`̀̀\nu 0\epsilonoí\sigma\iota каi . [ ]. єí\tau\epsilon
    5 [...] . [ 5 `\epsilon
[. .].. [

```
    Fr. 55 recto. Fir. 15 verso.


1-9. ""... and already the maid had been conched with the youth in accord with the custom bidding the affianced bride forthwith rest in a pre-nuptial sleep with her all-favoured suitor. For they say that once Hera-" Cease, dog, cease : reckless heart, thou wilt sing what it is not lawful for thee to speak of! Lucky indeed for thee that thou hast never seen the mysteries of the dread goddess, or thou hadst e'en begun to blurt out the tale of them. Verily much knowledge is a grievous ill for one who controls not his tongue ; how truly is he a child possessed of a knife,'

1-4. In Aristaenetus i. ro the description of the sickness with which Cydippe was seized is immediately preceded by a long speech placed in the mouth of Acontius; hence the words \(\ddot{j} \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta\). . 中 фao may well be the conclusion of the corresponding monologue, though there is nothing in the paraphrase of Aristaenetus reflecting these particular verses. Acontius is apparently expressing his regret that Cydippe had not immediately followed up her (unintentional) declaration that she would marry him after the custom of the maidens of her own island, who copied the example of Hera. Cf. Schol. Townl. \(\Xi 296\) eis eiviv фoitêvee



 reading ' \(\lambda \mu \phi_{2} \theta i \lambda \bar{\eta} \nu \tau \eta \bar{n}\) ' \(I \tau{ }_{\tau} \lambda \eta\) is to be recognized as a citation of 1.3 and emended as above. This correction was not made in his previous discussion of the passage, Götting. Nachr. Phil.-hist. K\%. 1895, p. 236. A rather different explanation is proposed by Murray, who thinks that the reference in \(11 . \mathrm{r}-3\) is not directly to Acontius and Cydippe, but to the ritual iєpòs rípos at Naxos, à \(\mu \phi \theta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ h a v i n g ~ i t s ~ t e c h n i c a l ~ s e n s e ~ o f ~ a ~ y o u t h ~ w i t h ~ b o t h ~ p a r e n t s ~ l i v i n g, ~\) i. e. haunted by no ghosts. But the lines seem to have less point on this view.

 is confirmed by the papyrus, which backs up the feminine form by substituting äpoevt for aitika; the latter, however, is distinctly the better reading, emphasizing \(\pi \rho o v i \mu \phi ı o v u ̈ v o v\) and bringing out the distinctive feature of the local practice. The line had already been referred to the story of Cydippe by Butmann, who was followed by Dilthey and others. \(\pi \rho o v i \mu \phi o s\) is a new compound.
4. The poet interrupts himself; he was about to make some such statement concerning Hera as that in the Townley scholium cited above.
6. кápt ( \(\kappa a \rho \tau^{\prime}\) ) is only fairly satisfactory: the first letter must be either \(\kappa\) or \(\nu\) and the remains of the fourth best suit \(\tau\) or \(\gamma ; \mu \dot{a} \rho \gamma^{\prime}\), which \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) suggests, cannot be read. Cf.
 the mysteries of Demeter.'
7. E'Evétetv ク̈pvyes is perhaps a just possible expression for 'began to tell', but the construction is harsh and the infinitive rather suspicious, more especially as it has undergone some correction ; the first hand wrote \(\epsilon\) gaveret.

8-9. áкартeiv is an otherwise unattested form of dкpartiv (itself a rare verb), formed on the analogy of кáptos, \&cc.; but кapteì does not occur. In the latter part of the pentameter a reference is to be recognized to the proverb \(\mu \grave{\eta} \pi a \iota \delta i \not \mu a ́ \chi a \rho a v\).

10-49. 'In the morning the oxen were about to chafe their spirit in the water, having before them the evening's keen blade, when she was seized by a dread pallor, seized by the sickness that we send out into the wild goats, and falsely call sacred ; this it was that then in grievous wise wasted the girl to her very bones. A second time were the couches spread;
a second time the maiden lay ill seven months of a quartan fever. A third time they bethought themselves of the marriage: again for the third time a fearful chill laid hold of Cydippe. For a fourth time her father did not tarry, but set off to Apollo of Delphi, who in the night spake this oracle: "A dread oath by Artemis breaks off the maiden's marriage with Lygdamis. My sister was not troubling Tenos, nor plaiting rushes in Amyclae's temple, nor, fresh from the chase, washing away her stains in the stream of Parthenius, but was sojourning at Delos, when your child vowed that she would have Acontius and none other for her husband. . . ; but if you will take me for your adviser you will perform all your daughter's pledges. For I say that you will not be mixing silver with lead, but in accepting Acontius will be mingling electrum with shining gold. You the father-in-law are of the stock of Codrus, while your Cean son is priest of the rites of Aristaeus Bringer of Rain, one whose duty it is to soften on the hill-top the fierceness of the rising Maera, and to ask of Zeus the wind by which the thronging quails are stricken in the lempen nets." Thus spake the god: and the other returned to Naxos and questioned the maid herself, but she hid all the tale in silence. So he voyaged forth : it remained to fetch thee, Acontius, to his own Dionysias. And faith was kept with the goddess, and the maid's fellows forthwith sang their comrade's bridal songs which were no more delayed. Methinks, Acontius, thou wouldst then have taken for the maiden girdle which thou didst touch that night neither the foot of Iphicles speeding over the corn-tops nor the wealth of Midas of Celaenae. and all who are not ignorant of the grierous god would testify to my judgement.'
ro sqq. The poet suddenly changes the scene from Acontius to Cydippe at Naxos. It will be convenient to transcribe here the parallel passage in Aristaenetus, Epist. i. 1o, which is often a close paraphrase of the language of Callimachus: totaũta \(\mu \dot{\nu} \nu\) тò тatoiou
















 cival.

10-12. The meaning is that it was already the morning of the day on which Cydippe's

 spirit in a morning bath, in order to come clean and quiet to the evening sacrifice.
 notes also the variant кéxuro \(\chi^{\text {dóos }}\) (so a papyrus of the sisth or seventh century, besides



 Schneider's too confident reference to Aet. i. 5 is now proved to have been mistaken. For


 The supposed connexion with goats comes out in the Hippocratean treatise \(\pi \epsilon \rho \bar{i}\) ifpâs vov́gav ad init. where notice is taken of the popular belief that it was harmful to eat goats' flesh and
 which a cure was sought.
\({ }^{1} 5\). An epithet of \(\delta a \mu \bar{\omega} \nu\) is wanted, and \(a\langle\tau \tau\}(\nu \nu\rangle\), though involving an emendation, wel] suits the vestiges. \(\delta a \mu n\) is used for the frame of the body, e. g. in Apoll. Rhod. iii. 1395, Lycophr. 334; we speak similarly of a person's 'build'. The insertion of \(\eta\) above the second \(\epsilon\) of \(\epsilon \tau \epsilon \xi \in\) is possibly due to the original scribe ; the \(\varepsilon\) itself is untouched.

18. W-M objects to кore as inconsistent with the context, since the preparations were made at the end of the seven months, and he would therefore substitute кai. But кai is certainly not to be read in the papyrus, where кot or кat is fairly plain, and that an original каи should be replaced by котє is not very probable. Hence the safer course appears to be to retain кnтf, which may be excused on the ground that the marriage would hardly take place immediately Cydippe rose from her bed of sickness. кarà tó would rather disturb the symmetry of II. 16 and 18.
aur \(r_{\text {ts }}\) : a horizontal stroke extending above av is apparently to be explained as belonging to a \(\tau\), which is sometimes so writen at the end of a line in order to save space, e.g. in 844 ; but the stroke in this case is unusually long.
20. The letters at the end of this line are very indistinct and doubtfully deciphered. \(\Delta \in \lambda \phi a v\) is unsatisfactory because the regular form of the adjective is either \(\Delta \in \lambda \phi_{\text {as }}\) or \(\Delta \in \lambda \phi\) wás; but the vestiges strongly suggest \(\phi\), while with \(\Delta_{i}^{j} \lambda t o v\), which is the obvious alternative, the vertical stroke which is apparently the tail of the \(\phi\) is quite unaccounted for. It is also a slight argument in favour of \(\Delta\) éd \({ }^{\prime}\) as that Aristaenetus specifies the Pythian Apollo ; cf. too Ovid, Epist. 21. \(\mathbf{2 3 1}^{1-2}\) (Cydippe to Acontius) ope qua revalescere possim Quaeritur a Delphis futa cancute deo.

2 I. \(v\) in evvoxtov is obscured by a blot.
22-3. An impersonal object is expected with èvk入â, and it is therefore perhaps better
 as directly depending on the verb and \(\gamma\) ápav as practically equivalent to yapítpr, on the

 231 ) is chosen with reference to Tenos is not clear. The sense of \(\kappa \dot{\eta} \delta \in \sigma \theta a t\) would seem more appropriate, but for this there is no parallel ; an allusion to some local incident must therefore be assumed. A cult of Artemis at Tenos is attested by the name of the month 'Apremertஸ้, C. I. G. 2338 ; at Amyclae we hear from Pausanias iii. 18. 9 of a statue of Artemis Aevкофрvq皅 carved by Bathycles of Magnesia. The present passage points to a common cult of Artemis and Apollo in the great shrine of Amyclae, such as is frequently found elsewhere. Artemis was prominent in Laconia.
24. For the confusion of \(\theta_{p}\) mov with \(\theta_{\rho}\) oo of. e. g. Theocr. xiii. 40. Reeds or rushes would be appropriate to Artemis as a river goddess.
25. Пар Pevin \(_{2}\) : cf. Apoll. Rhod. ii. \(93^{6-9}\) and Schol., iii. 876-9, Steph. Byz. s. \(\%\)

MapQivios. Parthenius was also an older name of the river Imbrasus in Samos according to Callim. Fr. 213 (Schol. Apoll. Rhod. ii. 867). The iota adscript was added by a later hand. גípara ( \(\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{M}\) ) gives the required sense and suits the vestiges sufficiently well.
 хоро́s, Kаллірахоя трі́тю. This had already been referred to the Cydippe by Dilthey. With
 verba notavit.
28. The commencement of this verse is a crux. Some reference to the stratagem
 is no doubt about \(\bullet \boldsymbol{\xi}\), and between this and the initial \(a\), which is fairly certain, there are at most four letters, perhaps only three. -v \(\xi\) suggests either an adverbial phrase connected with what precedes or an independent verb in the aorist, but I have failed to find an appropriate reading. W-M proposes aivóvvg, but this cannot be reconciled with the papyrus; the \(v\) may be preceded by \(\eta, \nu\), or perhaps \(\gamma \rho\) or \(\tau \rho\), but not \(\zeta\). A faint mark is discernible above the \(\xi\), but it is not certainly ink and is higher up than a sign of elision would normally be. In the margin near the top of the supposed a a short oblique stroke in darker ink has no evident significance. Murray suggests ävet vig, and avt is not impossible, but it is not really satisfactory as a reading, apart from the minor objections that \(\epsilon_{t}\) is usually correctly written in this papyrus, and that a \(\ddot{\nu}_{\nu \in t}\) is not elsewhere used intransitively like aviép.
30. There is a mark like a grave accent above the first ، of \(\mu_{0} \lambda_{\iota} \beta \omega t\) and another resembling an acute-angled rough breathing above the \(\omega\) : in neither case is the intention evidem.

33-4. The meaning here doubtless is that Acontius was the priest of Aristaeus-Icmius, which showed his high lineage. Hence some term meaning 'priest of', or 'occupied with', is required before \(\dot{d} \mu \phi^{\prime}\); the difficulty is to find one agreeing with the testimony of the papyrus. W-Mr's suggestion \(\lambda_{\text {ńeros ( }}\) (cf. Callim. Fr. 123 入ńretpat) cannot actually be read, but it gives just the sense wanted and involves only a slight alteration; and the very slight vestiges of the first two letters of the word in question are consistent, so far as they go, with \(\lambda \eta\). \(\mu\) of a \(\mu \phi t\) unaccountably has the appearance of having been crossed through; cf. note on 1. 78. The genitive \(\dot{i} \rho \hat{\omega} \hat{\nu}\) with \(\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi i '\) is abnormal and influenced perhaps by considerations of euphony. For Aristaeus and the Cean rites alluded to in these and the following lines cf. Apoil. Rhod. ii. 500 sqq. and especially 11. 519-2 7 : -
\({ }^{\text {I }} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \mu \omega \omega_{s}(=\) 'Iк \(\mu\) anos), which is properly an epithet of Zeus, is here transferred to Aristacus, or it may be applied to the latter in virtue of his equation to Zeus; cf. Pindar, Pyth. ix.
 notes that the spelling ik \(\mu u\) os is that of the MS. in Schol. Townl. I 19 .

34-7. oiar, sc. ifpois, may be instrumental and constructed with \(\pi \rho \eta \underline{v} v e=\), which depends

 preferred by Murray, is perhaps the easier. At the end of the line \(\epsilon^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}\) oüpeos \({ }^{2} \mu \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota\)

 noticeable ; cf. Ludwich De hexam. spond. p. 19, Schneider, ii. p. 363 . In l. 36 rod is better treated as two words than as one, otherwise, unless \(\pi \rho \eta \dot{v} v e, \nu\) be altered, there will be an awkward asyndeton. W-M would substitute \(\theta a \mu \epsilon\) ni for \(\theta a \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{v o c}\), but in view of the traditional Oapivai in the Homeric H. Herm. 44 and the v.l. in Nicand. Ther. 239, where the Parisinus



The rising of the dog-star and the 'itnoial were midsummer phenomena, while the opvitia referred to in II. \(36-7\) belonged to the period of early spring. Cf. Ps.-Geminus


 north across the Mediterranean. But the north wind which brought the birds was the wind which later on cooled the summer heats, and there is no reason to suspect the poet of having confused the \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta a i a r\) and the oppritia.
39. äve implies 'dcclared', not 'concealed'. Since therefore one of the two words must be emended, it is preferable, as \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) remarks, to select the adverb, which could easily arise from äve \(\boldsymbol{\omega}\) s, rather than the verb, where a corruption is difficult to explain. A form of such
 be called in here. The transition to l. 40, however, seems rather more abrupt if Cydippe refused to speak, though this consideration counts for little in the uncertainty regarding


40-1. I adopt in this difficult couplet the ingenious emendations of \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\), though withont full confidence that the right solution has been found. At the beginning of 1.40 a verb is necessary, and vavo can hardly be avoided: \(\delta\) might be substituted for \(a\), but that is quite unintelligible. к \(\boldsymbol{\eta}\) rava \(\theta \lambda \dot{\omega}\) áato therefore satisfies essential requirements, but it was certainly not written ; \(\theta \lambda\) must be inserted, and though the \(\tau\) is probable, the remains of the termination do not suggest -aro: the final letter, at the top of which there is a spot of darker ink, looks more like \(\epsilon\) than anything else. Above the preceding \(a\) there are some indistinct traces which might represent an interlinear addition by the first hand. \(\kappa\) is probable as the first letter of the line, but \(\beta\) is a possible alternative ; \(\beta \dot{\eta}\) עaîs would not be amiss, if a suitable continuation were forthcoming. More difficulties arise at the commencement of the next verse. If the meaning be, 'it only remained to fetch Acontius to Naxos,' some substantive like \(\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu a s\) on which reīo may depend must be obtained, and éazш́ for earat is not a violent alteration, for which some slight support may be found in the fact that \(\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\omega}\) is quoted from. Callimachus by Suidas ( \(=\) Fr. 340). \(\epsilon a \tau a t\), however, is far from being certain. The two last letters must apparently be \(a t, \delta t\), or \(\lambda_{t}\); and they are preceded by the end of a horizontal stroke suggesting \(\gamma, \pi\), or \(\tau\); rut is therefore indicated, and although the preceding letter is not a satisfactory \(\sigma\), if the initial \(\epsilon\) be right, there is practically no
 quite a natural one. \(\mu \epsilon \tau \tau^{\prime} \rho_{\lambda} \epsilon \theta \theta a i\) тtva 's would be expected to signify 'to go after a person to' a place rather than 'to fetch to'; and on this account Acontins would be preferable

 supposed to mean Cydippe, which involves an awkward ambiguity, apart from the difficulty
 other hand the father be the subject, \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu i \delta i \eta \nu\) stovvatáa is straightforward enough, ioinv having a point, as \(W-M\) remarks, because on this occasion the ordinary practice was reversed and instead of the bride being brought to the home of the husband the husband was fetched to that of the bride. Neither the division \(\sigma \epsilon i o \mu \in \tau^{\prime} \in \lambda \theta \epsilon \bar{\nu}\), suggested by Murray, nor the hypothesis that ... \(\Delta i \eta \nu\) should be read for \(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu i \delta i \eta \nu\), seems to lead to any better result. For the name \(\Delta\) tovvatás in application to Naxos cf. Diod. v. \(5^{2}\).

The numeral \(\mu\) below this line is a stichometrical figure referring to the number of the lines on the page, though this as a matter of fact only contains 39 ; cf. Fol. 7 verso, and P. Brit. Mus. 126 (Kenyon, Classical Texts, p. 82).
42. No instance of the passive of eiopkeiv is cited in the lexica, but the transitive use is found in Schol. Apollon. Rhod. ii. 257 iरcivous \(\hat{\eta} \nu \mu \bar{\eta} \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \rho \rho \kappa \bar{\omega} \mu c \nu . \quad x^{\prime}\) added above the line is in ink of the same colour as the body of the text and perhaps due to the original scribe.
43. W-M is undoubtedly right in restoring \(\dot{\eta} \delta 0 \%\) on the analogy of Aristaenetus i. so
 122. \(i \mu \eta\) inatos seems to occur only here, but has been proposed by Murray in Eurip. Hippol. \(55^{2}\), a conjecture which is now much strengthened; the form i \(\mu \dot{\eta}\) văos was used by Sappho 9. 3.
 relative sentence. But it is very questionable whether \(\tau \bar{\eta} s\) should not be emended to \(\tau \hat{\eta}\), as Murray suggests, àrii following its case as e. g. in Aesch. Ag. 1277 ; cf. Aristaenetus l. c.
 ойк кт入.
46. Cf. Cramer, Anecd. Oxon. iv. p. 329. 6 (Herodian ii. p. 86ı Lentz) \(\delta \iota \grave{\text { кai }} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi\) оитat

 critics attributed кai to Callimachus instead of the grammarian and so produced one
 effectually disposed of.



50-79. 'From that marriage a great name was to spring: for thy line the Acontiadae still dwells, Cean, numerous and honoured at Iulis; and this desire of thine we heard from old Xenomedes, who once lay up a memorial of the whole island's lore, beginning with how it was taken for an abode by the Corycian nymphs whom a mighty lion drove from Parnassus, wherefore they named it Hydrussa; and how Ciro ... dwelt at Caryae, and how the Carians and Leleges abode in the island, whose offerings Zeus, god of the battle-cry, ever receives to the trumpets' sound, and then Ceos, son of Phoebus and Melia, caused it to be called by another name; and the tale of insolence and death by lightning, and the sorcerers the Telchines, and Demonax who in his folly recked not of the blessed gods the ancient put in his tablets, and the aged Macelo, mother of Dexithea, whom alone the immortals left unscathed when for its wicked insolence they laid the island waste; and how of its four cities Megacles founded Carthaea, and Eupylus, son of the demigod Chryso, the fairfounted citadel of Iulis, yea and Acae . . Poeëssa, seat of the long-tressed Graces, and Aphrastus Coresus' town, and joined with them the old man, friend of truth, told, Cean, of thy sore love; whence came the maiden's story to my muse. I will not then now sing of the habitation of the cities. . . '
52. \(\pi є \rho i \tau \mu \mathrm{os}\) is apparently novel.
54. This reference by the poet to his authority is highly interesting and also provides some historical information of importance. Xenomedes is occasionally cited by grammarians (Schol. Aristoph. Lysistr. 448, Schol. Townl. \(\Pi\) 328), but only in one passage is
 of local historians prior to the Peloponnesian war. It is now evident that Xios should there be emended with W-M to Keios, and that Xenomedes is to be recognized as the Cean writer who was no doubt among the sources of Aristotle and, indirectly, of Heraclides in their accounts of the history and institutions of Ceos. Several points of contact with 11. 56-63 are to be found in the excerpts of Heraclides, Пєрi Пoג七tetōv ix, though with some



 inscriptions of Ceos.

котє is substituted for \(\pi\) тотє in this verse on the analogy of 11.4 and 18. In the Hymns the forms in \(\pi\) are preferred, but the Ionic spelling occurs in some of the Epigrams.
56. For \({ }^{\circ} \rho \chi \mu \in \nu 0 s\) is cf. Callim. H. Dian. 4 and Fr. 113 b, where the MSS. have the form à \(\rho \chi^{\mu} \mu\)-, making the mistake which originally stood in the papyrus. The Corycian nymphs recur in Ovid, Epist. 20. 221-2 (Acontius to Cydippe) Insula Corycizs quondam celeberrima nymphis Cingitur Aggeo, nomine Cea, mari.
57. According to the Heraclides excerpt quoted in the note on 1. 54 the lion was the cause of the departure of the nymphs, not of their arrival. A colossal lion close to a spring of water (cf. \(1.72 \epsilon \tilde{v} \kappa \rho \eta \nu o \nu)\) is still one of the features of the site of Julis.

58-9. Who it was who lived at Caryae and what this has to do with Cean tradition remains a problem. Besides the well-known Laconian Caryae we hear of places so called only in Arcadia and Lycia, and there is no evident link between any of these and Ceos. W-MI suggests that the name at the end of 1.58 may be meant for Kápuctos, which Callimachus might well derive from Kapúau. Carystus, son of Chiron, was the reputed founder of Carystus in Euboea, and it is noticeable that in the Heraclides excerpt cited above (1. 54, note) that town is mentioned. The suggestion is thus so far plausible, but Kapveros is not to be read and, in view of the mutilated condition of the passage and of the absence of confirmatory evidence, emendation is too speculative. The \(\omega\) following \(p\) is very doubtful, but there seems to be no alternative to the 乞 preceding it. Murray proposes Kıр́oìns = Kıpoáoas, and this would suit the papyrus well enough ; but no Kıpoáoias is known except the king of the Odrysae conquered by Philip V (Anth. Plan. i. 5. \(24=\) Brunck iii. 182). The remains of the first half of the pentameter would suffice to verify a conjecture, but hardly to provide a clue of themselves.
60. \(\tau \epsilon \omega \nu\) as a relative occurs also in Callim. H. Del. 185, where some explain it as equivalent to \(\tau \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega\) on the analogy of av̇t' \(\omega \nu\), \&c. But in the present passage \(\tau^{\prime} \omega \nu\) is masculine, as also in Nicand. Alex. 2, and the derivation from tis indicated by the scholia



61 . Hdt. i. 171 attributes certain inventions in armour to the Carians, whose warlike proclivities are also indicated by the tradition that they were the first \(\mu \iota \sigma\) Oopópos; but they do not appear to be elsewhere specially connected with \(\sigma \dot{\lambda} \pi \pi \tau \gamma \varepsilon \epsilon\), the introduction of which was claimed by the neighbouring Lydians. The custom referred to by Callimachus belongs not to Ceos but to the Carians proper, whose Zeìs Etpítos (Hdt, v. 119, \&c.) is

62. \(\mu \in \tau\) seems to be the word intended before ovvopa, though this was perlaps not originally written. The remains of the first letter might represent a \(\mu\), but close beneath them is apparently another \(\mu\), possibly inserted by the first hand though the ink is darker than usual. An alteration is normally made above the line, but since 1.63 is quite complete and satisfactory, it can only be supposed that the first letter of \(\mu \epsilon \tau\) was somehow miswritten or defaced, and so repeated in this unusual position. At the end of the verse some emendation is required: \(\beta a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a c\) would be difficult and калeiöat is a slight change which gives an excellent sense.
63. Ceos is called the son of Apollo and Rhodoëssa in Etym. Magn. 507. 53.
\(64-9\). Cf. for this passage Pindar, Pacans iv. \(4^{2-5}(=841) \chi^{\theta \text { Oóva }}\) тoi (sc. Zeus and

 and the scholia on Ovid, Ibis 475, referred to in the notes ad loc. In three respects Ovid and his scholia are at variance with the version of the legend here given by Callimachus. The line in the Lbis is \(L^{\prime} t\) Macelo rapidis icta est cum coniuge flammis, and the ancient commentators thereon represent Macelo not as Dexithea's mother, but as an elder sister who was slain on account of the guilt of her husband, while Dexithea and other sisters were preserved; moreover, the name of the sisters' father, the chief of the Telchines, is given as Damo, who is obviously to be identified with the Demonax of I. 66. According to one of the scholiasts the authority for that form of the story was Nicander; and Jebb (Bacchyl. p. 444) was justified in regarding it as of a later growth. Nonnus writes Maкe \(\lambda \lambda \dot{\omega}\) for МакєА \(\dot{\omega}^{2}\)

In I. \(66 \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon a ́\) is an adverb, as in Anth. Pal. vii. \(639 \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \mu \phi \hat{\mu} \mu \in a\); cf. also Callim. Fr. \(17+\dot{\eta} \lambda \in \dot{a} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\rho} \dot{\xi} \xi a s\). There is, therefore, no need to substitute \(\nu \eta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \sigma\). At the end of 1.68 \(\left.d \lambda_{i}{ }_{2}\right)_{-} \rho \eta_{s}(\mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{M})\) is very suitable, though the supposed mark of elision is quite doubtful, and \(\delta\), e.g., may be read in place of \(\lambda\).

70-4. The names of the founders of the four towns of Ceos are not elsewhere recorded, nor is the nymph Chryso, if that be the true spelling, otherwise known, unless she be the \(\delta a i \mu \omega \nu\) to whom Hesychius refers s.v. X \(\rho \dot{v} \sigma \omega\) (X \(\rho v \sigma \dot{\omega}\) ?). The papyrus apparently
 or Kpıooûs. In l. 73 " " \(\rho \nu \mu^{\prime}\) ' (V-MI) is not very satisfactory, either as a reading or in itself. There is no example of this scansion of the word, though it may be supported by the
 évópv̌́gata Anth. Pal. vii. ro9). The initial letter may be a round one like \(\epsilon\) or \(\theta\); and the supposed \(\delta \rho\) are somewhat widely spaced. But no suitable alternative presents itself.
76. The sense requires the genitive with \(\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon \nu a s\), for which cf. e. g. Soph. Ai. \(118{ }_{4}\) rí \(\phi\) ov \(\mu\) e \(\lambda \eta \theta_{\text {tis. }}\). At the end of this verse the letters \(\delta o \sigma\) have been interlineated by the first hand, and if those below are rightly read, \(\delta o s\) may be interpreted as a repetition of the final syllable of mutos which owing to the length of the line (it is the longest on the page) may have been thought insufficiently distinct. The \(\pi\) and \(a\) are fairly satisfactory, and the scanty vestiges following are consistent with ion. On the other hand, \(\delta o s\) is placed somewhat farther to the left than would be expected, and it is not quite certain that nothing else followed; moreover, \(\ddot{v} \theta_{\varepsilon} \nu\) and the first half of the pentameter are too doubtfully deciphered to afford a safe basis for restoration. Still, the purport of the passage is probably not misrepresented by the readings adopted.
78. The poet now turns to a fresh subject, a change to which the marginal sign (in darker ink) opposite this couplet may refer, though that interpretation will not suit 1.277, where a rather similar sign occurs: the connecting stroke between the two small circles is, however, in the present case a restoration. That oikjoas was the word intended before

ẫ \(\sigma o \mu a t\) was guessed by W-M, whose conjecture is probably right, if not very easily verified in the papyrus. The remains suggest \(\mu\) rather than \(\kappa \eta\), and кон \(\mu \sigma \sigma s\), if that made sense, could well be read. But the \(\kappa\) and \(\eta\) seem possible, and \(\pi\) ài \(\omega\) oiкǵatas just fits the context,
 support. There is a distinct mark, which suits an iota quite well, above the first \(\sigma\) of the syllable aar, though whether it should be referred to the original or a later hand is doubtful. The \(\sigma\) itself has not been deleted, while on the other hand there is an appearance of two diagonal dashes through ot; but this phenomenon occurs elsewhere in the case of essential letters (cf. 1l. 33-4, note), and so may be disregarded. In the marginal note to the right of the verse \(\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota\) would not be inapposite and is possibly to be read, but the first two letters are very illegible.
79. The reference to Zeus of Pisa is obscure. It has been supposed by some critics (e. g. O. Jahn, Rhein. Mus, iii. p. 620) that the principal subject of Book iii of the Aetia was the origin of the Hellenic games, on the strength of Steph. Byz. p. 104. \(1_{3}{ }^{\prime}\) Améas,
 Zeus may be held to support that view. The passage of Stephanus, as Schneider shows (op. cit. ii, p. 138 ), cannot be considered a very solid argument, but a fresh indication of a similar nature is not to be lightly dismissed. How the story of Cydippe came to be introduced into such a book would remain a problem, though that is no fatal obstacle to the theory. It is very unfortunate that the papyrus is so defaced at this critical point. The end of this verse is hardly hopeless, but 1.80, it is to be feared, is beyond recovery.
80. An ink spot near the top of the second \(a\) is perhaps a high stop after that letter; two vertical strokes follow, which may belong to a \(\pi\), and the next letter but one was probably \(\rho\) or \(v\). Some faint marks below the end of this line might perhaps be taken for a stichometrical figure, but it is doubtful whether they are in ink.

81-9. Conclusion of the Aetia, It is regrettable that the passage is marred by the mutilation of the first three lines. \(\sigma \epsilon\) in 1.83 is the deity to whom \(\chi\) aip in 1.87 is addressed, but the identity of the deity and the connexion of this and the next verse with 11. 85-6 remain obscure. A restoration more ingenious than convincing of \(11.81-3\) is

 taste', could doubtless stand on the analogy of such combinations as \(\epsilon 467\) gĭ \(\lambda \boldsymbol{\text { us }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \eta\),
 oia . . . oivójuatı is hardly clear.
81. ]apıv: the remains of the second letter suggest \(\rho\) rather than \(\epsilon\); perhaps \(-\rho \iota \nu\) is for - \(\rho \epsilon \ell\). The preceding letter seems to be \(a, \delta\), or \(\lambda\). \(\tau\) after povaa is extremely uncertain.
82. The end of this line is a troublesome problem. \(p t\) after the second lacuna may be a single letter, perhaps \(\nu\), though this is less suitable. \(a\) is more likely than \(\delta\) before the \(\mu\), and the letter following it, if not \(o\), must be \(a\). The supposed mark of elision after \(\delta\) is uncertain, though probable ; \(\beta\) might be read instead of \(\delta^{\prime}\).
84. The adjectives may refer to \(\sigma \epsilon\) in 1.83 or to a substantive following \(\epsilon i \pi \varepsilon\) ( \(\epsilon i \pi \epsilon\) ' ),
 e. g., is suitable. Perhaps \(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \ldots[\).\(] . [; or \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon s\) could easily be read, if a satisfactory combination with the context could be established.
\(8_{5-6 . ~ к \epsilon i v \varphi: ~ s c . ~ H e s i o d, ~ t h e ~ l e g e n d ~ o f ~ w h o s e ~ i n t e r c o u r s e ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ M u s e s ~ g o e s ~ b a c k ~ t o ~}^{\text {a }}\)
 cf, Ovid, Fast. vi. 13-4 Ecce deas vidi, non quas praeceptor arandi Viderat, Ascraeas cum sequeretur oves, and Fronto, Ep. ad Marc. i. 2 Hesiodum pastorem . . . dormientem poetam uis fachum. at enim ego memini olim apud magistrum me legerc :


Magistrum in this later passage has been commonly taken to be Callimachus，and Schneider，op．cit．ii．p． 789 ，is inclined to agree with Bergk in referring the distich to the prologue of the Aetia，where from an anonymous epigram in Anth．Pal．vii． 42 it appears that the poet represented himself as having been transported in a dream to Helicon and there holding converse with the Muses．But the close parallelism between the quotation of Fronto and \(11.85^{-6}\) here points rather to some later imitator，e．g．Parthenius，as W－M suggests．A reference at the close of the poem to Hesiod at Hippocrene is natural enough in the light of Anth．Pal．vii． 42 （cf．introd．p．18），though the connexion of 1.85 with what precedes is obscure．With regard to the reading，\(\tau \in\) or то was apparently first written before \(\mu\) ovaat，and was corrected by the original hand．If \(\tau \hat{\varphi}\) is right an antecedent is required，so that \(\kappa \epsilon i v \omega\) is very suitable；the first letter is more like \(\kappa\) than \(\chi\) ，but the \(\omega\) is unconvincing and perhaps this also has undergone some alteration．

88－9．Cf．introd．p． 18.
\(90-\mathrm{I}\) ．It is noticeable that in this MS．the tittes of the different books preceded as well as followed them；another example of the same system is found in e．g．the Berlin Nonnus， K＇lassikertexte，V．2．10．For the border of angular marks below the titles cf．e．g． 850. \({ }_{20-1}\) ，and P．Amh．6． 3, \＆c．；that above them is composed of a series of small crosses joining their neighbours at the top and botom，such as are sometimes found at the con－ clusion of non－literary documents．
\(9^{2-5}\) ．This opening passage from the prologue of the Iambi had been rightly recon－ structed by Schneidewin，Göth．gel．Anz． 1845, p． 8 ，and others from three separate citations
 is quoted，as an example of the choliambic metre，by Rufinus，De Metr．p．368，and Plotius，
 （ \(\tau \in\) кäӨnvıs，Bergk），and hence Kuster（Suidas，s．v．ov̉ \(\gamma\) áp）referred it not to Callimachus but to Hipponax，notwithstanding the express attribution to Callimachus in the Schol．on Aristoph．Clouds 232，Frogs 58 ．The futility of the criticism which arbitrarily sets aside such ancient testimony is once more demonstrated by the papyrus．It is of course still possible，as was held by Meineke，with whom Bergk，Poet．Lyr．p．755，agrees，that the line was really by Hipponax and was adopted from him by Callimachus；Schneider，however， op．cit．ii．p．\({ }^{2} 57\) ，rejects this compromise．

93．atove was apparently written，but only the lower half of the \(t\) is preserved and the superfluous letter was presumably eliminated when the rough breathing was added，although there is no sign of the correction．The marginal notes on either side of these verses are mutilated beyond recognition．

95．The word following Bojur \([\) á \(\lambda\) ftov does not seem to have been à \(\lambda \lambda\) á，
96．A trace of ink on the edge of the papyrus slightly below this line to the right seems to indicate an interlineation．

Among the verses lost with the lower part of this leaf may have occurred Callim．Fr． 98 d（Schol．Townl．¥ 172，Strabo ix．5），which Schneider，op．cit．p．268，assigns to the prologue，and gives thus：－
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 入íx vos єiцi каì то̀ } \pi \epsilon \dot{v} \theta_{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a t
\end{aligned}
\]
及оидо́цєขos．

The reconstruction of the second and third lines，however，cannot be right，and their connexion with the first line is very questionable．
97. On the number of the leaf, which is as much a matter of inference as of eyesight, cf. introd., pp. 19-20. The accent on \(\omega \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda o \nu\) is preceded by a mark which looks more like a rough breathing than a sign of crasis, and above them both there seems to be a short horizontal stroke. In the margin to the left some illegible remains of an adscript are perhaps to be recognized. The supposed iota adscript inserted above aımoえف is very small and may be meant for a high stop.
98. Perhaps \(\sigma \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa\) es were coupled with the \(\mu\) viat, but the passage is quite obscure. The exact point at which this and the two next lines begin is not clear ; 1.97 projects by one letter beyond 11.102 sqq. \(\theta \hat{\imath} \mu a \quad \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi a_{v}\) was a proverbial expression used of persons who



99. It is hardly possible to determine without the aid of the context whether the letters at the end of the line are rightly read and divided. The doubtful \(\epsilon\) of \(\epsilon к a \tau \eta\) may be \(\sigma\), and a could be substituted for \(\lambda \eta\), but the accent will then be out of its proper position; the fimal letter may well be \(\nu\) instead of \(\sigma\). A dot just above the line between \(\nu \nu\) and \(\omega\) may represent a stop. In the marginal note \(\epsilon \pi \tau \pi a \chi \theta_{\epsilon \nu \tau a}\) is not quite satisfactory, and there are perhaps two letters between ، and \(a\). There is a reference to Hecate in Callim. Fr. 82 d .
100. \(\imath\) té: or kó.
102. The coronis below this line marks the end of the prologue. \(\sigma \omega \pi \dot{\eta}\) for \(\sigma t \omega \pi \pi^{\prime}\) is found only here and in 1.255 below, but \(\sigma \omega \pi a ̂ \nu\) is used by Pindar, Isthm. i. \(6_{3}\), Ol. xiii. 91 . 103. ov \(\mu a \kappa \rho \eta \eta_{\nu} \kappa \tau \lambda\). is a parenthesis, of which the sense evidently is 'I will not detain you with a long story, for I have not much leisure myself'.
 satisfactory enough palaeographically, though several of the letters might be otherwise read: o may be \(\omega\) and \(\epsilon \mu\) could be substituled for \(\delta \iota v\). Either \(\delta \boldsymbol{v e \epsilon}\) or \(\delta i v e \epsilon\) is possible : cf. Callim.


107-8. Possibly \(\pi[a j y \tau a \tau\) was originally written, but the interlinear \(\delta\) is far from certain. nicov is apparently an instrumental dative going with tedeivites. The Ionic form émiazavtat is unobjectionable.
 seems to be the sense.
 \(\mu є \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau a s \not \partial o ̈ \eta \kappa \tau \lambda\). ( \(=\) Bergk, Poet. Lyr. Fr. Adesp. 29). Lines 111 sqq. refer to precautions taken to obviate the designs indicated; but the bearing of the passage on the story of the cup of Bathycles remains obscure.
114. The first word does not seem to be \(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma a s\). Before \(\pi \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma\) the rough breathing is clear and perhaps \(\dot{\omega}_{s} \pi o ́ \tau \eta s\) should be read; immótus is hardly suitable. The marginal note to the right possibly extended to a fifth line.
\({ }_{11} 5_{5} \pi \rho \iota \nu\) : or perhaps \(\tau \eta \nu\).
118. On the question of the extent of the loss between this line and 1. 119 see introd. p. 20.

119-20. These two lines are quoted in Diog. Laert. i. 23 and also by Achilles Tatius,


 be the son of Bathycles (cf. 1. r3i below). In I. 119 owing to a flaw in the papyrus an interval of two letters is left after \(\epsilon \lambda \epsilon\).

may be explained as a false Ionicism; but perhaps Callimachus favoured the derivation from \(\pi\) povaedeî which is noticed in Etym. Magn. 690. 11. aigie aittn means 'under happy


 though the forms gitras, oitros occur in Hesych.; but airio may be defended, even if giтtg is feminine, on the analogy of e.g. Pindar, Pyth. iv. 40, where the MSS. have aivov... Boovtáv. - Traces of darker ink to the left of the line may be the remains of a marginal note.








 oi '8' i \(\pi\) ìjovaay đávтєs. The reconstruction of these passages as printed by Schneider is as follows (Fr. 83 a):
та́vтєs.

Various other attempts which have been made are not more successful, except that Hecker and Brink prove to have been right in combining with these lines Fr. 91 où márres \(\dot{d} \lambda \lambda\) ' ov̀s
 Ež̛opßos is of course meant Pythagoras; cf. e.g. Diog. Laert. viii. 4. In I. 126 кúkдou \({ }_{\epsilon}^{\pi}\left[\right.\) [rau \({ }^{\prime} \times \varepsilon\) ' is strongly supported by the passage of Diodorus, in spite of the obscurity of the phrase and the accented \(\epsilon\). To the right of this accent, moreover, there is the appearance of a small \(\lambda\) in dark ink, which remains unexplained. Line 128 is quoted by the Schol. on



 and the alteration of "repos is of course demanded by the metre. The appositeness of the citation is not very evident, and Bentley was probably right after all in regarding it as merely a parallel for \(\delta a i \mu \omega \nu\) ërefos in Pyth. iii. 62.
 letter following \(\xi\) was probably \(\eta\) or \(\iota\).

 It may be inferred that no name was mentioned by Callimachus. W-M observes that ovés

132. övítoras, as remarked by W-M, may be supplied with certainty from Diog. Laert.
 preferred the reading \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \pi \rho \omega \tau i \sigma \tau \omega\), and did not accept \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\partial} \nu \eta \neq \sigma \tau \varphi\) as a quotation from Callimachus.
133. àplateiov here and \(\lambda a \beta \grave{\nu} \nu \chi \in \rho \rho i\) in 1. I 35 are added exempli gratia; for the former cf. Callim. Fr. 95 quoted below in the note on l. 138 .
134. The restorations are due to W-M.
136. Sórt is only fairly satisfactory. \(\delta\) is most probable as the first letter, but \(\eta\) could well be read in place of \(\sigma \iota\) and the o must be supposed to have been rather larger and straighter-sided than usual ; o of vor in the following line is however not dissimilar. The second letter of the line may also be \(\delta\) or \(\zeta\).
137. The doubtful letter before \(\hat{\omega}(\boldsymbol{z})\) may also be \(\beta, \theta, \rho\), or \(\sigma\).
\({ }_{1} 3^{8}\). \(\beta\) though broken at the bottom is practically certain, and in this context a reference to the sage of Priene is most natural. The form Bins however, though printed by Cobet in Diog. Laert. i. 88 and sanctioned by Pape, Griech. Eigennamen, is abnormal and requires better testimony than that of the papyrus.

It may be convenient to add here the four verses previously extant from the sequel of the story of Bathycles. They are :-

Callim. Fr. 89 (Cramer, Anecd. Oxon. ii. p. 297):

Fr. 96 (Etym. Magn. \(44^{2}\). io) : \(\Pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu\) тò \(\delta \hat{\omega} \rho \sigma \nu\) द̀s \(\Theta u ́ \lambda \eta \tau^{*} \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\omega} \lambda เ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu\).
Fr. 95 (Diog. Laert. i. 29):


139. The subject has changed and a new lambus has commenced; cf. introd., p. 20.
ofy (W-M) seems right and o is perhaps possible, though the remains do not suggest this letter. A flaw in the papyrus caused a slight interval to be left after ovror: cf. note on II. 119-20. 'Aдкر' \(\omega \nu\) is better interpreted with \(\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{M}\) as a term of reproach than as the man's actual name. Alcmaeon was a typical matricide; cf. the line \(a p\). Dio Cass. lxi. 16 Népov,

140. Baidet is clear, but can hardly stand, and W-M's \(\beta^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta^{\prime \prime}\) seems the easiest emendation. It is possible that something has been inserted above the line over the \(\iota\). A mark of elision should perhaps be recognized after the second pevr.
141. The faint traces suggest avro rather than avie, and some compound of aùvomight have stood here; a dative depending on кךри́б大єt is however more obvious, and may be right. \(\quad \delta^{\prime \prime}\) was deleted by a later hand.
\({ }^{143 .} \epsilon^{\xi} \xi_{0} \pi \sigma \theta_{\epsilon}\) is very doubful, the penultimate letter being more like \(\beta\) than anything else. No verb in the present or aorist seems to be obtainable, and \(\epsilon \xi=\pi a \sigma \theta\) is is excluded, the \(\kappa\) being certain. кoik would not fill the space nor would that be suitable without a preceding verb. At the end of the line \(\chi^{\text {áaккє, }}\), which \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) suggests, or even \(\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \chi^{\dot{a} \sigma \kappa к є, ~}\) is possible, and would be apposite if the meaning is 'those behind mockingly put out their tongues at him as he runs away'.
144. \(\epsilon \lambda \omega \nu\) has perhaps been written for \(\epsilon i \lambda \omega \nu\) or \(\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda \omega \nu\) : such a mistake might easily occur. The remains of the supposed \(\epsilon\) could also be interpreted as a \(\lambda\) followed by the comma sometimes placed between two consonants, but there is no sign whatever of a preceding \(\iota\). \(\eta\) of \(\pi u \eta\) seems to have undergone some alteration.
147. The last half of this verse is no doubt to be identified with Callim. Fr. 98, quoted as an example of varying gender in Etym. Magn. 502. 27, Eustath. B p. 108. 22, Schol. A on Iliad 1312 , \&ic. Schol. A has the infin. \(\gamma^{\nu} \mu v i s \epsilon t v\), which was preferred by Meineke,
whom Schneider follows；Meineke was also mistaken in referring the phrase to the prologue of the Iambi．

153．］vaठt ：or perhaps váa．
\({ }^{154-5}\) ．Some of the letters of these two lines have been renovated with darker ink；cf． notes on ll． 357 and 395 ．
\({ }^{1} 5\) ．The first letter may be \(\omega\) ，i．e．（ \(\epsilon\) ）\(\kappa^{\top} \omega \lambda \nu \epsilon\) ．
r6osqq．On the subject of these lines see introd．pp．20－1 ；animals are turned into men by Prometheus in an extant fable（Furia 320）．Callim．Fr． 87 may well belong to this lambus；cf．note on 1．217．At the end of 1． 160 та \(\pi \rho o \tau\) ．［ might be read，if it could be combined with what precedes：the letters between \(\tau \circ\)（or \(\tau \epsilon\) ）and \(\tau \iota\) are very doubtful．
162. alvv \(\mu \nu \omega \nu\) is an attractive suggestion of \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) ，but is not quite satisfactory，the \(\nu \omega\) being too cramped．The slight traces preceding are consistent with \(\delta{ }^{\circ}: \delta x \times a[t 0] \nu\) might also be read．If a faint mark above \(v\) of Jua be regarded as an acute accent the restoration \({ }^{\varepsilon}[\kappa \phi]\) es would become more doubtful，since the accent expected would be a grave on the \(\epsilon\) ．

163．\(\dot{\epsilon} p \pi \epsilon \tau \bar{\omega} \nu\) is essential，though the vestiges are not easily reconciled with a final \(v\) ． At the end of the line，if \(\dot{\partial} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \rho_{s}\) is the right word，W－M＇s correction \(\tau\langle\dot{\omega}\rangle \phi \theta \sigma[\lambda \mu \dot{\omega}\) seems necessary，since a \(\tau(\epsilon)\) would be superfluous．
\(164-6\) ．The construction and sense of this passage are obscure．In 1． 164 pov may possibly be \(\rho \epsilon \nu\) ，i．e．another verb；but the remains of the letter after тovr，though indistinct， do not well suit an \(\epsilon\) ．\(\psi \in \sigma\) in 1.166 is clear，but a verb in the second person seems quite out of place，and \([\ldots\) ．．＇\(\psi\)＇＇s＇s is also difficult．There is perhaps not more than one letter between kevor and \(\epsilon\) ，which is preceded by a vertical stoke：\(\mu \epsilon[\nu\) e．g．might be read．
 （ \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) ）is highly conjectural，the traces after \(\theta_{a \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu}\) being very faint and ambiguous．

171．Andronicus was presumably a friend to whom the poem was addressed（cf．the Bpázरos of Babrius）；Callimachus can hardly be supposed to be apostrophizing the tragedian of that name．
 Apollon．Sophist．s．\(v\) ．äє \(\epsilon \delta \epsilon\) ．Lachmann referred the lines to Babrius，but Schneidewin，Gött． gel．Anz．1845，p．7，and Ahrens，De Cras．ot Aphaer．p．31，prove to have been right in crediting them to Callimachus；Schneider，op．cit．ii．p． 272 ，expressed no definite opinion． Lachmann and Schneidewin also proposed to add at a short interval another anonymus

 though the lacuna at \(1 .{ }^{177}\) would be a possible place for it．oaporinvevs in the papyrus requires emendation．The object of the sign in the margin opposite 1.173 is not clear ；it was added or renovated by a later hand．



175．\(\dot{\epsilon} \phi \quad]_{\rho \mu \hat{a} \sigma} \theta_{\epsilon}\) ：the supposed mark of clision，the \(\epsilon\) and the \(\rho\) are all very doubtful， and there is barely room for \([\phi \circ]\) ．There are traces after \(\theta_{\epsilon}\) which suggest another letter （e．g．\(\nu\) or \(t \sigma\) ），but this is inconsistent with the accent on the \(a\) ．

179．av seems to have been accented，but the nature of the accent is very uncertain．
181．］ג九のть：or conceivably ］o九ørı．
182．єтккєхєьp \(\mid\) qrat in the marginal note is an abnormal division，and there are traces of ink after the \(\rho\) ，but perhaps \(\eta\) was miswritten at the end of the first line owing to the narrow space and therefore repeated in front of \(r\) ．It is probable that the margin did not extend farther to the right and that \(p\) ．［ and \(\nu\)［ were the last letters of 11.1 and 2．The note may have been continued in one or two more lines．
185. \(\omega \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi a \iota\) seems to have been intended, though what precisely was written is open to question. \(\omega \pi\) is nearly certain, but the supposed deletion and interlinear \(\rho\) are unsatisfactory. Possibly there are more letters than one above the line. The speaker is perhaps Apollo.
186. \(\psi n \phi{ }_{1}{ }^{\text {a is }}\) could be read.

187-9. To what this note refers is obscure. The line with which it was to be connected was no doubt indicated by a marginal symbol (cf.1.265), and the marks opposite 11. 214-16 may denote its position, though they are at any rate partially in darker ink.
192. \(\lambda \hat{\omega}\) : or \(\delta \hat{\omega}\), perhaps \(\delta \delta \bar{\varphi}\), , which would suit the context. The supposed interlinear iota adscript resembles that noted in 1.97 , and might be interpreted as a high stop. At the beginning of the line \(\kappa\) may be \(\chi\), and it is uncertain whether the circumflex accent was intended for the \(a\) or the next letter, which would then be \(\iota\), jkaî '. \(\phi\).
193. кai in some shape no doubt followed \(\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega \kappa\), but it is useless to restore this without the next word. кȧжávo might be read.
 identical.
196. The inserted letters may also be read as ai \(\sigma\) or \(\omega \sigma\).
198. àmр \(\eta \gamma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau a t:\) the middle form occurs only here apparently.

200. The smooth breathing above \(\eta\) of \(\eta \nu\) is hardly certain.
201. Only the tip of the supposed accent on avapıtтect remains, and this might be the base of an inserted \(\rho\) (by a later hand).
202. [ . ]púntov [ the accent is again uncertain. io \(\sigma \epsilon \lambda\) yaiv \((\epsilon) \omega \nu\) ( \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) ) suits the remains of the letters, but is unsatisfactory owing to the rough breathing added above the initial letter, which is much more like a than \(o\); the termination may be - \(\mu \eta\).
204. There is perhaps some corruption here. papyos (or apyos) \&s might be read, but the preceding letters are then unintelligible, and \(\iota\) after \(\rho\) is more suitable than \(\gamma\). There may be two letters between ко and ap.

2II-13. At l. 2 II begins the narrative of the quarrel between the laurel and the olive.

 other grammarians and compilers. Bentley was evidently right in attributing to the same


 \(\delta_{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \delta \rho \omega \nu \epsilon i \mu i\), namely the presence of a spondee in the fifth foot. As the papyrus proves, Callimachus in his choliambics consistently avoids this; the version adopted by Schneider


213. W-M's suggestion for the completion of the verse is printed excmpli gratia. The only objection is the presence over the line between \(\gamma\) and \(a\) of a mark which does not suit an accent on \(\gamma a_{[\rho}[\) and might be taken to denote an elision, кat \(\gamma\) '. But that this is its purpose is by no means clear, and unneaning ink-marks occur a little lower down between 11. 214 and 215 ; moreover, Callimachus is rather addicted to kai yip: cf. e.g. Il. 104 and 110 .
\(214^{-5} 5\). The papyrus is imperfect and the form of the signs in the margin here is not quite certain. There seem to be three strokes, a vertical one above and a horizontal one below, with something of the nature of a curve between them. Possibly they had a connexion with the adscript at the top of the page.
 but is not satisfactory. Schneider agrees with Meineke that Callim. Fr. 93 should be connected with Fr. 87 , in which case the latter three verses, if \(\phi \eta[\sigma \varepsilon\) in 1.216 is correct, must have succeeded immediately here. They are

But the first of these lines is not to be identified with 1.217 , and, as \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{MI}\) remarks, the - passage may be referred with more probability to the Iambus partially preserved on Fol. + verso.

218-239. ". ... the left white as a snake's belly, the other, which is oft uncovered, burnt by the sun. What house is there where I am not at the door-post? What seer, what offerer of sacrifice does not take me with him? Yea, and the priestess of Pytho has her seat in laurel, of laurel she sings, of laurel makes her couch. O foolish olive, did not Branchus save the sons of the Ionians, when Phoebus was angry with them, by striking them with laurel and saying twice or thrice ...? I go to feasts and to the Pythian choral dance, I am made a prize of victory, and the Dorians cut me on the hill-tops at Tempe and carry me to Delphi whene'er the rites of Apollo are celebrated. O foolish olive, I am acquainted with no hurt, nor know I the path of the bier-carrier, for I am pure, nor do men trample me, for I am sacred; but with you whenever they are about to burn a corpse or lay it out for burial they crown themselves and also duly place you beneath the sides of the lifeless body ".'

218-19. In 1. 218 above the \(k\) of \(\lambda\) euko there is a mark in dark ink like a large sign of elision, with some lighter cross-strokes through it. ws above the first \(v\) of vopov has been written through a circular mark somewhat like a \(\theta\), from the pen of the original scribe; it is perhaps nothing more than a blot.

The reference in these two lines is obscure. It can hardly be to the olive, with regard to which the distinctions of right and left would be inapposite; nor do the olive's leaves or fruit show any such variation of colour as is here indicated. Nurray suggests that a person wearing an exomis is meant, perhaps Apollo, who is sometimes so represented. \(\dot{\eta} \lambda t o \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \xi\) is a new compound ; the accent was carelessly placed between the \(\pi\) and \(\lambda\), instead of on the \(o\).
223. 'Sings of the laurel' seems rather strange here, and W-M suggests deipet; but it is perhaps best to leave the text as it stands. The \(\delta\) is clear.





 anacoluthon. The emendation of oüs to ov and the restoration of \(\begin{gathered}\text { ' priot } \\ \eta\end{gathered}\) are due to \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\), who in 1.226 further proposes кйдоs oủ тарò киа \(\xi \zeta \beta i\) ( cf . Clem. Alex.), but this does not suit the papyrus. That ov is for ou (not ovi) is apparently indicated by the accent, but ro is followed by a vertical stroke which is not long enough for \(\rho\) and would suit \(t, \kappa, \lambda, \mu\), or \(\nu\). Above the final letter of the line a later hand bas added a curved mark which the printed text reproduces sufficiently nearly: it is not much like a circumflex accent, though possibly leit might be read; \(\eta \beta 6\) is unsuitable. \(\kappa \bar{\eta} \pi \sigma\) os must be right, though the remains of the final
letter suggest \(\in\) rather than \(\sigma\). The sense of the gloss on 1.224 is evident, but its precise form is not very certain; if \(\bar{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\omega} \mu[\varepsilon \nu]\) os is right the bracketed letters were rather widely spaced. In eגau (1.224) there is an (earlier) accent on \(a\) as well as one on \(\iota\).
\(230-2\). The allusion here is to the Delphic theoria sent every ninth year to Tempe, whence a laurel branch was carried back by a \(\delta a \not \subset \nu \eta \phi\) ópos \(\pi\) aîs. This solemnity com-
 Python; see Steph. Byz. p. 223. 12 , Plutarch, Act. Gr. 12 (293 c), Müller, Dorians ii. r. 2. Apparently the form Te \(\mathrm{T} \pi \delta_{\delta \in \nu}\) is not otherwise attested. In I. 232 a faint mark above \(\eta\) of \(\epsilon \pi \eta \nu\) is probably not to be regarded as a grave accent.
\({ }_{2} 3^{1}\). ' 's, as written originally, is the commoner form in the iambists; cf. 1. 248 .
233. The marginal mark is of the nature of a coronis, which however is not particularly apposite here.
234. The appearance of an acute accent (by the first hand?) on oo \(\delta\) is possibly due to the rubbing of a badly written circumflex. os oin \(\nu\) was restored by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\), who also aptly
 justification for the corrector's initial \(\omega: \dot{\delta}+o v=o \dot{i}, \mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g}\). тойvода, Hdt. ка́ \(\mu \pi \tau \epsilon\) is similarly used

235. ov пate \(\hat{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} i \mu^{\prime}:\) cf. 1.250 . The correction of the dittography is by a later hand.
236. The sign of elision was eliminated by a corrector; the original scribe took the

238. \(\pi \lambda_{\epsilon v \rho a[ }\) : the penultimate letter looks like a \(\beta\), but this is probably due to some accident and \(\pi \lambda\) evpa is doubtless the right word.


 attractive, though the кai could well be dispensed with. J may be read in place of Jur, and fioi \(] \pi a \xi\) would yield a tolerable sense.

240-59. 'Thus boasting spake she; but nothing daunted the producer of oil repeiled her: "O laurel, utterly barren of that which I bear, you have sung like a swan at the end ... I help to carry to burial the men whom Ares slays and (am laid on the bier) of the heroes who (perish nobly); and when a white-haired grandmother or an aged Tithonus is borne to the grave by their children, I attend them and am laid upon the ground. I... more than you for those who bring you from Tempe; nay, even in that matter of which you spoke, am I not also as a prize superior to you, for where is the greater festival, at Olympia or at Delphi? Yes, silence is best! I indeed say nought of you that is either good or ill, but the birds have long been sitting among my leaves unwontedly chattering thus"."
240. \(a \dot{u}\langle\chi\rangle \in \hat{v}\left[\sigma^{\prime}:\right.\) sc. \({ }^{\epsilon} \phi \eta\) : that \(\eta=\bar{\eta}\) is less likely. The participial form here was suggested by \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\), to whom also the correction of \(\tau \eta \nu \delta \delta^{\prime}\) to \(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu\) is due. \(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime}\) could stand as an internal accusative with e. g. \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \mu[\varepsilon i \phi \theta \eta\), but this is precluded by the accented \(\eta\). \(\eta\) [ may well be read instead of \(\mu\).

242. The restoration of this verse is largely due to Murray. Tóx[ \(\omega \nu\) is strongly supported by тєкой \(\sigma a\) in 1.24 I , and if \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \grave{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o ́ \kappa[\omega \nu\) be granted, \(a \kappa \ldots\) should be some adjective with privative \(a\). The identity of this adjective is the problem. aк is certain, and the remains of the third letter suggest \(a\) or \(\lambda\), but aкартє cannot be read and aк \(\begin{gathered}\text { пnpe } \\ \text { is, to say the least, }\end{gathered}\) very unsatisfactory. Another possibility is akv, if the \(v\) be supposed to have had as deep a fork as e.g. the first \(v\) of \(v \delta_{p o v}\) in 1. 218, and of the few available words aкv \(\theta_{\epsilon}\) would be
consistent with the papyrus. To this, however, there is the serious objection that in Callim. H. Apoll. 53 the \(v\) is short. But in a derivative of кvetv an irregularity of quantity is not incredible; or perhaps äkvө\{ \(\nu\rangle \in\) might be written (cf. Hesych. s.v, \(\kappa \nu \theta v o ́ v)\). The oblique dash in the margin may be presumed to mark the commencement of the speech.
\(\left.{ }^{243-4 .} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau \hat{j}\right)\). . . \(\tilde{\eta} \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a s:\) : i. e. your words are a presage of defeat. W-MI thinks that the point of this allusion to the кv́кvecov \(\mu \dot{\text { f }}\) os is the mention by the laurel of funerals, which is accepted as a bad omen.
 \(\nu e\) might be read, but the remaining vestiges, though very slight, scarcely suit \(\mu\) ove o七rov.
\({ }^{2}+8\). \(\epsilon \sigma\) : the papyrus is broken, but there would hardly have been room for \(\epsilon \sigma \sigma\).

 letters are faint, but do not suit \(\tau \eta s\) o \(\begin{gathered}\text { ov. }\end{gathered}\)

25I. - \(\epsilon\) ov followed by \({ }_{\eta}\) looks like a comparative, and \(\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu\), though unconvincing, may be right. rò \(\theta \epsilon i \hat{\nu}\) is a possible reading. The vestiges of the first letter of the line suggest e.g. \(\gamma, \kappa, \nu\), or \(\tau\), and the fourth is probably \(\epsilon\) or \(\sigma\). Murray thought of \(\tau \in \lambda \epsilon\) i \(\sigma a \pi \lambda \epsilon i o v\), which may give the sense but cannot be read: possibly \(\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \epsilon i o v\).
\({ }^{2} 5^{2}\). \(\dot{a} \lambda \lambda\) ' ürev is doubtfully deciphered and \(\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}\), \(\tau \hat{v}\) which W-M proposes, is not impossible ; but if the letter before \(\tau\) was \(a\), it was unusually upright, and that following \(\tau\) is more satisfactory as \(\in\) than as \(o\); moreover, there is a faint mark after \(a \lambda \lambda\) which may denote an elision. Another mark above \(a \lambda\) might be taken for an accent.
253. \(\kappa \omega \sigma\) is better interpreted with \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) as \(\kappa \dot{\omega} s=\kappa a i\) ís than as \(\kappa \hat{\omega} s=\pi \hat{\omega} s\). It is hardly
 this contest ' (cf. the \(\pi \tau \omega \mu a r a\) of 11.265 sqq.), but since the reference clearly is to 1.229 , to give \(u^{\prime} \epsilon \theta\) dov one sense there and another here would not be at all satisfactory.
254. There are several blunders in this line: a \(\nu\) was originally omitted, ovえv \(\mu \pi \imath \eta\) which will not scan was written for 'Oגv \(\frac{1}{i n}\), and it also seems evident that ovy \(\omega\) (or \(-\tau \omega \nu\) ) is for \(\dot{\omega} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu\). With these modifications the sentence might pass muster, but there can be no question that W-M's emendation of каi to кồ distinctly improves it-unless, as Murray suggests, we read in \(1.25+\frac{j \nu}{\eta}\), 'always was.' The remains of the \(\gamma\) of \(\gamma\left[{ }^{\prime}\right.\) ' \(\rho\) are very slight, but with that exception the imperfect letters are fairly clear.
\({ }^{2} 5^{6-9}\). Cf. ll. \({ }^{2} 77-8\). There is a contrast between \({ }^{\prime} \gamma \dot{\omega}\) and öpu \(\theta \in s\), as is indicated by the stop inserted by a later hand after ovoॄv in 1.257 , and ä \(\eta \theta\) es must be taken adverbially : 'I neither praise nor blame ; it is the birds in my branches which chatter thus.' The olive humourously attributes to the birds its unflattering remarks. An extensive use of the same motive is made in the Vienna fragments of the Hecale, where a large part is taken by birds; see Wilamowitz, Götting. Nachr., 1893, pp. 733-6. Above the oof oputes a slightly curved stroke in black ink is unsuitable for an acute accent and is much more like a sign of elision; but oi öprites should make oũpules, and though the space occupied by the o is somewhat large, \(v\) was certainly not written after it, nor, probably, an i. tuvvpígovar in 1. 258 is the Attic tovӨopíSovau (or -púsovara), and the difference of spelling here may be due to corruption, though in the case of an onomatopoeic form it is unsafe to assume this. tovepúsev and roveopvyeiv also occur. The adscript written in coarse and indistinct letters at some distance to the right of the line is perhaps a gloss on \(\tau \nu \theta v p i\}\) bination with \(\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{g} \\ & \mathbf{v} \\ & \text {, though that would not be very apposite. In 1. } 259 \text { кwridour or }\end{aligned}\) к \(\omega \tau \iota \lambda a u \sigma\) can be read, but it is difficult to find a suitable word to follow in agreement with it ; \({ }_{k \omega \tau \lambda}\) \&s \(\sigma(\epsilon)\) would also serve. A vestige of the letter before \(\epsilon v\) suggests \(\gamma, \tau, \rho\), or \(\phi\). The letters after \(\epsilon v\) are very uncertain; \(\sigma \iota v\) or \(\sigma a t\) is possible, but the \(\sigma\) in either case is not at all satisfactory, and the final letter may be \(\sigma\). Above the line a small \(\delta\) in black ink is
clear, joined on the left by a horizontal stroke which could well belong to an \(\epsilon\); and conceivably a third letter preceded. Another participle is not attractive, though perhaps easiest to reconcile with the remains.

260-80. 'Who found the laurel? the earth (produced it) just like the ilex, the oak, the galingale, or other timber. Who found the olive? Pallas, when she contended for Acte with him who dwells amid the seaweed, and the man of old who in the lower parts was a snake gave judgement. That is one fall for the laurel. Who of the immortals honours the olive, who the laurel? Apollo the laurel, Pallas her discovery the olive. In this they are even, for I distinguish not between gods. What is the laurel's fruit? For what shall I use it ? Neither eat it nor drink it nor anoint yourself with it! But that of the olive pleases in many ways: it is a morsel for food . . ., and with it as an unguent one may dive as deep as Theseus (?). A second fall 1 set down to the laurel. Whose is the leaf that suppliants hold forward? The olive's: for the third and last time is the laurel thrown. Oh, the tireless ones! how they chatter. Shameless crow, does not your beak ache? Whose is the trunk preserved by the Delians? The olive's, which gave a seat to Leto.'
\(26 \mathrm{I}=265^{*} \hat{V}^{\prime} \lambda \eta \nu\) is superior to the marginal variant \(\pi \epsilon \dot{\kappa} \kappa \eta \nu\), which spoils the climax. The wavy mark above the \(v\) is a form of diaeresis.
\(262-5=261-4\). These four verses, originally omitted owing to the homoeoarchon ris . . einainv, have been subsequently supplied at the top of the page, their position being marked by the symbol in the margin. In l. 262 (261) the corrector has ris, but \(\tau\) ts \(\delta\), as written by the first hand in 1.266 , is preferable. At the end of the verse \(\eta[\rho] s \in[\epsilon\) is restored with much probability by W-M, who also points out that this is the passage cited in Schol.



 384 , wrongly referred by Meineke to \(\Lambda\) ovтp. Пà入. 26, by Schneider to Aet. i. 4); cf.

 W-MI is obviously right in emending apरatov to àpxaios. The correction of \(\phi \omega\) to \(\phi v\) was by a third hand.
267. \(v\) of \(\epsilon \nu \rho \epsilon \nu\) was added by a corrector.
268. छ̇vvóv Murray. à̀raîs is put for ijpì because the birds are supposed to be speaking ; cf. ll. 277-8.
\(271-3\). The general sense evidently is that the produce of the olive is good both as food and as an unguent, but a satisfactory restoration is still to be found. In I. 271 there is a slight break in the papyrus in front of the two interlineated letters, but if a third had been written it would have probably been partially apparent; underneath this, just below the supposed \(\sigma\), a dot of black ink is visible, perhaps implying a deletion. But in a sentence contrasting the internal and external uses of the olive \(\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \omega\), which was apparently originally written, would have a point, while the intention of the corrector is not clear: "to seems unintelligible. \(\mu\) máag may have the sense 'mouthful', 'morsel', as in I 324, Theocr. xiv. 39 ; in both of those passages the word is used of birds and so is very appropriate here ; cf. 1. 277. In l. 273 the employment of oil as an unguent is apparently traced back to Theseus. \(\beta a\) is followed at a slight interval by a short vertical stroke which may be part of the next letter, e. g. \(\nu\), or possibly a sign of elision, \(\beta a\) ? . A verb is expected after 并, and therefore \(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \pi a\). . .
\(\chi^{\dot{\omega}}\) (or \(\kappa \dot{\omega} ?\) ) is suitable ; the alternative is \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \ldots \omega\) with a verb supplied from what precedes. The remains rather suggest a \(\phi\) at an interval of one letter from \(\pi\), and \(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \phi \nu \in\) is not impossible; but a reference to one of the persons or animals slain by Theseus scems difficult to work in here, especially with the feminine \(\eta_{\nu}\). Moreover, the letter next to \(\pi\) is more like \(a\) than the succeeding vestiges are like \(\phi\), and both cannot be read; probably, therefore, the \(\phi\) is to be rejected and if \(\epsilon \pi a\) is right the two following letters could well be \(\lambda_{\tau}\). At the beginning of the line the doubtful \(\nu\) may be \(\mu\) or \(\sigma\), and above it is a mark like a grave accent. A mention of the ènaia кoд \(\nu \mu \beta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) (Athen. 56 b ) is hardly likely; on the other hand some form or derivative of кодv \(\beta \beta \hat{a} \nu\) is not unattractive, and in front of the \(v\) there is a tiny vestige visible which, if it is really part of a letter, is quite consistent with \(\lambda\). Hence it is rather tempting to suppose with Murray that the allusion is to the famous dive
 graphical conditions, but would be excessively harsh : the use of the preposition is abnormal, while if \({ }_{a}{ }^{\prime \prime}\) be emended to \(\ddot{\eta} \nu\), a verb is still lacking and is not easily supplied; moreover \(\hat{\eta} \nu\) \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi a ̄ \lambda \tau o\) (sc, коли́ \(\mu \beta \eta \sigma \nu\) ) is barely tolerable. Possibly \(\epsilon \cdot[. .\).\(] is a verb governing \chi \rho \bar{\mu} \mu a\), and
 of a lacuna in the text.
\(2^{275-8}\). This passage was written twice over, and the superfluous four lines were bracketed by a corrector. It may be suspected that the dittography is to be connected with the omission of the four verses at the top of the page. The scribe, or a predecessor, may have been led by the stichometry to notice that he was four lines short, and accordingly may have made up the deficiency by the simple method of repetition. It is difficult to believe that he could write out four whole verses twice in immediate proximity without being aware of the error. There was some slip in 1.275 a after rap.
 originally written.
 the birds who are talking. к \(\omega\) riגi \(\xi_{\epsilon \in \nu}\) is novel. The marginal symbol (by a later hand) opposite this line was perhaps intended to call attention to the dittography.
\({ }_{2}{ }^{7} 8\). The crow is singled out as the chatterer par excellence. For \(\chi\) єìdos of a bird's beak cf. e. g. Eurip. Ion 1199.

 \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\); there is room for a slightly longer supplement. \(\lambda\) or \(\nu\) might be read in place of \(\kappa\), and the breathing on \(\eta\), though probable, is not certain.
281. ] J \(t\) : or \(\tau \iota\).
282. ...vv, if that be the reading, may of course be a single word. . . . \(\mu / \nu \eta\) is unsuitable.
286. It would be desirable to make aüre . . . єts parallel to oüre \(\pi \iota a{ }^{2} i\) ijets in the next line, if a verb were forthcoming; but it is difficult to avoid \(\mu\) ávetes, for which support may be found in 1.221.
288. A slight interval is left before the two last letters of \(\delta u \nsim \nu \eta\), probably owing to a flaw in the papyrus; cf. note on l. 119.
290. At the beginning of the line the first hand wrote something like \(\eta \gamma \rho \eta \sigma\) or \(\eta \sigma \tau \eta \sigma\), which the corrector apparently wished to convert into \(\bar{\eta} \lambda \eta \eta \sigma \epsilon\). \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{MI}\), however, points out that \(\dot{a} \theta v \mu \dot{o} s \eta^{\eta} \lambda \eta \eta \sigma \epsilon\) is a rather tautologous expression ; moreover \(\eta \lambda \eta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu\) is the word which seems best adapted to the remains at the end of the verse, where neither \(\left.\eta x \theta^{*} \epsilon \sigma\right] \theta_{\eta}\) nor \(\omega \rho \gamma[\iota \sigma] \theta \eta\) nor \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \eta r[\iota \sigma] \epsilon \nu\) is suitable. He therefore proposes boldly to restore \(\omega\) wion \(\sigma \epsilon\), which is provisionally adopted.
291. Perhaps \(\epsilon^{i}\) кот', possibly єiरov ; but a partial restoration here is useless.
292. This line describes the tree which bere intervenes in the discussion. The doubtful \(\sigma\) may be \(\rho\), but \(\tau \rho \eta \chi^{v}\) seems unlikely.
293. \(a \pi \pi \omega \theta\) is a necessary correction of \(a \pi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu\).
294. \(\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \eta \nu}(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M})\) is extremely doubtful ; it is not clear exactly how far the line extends.
295. \(\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \theta \ell \theta\) pat is easily emended ; what follows is more open to question. Either \(\mu \eta \delta \epsilon\) or \(\mu \eta \lambda_{\epsilon}\) may be read; and the accusative \(\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda a s\), if correct, indicates a verb of speaking. W-M proposes \(\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \phi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu\) which, however, is open to the objection that there seems to be no actual use of \(\phi \eta \mu i\) in this sense. Hence it is simpler to read \(\mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \mu \in \nu\), placing the note of interrogation either after \(\pi a v \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a\), or, to avoid the asyndeton, after
 satisfactory, since the \(\epsilon\) is slightly separated from the letter before it; and between them is a faint mark which may represent an elision. \(\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}\) єроv \(\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}\) would be attractive, if the
 \(\sigma i \gamma^{\prime} \dot{d} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \iota \mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \lambda i a \nu \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \bar{i}\), but an example of such a construction in the first person is lacking.
296. The letter before a \(a \lambda a\) seems to be a round one, \(\epsilon, \theta\), or \(\sigma\); ro is followed by a vertical stroke which would suit e.g. a \(\nu\); the final \(a\) is very uncertain; the letter preceding may be \(\mu, \nu\), or \(\lambda\).
297. \(\nu \eta\) in \(\delta a \phi \nu \eta\) is apparently written in the form of a compendium, the second upright of the \(v\) serving as the first of the \(\eta\).
299. A trace of ink above \(\iota\) of \(\mu\) may indicate some interlinear addition; it is not quite in the right place for an elision sign.

299-300. 'Don't you prescribe patience to me, as if you were one of us; your very presence chokes me.' ev̆areктos is unsupported, but seems a possible word in the sense of 'tolerant'; єüoropyov is unsuitable. There might be another letter in front of the initial \(\epsilon\), which is not quite in a straight line with the beginnings of the preceding verses. A mark of elision should perhaps be recognized above the a of \(\gamma\) eitoveva.
304. The very light vestiges of the last letter are not inconsistent with a \(\phi\).
309. \(\dot{\alpha}[\) [ \(i\) o \(\sigma o v: ~ \mu v \theta o v\) is hardly to be read.

3II. \(\mu \mathrm{o}(\hat{v})\) vov: W-M suggests the insertion of \(v\); a tribrach however, though rare, does occur, e. g. Callim. Fr. 86.
 the detached fragment on which the preceding lines \(303-12\) are written. A crease down the recto proves that the fragment is the upper part of Fol. 6 and also shows its relative vertical position ; but it is not certain that the combination with ]. \(\nu\) and jkpovo \(\epsilon\) is correct.
 Callimachus is perhaps satirically alluding.
322. Possibly the supposed \(\gamma\) below the overwritten \(\chi\) has been crossed through.
325. Bpáxtov, which must be scanned as a disyllable, with the Ionic short 4 , is not very satisfactory, especially with \(\tau 0 \nu\) preceding; but tov need not be the article, and the remains suggest \(\beta\) pa. \(\beta\) paxiov is impossible both on account of the following \(\mu\), which seems undeniable, and because the verse then becomes too long, even if efear, which is very doubtful, is wrong. The appearance of a \(\sigma\) may be caused by a low circumflex accent (though there is no other sure instance of an accent on this leaf); in that case the two next letters might well be \(\nu \tau, \epsilon \rho . \hat{\nu} \tau\). For \(\mu \nu \iota \zeta_{\epsilon t}=\mu \nu \xi_{\epsilon \epsilon}\) cf. 1.73 , where \(\chi \rho \epsilon t \sigma o v s\) may be a corruption of xpurous through an intermediate xporrous. The termination is more like \(\zeta\) ¢e than \(\zeta o v\), and \(\mu\) et \(\zeta 0 \nu\) would also be an inexact form in this dialect.
329. An elision mark should perhaps be recognized after the \(\kappa\) of ovvex: \(\nu\) in that word has been rewritten in darker ink. At the end of the line \(\mu \eta \rho .(\mu \eta \rho \overline{\mathrm{i}}\) ?) is possible.

333-5. Lines \(334-5\) are repeated on the recto \(11.348-9\), and 1.333 also begins with the same word as \(1.3+7\) while its conclusion apparently coincides with that of 1.345 ; cf. II. \(275^{-8} a\), where a dittography of four lines has been cancelled by a corrector. Owing to the imperfect context no reason can be assigned for the present more complex repetition, nor can the right place of the verses be determined. When Callimachus says that choliambic poets should draw their inspiration from Ephesus he is of course again alluding to Hipponax (cf. II. 92 sqq.), whose native city Ephesus was.
\(346-7\). The combination of \(\epsilon \kappa \ldots \rho\) and \(o v \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma\) with what follows is uncertain; cf. note on 1.313 .

347-9. Cf. II. 333-5 and note. Of the latter part of I. 348 only slight vestiges remain, and the central portion of 1.349 would have been undecipherable without the aid of 1.335 ; but the dittography is sufficiently evident.
352. Both aspirated and unaspirated perfect forms occur in later Ionic, and \(\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi[\epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \dot{\sigma} \iota t\) may therefore stand. \({ }^{\prime \prime \mu \pi \epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon\) is found in three MSS. in Hippocr. ix. 192. This verse offers a pretty certain instance of a tribrach; cf. note on I. 311 .
353. \(\pm \omega \rho / \sigma \tau i\) is a fairly suitable reading, and is a most likely word to be coupled with 'Iarti, which was suggested by W-M. кaiodıoтi is not possible.
357. The last letter ( \(\epsilon, \theta\) ?) before the lacuna in the middle of the line has been rewritten or altered in blacker ink. A similar modification has been made in the letter dividing the second and third lacunae in l. \(35^{8}\).

361 . The remains after \(\lambda\) suggest a \(v\), but this gives no word.
364. It seems difficult to escape \(\dot{\rho} \epsilon \hat{v} v \tau a t\), with which may be compared Theocr. xxx. \(3^{2} \delta \epsilon \dot{i} \mu \epsilon \nu \nu v\), Herodas vi. 77 'ं \(\gamma \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma a . \quad \nu\) preceding the \(\rho\) is almost certain.
366. \(\sigma v \nu \tau \theta\) eis \(\dot{\text { i }} \ldots\). . or \(\sigma v \nu \tau i \theta \epsilon \ell\), oì . . . The line ends with a vertical stroke, which would suit e. g. ८ or \(\eta\).
368. The last word is possibly ypíqut, in which case there is a letter between \(\gamma\) and the preceding a.

369-73. That the detached fragment containing the ends of the lines belongs to this column is shown by the metre, but the number of letters lost in the middle cannot be estimated. Something must be wrong in 1. 371.
382. \(\tau \eta\) : or \(\tau \epsilon\). With \(\tau \eta\) there need not be more than one letter before \(\eta \nu\).

389. Perhaps \({ }^{2} \gamma \lambda\) (air \(\gamma \mu \tau^{\prime}\) ', as \(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}\) suggests; but another adjective is also possible.
390. \(\pi\) aix \(0[4]\) : of. I. 395 . The form is best left unaltered in view of the occasional interchange of \(\gamma\) with \(\chi\) in Ionic ; cf. Herodian ii. \({ }_{2} 5^{2}=\) Etym. Magn. \({ }^{15}\) I. 39 äp \(\chi \mu \epsilon \nu s^{*} . .\).
 examples are collected in Smyth, Ionic Dialect, p. 296.

395 sqq. The latter portion of these lines is again on a detached fragment, the position of which is fixed not only by the metre and the appearance of the papyrus, but also by the fact that \(11.400-2\) have been to a large extent rewritten, and this renovation is carried out at the ends of the corresponding lines of the fragment. The width of the gap, however, cannot be measured.
401. रuv \(\eta\) : or rovv \(\eta\) ?

404-7. There can be no doubt, owing to the appearance of the papyrus, that the small fragment containing the letters ]ax[ \&c. from the bottom of a column is to be placed here, though its relative distance from what precedes and follows is uncertain. It cannot be joined up so as to read mávra kuì [in 1. 404.
406. There are some traces of ink above the line in front of \(\psi \in \iota\)
408. vnós is the Callimachean form, but vaús occurs in some Ionic inscriptions and so
may be admissible. \(\mu[\epsilon] \lambda \lambda \omega \nu\) would be a possible reading, which it might be easier to combine with the following letters; but since, as 1.409 shows (unless \(\omega \pi 0 \lambda \lambda o v\) there is an error for \(a \pi o \lambda \lambda o r)\), there is a loss of two syllables at the beginning of the verse, \(\mu[\epsilon] \lambda \lambda \omega \nu\) would not be metrical.


 \(\dot{\eta}\) rivaфєронév \(\begin{aligned} & \text { écri } \chi \rho v \sigma i \not \tau s, ~ F o r ~ a n o t h e r ~ p r o b a b l e ~ r e m i n i s c e n c e ~ o f ~ H e r o d o t u s ~ o f . ~ C a l l i m . ~\end{aligned}\) Fr. 209.
425. \(\pi\) ohıs might be read instead of \(\pi o \nu \omega\).
427. C.f. note on Frs. 2-8.
429. Cf. Soph. Fr. 868 रpóvos \(\delta\) ' \(\grave{a} \mu a v \rho a i ̂ ~ \pi a ́ v z a . ~\)

Fr. 1. This fragment from the top of a leaf very likely belongs to Fol. 6 ; Fol. 7 is excluded by a difference in the width of the upper margin.

Frs. 2-8 are likely to come from either Fol. 6 or Fol. 7, and Frs. 6 and 8 almost certainly do so. The former might be placed so that \(\nu \eta \sigma \sigma v \sigma\) immediately precedes \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau\) in 1. 427 ; the second line of the recto would then coincide with 1.387 , but though there are several alternatives to \(\lambda_{\iota \pi о}\), a suitable combination at that point has not been established. Fr. 8 might be turned the other way up and l. I of the recto (which will then be l. 2) read as ]. \(\omega \theta\). The letters of 1 . i of Fr. 7 have been renovated in black ink, and in I. i of Fr. 3 also there was some addition by a later hand.

Fr. 13 . The recto possibly gives beginnings of lines.
Fr. \({ }_{15}\). The fragment should perhaps not be included here but referred to some other MS. The ink and the spacing of the lines is similar, but the letters are somewhat smaller and their formation in one or two cases looks different.

\section*{1012. Treatise on Literary Composition.}
\[
\text { Height } 33.5 \mathrm{~cm} \text {. Third century. Plate IV (Frs. 1-3). }
\]

The following fairly extensive fragments of a prose treatise of the Roman period proceed from the same large literary find to which we owe 841-4, 852-3, and, in the present volume, also 1016-17. How precisely the subject of the treatise is to be defined is not immediately clear. There is a considerable diversity of topics: an analysis of the characteristics of Lysias (A), observations on systems of Ethics (B), a collection of instances of omission and suppression of names or facts in various prose-writers (C), criticism of 'the orators' for belittling the achievements of Philip (D), censure of the diction of Xenophon (F), a list of words having double meanings, which in Attic, though not elsewhere, were distinguished by different accents, and of other 'Atticisms' and 'Hellenisms' (G). This variety might be explained by supposing the work to be of the nature
of a commentary, but if so, this could hardly fail to be more obvious, and the view does not seem tenablc. At first sight, indeed, àdy \(\theta_{\epsilon}\) 's and àxpeiov in Fr. 16. 3 and 14 might be taken for lemmata; but it is hardly conceivable that \(\ddot{a} y \rho o t \sim o s, \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\epsilon} s\), and \(\dot{a} \chi \rho \in \dot{i} 0 \nu\), all exemplifying the peculiar Attic accentuation, actually occurred in juxtaposition in some book, and the following fragment shows clearly that the writer was simply collecting Atticisms. Indentation of lines such as that in Frs. 21-2 is no doubt a usual feature in scholia (cf. e. g. 853 or the Berlin Didymus) ; but quotations of any kind and not only lemmata for comment were thus distinguished, and the nature of the small fragments in question is too doubtful for them to be taken as the basis of an argument. There is no real indication that the remains are not those of a connected treatise. Its scope would be more evident if the upper part of Fr. 1. Col. ii were in better preservation; when, however, the writer there declares his intention of considering what books (or parts of books) were good, and lays down that ó خópos has four divisions (11. 5 sqq. ; cf. Fr. 13.26 sqq.), the most natural conclusion is that he was a literary critic, and that his treatise related in a general way to composition or style, more particularly (though not exclusively ; cf. Frs. I8 and \({ }^{23}\) ) in prose, and perhaps with predominant reference to oratory. Discursiveness is natural with such a theme; and the technical linguistic discussions of Frs. 14-17 are quite in keeping with it.

With regard to the author, his date at any rate can be fixed within tolerably narrow limits. He refers to Didymus of Alexandria and probably to Caecilius Calactinus ( \(\mathrm{Fr} .13 .24-5\) ), who both flourished at about the beginning of the Christian era; on the other hand, the manuscript is hardly later than the middle of the third century (see below). Hence the two termini for the date of composition are approximately A.D. 50 and 200 . Of his qualities, these disconnected fragments scarcely provide the material for a fair estimate. He was sufficiently familiar with the classics, judging from the frequent references and citations, which include, besides the writers just mentioned, Herodotus (Fr. 9. ii. 56), Thucydides (Frs. 5. 3, 9. ii. 23, \(3^{6}\) sqq., iii. 37), Xenophon, Hellenica and Agesilaus (Fr. 14. 3, 9), Theopompus, Philippica (Fr. 9. ii. 13), Lysias (Fr. I. ii. 20), Demosthenes, In Androt. \&c. (Frs. 1. ii. 36, 9. ii. .20, iii. 46, 13. ii. 17), Aeschines, In Timarch. (Fr. 9. ii. 6, 14), 'The orators'(Fr. 11. ii. 4), Theophrastus, Пєрі̀ каь \(\rho \hat{\nu} \nu(\) Fr. 9. ii. 27), Heraclides Ponticus (Fr. 9. ii. 1), Aristippus (Fr. 6. 13), Epicurus (? Fr. 6. II), Aristophanes (Fr. 23. 3), and another comedian (Fr. 9. ii. 3) ; and he shows good knowledge of detail (cf. e. g. notes on Fr. 9. ii. 6-7 and 14-22). Some inaccuracies in names (Fr. 9. ii. 43, 51, 55) are no doubt copyists' errors. His brief estimate of Lysias is judicious, recalling the criticism of Dionysius of Halicarnassus, of which it might almost be a summary (cf. Fr. I.
ii. 20 sqq. and note) ; he had a correct appreciation of the greatness of Philip; and his remarks on Xenophon's vocabulary, so far as they can be followed, seem not unjustifiable. Modern critics too have fallen foul of \(\pi \circ \lambda v \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \epsilon \tau \omega ่ т a \tau o s . ~\) There is then some reason to regret that the treatise has been recovered in such poor preservation.

Its fragments, which originally amounted to over one hundred, have been reduced by combination to nearly half that number; but efforts to find a connexion between the larger resulting pieces, designated by the letters A to G, have been unsuccessful. A roll of which the recto was already occupied by a cursive document was used, the writing proceeding in the contrary direction, i. e. the beginning of one text corresponding with the conclusion of the other. That on the recto is an official account, portions of which are printed under 1045, dating from the reign of Septimius Severus, and apparently after his thirteenth year. The literary text on the verso is therefore subsequent to A.D. 20 -5 , while from the character of the handwriting it would be placed at no great distance from that date. It is written in tall columns in a medium-sized sloping hand, an elegant, and to all appearance by no means a late example of the oval type so frequently met with. A period of from thirty to fifty years will be sufficient to allow for the recto to become antiquated and useless, and the conditions will thus be well satisfied if the manuscript on the back be assigned to about the middle of the third century. Lectional marks are scanty. There are no stops, but the more important pauses are denoted by paragraphi, sometimes accompanied by a blank space in the body of the text ( \(\mathrm{Fr} .13,26\) ). A single instance of an accent apparently occurs (Fr. 13. 32), though not, where it would be most expected, in Frs. \(16-17\), where accentual differences are under discussion. The usual angular sign, which here not seldom assumes the shape of a comma, is used to fill the shorter lines, but with little consistency, and the ends of the lines are rather ragged; with regard to their beginnings also the scribe was somewhat irregular, gradually advancing to the feft and so giving his columns a considerable slope to the right. His occasional errors in copying have remained uncorrected.

In default of any clear indications regarding the relative position of the main fragments, the arrangement adopted below is more or less arbitrary. A, which is much worm-eaten, is placed first on the strength of Col. ii, part of which seems to be of an introductory character ; but, of course, this may be merely the introduction of a fresh section, especially as analogous language occurs in Fr. 13. 26 sqq., which cannot be brought into close connexion with A. On the recto of \(\Lambda\) is part of an official letter in the same hand as the account, to which it presumably refers ; cf. 1045. B, like A, has been damaged by worms, and possibly its first column is the bottom of A Col. iii ; the recto contains only
a few letters, but these so far as they go suit that supposition. The third portion, C , is the largest that has survived, including one nearly complete column; on the recto of this are beginnings of lincs from the account : cf. 1045. D consists of two small picces, more decayed than the rest ; it has been put next to C because, like Col. iii of the latter, it relates to Philip; but the recto is inconsistent with the hypothesis that Fr. 11 Col. ii is the top of C, Col. iii. E and F are two narrow strips, the former containing remains of two columns, the latter ends of lines from another. The shape of the upper part of Fr. 13, which resembles that of C , renders it likely that this fragment comes from near the top of a column. F , on the other hand, is not improbably from near the bottom. On the recto of both there are slight remains of a few lines, some of which in each case seem to be of the nature of headings or correspondence. The linguistic criticism of F smooths the transition to G (Frs. 16-17), the technical details of which may be suitably reserved for the final place. Fr. 17 probably succeeds Fr. 16, and perhaps belongs to the latter's second column. The recto of Fr. 16 contains a few letters from the tops of two columns, of which the second at any rate shows the same formula as the recto of C. In shape, the left-hand side of this fragment is similar to the upper portion of C and of Fr. 13. Perhaps A, on the strength of the contents of the recto, should be placed at the end instead of the beginning, and E and F be grouped along with that section.

A (Frs. 1-5). Plate IV.
Fr. x. Col. i.
```

    [..]. \nu[
    \alpha .[.][t\omega[. . . . . .]T\omega . [
    \pi[. .]\pio[. . . . . .] . a\rho\chi }\underset{~}{\alpha
    \mu\epsilonyos [. . . . . . .]! \delta\epsilont[
    5 т\iota\nu\alpha т\rhoо[\piо\nu ...]. s єv к\alpha[\iota к\alpha
\kappa\omegas \epsilonXо\nu\tau\alpha \tau\omega! \beta\iota\beta\lambda\iota\omega!\nu [\tau\alpha }\mu\epsilon
ov\nu \epsilonv \epsilon\chiO\nuT\alpha \epsilon\pi\iota\sigma\kappa\epsilon\pi[T\epsilonO\nu \tau\iota
\nu\alpha \epsilon\sigma\taut\nu \tau\alpha [ }\mu]\epsilon\rho\eta \tauov \lambda[0]yov \epsilon
\pi\rhoo0\epsilon\mu\epsilon\nu[ots \epsilon]\sigma\tau[l]\nu
Iо \rhoа кат\alpha [. .]\nu[. . . . . . .]ov[. . . .].
\epsilonv \mu\epsilon\rho[ ]. \lambda\alpha\gamma[
[.]\epsilon\delta\epsilont ка[ ]us \delta\epsilon\iotaк[

```


Fr. 1, Fr. 3.

Col. iii. Plate IV.
```

[.]\pio[
[.] T\omega\nu \pi\rhooo\iota[ }\mut\omega
[\pi]\rhoo<br>alpha\alpha\beta\omega\nu . [
[. . .] \epsilon\sigma\tautly [ \pit (?)
25 . [

```
5 Өavך кац є! \(\kappa\)
\(\eta l\)
    \(\mu a \epsilon \xi \epsilon \nu \eta \nu[\epsilon \gamma \kappa\)
    кои \(\eta \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon_{-}^{-}\)
\(\delta \iota\)
\(\kappa[\)
    \(\alpha \lambda \in \lambda \nu \mu \in \nu O \nu\) [
    крітוкоу \(\eta \boldsymbol{\lambda}\)
10 [.] \({ }^{2} \tau \omega \nu \quad \delta \iota \eta \gamma[\)
    [. .]Tov \(\pi \alpha \sigma \chi \eta\) [
    [ \(\alpha] \rho \mu \circ \delta \rho[.\).\(] . [\)
    \([\). . ] \(\sigma \tau \alpha \quad \gamma[\alpha] \rho\) [
    [....] \(\pi a \rho a[\)
15 [. . . . \(] \sigma \kappa[\)
5 lines lost
\({ }^{1} 5\) [. . . . .] \(\sigma \kappa[\)
\(3 \circ\) [
35 [.] • [
    I X

Fr. 4.
]. [
. . .]. vaג[. . .] . [
. . .]va tov ptr[opos
-. \(] \nu \in s \quad \delta \iota a \pi o \lambda[\)
]. [

Fr. 5.
] K [
\(] \mu \in \tau[\)
\(\Theta]\) оуки \([\delta \iota \delta\)
] \(\epsilon \theta\). [
[.]. [ Fr. 7.
\(\begin{array}{cc}5 \cdot[ & \text { Fr. } 8 . \\ 0 \nu[ & \end{array}\)

Col. ii.
\(\alpha \nu \tau \alpha\)
\(\kappa \alpha[\)
e \(\iota \rho[\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\delta] 0 \xi \alpha[.] \quad \gamma![\). & \(\alpha \lambda \lambda]\) \\
\hline & \(] \nu\) кає \(\pi \in \rho \iota\) 上о & To o[ \\
\hline & ] ката лov \(\beta\) lov & \(\mu \cdot[\) \\
\hline 5 & ] \(\subseteq[l] \nu \alpha t \quad \lambda \in \gamma \sim \nu \tau \in S\) & \(10 ¢ v[\) \\
\hline & ]pas o к. \(\omega^{\prime}\) ] \(\lambda\) yout & \(\ddot{v} \beta \cdot[\rho\) \\
\hline & \(\nu\) os ] . \(\downarrow \nu \eta \nu \ddot{v} \lambda \eta \nu\) & \(\pi \cdot[\) \\
\hline & \(\epsilon_{?}^{7} l \nu \alpha \iota \delta \in \iota \nu\). \(\Gamma\). & . . \\
\hline & \(] l \nu \quad o \iota \delta \in \nu \quad \eta \chi[\). & \(\lambda[\) \\
\hline 10 & ] \(\theta\) eous ovta \({ }^{\text {c }}\) & \(o[\) \\
\hline & ]є \(\epsilon \nu\) ¢s \(E \pi \iota\) & 15 ¢ \(\quad\) ¢ \\
\hline & koupos (?) ] \(\eta\) ¢ \(0 \nu \eta \nu\) teגos & \(\tau \epsilon[\) \\
\hline & \(\epsilon \iota v a l ~ \lambda] \epsilon \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s\) ws \(A\) pıftin & \(\alpha[\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
C (Fr. 9).

Col. i.
Col. ii.
[0 Поvтוк]os \(\delta \epsilon\) Hpa[k \(\epsilon \epsilon \delta \eta s\)

[. . . . . .]s o \(k \omega \mu[\) [коs . . . . . .
[. . . . ] \(] \omega \nu\) кає \(\delta[. . . . . . . .\).
\(5[. . ..] \in \nu \tau \in \lambda \in \sigma[\).
[. . .] \(\epsilon \iota \pi \omega \nu \quad \tau o ~ o \nu[0 \mu \alpha \quad \tau \eta S \in \nu\)
\([\tau \eta]\) I \(\mu \in \rho \alpha[i] \in \rho \in \iota \alpha[s . . .\).
[. .] \(\rho \eta \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \pi \alpha[\lambda \iota \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \tau \omega \nu \pi o\)

1о \(\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu\) [ovк \(\epsilon \iota \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon\)
та оуоната \(\eta \sigma \alpha \nu\) ס[є Avtitatpos
кає Пар \(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \iota \omega \nu \kappa[\alpha \iota\) Eupvлохоs
ws їбторєі \(\Theta \in о \pi о \mu[\pi о s ~ \epsilon V \tau \eta\)
\(\epsilon[\kappa] \tau \eta \quad \tau \omega \nu \quad \Phi_{\iota} \lambda \iota \pi \pi \iota\left[\kappa \omega \nu \quad A l \sigma \chi^{\iota}\right.\)
]
\({ }^{1} 5 \nu \eta S\) סє то ката \(\gamma \rho[\alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \iota \nu\)


```

THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI
\tauо\nu I\pi\pi\iota\alpha\nu к\alpha\iota о\tau\ell \epsilon\nu \Lambdaак\epsilon\deltaа\iota
\muо\nu\iota \tau\omega\nu \Pi[l]\sigma\iota\sigma\tau\rhoат\iota\delta\omega\nu к\alpha
\tau\alpha\chi0\eta\nu\alpha\iota \delta\epsilonо\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\nu ка\iota }K\lambda
o\mu\epsilon\nuovs \sigma[v]\nua\gammaop\epsilonvo\nu\tauos \alphav
55 Tots a\nu\tau\epsilon\iota\pi\epsilon \sum\omegaк\lambda\etas o Kopı\nu
0los ws ï\sigma\tauop\epsilont H\rhoo\deltaotos a\nu\tau\iota

```

Col. iii.
```

    2.3 lines lost
    \epsilon[
    25 a[
\pi[
\kappa\alpha\tau[
\eta \epsilonop[\tau\eta
\kappa\eta\sigma. [
30 \epsilon\pi\iotaт[
O\lambdav\mu[\pi
\nu\etas \tauT
[
[
35 ! !.]..[
\lambda\epsilon\gamma\omega\nu [
\omegas \Thetao[vкv\delta\iota\delta\etas (?)
\sigma\alphas \gamma\alpha\rho
\Phi\rhov\gamma![

```

D (Frs. \(10-1 \mathrm{I}\) ).

Fr. 10.

Col. j .


Col. ii.
\(\phi[\)
\(\delta \in\).
\(X \in \rho[\)
```

]. o< \epsilon!\sigma\iota\nu
\nu\eta[
]\rho..\epsilon 5 \phiv\lambda\alpha
5 \t\alpha\rho\alphaa[.]
\lambdaо\mu

```

Fr. 11 .
Col. ii.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [. . . . . . . . . . .p[. . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \text { [. . . . } \lambda \epsilon \cdot[.] \cdot[.] \delta \cdot[\cdot] \mu \epsilon \iota s \text { ка[. . . . . } \\
& \text { [. .] } \cos \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \in \nu \omega \nu \quad \kappa[. . . \\
& {[\mu] \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \quad!\pi \epsilon \rho \text { ot } \rho \eta \tau 0 \rho \epsilon s \pi \epsilon_{[ }^{\dagger} \pi 0 \iota} \\
& 5 \text { } \eta \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda о 1 \tau \epsilon S \text { Фı入ıл } \\
& \pi о \nu \pi \rho \alpha \xi \alpha \iota \quad \rho \alpha[\delta \iota \alpha] \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \quad \phi \alpha_{1}{ }^{1} \\
& \tau \epsilon S \text { avtov } \delta \omega \rho[0] \delta[0 \kappa \iota] \alpha \iota S \text { к } \alpha t \in \pi^{r} t
\end{aligned}
\]

Col. i.

E (Frs. 12-13).
Col. i.
Col. ii.
Fr. 12.
\(\alpha \nu] \delta \rho \in s \delta^{-}\)
] \(\boldsymbol{n}\) !

Fr. 13 .
\(\tau \eta[\)
\(\pi \%\);
\(\epsilon \xi\). [
```

llolll
{ } _ { 2 5 } ^ { 5 } \lambda t o s ~ к \alpha l ~ \Delta \iota \delta \nu \mu [ o s ~ \epsilon \nu ~ \tau o l s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho l ~ \Delta \eta
\muo\sigma0\epsilon\nuous [ \epsilon
к\alpha\sigmaто\nu \beta\iota\beta\lambda\iotaо[\nu
\tauо \epsilon\iota\rho\eta\mu\epsilon\nuо[\nu
\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota\nu \eta а\lambdaо\gammao[

```

```

    \muo\nu\omega\taut\nut \in[
    т\iota\sigma\iota ка\iota \pióт\epsilon [
    [..] eт\epsilonpots \epsilontp[\eta
    [. . .]o[. . .] ] \epsilon pou[
    35 [. . . . . .]os \delta\epsilon[
[. . . . . . . .] ] \gamma[

```

F（Frs． \(1+-15\) ）．
］\(\alpha!\) 录 \([\epsilon] \nu \circ \phi[\omega \nu] \nu o>\) l \(\nu\) р кає \(\tau \alpha \tau[. . .\). ］pos к \(\alpha \iota \pi о \lambda[\nu] \in \pi \alpha \iota\)
\([\nu \epsilon \tau \omega \tau \alpha \tau 0 \varsigma \in \nu A] \gamma \eta \sigma \iota \lambda \alpha \omega\) к \(\alpha \iota \in \nu \tau \eta\)
\({ }^{[\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta} \tau \omega \nu\) E入入］\(\eta \nu \iota \kappa \omega \nu\) аוт८ \([\zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \alpha \iota \tau \iota] \alpha \oint \sigma \mu \in \nu \circ S \quad \eta \mu \alpha \rho\)
\({ }_{15}[\tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu\) ка！\(\alpha \lambda \lambda] \alpha\) тоוаעт \(\alpha\) סокєt \(\lambda_{l}\) \([\alpha \nu(?) \quad\} \iota \pi o \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \eta S \pi o \lambda \epsilon \iota\)
］\(\alpha\) о оо \([\downarrow]\) каитои \(\in \nu\) ］\(\alpha \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma\) ．［．
］\(\alpha\) र \(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \tau \alpha \downarrow\) of［．．
］\(\kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \xi \omega \operatorname{\tau ov}[.\).
］v \(\eta \delta ı \alpha\) то \(\pi[.\).
o］\(\tau \alpha \nu \quad \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \alpha[\sigma \mu \epsilon\) \(\pi] \epsilon \iota \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \tau \rho \alpha[X \in \iota\)
］\(\sigma v \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma \quad \eta[\) ．
］s \(\in X\) Xova ood［．．
］\(\nu \tau \omega \sigma v \nu \tau \iota[\theta\) ．
（？）\(\lambda] \in \iota o \tau \eta \tau \sigma s ~ \alpha \nu^{[ }\).
（？）\(\sigma u \gamma] \kappa \rho \circ v o \nu \tau \alpha \pi[\cdots\)
］\(\rho \mu \epsilon[\)

Fr． 15.
30
\[
\text { G (Frs. } 16-17 \text { ). }
\]

Fr． 16.

\section*{Col．i．}
［．．．．．．．］ov a рроккоs \(\pi \rho о \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \iota\) \([\sigma \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \omega] s\) ol \(E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \in s\) रov ï \(\delta t \omega\)


5 ［A \(\tau \tau \iota x \alpha \iota]\) ¢ \(\tau \omega \delta \epsilon \tau \sigma \nu \omega \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) \(\left[\begin{array}{cc}\tau о & \sigma \eta \mu\end{array}\right] \alpha \iota \nu 0 \mu \in \nu \circ \nu\) от \(\alpha \nu \quad \mu \in \nu>\)
 \(\lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \mu \in \nu 0, S \quad \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon S \in \rho \in l\) ws \(\sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon S\)
от \(\alpha \nu\) סє кат єр \(\omega \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu \pi \rho о ф \epsilon\)

\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\tau \eta \nu & \epsilon\end{array}\right] \xi \circ \iota \sigma \epsilon \iota \quad \sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon S\) [ \(\omega s \in] \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon s\) of \(\gamma \epsilon \mu \eta \nu\) E \(\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon s\) \([o \mu o l] \omega s\) \(\epsilon \epsilon \omega \theta \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon s\) \([\omega S \quad \sigma \alpha] \phi \epsilon S \quad \alpha \chi \rho \epsilon \iota \circ \nu\) ка८ тоито
 \(\mu \epsilon[\nu \quad \beta] \alpha \rho \nu \tau о \nu \omega s\) \(\pi \rho \circ \phi \in \rho о \mu \in \nu 0 \iota\)


Col. ii.



Fr. 17 (to Fr. 16, Col. ii ?).


Fr. 18.
] \(\epsilon \iota \nu \operatorname{T\omega \nu } \epsilon \nu\) тols \(\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota\)
ous
т \(\omega \nu\)
\(\sigma \alpha\)
] к к \(\alpha \iota \quad \eta \tau \omega \nu \pi о \iota \eta \mu \alpha\)
]. \(\pi o \lambda v \in \chi \begin{aligned} & \\ & \end{aligned}\)
] \(\nu 0\) к кає то [....
\(\alpha] \lambda \eta \theta \eta \kappa \alpha[\)


Fr. 23.
\(] \sigma[\)
]a \(\lambda \in \gamma o \mu \in \nu \alpha \omega[\)
]s каь A ]ov \(\pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \kappa \epsilon \quad \gamma \underset{\sim}{\alpha}[\rho\)
5 \(\epsilon] \mu \phi \alpha[\)

Fr. 24.
]povos \(\epsilon \iota \rho \eta[\)
]at \(\epsilon \tau \in \rho o t s \quad \alpha[\)
\(] \omega \nu \alpha v \tau \omega \nu \epsilon[\rho] \rho \eta \theta \theta[\eta\)

Fr. 25.

Fr. 27.
Fr. 28.
Fr. 29.
Fr. 26.
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\(] \nu \epsilon[[\) & \(] \epsilon \cdot[\) \\
\(] \cdot[\cdot] \cdot[\) & \(] \pi \rho[\) \\
\(] \cdot \rho o \nu[\) & \(] \nu \alpha \iota[\) \\
\(] \gamma \omega \cdot[\) & \(] \theta \epsilon[\)
\end{tabular}

5 ] e ข̈ \(\pi \stackrel{[ }{[ }\)
] Toor [
\(\sigma v] \kappa \circ \phi[\alpha \nu \tau\) (?)
] \(\boldsymbol{\omega} \in[\)
\(] 0 \lambda[\)
\(] \alpha \iota \cdot \sigma v v[\)
\(\delta] \iota \pi \lambda \alpha \quad o \tau[\)
] \(\gamma v \nu \alpha[\iota \kappa\)

5 ] \(\alpha \sigma \tau\)
]. [
Fr. 30.

\(5] \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho[\) ] \(\sigma \tau \omega \nu[\)
] \(\sigma \kappa[\)
] \(!\sigma[\)
] . . \(o p[\)
]utou • [
]a. [.] . . [
] \(0 \lambda[\)
] \(\cdot\). [
] \(\pi \rho[\)
] \(\mathrm{p} \alpha\) [
\(] \theta \epsilon[\)
]. \(\epsilon \lambda[\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
] \sigma![
\] & & & \[
] \nu \bar{\beta} \in[
\] \\
\hline Fr. \(3^{1 /}\) & Fr. 32. & Fr. 33. & Fr. 34. \\
\hline ] \(\mathrm{vo} \mathrm{\mu}[\) [. . \(]\) ¢ \({ }_{\text {L }}\) & ]ov \(\mu\) [ & \(] \tau \eta[\) & ] \(\psi \in v \delta 0[\) \\
\hline ] \(\rho \eta\) rop \([\) &  & \(] \eta \tau o[\) & ] \(\phi \alpha \iota \nu \in \tau[\alpha \iota\) \\
\hline ]. [ & ] . . [ & ]. \(\mathrm{\lambda}\) o[ & ] \(\tau \alpha \pi \alpha[\) \\
\hline Fr. 35. & Fr. \(3^{6 .}\) & Fr. 37. & Fr. \(3^{8 .}\) \\
\hline ] \({ }^{[ }\) & ] \(000 \cdot[\) & ] \(\nu \in \tau \in[\) & \(] \epsilon v[\) \\
\hline  & ]..\(\mu \epsilon[\) & ] \(\sigma \gamma \in \nu\) [ & ] \(\nu\) \\
\hline ] \(\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma}<\alpha\) [ & ] \(\alpha \rho \tau v\) & \(] \sigma \tau \epsilon\) & ]. \(\frac{\text { Tov }}{}\) \\
\hline ] \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota[\cdot] \cdot[\) & ]voi[ & ]. [ & \(] \tau \alpha\) \\
\hline \(5 \pi] \epsilon \rho \ell \tau \omega[\nu\) & 5 ]. [ & . . . & \(5]\). \\
\hline Fr. 39. & Fr. 40. & Fr. 41. & Fr. 42. \\
\hline ]. . [ & ] . \(\epsilon\) [ \({ }^{\circ}\) & ] \(\cdot\) [ & ] \(\sigma\) S \(\delta\) [ \\
\hline \(\alpha] \nu \alpha \gamma_{!}[\) & ] \(\epsilon \in \alpha\) [ & ]к[ & ]. \(\tau\) op [ \\
\hline ] \(\pi \rho \circ\) [ & ] \(¢!\tau\) [ & \(]<\mu[\) & ]к¢ \(¢\) \\
\hline ]. . [ & ] \(\mu\) [ & ]. \(¢\) ¢[ & ] . [ \\
\hline . . . & - . . & - - & - . . - \\
\hline Fr. 43. & Fr. 44. & Fr. 45. & Fr. \(4^{6}\) \\
\hline \(\rfloor \omega \omega\) & \(\cdots \cdot\) - & \({ }^{\text {] }}\) ] [ & ]. [ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\(] \omega \sigma\) & \(] \pi \alpha \rho[\) \\
\(] \cdot \phi[\cdot\) & \(] \cdot \mu[\)
\end{tabular}

Fr. \(4 \%\)
Fr. \(4^{8}\)
Fr. 49.
\(] \in \nu[\)
\(] \delta \in i[\)
]ov \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau\). [

Fr. 52.


Fr. 53.
]. [. .] \(] \delta[\)
] \(\mu \beta \alpha \nu[\)

Fr. 5,6
]ov
]

Fr. 1. ii. 5 sqq. Cf. introd. p. 8.4. It is not clear what exactly is meant by rov
 \(\pi \rho о о i \mu s o v, \pi \rho i \theta \epsilon \sigma t s, \pi i \sigma \tau t s\), and \(\epsilon \pi i \lambda o \gamma o s\), and similar distinctions are made by later writers; but though \(\pi\) роoír are mentioned in iii. 2, the remains of ll. rosqq. bere, even if Fr. 2 is wrongly placed (cf. the next note), do not lend themselves to terms of that kind, and the入ózos would appear to be of a more general character.

11-18 That Fr. 2, containing parts of 8 lines from ]. \(\lambda a \gamma[\) to \(] a \sigma a \pi\) r, is to be assigned to this position is very uncertain. The appearance of the papyrus on both sides is suitable, and moreover, on the recto, if the fragment be placed approximately as suggested, the word \(\pi a \rho a r i \theta \epsilon \epsilon \theta \theta \epsilon\) results. In 1. 16 the doubtful \(\nu\) may be \(a t\), and \(11.155^{-17}\) could be
 rovero[l]s. But the combination remains unconvincing.

18-19. It is not certain that any letter is lost between \(\epsilon\) and \(\pi\) of \(\epsilon[.] \pi \pi\) or between \(o\) and \(s\) of \(\nu c[\).\(] .\)

20-35. 'And of this, Lysias among the orators seems to have been especially careful. For he excels in the exposition of facts, neither omitting anything of value nor adding anything superfluous, but ever on the watch for the right occasion adjusts his words to the characters of the speakers and the audience, and observing always propriety towards his opponents and the judges or jury who are hearing him he above all aims at moderation; he is at once the most persuasive of almost all the orators and the most difficult to imitate.'

20 sqq. This characterization of the method of Lysias is to be compared with the criticism of Dionysius of Halicarnassus in the De Vet. Orat., Lys. \(\S \S 4^{-10}\), where very





 have little in common with Dionysius and our author. In 11. 20-1, if ov is the relative, סoxst has to be supplied, unless Avaias is a mistake for Avatav.
23. [evropet] Wilamowitz.
24. Probably \(\left.\pi \epsilon \rho ı \tau \pi \rho_{\nu}{ }_{2}\right] \tau_{[ }[ \}\), but only the smallest vestige is visible at the end of the line.
25. Some such parliciple as [ \(\left.\epsilon_{\tau} \pi a \gamma a \gamma\right] \omega \nu\) or \([\epsilon \mu \beta a \lambda \lambda] \omega \nu\) is to be restored. \(\phi \rho o r[\rho \omega \nu\) is not very satisfactory, but suits the remains better than фudar Tauv, for which there is hardly room.
33. \([\) our \(]\), \(s]\) : the broken letter seems to be \(o\) rather than \(\omega\).
34. \(\omega\) s may be an error for \(\omega \nu\), as Wilamowitz suggests, or \(\langle\omega \nu\rangle \omega s\) might be restored.
iii. 1-3. These three lines are on a detached fragment (3), but its position here is strongly favoured by the peculiar colouring of the papyrus.
10. Possibly [ \(\mathrm{\gamma a}] \rho \tau \omega \nu \delta \eta \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu\). The \(\rho\) is immediately under that in the previous line, so that only one letter would be expected in front of it, but the scribe has a tendency to advance the lines to the left as the column proceeds.
11. o of rov is very doubtful and juv could well be read, but [ag]rv seems unlikely, and there would not be room for \([\pi \lambda a j \tau v\), and it would be difficult to find an alternative.

Frs. 4-5. The attribution of these two small fragments to Fr. 1. iii is suggested by the occurrence on the recto of a junction between two selides, also found in Col. iii. If Fr. 4 belongs to the column, not more than two or three letters are lost at the beginnings of 11. \(2-4\); in the case of Fr .5 the initial loss would extend to five or six letters. In Fr. 4. 1. 4 ] ]є \(\sigma a=\) oлod may be read.

Fr. 6. i. 6. \(\kappa[\omega] \lambda\) vope, vos: there is barely room for \(\omega\) between the supposed \(\kappa\) and \(\lambda\). to may be read in place of \(\kappa\) and \(a\) for \(\lambda\); the \(v\) also is very uncertain.

7 . The trace of a diaeresis above \(v\) of \(v \lambda \eta \nu\) is very slight.
Frs. 7-8 appear to belong to Fr. 6. ii, Fr. 8 being especially suitable; but there is no direct junction.

Fr. 9. ii. ı. Cf. note on ll. 6-7.
3. Cf. the reference to Aristophanes in Fr. 23.
\(\dddot{6}-7\). The allusion here, as was perceived by Wilamowiz, is to Aeschines 2. 10 kuì tò
 in Timaeus about a woman of Himera who had a dream concerning the approaching tyranny of Dionysius ; cf. also Photius s.z. ifpeias évímıov, Valerius Max. i. 7. Moreover,

Tertullian, De Anima \({ }_{4} 6\), expressly refers this story to Heraclides (Ponticus) : sed et Dionysii Siciliae tyrannidem Himeraea quaedam somniavit: Heraclides frodidit; hence the restoration of 1. I. None of the authorities, however, mentions the name of the priestess, which our author implies was known, and which is perhaps given in I. 2.

9-14. This passage, containing a new citation of the sixth book of the Philitpica, was utilized for the recent edition of the Theopompea in the Oxford Classical Texts (Fr. 64); but we there besitated to restore the names of Antipater and Eurylochus owing to the apparent insufficiency of the space at the ends of \(11.11-12\), where not more than eight letters would be expected. But the scribe is not very careful in keeping his lines even, and there can be little doubt that Philip's three ambassadors to Athens, specified in the argu-

 be e. g. Aesch. 2. 55. The supplements of \(11.8-10\) were suggested by Wilamowitz; in 1. 8 mor \(] \in\) is very uncertain, the vestige at the beginning of 1.9 not suggesting an \(\epsilon\), though it is not inconsistent with that letter.

14-22. The identification of this reference to Aesch. 1. 165 is due to Wilamowitz.


 in the scholia, and apparently has not been recognized, though the language of Demosthenes in the speech against Androtion ( \(21-3\) ) where the word \(\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon i o v ~ r e c u r s, ~ m i g h t, ~ a s ~\) indicated by our author, have warranted the inference.
\({ }^{23-56 .}\). Or suppression of facts, as in Thucydides. For he says that Themistocles in his fiight came to Corcyra because he was a benefactor of that people, but he does not say what the benefit was. Theophrastus, however, in his book "On Occasions" states that the Corcyraeans had a quarrel with the Corinthians, and Themistocles being made arbiter decided that the people of Corinth should pay to the Corcyraeans twenty talents . . . and when he describes the Corinthians as enumerating the benefits which they had conferred on the Athenians, in voting for the punishment of the Samians and providing the Athenians with ships when at war with the Aeginetans, he does not mention the greatest benefit of atl, namely that when Cleomenes was restoring the tyrant Hippias to Athens it was again the Corinthians who, after the Lacedaemonians were already as far as the Thriasian plain, were the first of the allies to desert, and so caused the abandonment of the expedition and the failure of the restoration of Hippias; and that when at Lacedaemon the Pisistratidac were asking to be restored, and Cleomenes was supporting them, Socles the Corinthian opposed him, as is narrated by Herodotus.'

23 sqq. After considering instances of the suppression of names the author now turns to suppression of facts, of which he gives some cases from Thucydides. The first is from


26 sqq. The restorations are largely due to Wilamowitz, who compares the similar




27-8. Citations of the now lost treatise of Theophrastus \(\pi \epsilon \rho i\) кatp \(\bar{\nu}\) are scarce. It is
 simply (Parthenius 9), and is said to have consisted of four books.

36 sqq. Cf. Thucyd. i. 41. \(\sigma v[\mu \mu a x i a \nu\) in 1. 37 of course means the proposed alliance between Athens and Corcyra which the Corinthians were opposing (i. 31).
40. I. Aıyıpios.
43. Imatay here and in 1. 51 is an error for 'Iaráopav ; cf. Hdt. v. 73.
44. 1. кaтaүoytos, as Wilamowitz remarks.
55. 1. \(\Sigma \omega \sigma \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta s\); cf. Hdt. v. 92.
iii. \(37-40\). The reference appears to be to Thucyd. ii. 22. There is no mention in Thucydides of any other Phrygia than the Attic village. Lines 41 sqq. perhaps described its position, on which of. 853. xiii. 16 , note.
\(48-55\). This passage evidently relates to the three serious wounds received by Philip during his campaigns, on which subject the principal authority is now Didymus, De Demosth.







 as another case of suppressed facts.

Frs. 10-11. These fragments were found folded together, and are distinguished from the others by being much decayed and discoloured. Probably they belong to the same two columns, but their relation to each other is wholly uncertain. The recto prevents their being combined so that Fr. 10. i. 1 supplies the \(\nu\) of a[ \(\mu] \delta \rho \epsilon a\) in Fr. 1 1. ii. 8.

Fr. 11. 4 sqq. \(\iota \pi \epsilon \rho\) : so apparently the papyrus; l. orep.
The oft repeated charge brought against Philip of bribery and unscrupulousness finds



 Demosthenes, however, at least gives Philip credit for personal bravery ; of. the passage quoted from the \(D_{e}\) Cor. in the note on Fr. 9. iii. \(4^{8-55 .}\)
10. фpovo[veres is rather speculative, but seems more consistent with the papyrus than


Frs. 12-13. It is probable that not more than a few lines are missing at the top of Fr. 13. ii, and therefore, if Fr. 12 is the top of that column, which is far from certain, the gap between them is slight.

Fr. 13. 24-5. The restoration of the name Caecilius here, i. e. Caecilins Calactinus, seems fairly secure. He was a contemporary of Didymus, and the titles of his works,


 píropot. He is cited several times by Plutarch, for example, in the Iit. N Orat., e.g.
 סoкet. For \(\epsilon \nu\) tots \(\pi \epsilon \rho t \quad \Delta \eta]_{\mu o \sigma \theta \epsilon z o u s ~ o f . ~ t h e ~ t i t l e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ e n d ~ o f ~ t h e ~ B e r l i n ~ p a p y r u s ~ o f ~}^{\text {a }}\)
 be supplied before kumi］入ıos；what т \(\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\chi} \eta \boldsymbol{\nu}\) refers to is obscure．


 grammarians and lexicographers．If Joos is another unusual word from the Agesilates this
 \(\dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \pi i \kappa \lambda \eta\) тos．aituígerat and uita̧óneyot occur in Mell．i．6． 5 and 12 ；the verb was also used by Cassius Dio，but no other writer is quoted for it in the Thesaurus of Stephanus．

16．\(\pi\) o \(\epsilon \epsilon t \eta\) s looks like another citation from Xenophon，but he does not appear to have used the word in an abnormal sense，nor to have employed any strange compound of it．Our author can hardly be referring to the occasional equivalence of moגit \(\quad\) s to


18．Possibly \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \omega\) ：the form \(\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau \tau o s\) would however be expected；cf．Fr．1．ii． 9 ， 24，Fr．16．i． 15.

22．кєк入aбرє́vos or some other part of кєк入áatat fits in with the context；cf．Long．


23．\(\tau \rho \circ\left[\chi^{\varepsilon \epsilon a}\right.\) ，as Wilamowitz suggests，will make a suitable opposition to \(\left.\lambda\right]\) єьot \(\eta \tau o s\) in 1． 27 ．

 In l． 28 the doubtful \(\pi\) is possiby a \(\gamma\), i．e．\(\gamma[\rho a \mu \mid \mu a r a\) ．

Fr．15．Similarity of appearance makes the bottom of the foregoing column a suitable position for this fragment，and it may even be placed consistently with the recto so that its first line conicides with the last of Fr．If，\(\partial \mu \epsilon[v] o v[\) ．

Fr．16．1－3．For the variation in the meaning of dypotoos according to its accent

 o oкatos｜rov tporjov may on the analogy of the passage in Ammonius be restored in 1．1． \(\pi \rho o \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \tau[\sigma \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \omega]\) is a graphical error．

3－14．\({ }^{' d} \lambda_{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon s}\) ：this word also has two senses in Attic，the meaning being distinguished by the accent；thus when a man assents to what is stated by somebody he will say ảd \(\eta \theta\)＇s like aaф＇s，but when he utters it interrogatively he will pronounce the first syllable with an acute accent，\(\tilde{a}^{\lambda} \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon s}\) ，like \(\epsilon \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon s}\) ．The Hellenes in general，however，are accustomed to say aं \(\lambda \eta \theta_{\text {és }}\) just in the same way as \(\sigma a \phi \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{s}^{\prime}\).



4．［8ıtras］：cf．1． 15.
10．1．o乡vтovตs．
12．［ \(\omega\) s \(\epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \in s\) was restored by Wilamowitz．Instead of writing the word with its appropriate accent，our author compares another word having the same accent，\({ }^{*} \lambda y \theta \in s\) to


 concerning the accentuation of axpeios，for while elsewhere Arcadius states that axpeios was the
 Ven. B 269 , it was äxpetrs. If, as our author says, the Attic accent varied with the sense, this would account for the conflicting evidence on the subject. It is unfortunate that the word in 1. ig giving the second meaning is mutilated: the vestige of the letter before \(o\) suits \(t\) or \(\nu\)
17. That the small fragment containing the beginnings of \(11.16-17\) is rightly placed is hardly to be doubted, but there seems to be no proparoxytone word a[.petos, and apetos does not sufficiently fill the space ; the first letter might be \(\lambda\), but that is equally intractable. Possibly axpetov was written again by mistake for apetov: there are two other errors in this column.

Fr. 17. This fragment cannot belong to Fr. 16. i on account of the writing on the recto, but it may well come from the column succeeding. The subject at any rate is similar.
1. \(a\). [.]. [ is probably the word characterized as a Hellenism ; A \(\boldsymbol{A}[\tau\}\) Kov is less likely, since the fourth letter is unusually long for an \(t\).
6. A stroke is drawn above the final \(t\), after which there is a short blank space. An abbreviation of Eגл \(\eta \boldsymbol{\nu} \sigma \mu\) os is presumably intended, unless the stroke is to be regarded as accidental, in which case the \(\imath\) would be the last letter of the line and \(\sigma\) رos followed at the beginning of the next.

Fr. 18. In colour and texture this fragment from the top of a column resembles Frs. \(\mathrm{I}^{-1}{ }^{-1}\), but the recto is inconsistent with the supposition that they come from the same column, and their subjects are also quite different ; that of Fr . 18 appears to have affinities to Fr. I. ii or Fr. \({ }^{1}\). ii, 26 sqq.

Fr. 19. The most probable place for this fragment, on account of its dark colour and blank recto, is the upper part of Fr. 9. iii.

Fr. 20. 10. Fr. \(3^{66} 3\) cannot be combined here.
Frs. 21-2. Lines 1-3 are on a detached fragment, but its position here is practically assured by the recto. The reason for the indentation of \(11.5-7\) is doubtful. Perhaps the lines above and below are quotations, which were commonly distinguished in this manner, c. \(\mathrm{g} . \mathbf{2 2 0}, \mathbf{4 1 8}, \mathbf{8 5 3}\); or \(11.5^{-7}\) may be the heading of a section. In either case it is likely that there is no loss at the beginnings of \(11.2-4\), and that not more than a narrow letter at any rate is missing in front of the two doubtful iotas in 11. 8-9; if they are read as etas no loss need be assumed.

Fr. 23. 4. The cramped manner of writing \(\gamma a_{\mathrm{L}}^{\circ}\) suggests that the fragment contains ends of lines.

Frs. 24-5. These two fragments both come from the bottom of a column and should perhaps be combined, Fr. \({ }_{5} 5\) being placed to the right of Fr. 24, but with a gap between af and ]erov. The recto of Fr .24 is covered with a strengthening strip of papyrus which it is undesirable to remove. In Fr. 24. l. 2 between Jat and erepors there is a short blank space in which a letter may possibly have disappeared.

Frs. 31-2 may well come from Fr. r. ii-iii. Fr. 31, in which there is apparently a junction of two selides, would be especially suitable in Col. iii ; cf. note on Frs. 4-5.

Fr. 35. Similarity in colouring suggests that this fragment may belong to the upper part of J'r. i. iii.

Fr. 43. 3. If the second letter is really a \(\phi\) (or \(\psi\) ), this line protruded considerably.
Frs. 54, 57-9. That these scraps belong to 1012 is somewhat uncertain, and Fr. 58 should perhaps be turned the other way up and read \(]\) ]e \(\cdot[\).

\section*{1013. Menander, MIミOYMENOE.}
\(16.7 \times 13.9 \mathrm{~cm}\). Fifth or sixth century.
Important contributions to the remains of Menander have already been
 and the Kóna \(\xi(\mathbf{4 0 9})\), and to these are now to be added the following fragments from the Mlaov́uevos, which, if of much more modest compass, are still not without value. Their identification admits of practically no doubt. A clue is at once provided by the occurrence of the name Thrasonides, which was that of the principal character in this celebrated comedy. The name of his slave was Gctas (Kock, Frs. 335, 345, Arrian, Diss. Epict. iv. 1. 19), and his father apparcntly also figured in the play; Getas and the father of Thrasonides duly appear in the papyrus. These, however, are not the only dramatis personae which here occur; three others are mentioned, Crateia (11. 32, 39), Demeas (11. 13 sqq.), and Kleinias (? 11. 12-3). But we know from Simplicius on Aristotle, Phys., p. \(3^{8} 4.13\) (Diels), that Crateia (a rare name) and Demeas were characters in a play of Menander. The passage

 K ¢а́rŋтa was the correct reading, but C. Keil (Philol. i. \(55^{2}\) ) proves to have been right in defending Kpátelar, \({ }^{\text { }}\) —which Kock (Fr. 939) needlessly prints with a small \(\kappa\). That the play alluded to by Simplicius was the Mıбov́uevos was not known, but this is now evident, and the passage may henceforth be rescued from the position it has hitherto occupied among the "Aò \(\lambda \lambda a \Delta \rho a ́ \mu a t a\). Finally, to clinch the argument, the phraseology and the situation disclosed in the papyrus arc in striking accord with what is known of the plot of the Mıбой \(\quad\) eros. Thrasonides was a soldier of an overbearing and repulsive type, in love with his slave (Crateia), who, as we may now add from Fr. 939, was also his captive ;


\footnotetext{
 the title of a play of Alexis against the suspicions of editors of Athenaeus, the name Kpáreta having been found on a Theban vase.
}



 \(\langle o \dot{v}\rangle \pi \omega \in \pi о т \epsilon\). The relation between the two was thus the same as that between Polemon and Glycera in the Пєрькєьро \(\mu \quad \nu \eta\), and the resulting situation is closely analogous and seems to have had a very similar dénouement. Thrasonides' despair at the aversion of Crateia is described in Arrian, Diss. Epict. iv. 1. 19: 'First he goes out in the night, when Getas is afraid to do so. . . . Next he demands a sword, and is enraged with the man who out of kindness refuses to give him one, and he sends presents to his disdainful mistress, and implores and weeps; then a slight improvement elates him.' Now this is just the attitude of the Thrasonides of the papyrus ; cf. 11. 40 sqq.: ' You will now prove me, father, of all men living the most happy or miserable ; for unless this man will accept me fully and give this woman to me, it is all over with Thrasonides: which heaven forbid!' 'This man' is doubtless Demeas (evidently the father of Crateia : cf. l. 39), who, as has been seen in Fr. 939, unexpectedly arrived on the scene and effected her release ( \(\lambda \nu \tau \rho \omega \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ; ~ c f . ~ I . ~ 21 ~ \grave{a} \pi \sigma \lambda \nu \tau \rho o \hat{\nu} \nu\) ). Hence it is clear that our fragments come from near the conclusion of the play. Further points of contact with the extant citations from the Mloov́revos are pointed out in the notes on 1l. I8 and 19.

Whether the recto precedes the verso or vice versa is not immediately

\section*{Recto.}

Fr. 1.

Fr. 2.

Fr. 3. 5
\[
\begin{aligned}
& ] \pi \eta \nu I K a[ \\
& ]!\eta!
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\text { ] • o } \sigma i \omega \nu \phi \cdot[\cdot] \cdot[
\]
\[
\text { ]кov́ } \sigma \mu \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\omega}[
\]
]. \(\eta\) тобєктотоү[
 ] \(\omega \sigma \gamma_{\text {ध́т }} \alpha\) ] \(\delta \eta \bar{\sigma} \alpha v \theta a \delta i a \sigma{ }^{\gamma \epsilon T}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& ] \eta \kappa \in \tau \mu[
\end{aligned}
\]
cvidcut, for the extremity of the right margin of the recto though fairly straight is not cleanly cut, and the appearance of the edge might have been caused by a break where the crease in the quire came. But internal evidence provides a less equivocal clue. The verso is occupied by the conclusion of a dialoguc between the soldier Thrasonides and his father (11. 34, 40), the former, as has been seen above, being very eager that Crateia should be given to him (in marriage) by her father, i.e. Demeas (11. 39, 42-3). Hence, since she was now in her father's keeping, the rescue had already been effected. On the recto her release is the subject of a discussion between Cleinias and Demeas, who in the capacity of a parent demands her freedom (11. 21-2). This scene must therefore have preceded that on the verso ; and it is natural to identify Demeas' interlocutor Cleinias as the father of Thrasonides.

The papyrus is no more than a tattered leaf, of which only the lower part has survived, with four small detached pieces. It is of a comparatively late date. The hand is a rather coarse semicursive, which must be referred to the fifth or even the sixth century. Alternations in the dialogue are denoted as usual by paragraphi and double dots; the name of the speaker is inserted to the left of the column at \(\mathrm{F} .3^{8}\), while at 11 . \(10-13\) similar entries have been added in a ruder hand and darker ink in the right margin, as in the Cairo Menander. Stops in both the high and middle position occur, and accents, breathings, and marks of clision are plentifully supplied, mostly by the original scribe. The accents are sometimes rather carelessly placed, e.g. that intended for the \(a\) of \(a \lambda \lambda\) in 1. .t+ really falls over the second \(\lambda\).

\[
] \theta[\cdot \omega \cdot \omega \pi \sigma \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{i} \nu
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \}〒i入 } \alpha \beta ̣ \omega \text { : } \\
& { }^{k} \lambda_{\epsilon}{ }^{v} \\
& \text { ]: } \delta \eta \mu \epsilon \epsilon \alpha \sigma^{k \lambda \epsilon \iota^{\nu}} \\
& \text { ]?:обоиסє } \gamma \rho \text { v̂ } \\
& \text { ] } \rho \varnothing\lceil\eta \mu \epsilon ́ \alpha
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\text { ] . . . } \epsilon \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \gamma \grave{\omega}
\]
．．．．．．．．．］\(\tau \eta \sigma \cdot \rho[\).\(] ．．［．］]\) ．



．．．\(\omega \nu \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \nu \tau \rho о \bar{v} \nu \theta^{\prime} \omega \nu \pi a \tau \eta \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma^{\oplus} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma \epsilon\)
\(\eta \kappa\)
．．．ј \(\omega\) уvvaıк \(\alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \tau v \chi \omega \sigma \delta \eta \mu \epsilon ́ a:\)
Verso．
Fr． 1.
．．．．］．．［
．．．］．\(\pi \underset{\alpha}{[ }\)
\({ }_{25}\) ．．］．\(\pi \underset{\alpha}{\alpha} \tau \rho[\)
－．］ќє́т！•［
Fr．2．\(\quad] \mu=\ldots \kappa \lambda\) ．
Fr．3．［．．．．］\(]\) ！\(]\) ．［
\([.] \in \pi o \nu \theta \alpha \mu \in \nu: \tau[\)
30 ［．．］ \(\begin{gathered}\text { áбітоиิтотí ．［ }\end{gathered}\)

סıатıкра́тєıаф．\(\rho[\)

\(\overline{\alpha \lambda} \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon[\)
35 ＇äта \(\nu\) т＇\(\alpha\)［
\(\beta o v \lambda \epsilon v \tau \epsilon[\)
ऽそ̂ \(\nu \epsilon \hat{̣} \pi \pi \rho \in\)
\(\theta \rho / \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \cup \pi \alpha \rho \alpha[\)
```

    \alpha}\nu]0[\rho]\omegá\tilde{Tov }\lambda\alpha\beta\in\hat{L}
        ]⿰i \lambda\alphá\beta\omega.
        ] K\lambda\epsilonıv'í\alpha\varsigma) }\Delta\eta\mu\mp@subsup{\mu}{}{\prime}\alpha\mp@code{s
        ]vos oú\delta`́ \gamma\rhoû
    \gamma\alphá]p, }\Delta\eta\mu\mp@subsup{\mu}{}{\prime}\alpha
            (\Deltaнм.) ]...\epsilonр\hat{\alphas \epsiloǹ \gammaढे}
                ]\tau\eta\mp@subsup{S}{}{*}}\mp@subsup{\rho}{[.].] . . [.] \tau\alphav\tauì \lambda\epsiloń\gamma\epsilon\iota}{
                            ]. a к\lambda\alphá\omega\nu, \alpha'\nu\tau\iota\betao\lambda\omegaि\nu, oैvos \lambdaú\rho\alphas.
    ```

```

20(\Deltaн\mu.) ] \tauоиิто \delta' \epsiloní\rho\eta\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon[. .]. \alphav\tauо\hat{v}\sigma' \alpha'\xit\hat{\omega}

```

```

[\mu\iota\sigma]\hat{\omega} \gammavvaîк\alphas '̇\ell\nu\tau\epsilon\tauv\chi\eta\kappa\tilde{́s, }<br>eta\mu\epsiloń\alpha.

```

Verso.

Fr. I. ]. [
]. \(\pi \alpha\)
25 ]. \(\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho[\)


Fr. 2. \(] \mu_{0} \ldots k \lambda\). [
Fr. 3. [. . . X X \(\cdot\). . [
\([\pi] \in \pi o ́ v \theta a \mu \epsilon r^{\prime}\). (Kлєıv. ?) J[
30 [. .] \(\theta a \sigma t\) тоиิто Ті́. [
è̀ov̂б \(\alpha \mu \not \mu о\).. [
( O .) \(\quad\) дì̀ тí Kрáтєıa \(\phi \cdot \rho[\)

( \(\because \rho\).) \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha, \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho, ~ \epsilon[\)
35 ä \(\pi \alpha \nu \tau\) ’ à [
\(\beta o v \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \in \in[0 \nu\)
Ŝ̀े \(\nu \in \tilde{v} \pi \rho \in \in[\pi \epsilon L\)



```

    \delta\epsiloni\xiध\epsilon\sigma\sigma\mu\epsilon\tau\omega\nu\
    єi\mu\etaे\gamma\alpha\rhoôv̂то\sigma\deltaок\iota\mu\alphá\sigma\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilonк\nu\rhoíu[
    \delta\omegá\sigma\epsilon!\tau\epsilon\tau\alphaú\tau\eta\nu\nuí\chiє\tau\alpha&0\rhoа\sigma\omega\nuí\delta[
    ॰

```

Fr. + recto.


Fr. 4 verso.
] \(\rho \delta \omega \sigma \epsilon[\)
] \(\oint \in \lambda \phi \circ v[\)
]rav:[

Fr. 5 recto.
\[
] x^{\prime} 火[
\]

Fr. 5 verso.
]. 1 ]
]кa! [
]. \(\tau \cdot[\)

Firs. 1-2. Corresponding dark-coloured fibres on the verso, and the tip of a stroke on Fr. 2 which may be the base of the \(\tau\) of кeтt in Fr. I, suggest the combination of these two fragments, and similar fibres on the main piece make it likely that Frs. i-2 are to be placed at the top above Fr. 3, perhaps immediately; the letters \(\mu\) o would then be the beginning of the line and \(\pi \eta \nu \kappa\) кa on the recto probably the end.
9. Jíra is followed by some traces which may be ink; possibly there has been an erasure of a colon or a \(\sigma\). The identity of the speaker of this line is quite doubiful; since it is addressed to Getas, the previous line is likely to belong to him.
12. K \(\lambda \epsilon \epsilon\) (ias) seems to be the most probable expansion of the abbreviation \(K \lambda \epsilon \omega\). No name beginning with these letters is attested for the New Comedy, but Kitavias (Kivavia(s) codd.) occurs in a fragment of Polyzelus (Kock, i. p. 791). ji may be ] ti or tov ti or tau ri. \(^{\text {. }}\)
13. The supposed double dots may be the extremities of a \(\sigma\).
16. exepas is just possible, but the \(x\) is unsatisfactory and the preceding letter is more like o or a than \(\epsilon\). The remains of the first letter after the lacuna suggest \(\beta\) or \(\delta\). If l. 19 is rightly assigned to Cleinias, Demeas must intervene either at I. i6 or l. 17.



```

    \pi\alphaт\grave{\eta}\rho Крат\epsiloní\alphas [.]ov[. .]\lambda’ \alpha\phi[
    ```


```

    \epsiloni \mu\etaे \gamma\grave{\alpha}\rho o\hat{v}гоs \deltaок\iota\mu\alphá\sigma\epsilon\ell \mu\epsilon кv\rhoí\omega[s
    \delta\omegá\sigma\epsilon\iota \tau\epsilon \tauаи́\tau\eta\nu, oľ\chiє\tau\alphal \Theta\rho\alpha\sigma\omegari\delta\[\etaS.
    ```


Fr. 4 recto.

] \(\omega \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ v[\)
\(] \delta \dot{v} ~ \tau i ́ \mu \eta \tau[\)
то́vo[

Fr. 4 verso.
] \(\rho \delta \omega \sigma \in \epsilon_{!}^{[ }\)
\(\left.\alpha^{\prime}\right\} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi 0[\) \(\dot{\epsilon} \sigma] ร[1 . \quad[(B)\)

Fr. 5 recto.
] \(r^{\prime} \dot{\omega}^{\prime}\)

Fr. 5 verso.
\[
] v v_{[ }
\]
] каиі
\[
] . \tau \cdot[
\]
 obstinacy is the quality apparently conveyed by the saying.
19. \([\sigma י \mu] \pi \epsilon p: \pi a r \eta \sigma \omega\) : this is probably a reference to the restlessness of Thrasonides,
 though of course Fr. 341 is not from the present scene. The attempt to indicate the number of letters lost at the beginnings of the preceding and following lines is based on the hypothesis that \([\sigma v \mu\) ] (Wilamowitz) is correct.
\(20-1\). These two verses ought to be restored without much difficulty, but the text appears to be at fault. Wilamowitz is surely right in emending a a odvrpouve' (cf. introd.,


 manage. The e after \(\tau\) can hardly be read otherwise; the letter before avtou may well be к, \(\mu\), or \(\sigma\).
30. The letter following \(\theta\) seems to be \(a\), not \(\omega\), and the accented \(t\) is inconsistent with a subjunctive; but [. .] \({ }^{\text {Fari }}\) is difficult.
31. \(\mu \mu\) : or кะк, but not \(\mu \tau \kappa \rho\). The first letter of the line may be \(a\).

34-6. There is no paragraphus below any of these lines, but a change of speaker must
have occurred in their course, since 1.34 evidently belongs to Thrasonides, who is again the speaker at l. 38 .
37. The circumflex on \(\epsilon \hat{v}\) precludes the restoration of \(\epsilon \dot{v} \pi \rho \in \epsilon \pi \bar{\omega} s\).
38. o of tou has apparently been converted from an \(\epsilon\).

Fr. 4 verso 2. Some blurred marks above the first three letters may be accidental.
Fr. 5 verso 2 . The supposed a has apparently been corrected.
1014. Historical Fragment.
\[
15.6 \times 8.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century }
\]

A fragment from a historical work, apparently not extant. It contains part of a description of a battle, which took place on the sea-coast and seems to have been attended with much loss of life ; the identity of the combatants, however, is not made clear. The language suggests a writer of the Hellenistic period.

Parts of twenty-six lines remain from the bottom of a column, written on the verso of the papyrus in a not very regular sloping hand of a medium size and common third-century type. Stops and other lection signs, except the diaeresis, are entirely absent; a second hand has made a correction in l. i4. There is no complete line in the fragment, and the extent of the loss is uncertain. In the transcript below, 11. 8-10, where the supplements suggested are plausible, have been taken as the basis for an approximate estimate of the number of letters missing.

On the recto are the beginnings of eighteen lines from the top of a column of a survey-list, drawn up in the second century, and giving the position and value of certain plots of land; a \(\dot{j} \lambda \iota a \sigma \tau \eta j \neq o v\) is mentioned. The writing proceeds in the same direction as that on the recto, relatively to which it is, therefore, upside down.
```

    [. . . . .] ] . [
    [. . .]at ro \pi\alpha[.
    [.. \tau0]v \chi }\omega\mu\alpha[\tau0
    [. . \epsilon]\pi`0\chi\epsilon\tau\epsilon\nu[. .
    5 Ө\epsilon\nu \tau\eta\zeta к\omega\mu\eta\ [.
\mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha\lambda\eta к\alpha\ell \pi\lambda\alpha\tau\epsilon\ell\alpha [..... o\iota }\delta\epsilon\tau
\tauа\gamma\mu\epsilon\nu0\ell \pi\rhoos то є[.....ı\deltaо\nu\tau\epsilons
̈\sigma\iotao\nu\tauаs \tauovs \pio[\lambda\epsilon\mulovs \epsilon\nu\pi\iota
\pi\tauov[\sigma]\iota\nu \tau\alpha\}\mp@subsup{|}{}{v}\pi\alpha\nu[\omega\lambda\epsilon0\rho\iota\alpha \delta\epsilon \gamma\epsilon
10 \nu\epsilon\tau\alphal of }\mu\epsilon\nu \gamma\alpha\rho \gamma\epsilon[\nuо\mu\epsilon\nuоt кат\alpha

```
```

    \pi\rhoo\sigma\omega\piо\nu \alphaф\nu\omega \delta![.
    \delta\epsilon таs \lambdao\gamma\chi\alphas є\gammaє\iota\rho\alpha[\nu\tau\epsilons .......
    \tau\epsilons \epsilont\sigma\tau\rho\epsilonXov\sigmat\nu то \delta[\epsilon
    \eta\nu ка\iota \omega\nuк\omega\nu\tau[[\alpha\iota]] }\mu[\ldots.......
    15 ma\nu\tau\alpha Xo0\in\nu o\iota\deltaOv\sigma[\alpha
\epsilon\pi\epsilon\kappa\lambda\nu\nu\zeta\epsilon то \pi\alpha\nu \tau\alpha[. . . . . . . . . \eta
0\alpha\lambda\alpha\sigma\sigma\alpha \epsilon\nu}\pi\epsilon\sigmaO\nu[\tau\epsilonS \delta\epsilon O\ell . . ...
\lambdaot tous }\mu\in\nu ка\mp@code{[. . . . . . . . . . . . .
\tauov \sigmaт\rhoат\eta\gammaov at
20 \sigmat\nu \tau\alphals \lambdau\gammaXals \alpha[.......... \gamma\epsilon
yovotas ка\iota \piроs то \alpha\delta"........ t\epsilon
т\rho\alpha\mu\mu\epsilon\nuоus т@\nu \delta\in \alpha\lambda\lambda[\omega\nu .....
[..]. \eta\tauos 0a\nu\alpha\tauos \eta\nu ol }\mu\in\nu.[\gamma\alpha\rho...
[.......\epsilon\xi]\omega \tau\etas \sigmav\mu\betao\lambda\eta[s . . . . . .
2 5
[.........]\tau\ins \tau\alphals \alphaı\chi\mu\alpha[\imaths ......
[....... . \delta'\epsilon ov \lambda\alpha\betaov\tau\epsilons \sigma\chi

```
4. Some form of éroxereven or ajoxereveav is to be restored. At the end of the line \(\epsilon \xi \omega \theta_{\epsilon \epsilon \nu}\) or \(\left.\epsilon \sigma \omega\right] \theta_{\epsilon \nu}\) is probable.
7. Possibly \(\epsilon^{\text {v }} \boldsymbol{v \omega \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \nu\), but the narrative is too mutilated for satisfactory restoration.
10. \(\gamma \in[\nu о \mu \in \nu o t\) ката Wilamowitz.
11. \(\delta a[\) : or \(\delta p[\).
15. otoova \(a\) is commended by the context, though oideiv does not seem to be applied elsewhere to the sea; cf. however oi \(\delta \mu a\) and Arat. 908 oi \(\delta a i v o v a r a i \lambda a \sigma \sigma a\).
16. The middle of \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \lambda \dot{v} \zeta_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v}\) is not used in the active sense, otherwise the division \(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \kappa \lambda \nu \zeta_{\epsilon \tau о} \pi a v \tau a[\) might be adopted.
 \(\Gamma \mathrm{\Gamma} \lambda \lambda о\).
23. [. .]. \(\eta\) ros: єt may be read in place of \(\eta\), but is less likely. The vestige of the preceding letter suits \(\gamma, \tau\), or \(v\) better than \(\kappa\) or \(\chi\), and a a poo \(\delta \delta o \mathrm{k} \kappa \tau\)
 a good sense.
1015. Panegyrical Poem.
\[
17 \times 23.6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century. }
\]

This short poem of twenty-two hexameter verses is described in the title written both at the foot and in the left margin opposite to ll. 8-9 as an

places been washed out, and higher up in the margin the same hand has entered
 purpose of the piece. The first nine lines are, indeed, devoted to Hermes, who, in an elaborate invocation in which some of the principal attributes of the god are recited, is called on for inspiration. But the person really to be celebrated was the youth Theon (1. 12) who in \(1 . T\) is referred to as the \(\dot{v} \pi о ф \eta\) 亿ropa \(\pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \hat{\partial} a\) of Hermes, and to whom the poet returns in I . 10 sqq., where he is described as honouring the god in having supplied a fountain of oil for his fellow-citizens, apparently a poctical way of saying that he had made a bencfaction to the gymnasium. That gift, however, and another of corn (l. 15), had occurred previously, and he was now making to the youths a further presentation of a kind which could only come from one 'learned in the lore of the Muses' (l. 20), and did the donor still more credit, i.e. some endowment of the arts, which the allusive method of the writer does not allow to be further specified. Probably, as Wilamowitz suggests, Theon was a young man whose wealth had led to his early appointment to the office of gymnasiarch (cf. 1. I3 dंpхevor \(\boldsymbol{\tau} a\), and the title); but he is not recognizable among the known gymnasiarchs of Oxyrhynchus.

The poem is written on a well-preserved sheet of papyrus in a medium-sized sloping hand somewhat similar in style to that of 223 (Part II, Plate s), though not so well-formed and regular ; it may be assigned to the middle or latter half of the third century. Accents, elision marks and stops (high and medial) are plentifully supplied, marks of long quantity are also sometimes inserted, and there is one instance of the use of the curved stroke below a compound word (1. 14) as e. g. in the Bacchylides papyrus. Whether all these signs are due to the original writer is not evident; a second hand has undoubtedly been at work on the text; but since the ink employed by the latter did not differ appreciably in colour, responsibility for single strokes cannot be accurately determined. In one or two cases accents have been amended (cf. note on line 11), which suggests that the accentuation was original and was revised by the corrector, though this of course is not a necessary inference. The accentual system resembles that found in other papyri of the period (ci. 223 and 841 ), but it is somewhat loosely employed, e. g. l. \(5 \pi \epsilon \sigma \hat{\omega}{ }^{\prime}\), besidcs the above-mentioned errors which have been eliminated. The alterations introduced by the second hand at II. 6-7, 10, and 19 are curious, and may even have come from the author's own pen, if an amanuensis was employed for the body of the text. In any case the poem is probably little older than the papyrus; it is unlikely that effusions of this class would be long-lived, the subject and the style being alike undistinguished, though the versification is correct enough. Some specimens of panegyrics, with which this may be compared, of a later period and more
anbitious design, have been published in the Berliner Klassikertexte, I. 2. xi, and the inscriptions offer other parallels.

```

E\rho\mu\epsilon\iota\alpha \sigma\pi\epsilonv\sigma\alpha\iotaаs. \alphaо\iota\deltaо\piо́\lambda\omega \delta' \epsilon\pi\alpha\rho\etá\gammao\iotas.

```

```

\tau\eta\nu а\nu\tauоs \tau\alpha \pi\rho\omega\tau\alpha к\alphá\mu\epsilonя. \pi\alpha\rho\alpha \piо\sigma\sigma\iota т\epsilonко́v\sigma\eta\
5 व́\rhoт\iota \pi\epsilon\sigma\hat{\omega\nu. \lambdaú\tau\rhoо\nu \delta'\epsilon \betao\omega\nu \pió\rho\epsilonS \overline{A}\piо\lambda\lambda\omega\nul.}
\sigma\epsilon \epsilonоt к\lambda\epsilontovat

```

```

а\gamma\rhoо\nuó\muo\iota \delta\epsilon 0\epsilonov vó\mulov к\lambda\epsiloń\iotaov\sigma\iota \betao\tau\etâ\rho\epsilons.
\llbracket\tilde{~}\rho\mu|0v\rrbracket\rrbracket E\rho\mu\eta\nu \delta \epsilon\nu \sigma\tau\alpha\deltaíots \epsilon\nua\gamma\omega\nulov a0\lambda\eta\tau\eta\rho\epsilons.
\gammav\mu\nuа\sigma\iota\omega\nu \delta\epsilon \pió\lambda\eta\epsilonS \epsilon\pii\sigmaко\piо\nu а}\epsilon\epsilon\deltaо\nu\sigma\iota\nu
10 \epsilon\nu0\alpha \sigma\epsilon к\alphat \pi\alphaïs ov\tauos ava\xi
\delta

```

```

ov \gamma\alpha\rho \sigma\epsilon \pi\rho\omega\tau\iota\sigma\tau\alpha \Theta'\epsilon'\omega\nu \mu\epsilon\tau\alpha \pi\alphal\sigma\iota\nu \epsilon\tau\alphal\rhools

```


```

        I5 \eta\delta\epsilon к\alphat al\nuv́\mu\epsilon\nu0l \delta\omega\rho\omega\nu \Delta\eta\mu\etá\tau\epsilon\rhoos ay\nu\etas*
                    к\epsilon\iota\nu\alpha \mu\epsilon\nu \epsilon\sigma0\lambdaa ф\iota\lambdaos \delta\eta\eta\mu\omega \pio\rho\epsilon\mp@subsup{s}{}{*} \epsilon\sigma0\lambda\alpha \delta' \epsilon\pi \epsilon\sigma0\lambdao\iotas
                    \epsilon\nu0a\delta\epsilon \nuv\nu \pi\alphal\delta\epsilon\sigma\sigmal \deltal\deltaois к\alphal \alpha\mu\epsilońt\nuov\alpha \tau\alphav\tau\alpha.
            \eta\tau0l \mu\epsilon\nu \gamma\alpha\rho к\epsilont\nu\alpha к\alphal \alphaф\nu\epsiloǹlós \piópol \alpha\nu\eta\rho.
                кеveavxєа \delta\omegaра
            \pi\lambdaоv\tauov \gamma }\alpha\rho\mathrm{ кєขєoio }\pi\epsilon\lambda\epsilont \mu\epsiloni\lambdai\gamma\mu\alpha\tau\alpha к\epsilon\iota\nu\alpha
    ```


```

            оv\nu\epsilonк\alpha кєІ\nu\alpha \piа\tau\eta\rho \sigma\epsilon \delta\iota\delta\alphá\xi\alphaато. \tau\alphav\tau\alpha \delta\epsilon Mov\sigma\alphal.
    $$
\llbracket \underset{\sim}{E} \rho \mu \tilde{\sim}
$$

```
'Hermes, do thou thyself hasten to sing for me of thy young interpreter, and help the bard, striking with thy hand the seven-stringed many-toned lyre, which thou thyself first madest new-dropped at thy mother's feet and gavest to Apollo in ransom for his oxen; therefore do latter-day bards celebrate thy service of the Muses, and herdsmen in the fields proclaim thee as pastoral god, while athletes in the stadium call on Hermes ruler of the games, and cities hymn thee as warden of the gymnasia. And here too this youth, O King,
honours thee in thy hallowed folk, pouring a fount of oil for the citizens. For it is not newly that we know thee, Theon, holding chief office among thy youthful comrades, but of old, whether anointing ourselves with oil-distilling flasks, or partaking of the gifts of chaste Demeter. Such blessings didst thou of thy favour bestow on the folk; and blessings on blessings here givest thou now to the youths, yea more precious still. For those in truth a rich man too might bestow, since vainglorious are the gifts of vain wealth; but these come from a man learned in the wisdom of the Muses. Therefore we honour thee more highly for these than for them, because they were taught thee by thy father, and these by the Muses.'
r. Theon may be addressed as the \(i \pi \sigma \neq{ }_{n}{ }^{\prime} \omega \rho\) of Hermes in virtue of his office of gymnasiarch (cf. 11. \(9-10\) ), though very likely there is a special reference to his musical or literary tastes.
3. 1. \(\lambda v \rho q \nu\). Cf. the converse interchange of \(\delta\) and \(\rho\) in 1 . ri.


\(\lambda \dot{u} \tau \rho o v:\) there is perhaps in this word a deliberate reference to the fanciful etymology




 sport is not a Homeric attribute of Hermes. The initial \(\epsilon\) has been corrected from 九. With regard to the partially erased title in the margin here and below 1.22 , Wilamowitz thinks that these entries refer not to the present poem but to an encomium on Hermes pronounced by Theon on the occasion of his entry upon office and of his gift to the state, and that the same event is alluded to in the epithet тє̀̀v \(\boldsymbol{i} \pi\) оффíropa in 1. r. The more obvious view taken in the introduction, that the tille was intended to apply to the contents of the papyrus and was recognized to be erroneous, seems to have advantages.
ir. éגatíputos here and énatóxutos in 1.14 seem to be otherwise unatested. The acute accent on \(\pi\) tíoak' is written over a circumflex; similar corrections have been made in l. ry apétyova and \(1.21 \gamma \epsilon \rho a ́ t \rho o \mu \epsilon \nu\), while in 1. it a circumflex is replaced by the second grave accent in ènaioxutotrı.
 \({ }_{\eta} \mu a \rho\), Oppian, Hal. ii. 495.

2 I. \(\mu a \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \ldots \eta\) : so Apoll. Rhod. iii. 9 I.

\title{
III. EXTANT CLASSICAL AUTHORS
}

\section*{1016. Plato, Phactruls.}
\[
28 \times 57.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century. Plate V (Cols. v-vi) }
\]

Six columns in very fair preservation, containing the proem of the Phacdrus (pp. \(227 \mathrm{a}-230 \mathrm{c}\) ). A coronis is placed at the bottom of the last column, and a broad margin follows, which shows that the dialogue was not continued on this sheet ; either, therefore, it was for some reason left incomplete or a fresh roll was begun.

As with so many of the literary papyri belonging to the first large find of 1906 , from which both 1016 and 1017 are derived, this text is on the verso of a cursive document, a register of landowners, part of which is printed later on in this volume (1044). The document was drawn up in the fourteenth year of an unnamed emperor, no doubt either Marcus Aurelius (A.D. 173-4) or Septimius Severus (A. D. 205-6). A date near the commencement or in the earlier decades of the third century is therefore indicated for the MS. of the Plaadrus, and this is the period which the hand itself would naturally suggest. It is a mediumsized uncial of the oval type, but upright, and written in a rather free and flowing style. The employment of iota adscript, though frequent, is irregular. Alternations of the dialogue are, as usual, marked by double dots, accompanied sometimes by paragraphi ; but for the double dots a single high stop, which is also used for purposes of punctuation, is not infrequently substituted (e. g. 11. 95, \(115,124, \& c\).), and conversely the colon sporadically appears where the single stop would be expected (11.53, 153 ). N at the end of a line is often written as a stroke over the preceding vowel. Accents (11. 218, 227) and marks of elision (11. 11,59 ) are rare. Another occasional sign deserving remark is the comma placed between doubled mutes (11. 199, 232, 261), a use of which there appears to be as yet no instance earlier than the third century. That all these lectionmarks proceed from the original scribe is not certain, but he no doubt was responsible for the majority of them. There is, indeed, scanty evidence of a second hand at all. In one or two places, however, alterations seem to be due
to a diorthotes, who may also have introduced, for instance, such accentuation as occurs.

The text is not uninteresting, showing a number of small variations from the mediaeval MSS. No doubt the scribe was liable to make mistakes (cf. II. \(\ddagger 0\), \(85,154,187\) ) and sometimes seems to have had a difficulty in reading his archetype (cf. notes on 11. 160 and 229). On the other hand good readings occur which have hitherto rested either on inferior evidence or modern conjecture ; such are \(1.21 \pi o \iota i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a u, 1.7+\pi \alpha ́ v v \tau \iota\) (so Schanz; \(\pi \alpha \dot{v v}\) tıs MSS.), 1. \(239 \sigma \grave{v} \delta \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon\) (so editors; ov̉ \(\delta \in ́ \gamma \epsilon\) BT, \&c., \(\sigma \grave{v}\) ov̉ Par. 1812), 1. \(253 \sigma v ́, 1.258\) \(\pi \rho 0 \sigma \epsilon i=v \tau \epsilon s\). These lend a certain colour to the variants the value of which is more questionable. As between the two principal MSS., the Bodleianus (B) and Marcianus ( T ), the papyrus shows, as usual, little preference, agrecing first with one and then with the other. The appended collation is based on Burnet's Oxford cdition, of which B and T are the foundation; occasional references to other MSS. are taken from the edition of Bekker.

Col. i.

\([\tau t \delta] \alpha t \cdot\) ovk \(\alpha \nu\) ot \(\epsilon t \mu \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \Pi_{t} \quad{ }^{\prime}\)
\(20[\delta \alpha \rho o] \nu\) каı \(\alpha \sigma \chi\) од८аs \(v \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \bar{o}\)
\([\pi \rho \alpha \gamma] \mu \alpha \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) то \(\sigma \eta \nu \tau \epsilon\)

\([\pi \rho o] \alpha \gamma \epsilon \delta \eta\) : \(\lambda \epsilon \gamma 0 \iota s\) а \(\nu\) : ка८ \(\mu \bar{\eta}\)
\([\omega \quad \Sigma \omega] \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon s \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \eta \kappa o v \sigma \alpha \quad \gamma \epsilon\)
\({ }^{2} 5\left[\begin{array}{ll}\sigma 0 \iota & \eta\end{array}\right]\) акоך. о \(\gamma \alpha \rho\) тоє 入oyos \(\pi \epsilon\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\rho \iota & o \nu\end{array}\right] \delta i \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \beta o \mu \epsilon \nu\) ouk ot \(\delta\) ov
тเv \(\alpha\) ] тротои єрштікоя \(\gamma \in \gamma \rho \alpha\)
\(\lceil\phi \epsilon \mu] \epsilon \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \delta \eta\) о \(\Lambda v \sigma t a s \quad \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega\)
\([\mu \epsilon \nu]\) ov \(\tau \iota \nu \alpha\) т \(\omega \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \nu\) ov
\(3 \circ[\chi \quad v \pi]\) єрабтov \(\delta \epsilon^{\circ} \alpha \lambda \lambda\) аvто \(\delta \eta\)
\([\tau 0 \cup \tau]\) к кає кєко \(\mu \psi \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \iota \quad \lambda \epsilon\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\gamma \epsilon \iota & \omega\end{array}\right] s X^{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon o \nu \quad \tau \omega \mu \eta \in \rho \bar{\omega}\)
\([\tau \iota \mu \alpha] \lambda \lambda o \nu \quad \eta \quad \tau \omega\) єр \(\omega \nu \tau \iota: \omega\)
\([\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu] \alpha \iota o S^{\circ} \quad \epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon \quad \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu\)
\(35[\omega S\) X \(]\rceil \eta \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \quad \mu \mu \lambda \lambda o \nu \eta\)
\([\pi \lambda o v \sigma] \iota \omega \iota \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \rho \omega\)
\([\eta \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \omega] \ell\) к \(\alpha \iota\) ov \(\alpha \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \in\)
\([\mu 0 \iota \tau \epsilon \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon] \sigma \tau \iota \nu\) каl Toוs \(\pi 0 \lambda \quad 227 \mathrm{~d}\)
[ \(\lambda o \iota s ~ \eta \mu \omega \nu \quad \eta] \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \ell\)
ot 入oyot kat єү

\([\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \mu \eta \kappa \alpha\) а]vтшv акоv
\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\sigma \alpha l & \omega \sigma \tau & \epsilon \alpha \nu \\ \beta \alpha \delta l\end{array}\right\} \omega \nu \pi 0 \iota\)

[кає ката H Нооєкоv] \(\pi \rho о \sigma \beta \alpha s\)

\([\mu \eta\) oov \(\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi] \theta \omega: \pi \omega s\)
\(\left[\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota S \omega \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \Sigma \Sigma^{\Sigma} \omega\right] \kappa \rho \alpha\)
Col. ii.
tєs. olєl \(\mu \epsilon \quad \alpha\) Avolas \(\epsilon \nu \pi\)
\(\lambda \omega l\) Хрои' \(\omega l\) ката \(\sigma^{\chi}{ }^{n \lambda \eta \nu}\)

\(\omega v \tau \omega \nu^{\prime \prime} v \nu \quad \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\)
\(i \delta \iota \omega \tau \eta \nu\) ov \(\alpha\) ато \(\mu \nu \eta \mu o\)
\(\nu \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon t \nu \quad \alpha \xi t \omega s \in \kappa \epsilon \omega \nu 0 v: \pi \pi \lambda\)
\(\lambda о v \quad \gamma \epsilon \delta \epsilon \omega\) каเтоь \(\epsilon \beta\) оv \(\lambda о \mu \bar{\eta}\)
\(55 \gamma \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu \eta \mu o \iota \pi 0 \lambda \nu\) X \(\rho v\)
бוov \(\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a t\) : \(\omega\) Ф \(\alpha \iota \delta \rho \epsilon\)
\(\epsilon \iota\) єүш Фаıठрои ауvow кає
\(\epsilon \mu \alpha \nu \tau o v \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \lambda \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \alpha l^{\circ} \alpha \lambda\)
\(\lambda \alpha\) \(\gamma \alpha \rho\) ov \(\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \epsilon \sigma \tau L \nu\) Tov
Go \(\tau \omega \nu\) єv \(\delta\) ol \(\delta \alpha\) oтt Avatov \(\lambda o\)
रov \(\alpha \kappa[0] \iota[\omega] \nu \quad \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota[\nu] 0 s\) ov \(\mu[0\)
\(v o \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \xi \quad \eta \kappa o v \sigma[\epsilon] \nu \quad \alpha \lambda[\lambda] \alpha\).
\(\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota \varsigma \quad \epsilon \pi \alpha l^{\prime} \alpha \lambda[\alpha] \mu \beta \alpha[\nu \bar{\omega}\)
\(\epsilon к \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \in \nu\) ot \(\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon!\nu\) o \(\delta \epsilon \epsilon\)
\({ }_{65} \pi \epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon \tau \circ \quad \pi \rho \circ \theta v \mu[\omega s] \tau[\omega] \iota \quad \delta[\epsilon\)
ov \(\delta \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \quad \eta \nu \quad \dddot{i}[\alpha \nu \alpha \alpha \lambda\)
\(\lambda \alpha \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha[\beta \bar{\omega}\)
то \(\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota o \nu\) a \(\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \epsilon \pi[\epsilon\)
\(\theta \nu \mu \epsilon \iota \quad \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon\left[\begin{array}{ll}l & \kappa \alpha\end{array}\right]_{l}\) [тоvто
\(7 \circ \delta \rho \omega^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \in \omega \theta \omega^{\prime} \circ v \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu[\epsilon\)
\(\nu \circ S \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \pi \omega \nu \quad \epsilon[[s] \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha\)
Tov \(\eta \epsilon \iota\) wS \(\mu \epsilon \nu \in \gamma \omega \mu \alpha \iota \quad{ }^{\prime} \eta\)
тоv кvv \(\epsilon \xi \in[\pi l] \sigma \pi \alpha \mu \in \nu 0\) S
тov \(\lambda_{0}\) oyov \(\epsilon \ell \mu[\eta] \pi \alpha \nu^{\prime v} \tau \iota\)

\(\epsilon \kappa \tau \circ S \quad \tau \in \imath \chi o v[s \quad i] \nu \alpha \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \omega\)
\(\eta \ell \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha[\mathrm{S} \delta] \epsilon \tau \omega\) vooov
\(\tau \iota \pi \in \rho \iota\) 入oушу акоך \(\ddot{\imath} \delta \bar{\omega}\)
\(\mu \epsilon \nu \quad{ }^{\circ} \sigma \theta \eta\) oтı \(\left.\epsilon \xi \sigma \iota\right]\) रov \(\xi \bar{v}\)
\(80 \kappa o \rho v[\beta \alpha \nu \tau \iota \omega \nu] \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha[\iota \pi] \rho[0\)
\(\alpha \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu '[. . \epsilon] \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \epsilon \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma[\mu \epsilon\) 228 c
\(\nu^{\prime}\) ov \(\delta\left[\begin{array}{llll}\epsilon & \lambda\end{array}\right] \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu\) тоv \(\tau \omega \nu^{\prime} \lambda[o]\)
\(\gamma \omega v \in \rho[\alpha \sigma \tau] o v \in \theta \rho v \pi \tau \epsilon \tau[o]\)
\(\omega s \quad \delta \eta\) ovk \(\epsilon \pi \iota \theta u \mu \omega \nu \quad \lambda \in \gamma \epsilon i\)
\(8_{5} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu\) к \(\alpha \iota\)
\(\epsilon \iota \mu \eta\) тıS єкшע акоvoı \(\beta \iota \alpha \iota\)
\(\epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu\) \(\sigma v\) ov \(\omega \Phi \alpha \iota \delta \rho \epsilon \alpha v>\)
\(\tau 0 \nu \delta \epsilon \eta \theta \eta \tau \iota\) отє \(\tau \tau \chi^{\alpha}\)
\(\pi\left[\alpha \nu \tau \omega S \pi o l \eta \sigma \epsilon l \quad \nu v[\nu \quad \eta] \delta \eta \quad \pi \rho_{l} l_{l}\right.\)
\(9 \circ \epsilon[L \nu:] \in \mu o t \quad \omega s \quad \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega s \pi o \lambda v\)
[крат]८бтov єбтוע ovтడs o
\(\left.\pi_{[ } \omega_{S} \epsilon\right] \alpha \nu \quad \delta v \nu \omega \mu \alpha \iota \quad \lambda \in \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu\)
\(\left.{ }^{〔} \omega s \mu_{0 l} \delta 0\right] k \in t s\) ov [o] \(\nu \delta \alpha \mu \omega s\)

Col. iii.
\(\mu \epsilon \alpha \phi \eta \sigma \epsilon \ell \nu \pi \rho l \nu \quad \alpha \nu \quad \epsilon \iota \pi \omega\)
\(95 \alpha \mu \omega s \gamma \epsilon \pi \omega \varsigma^{*} \pi \alpha \nu v \gamma \alpha \rho \sigma 0 \iota\)
\(\alpha \lambda \eta \theta_{\eta}\) ठок \(\omega \cdot\) оит \(\omega \nu \nu \pi\) тоt

\(\pi \alpha \nu \tau o s ~ \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \alpha \quad \gamma \epsilon \rho \eta\)
\(\mu[\alpha] \tau \alpha\) ovк \(\epsilon \xi \in \mu \alpha \theta\) ov \(\tau \eta \nu\)
\(100 \mu \epsilon \nu \tau 0 \iota\) סıavolav \(\sigma \chi \in \delta O \nu\)
\(\pi[\alpha] \nu \tau \omega \nu\) ois \(\epsilon \phi \eta \delta i \alpha \phi \in \rho \in i\)
\([\tau \alpha]\) тov єршvтos \(\eta \tau \alpha\) тov \(\mu \eta\)

\([\epsilon] \phi \epsilon \xi{ }^{\ell} \eta S \quad \delta \iota \epsilon \iota \mu \iota \quad \alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu 0 S\)
\(105[\alpha \pi]\) ] \(\tau 0 v \pi \rho \omega \tau 0 v: \delta \in i \xi \alpha S\)

\(\left.\tau \eta \iota \quad \alpha \rho_{[ }!\right] \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \in \chi \in t S \quad v \pi 0 \quad \tau \omega t\)
ï \(\mu \tau \tau \omega t\) топ \(\alpha \oint \omega\) \(\gamma \alpha \rho \sigma \in \in \chi \epsilon \bar{\imath}\)
тov 入oyov autov \(\epsilon \ell \delta \in\) тоv

[ \(\rho t\) ] \(\epsilon \mu \nu v \omega s \in \gamma \omega \sigma \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu v \mu \epsilon \nu\)
 228 e
' \(\dagger \mu a v \tau o \nu\) боו \(\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu\)
\([\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon i v\) ov \(\pi \alpha \nu v \delta \in \delta 0 \kappa \tau \alpha \iota\)
\(115[\alpha \lambda \lambda\) i \(\theta] \iota\) סєıкıvє' \(\pi \alpha \nu \epsilon \cdot\) єккє
[кр]ovkas \(\mu \epsilon \dot{\tau} \dot{\omega} \dot{\nu} \in \lambda \pi \iota \delta o s \llbracket \nu \rrbracket\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\omega & \Sigma\end{array}\right] \omega \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \varsigma \quad \eta \nu \quad \epsilon \iota \chi \circ \nu \in \nu\)
[ \(\sigma o l] \omega s\) є \(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \nu \nu \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s\)
\([\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha] \pi о \iota \delta \eta \beta о \nu \lambda \epsilon \iota\) к \(\alpha \theta_{\iota} \xi_{0}\)
\(120\left[\mu \in \nu \sigma^{\top} \ell \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \mu \in \nu^{\prime} \quad \delta \in \nu \rho o\right.\)
[ \(\epsilon \kappa \tau \rho] \alpha \pi о \mu \epsilon \nu о \ell ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha\) тоу \(\bar{I}\)
\(\lambda[\iota \sigma o] v i \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha\) oтov
\(\epsilon \alpha \nu \quad \delta o \xi \eta\) ка \(\theta\) \(\eta \sigma v \chi^{\iota} \alpha \nu\) к \(\alpha\)
\(\theta_{\iota} \S \eta \sigma о \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha\). єוऽ каıроv

\(\epsilon \tau v X \partial \nu \sigma v \quad \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \quad \alpha \epsilon \iota \quad \theta \alpha \sigma\)
oov ovv \(\eta \mu \iota \nu\) ката тo v \(\delta \alpha \tau \iota\)
ov \(\beta \rho \epsilon \chi\) оvot tous modas \(\ddot{i} \epsilon\)
\(\nu \alpha \iota^{\cdot}\) кац оик \(\alpha \eta \delta \epsilon S\) \(\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega S\) т \(\tau\)
\({ }^{1} 30\) кає т \(\eta \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \omega p \alpha \nu\) тоv
\(\epsilon \tau 0 v[s] \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta S\) \(\eta \mu \epsilon \rho \alpha s:\)
\(\overline{\pi \rho} о \alpha \gamma \epsilon\) ठ \(\eta\) к \(\alpha \iota\) бкотєє отои
\(\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \delta o v \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha\) : opas ouv
\(\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \eta \nu \quad \tau \eta \nu \quad v \psi \eta \lambda о \tau \alpha \tau \bar{\eta}\)
\({ }^{135} \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \nu 0 \nu\) т \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \mu \eta \nu\) : \(\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota\)
\(\sigma \kappa \iota \alpha \tau \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha \quad 229 \mathrm{~b}\)
\(\mu \epsilon \tau \rho เ о \nu\) каı тоа каӨıऽє
\(\sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \eta \in \alpha \nu \quad \beta o v \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha\)
\(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda \iota \theta_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \alpha \iota: \pi \rho o \alpha \gamma o \iota s \bar{\alpha}\)

Col, iv.

\(\theta \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \tau 0 \iota \pi o \theta \epsilon \nu \quad a \pi \sigma\)

\(\tau \eta \nu \Omega \rho \epsilon \ell \theta \iota \alpha \nu \quad \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota: \lambda \epsilon\)
\(\gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \gamma \alpha \rho: \alpha \rho\) ovv \(\kappa \alpha \iota \in \nu \theta \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon\)
\(145 \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha\) زovv кає \(\delta \iota \alpha \phi \alpha \nu \eta\)
\(\kappa \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \alpha \quad \tau \alpha \ddot{̈} \delta \alpha \tau \iota \alpha\) ф \(\alpha \iota \prime \epsilon\)
\(\tau \alpha \iota\) к \(\alpha \iota \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \iota \alpha\) кор \(\alpha \iota\) л \(\pi \alpha \iota\)
§є८v \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \cup \tau \alpha:\) оик \(\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha\)
\(\tau \omega \theta \epsilon \nu\) oбov \(\delta v \quad \eta\) \(\tau \rho \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \iota\)
150 а \(\eta \iota\) троs то \(\tau \eta s\) \(A \gamma \rho \alpha s \delta_{1} \alpha \beta \alpha_{l}\)
\(\nu о \mu \in \nu\) кає \(\pi о v \tau \ell \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \beta \omega \mu о\) s
\(\alpha \nu \tau \circ \theta \iota\) Bopєov: ov \(\pi \alpha \nu v \epsilon \nu\)
\(\nu \epsilon \nu \circ \eta \kappa \alpha: \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \circ s \Delta_{\iota}\)
os \(\omega \Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \varsigma \quad \sigma \epsilon\) тоито то \(\mu v\)
\({ }^{155} \theta_{0} \lambda_{0} \gamma_{\eta} \mu \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \epsilon \iota \quad \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon S \in \iota \nu \alpha \iota\)
\(\alpha \lambda \lambda \in \ell \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \iota \eta \nu \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\) ol \(\sigma 0 \phi 0 \iota\)
ovk \(\alpha \nu\) атотоs єı \(\nu \cdot \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha\) \(\sigma \circ \phi \iota\)
§о \(\mu \in \nu 0 s\) фаı \(\eta \nu\) аут \(\eta \nu\)
\(\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha\) Bорєоv ката \(\tau \omega \nu\)
\(160 \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \circ \nu \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \nu\) ov \(\eta \Phi_{\alpha \rho}\)
\(\mu \alpha \kappa \epsilon \iota \alpha\) т \(\alpha \iota\} o v \sigma \alpha \nu\) \(\omega \sigma \alpha \iota\) к \(\alpha \iota\)
ov \(\omega \omega \delta \eta \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \lambda \epsilon\)
\(\chi\) Өךvat vто Bopєov ava \(\rho \pi \alpha\)
\(\sigma \tau 0 \nu \quad \gamma \in \gamma 0 \nu \epsilon v^{\prime} \alpha \iota \quad \eta \in \xi\) A \(\rho \epsilon \iota \circ\)
165 \(\pi \alpha \gamma 0 v \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha l \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha v \kappa \alpha l\) ov
tos o \(\lambda\) ovos \(\omega\) s \(\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda\) ov
\(\kappa \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \quad \eta \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \theta \eta . \quad \epsilon \gamma \omega\)
\(\delta \epsilon \omega\) Фаı \(\delta \rho \epsilon \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega s \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha\) тоьаvта \(X^{\alpha \rho I \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha ~} \eta \gamma о \nu \mu \alpha \iota\)

каl ov \(\pi \alpha \nu v\) єvтvरous \(\alpha \nu \delta \rho o s\)
\(\kappa \alpha \tau \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda о \quad \mu \epsilon \nu\) ov \(\delta \epsilon \nu\) oтl \(\delta \epsilon \alpha \nu\) \(\tau \omega \iota \alpha \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \kappa \eta \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha\) тоито то \(\tau \bar{\omega}\) \(I \pi \pi о к \in \nu \tau \alpha \nu \rho \omega \nu\) єiठos \(\epsilon \pi \alpha\)


то८оvт \(\omega \nu\) Горуоршу ка८ П \(\eta\)
\(\gamma \alpha \sigma \omega \nu\) к \(\alpha \iota ~ \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \alpha \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \bar{\omega}\)
\(\pi \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon l \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha l\) атотı\(\alpha^{\ell} \dot{\theta} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\rho} \dot{\mu} \dot{\eta} \dot{\nu}\)
229 e
180 тєрато入oy \(\omega \nu \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu \phi \nu \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu\)
\(\alpha l S\) €l TlS \(\alpha \pi l \sigma \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \beta l \beta \alpha\)
ката то єIKOS єкабTOV \(\alpha \tau \epsilon\)
аүроוкюl тıvl \(\sigma o \phi \iota \alpha l ~ \chi p \omega \mu \epsilon\)
vos \(\pi о \lambda \lambda \eta s\) а \(\frac{\operatorname{vict}}{} \sigma \chi 0 \lambda \eta s\)

\section*{Col.v. Plate V.}

\(\alpha \nu \tau \alpha\) ov \(\delta \alpha[\mu] \omega s \in \sigma \tau l\) \(\sigma \chi{ }^{\circ}\)
\(\lambda \eta\) то \(\delta \epsilon \alpha \iota[\tau t 0] \nu \omega \phi t \lambda \epsilon \tau 0 v\)
тоעтоv тоסє ov \(\delta v \nu \alpha \mu \alpha l \pi \omega\)
катк то \(\triangle \epsilon \lambda ф ı к о \nu ~ \gamma \rho а \mu \mu \alpha\)

\(\delta \eta \mu 0 t\) фацעєтаt тоито \(\epsilon \quad 230 \mathrm{a}\)
\(\tau \iota \alpha \gamma \nu 0 o v \nu \tau \alpha\) \(\tau \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho t\)
a \(\sigma \kappa o \pi \epsilon t \nu \quad\) o \(\theta \epsilon \nu \quad \delta \eta X^{\alpha \iota}\)
\(\rho \epsilon \iota \nu \in \alpha \sigma \alpha s\) таvта \(\pi \epsilon \iota \theta_{0}\)
\(195 \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \Omega \delta \epsilon \tau \omega \iota \nu о \mu \iota \delta_{0}\)
\(\mu \in \nu \omega \pi \epsilon \rho l\) avt \(\omega \nu\) o \(\nu \bar{v}\)
\(\delta \eta\) є \(\lambda \epsilon\) रुv \(\sigma \kappa о \pi \omega\) ov
\(\tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha\) є \(\mu \alpha \tau \tau 0 \nu\)
\(\epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \tau \iota\) Aŋplov \(\tau v \gamma^{\prime} \chi^{\alpha \nu \omega}\)
200 Tvф \(\omega \nu\) оs \(\pi о \lambda \nu \pi \lambda о к \omega\)
\(\tau \epsilon \rho o v\) кає \(\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda о \nu \in \pi \iota\)
\(\tau \in \theta v \mu \mu \epsilon \imath^{\prime}\) ои єıтє \(\eta\)
\(\mu \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha l\) a \(\alpha \lambda o v\)

205 vos каו atvфov \([[\phi]] \mu o t \rho \alpha s\)
\(\phi v \sigma \epsilon i \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi\) ХV \(\alpha \tau \alpha \rho\)
\(\omega \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \underline{\xi} v \tau \omega \nu \lambda\)
\(\gamma \omega \nu\) ap ov Tode \(\eta\) ท' то
\(\delta \in \nu \delta \rho o \nu \quad \epsilon \varnothing\) от \(\epsilon \rho \quad \eta \gamma \epsilon S\)
2 го \(\eta \mu \alpha \mathrm{s}:\) тоvто \(\mu \in \nu\) ovv 230 b
аr'тo: \({ }^{\prime \prime \eta} \tau \eta v{ }^{\prime} H \rho \alpha v\)
\(\kappa \alpha \lambda \eta \gamma \epsilon \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta\)
\(\eta \tau \epsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \nu O S \alpha v\)
\(\tau \eta \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \quad \alpha \mu \phi \iota \lambda \alpha \eta \eta^{\tau} \tau \epsilon\)
215 к \(\alpha \iota \nu \psi \eta \lambda \eta\) ．\(\tau 0 v \tau \epsilon \alpha \gamma \nu o v\)
то \(\dot{\psi} \psi\) оs кає то бvбкєои
\(\pi \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu\) кає \(\omega s\) акк \(\bar{\eta}\)
\(\epsilon_{\chi} \epsilon \iota \tau \eta s\) á \(\nu \theta \eta s\) ws \(\alpha \nu \epsilon v\)
\(\omega \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau 0 \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \chi{ }^{\circ \ell}\)
220 тov тотоン \(\eta \tau \epsilon \alpha v \pi \eta\)
\(\gamma \eta \chi^{\alpha \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \eta ~ v \pi о ~ \tau \eta S}\)
\(\pi \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \nu o v \quad \rho \epsilon \iota \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \psi v\)
 \(\delta_{\iota} \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \rho a \sigma \theta \alpha \ell \quad N \nu \mu\)
\(225 \phi \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \tau i \nu \omega \nu\) кац \(A\)
\(\chi^{\epsilon \lambda \omega \iota \sigma v ~ \ddot{\epsilon} \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \alpha \pi o ~ \tau \bar{\omega}}\)

Col．vi．Plate V．
кор \(\omega \nu \nu \in\left[\begin{array}{ll}k \alpha \ell & \alpha \gamma\end{array}\right] \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu\)
єоtкє \(\epsilon!\left[\begin{array}{lll}\nu \alpha l & \epsilon \ell & \delta \epsilon\end{array}\right]\) av \(\beta 0<\lambda \epsilon \ell \quad 230 \mathrm{C}\)
єvாıovv［T］ov тотои \(\omega\) s
\({ }_{23} 3^{\alpha}\) а \(\alpha \pi \eta \tau o \nu \quad[\kappa \alpha] \iota \quad \sigma \phi о \delta \rho \alpha \quad \eta\)
\(\delta \eta \quad \theta \epsilon \rho \iota \nu=\nu\)＇т］\(\epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \lambda \iota \gamma v \rho \bar{o}\)
\(v \phi \eta \chi^{\epsilon \epsilon l} \tau \omega \quad \tau \omega{ }^{\prime}, \quad \tau \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \tau \iota \gamma \bar{\omega}\)
\(\chi \circ[\rho] \omega \iota \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega] \nu \quad \delta \epsilon к о \mu \psi \circ\)
\(\left.\tau \alpha_{2} \tau 0\right] \nu\) тo \(\tau \eta S\) moas ovt \(\epsilon l^{\prime} \eta\)
\({ }^{2} 35\) рє \(\mu \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \iota\) їк \(\alpha \nu \eta \pi \epsilon\)
фикєン катак入เขєขтו т \(\bar{\eta}\)
\(\kappa \epsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \pi \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega s \quad \epsilon \chi \epsilon \bar{i}\)
\(\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \quad \alpha \rho ı \sigma \tau \alpha\) \(\sigma 0 \iota \in \xi \in \nu \alpha\)
\(\gamma \eta \tau \alpha \iota \omega \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \Phi^{\alpha} \delta \delta \rho \epsilon \cdot \sigma v \delta \epsilon\)
\(24 \circ \gamma \epsilon \omega\) өаvраб८є атот \(\omega \tau \alpha\)
tos tis фaıvel ateXvas
\(\gamma \alpha \rho \circ \lambda \in \gamma \epsilon \iota S \quad \xi \in r^{\prime} \alpha \gamma 0 \nu \mu \epsilon\)
```

    \nu\omegat Tl\nul k\alphal ovk \epsilon\pi\\\\omega\rhol
    \omegal \epsilonolkas out\omegas \epsilonK TOU a
    245 \sigmaT\epsilon\omegaS ovT\epsilon \epsilonS \tau }\eta\nuv\pi\epsilon
230 d
op\iota\alpha\nu \alpha\piо\delta \eta\mu\epsilon\iotas ov\tau\epsilon
\epsilon\xi\omega \tau\epsilonl\ovs \epsilon\muоl\gamma\epsilon \deltaок\epsilon\iotas
\tauо \pi}~\alpha\rho\alpha\pi\alpha\nu \epsilon\xi<br>epsilon\nu\alpha\iota: \sigmav
\gamma\iota\dot{\gamma}\nu\omega\sigmaк\epsilon \muо\ell \omega \alpha\rhol\sigma\tau\epsilon. ф!\lambdao
250 \mu\alpha0\etas \gamma\alpha\rho є\iota\mul* \tau\alpha }\mu\in\nu ou
\chi\omega\rho\iota\alpha к\alpha\iota \tau\alpha \delta\epsilon\nu\delta\rho\alpha ov\delta'\overline{\epsilon}
\mu \epsilon0\epsilon\lambda\inl \deltat\delta\alpha\sigmaк\inl\nu ol \delta \in\nu
\tau\omegal \alpha\sigma\tau\epsilonl \alpha\nu0\rho\omega\piol \sigmav \mu\overline{\epsilon}
TOl \gamma\epsilon \deltaок\epsilonlS T\etaS \epsilon\mu\etaS \epsilon
255 \xiо\deltaоv то фа\rho\mu\alphaко\nu єv\rho\eta
к\epsilon\nu\alphal \omega\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho \gamma\alpha\rho 0l \tau\alpha \pii\nu\omega
т\alpha 0\rho\in\mu\mu\alpha\tau\alpha 0\alpha\lambda\lambdaо\nu \eta
\tauו\nu\alpha к\alpha\rho\piо\nu \pi\rhoо\sigma\epsilonוO\nu
t\inS \alpha\gammaov\sigmat* ov\tau\omega \sigmav \muot \lambdao
2 6 0 jovs \pi \rho о \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu ~ \in \nu ~ \beta l \beta \lambda l
o\iotas т\eta\nu \tau\epsilon A\tau'\tauוк\eta\nu \phi\alpha\iota
\nu\epsilon\ell \pi\epsilon\rho\iota\alpha\xi\epsilon\iota\nu \alpha\pi\alpha\sigma\alpha\nu}\quad230\textrm{e
\kappa\alphal o\pi\eta \alpha\nu \alpha\lambda\lambdaо\sigma\epsilon \betaov\lambda\eta
\nuv\nu \delta ovv \epsilon\nu \tau\omegal \pi\alpha\rhoо\nu\tau\ell
265 \deltaєv\rhoо аф\iotaко\mu\epsilon\nuоS є\gamma\omega \mu\overline{\epsilon}
\muо\iota \deltaок\omega катакє\iota\sigmaє\sigma0\alpha\iota.
or
\sigmav \delta\epsilon \epsilon\nu о\pi\omega\iota \sigma\chi\eta\mu\alpha[[.]]\tau\iota ol\epsilon\ell
\rho\alpha\iota\sigmaт\alpha \alpha\nu\alpha\gamma\nu\omega\sigma\epsilon\sigma0\alpha\iota \tauоv0 \epsilon
\lambdaо\mu\epsilon\nuOS \alpha\nu\alpha\gamma\iota\gamma\nu\omega\sigmaK\epsilon
>-

```
4. \(\mu \iota \kappa \rho o \nu: \sigma v \chi \nu o{ }^{\nu}\) MSS. The deletion of the \(\epsilon\) was probably due to the corrector.
5. [єкєt] \(\delta_{\epsilon \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \psi a: ~ o r ~ p e r h a p s ~}^{[\epsilon \kappa] \epsilon t ~ \in \tau \rho \iota \psi a, ~ w h i c h, ~ h o w e v e r, ~ i s ~ n o t ~ a ~ k n o w n ~ v a r i a n t . ~}\)
II. \(\gamma^{\prime} є \phi \eta\) : \(\gamma^{\prime} \rho \mathrm{MSS}\).
12. €таиєє: є́таїрє \(\lambda_{i} \gamma \epsilon \iota\) MSS.

16. \(\delta \eta\) : so B : om. T.

19. \(\delta] a t\) : so B corr., Vat. 225, Ven. I 89 ; \(\delta \epsilon\) 'T and other MSS. \(\in\) of \(\mu \epsilon\) was converted from \(a\)

\(\sigma \eta v\) : so BT ; тє \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \nu \mathrm{G}\), which is accepted by Burnet.
25. Aayos: גóoos h̀v MSS.
28. \(\mu \mathrm{\epsilon v}\) : om. MISS.

31-2, \(\lambda \epsilon \gamma \in \epsilon\) : MSS. \(\lambda \epsilon \gamma_{\epsilon!}\) रáp, for which there is not room in the lacuna. \(\tau \omega\) before \(\mu \eta\) in 1.32 and before \(\epsilon p \omega \nu \tau \iota\) in 1.33 is omitted in the ordinary text.
fo. The insertion above the line (apparently by the first hand) brings the papyrus into conformity with the usual text, except that \(\kappa a \iota \epsilon \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon\) appears here in place of \({ }^{\epsilon \prime} \gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime}\) ov̉v.
41. a \({ }^{2}\) vitu : om. MSS.
55. \(\pi\) òv : so B ; по入úv T .
60. єv \(\delta\) : so T ; om. \(\delta{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{B}\).
72. \(\epsilon \gamma \omega \mu a \iota\) : the MSS. have \(\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}\) oi \(\boldsymbol{i \mu a}\) without crasis.
74. \(\pi a v v \pi t\) : so Burnet with Schanz: máve tis MSS.


81. є]ceגeve does not fill the space. Possibly there was a flaw in the papyrus (cf. 1. 158), or a particle like \(\delta \dot{\prime}\) or \(\gamma\) e may have been added.
\(8_{5}\). є \(\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu\) : MSS. \(\ddot{\epsilon}_{\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon}\) which is required by the following \(\dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon i \nu\),
87. A stop may be lost after \(\epsilon p \epsilon t\).

96. ovta: ovitwoi MSS., which agree with the corrected reading rotvv.
101. \(\pi[a \downarrow \tau \omega \nu\) : व́ \(\pi\) ávт \(\omega \nu\) MSS. There is but a tiny vestige of the \(\pi\), but this combined with the short space is a sufficient indication.
ro3. ovv: om. MSS. ovp here implies the removal of the usual punctuation after
 omitted in B.
106. o: tiáapa B, ti äpa ô T.
112. Avalov: so T ; kaì Avoiov B, Burnet.
116. \(\epsilon \lambda \pi t \delta \omega \nu\) was originally written, but was altered by the first hand to \(\epsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta o s\) which is required by \(\eta \nu\) in the next line.
 Stallbaum.


Өaббov: คạ̃тov MSS.
132. бколєt: бко́тєє äна MSS.
 Plato in Theaet. 146 a.

144. кat: om. MSS.
 \(\delta a \alpha a \nu \eta\) has been converted from a к, i. e. the scribe presumably began to write ка日apa.
 certain, having at first sight rather the appearance of an c; but this is probably due to the scaling of the ink.
\(5_{5} \mathrm{r}\). The interlinear \(s\) may have been inserted by the original hand. tis Burnet with \(\mathrm{T}, \tau^{i} \mathrm{~B} . \pi\) of \(\pi o v\) has apparently also been altered from a \(\tau\) (i.e. \(\tau 0 \tau \tau \iota\) was originally
writen), and there is a diagonal stroke through \(\tau\) of \(\tau\) s as though that letter was to be deleted. Perhaps the corrector, whoever he was, intended to rewrite the whole word and then changed his mind and inserted s.
152. єขขєขоךка: \(\nu є \nu\) о́пка MSS.
154. \(\sigma \epsilon: \sigma v\) MSS, with \(\pi \epsilon i \theta_{\eta}\) or \(\pi \epsilon i \theta_{\epsilon} . \quad \sigma \epsilon\) was due to \(\pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon\) having been taken for the active instead of the middle.
158. Owing to a flaw in the papyrus the syllable \(\phi a t\) and \(\eta \nu\) are separated by a considerable interval.
160. ov \(\eta\) is apparently due to a misreading of \(\sigma v v\); cf. note on l. 229 .
163. Boptov: тav̂ Bopéov MSS.
165. \(\lambda\) of \(\lambda \in \gamma \in \tau a l\) was converted from a \(\gamma\).
175. avats: aviets MSS., more correctly.
176. Xєцатpps: \(\epsilon\) was first written in place of at; the alteration may be by the original scribe. The Ionic genitive is a vulgar form, like \({ }_{c}{ }^{a} v i v i n ~ l .92, ~ \& c . ~\)

єтьррєє: каі є̇тьррєі MSS.
 à otoiaz BT , \&c., Burnet. Why \(\theta_{\epsilon} \rho \mu \eta y\) was written at the end of this line is rather a mystery: In addition to the dots by which the word is cancelled a stroke is drawn through the \(\rho\). The inserted \(t\) is placed after the \(\theta\), and if it was written by the original scribe he no doubt also deleted \(\theta_{\text {epu }}\) q. \(^{2}\).
185. тa тolavтa: à̉tá B , тav̀тa T .
187. тоuтovтov: 1. тои́tov.
191. \(\delta \eta\) : so BT ; \(\delta \epsilon \operatorname{Vind} .80\).
199. Anpıov: so B; Anpiov ö̀ T (Burnet), Anpiov 㟋 vulg.

\(223 . \omega s \gamma \epsilon\) : so Aristaenetus and vulg. ; \(\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \mathrm{BT}\), Burnet.
225 . \(\phi\) at the beginning of the line is blotted.
229. To is omitted before \(\epsilon v \pi \nu o v y\), before which word a short blank space was left. Presumably the archetype was defective or illegible ; cf. the scribe's failure to read avv in 1. 160 .


235. тробuvтє: : so T ; \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma a v \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~B}\). The first three letters of the word are smudged.


248. The third \(\gamma\) of \(\sigma v \gamma \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa \epsilon\) was apparently intended to be cancelled by the dot placed above it ; cf. Il. 116 and 179. In 1. 269, however, a similar spelling remains unaltered.
253. ov : so Par. 1809 , edd. ; oủ BT, \&c. Cf. l. 239.
254. \(\gamma \epsilon\) : om. MSS., but Par. 1809 has \(\gamma \epsilon\) above the line after \(\sigma v\).

бокєєs: бокеї \(\mu\) оt T ; бокєî до七 B .
\(\epsilon \mu \eta \mathrm{s}\) : so T ; om. B.


263. опף : so Coisl. 155, Ven. 8, 184, and others; ӧтоь BT, Burnet.
264. \(\delta\) ouy : so T, Burnet; oůy B.

267. oт \(\omega t\) was originally written for onotw, which is the reading of the MSS.; the alteration seems to have been made by a different hand.

\section*{1017. Plato, Phacdrus.}

Height 27.5 cm . Late second or early third century: Plate VI (Cols. xix-xx).
The following remains of a fine copy of the Placdrus extend from p. 238 c to p. 251 b , with considerable lacunae, a gap of as much as eleven columns occurring after Col. vii. This text and 1016 were found together, but they are two quite distinct manuscripts, and differ markedly both in the quality of the materials and the character of the hands. In 1017 the papyrus is thinner and of superior texture (in several places supporting strips were added at the back), and the recto only is used, while the writer was a calligrapher of no mean order. His script is a handsome example of the oval type, regular and graceful, slightly inclined, and rather above the medium sizc. A few accents, breathings, and marks of elision occur, but these are mainly, if not entirely, due to a second hand, which has made certain corrections and inserted a number of alternative readings either in the text above the line or opposite in the right margin. In one case at least (xxxv. 5) a third hand is to be recognized. The punctuation, however, for which stops in three positions are employed (a low point, e.g in xxi. 9, 27), is original. Paragraphi seem to have been used only where there was a change of speaker, in combination with the usual double dots; a coronis marks the end of a section at xxi. 29. Iota adscript is irregularly written ; \(\xi\), not \(\sigma\), usually appears in compounds of \(\xi_{v v}\) ( \(\sigma v v\) - in Col. iv. 8). In order to preserve the evenness of the column the last two or three letters of a line are sometimes considerably compressed, and for the same reason a final N is occasionally represented by a stroke above the preceding vowel. The scribe is so skilful in his spacing that the angular sign used for filling out a short line is rarely called into requisition. This MS. is probably rather earlier in date than 1016, and may go back to the end of the second century.

The text is on the whole accurate and good, and the double readings, which have been referred to above, give it a particular interest. One of them supports a conjecture of Heindorf (iv. 3), some reappear in the MSS. (cf. i. I, iii. 6, iv. 24 , vii. 1 , xx. \(3^{1-2, ~ x x i i . ~} 3^{1}\), xxvi. 9), others are new (iv. \(6,16,25,3^{1}\), v. \(^{5} 5,16\), vii. 32 , xix. 29, \(33, \mathrm{xx} .5,29\), xxi. 17,23 , xxii. 20, 24, xxvi. 14, xxxii). New readings without variants are also not infrequent, and though seldom of much importance they may sometimes be correct; cf. c. g. vi. 9, vii. \(30, \mathrm{xx} .2\), II, xxi. 26,29 , xxii. 18,23 , xxvi. 10, 29, 31 , xxvii. 4,29 , xxxiv. 13 , xxxv. \(3,4,12\), the last confirming a correction of Cobet. Moreover, the papyrus shows its good
quality by frequently preserving the superior reading when one of the two chief authorities, B and T, goes astray, sometimes (e. g. xxi. 4, xxii. 13) against them both. As in the commentary on 1016, it is to the evidence of those two MSS., as given by Burnet, that the collation appended below is for the most part confined; some additional information has been supplied from Bekker's edition.

\section*{Col. i.}

Opposite Col. ii. 5 .
\({ }^{\boldsymbol{l}} \mathrm{E}\)
]
Col, iii.

\section*{Col. ii.}
\(\theta_{0}[s \pi \epsilon \pi o \nu \theta \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota: \pi \alpha \nu \nu\)
\(23^{8 c}\)
\(\mu \epsilon \nu\) [ovv \(\omega \sum^{\text {T}} \omega \kappa\) ратєs \(\pi \alpha\)
\(p \alpha \tau[0 \in \epsilon \omega \theta\) os \(\epsilon v p o t \alpha \operatorname{TIS} \sigma \epsilon\)
\(\epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \nu[: \sigma \iota \gamma \eta\) тolvvv
\(5 \overline{\mu o v} \alpha к о v \epsilon[\tau \omega\) ovт \(\gamma \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \iota\)
os \([\epsilon o]\) [K \(\epsilon \nu\) о \(\tau[0 \pi o s \in \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota\)
\([\omega \sigma] \tau \epsilon \epsilon \alpha \nu \alpha \rho \alpha \pi[0] \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota S \quad 238 \mathrm{~d}\)
[ \(\nu v] \mu \phi 0 \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau[0] s \pi \rho o t o v\)
[Tos \(\tau\) ]ov \(\lambda\) oरov \(\gamma \in \nu \omega \mu \alpha \iota>\)
10 \([\mu \eta \theta] \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \sigma \eta[s] \tau \alpha \nu v \nu \gamma \alpha \rho\) \([0 v \kappa] \epsilon \tau \iota \pi о \rho \rho \omega\) סı日vра \(\mu\) \([\beta] \omega \nu \phi[\theta \epsilon] \gamma \gamma \rho \mu \alpha \iota: \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \sigma\)
\([\tau \alpha \tau] \alpha \lambda \in \gamma \epsilon \iota S: \tau 0 \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \in \nu\)
\([\tau o \iota \sigma] v \alpha[\iota] \tau \iota o s \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda o \iota \pi \alpha\)

Col. iv,
[ \(\epsilon \rho \omega] \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \iota \alpha \iota^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta \gamma \iota \gamma{ }^{\prime} \circ\)
\([\mu \epsilon \nu] \omega \nu \quad \tau \in \kappa \alpha \iota \phi v \sigma \epsilon \iota \in \nu 0 \nu\)
.015.
\([\tau \omega \nu] . \tau \omega l^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha t \tau \alpha\)

Col. v.
\(\kappa \epsilon t \nu\). \(\delta \in \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau[\alpha] v \tau \alpha \ddot{ }\)
\(\delta \epsilon \iota \nu^{*}\) oф \(\theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \mu \alpha \lambda\)

\(\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega \nu \cdot\) ov \(\delta \epsilon \nu \eta \lambda \iota \omega \kappa \alpha\)
\([\delta \epsilon \pi] \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha \zeta \epsilon I \nu . \quad \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon\)
\(5[\rho \epsilon \sigma \theta] \alpha \iota\) тov \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha v \tau \iota K \alpha ~ \eta \delta \epsilon\)
\([\) os \(\phi] \theta 0 \nu \in \rho о \nu \quad \delta \eta\) аva \(\quad\) к \(\eta\) \([\epsilon t \nu \alpha] l_{0}^{\cdot} \kappa \alpha t \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \mu \in \nu \quad \alpha \lambda\)
\([\lambda \omega \nu] \sigma v \nu[o] v \sigma \iota \omega \nu \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma \sigma \nu \quad 239\) b \([\tau \alpha \kappa \alpha] \iota \quad \omega \phi \in \lambda \iota \mu \omega \nu\) o \(\theta \in \nu\) \(10 \alpha \nu \mu] \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \alpha \nu \eta \rho \gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0 \iota\) \([\tau 0 \mu \epsilon] \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta s\) altıov єıval \([\beta \lambda \alpha \beta] \eta s^{*} \mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \eta s \delta \epsilon \tau \eta s\) \([0 \theta \epsilon \nu] \alpha \nu\) фроvı \(\mu \omega \tau \alpha \tau о s\) [є८ \(\eta\) то]uто \(\delta \epsilon \eta\) \(\theta \epsilon \iota \alpha ~ \phi ı \lambda о \sigma о ~\) \({ }_{15}\) [ \(\left[\iota \alpha \tau \cup \gamma X^{\alpha \nu \in \epsilon}\right.\) ov \(\eta S \in \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \bar{\eta}\) \([\pi \alpha \iota \delta] \ll \alpha \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta \pi о \rho \rho \omega \quad \pi о \rho \rho[\omega] \tau \alpha \tau[\alpha\) \([\theta \in \nu \in l] \rho \gamma \in l \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho t \phi o \beta o \nu\) ov \(\tau \alpha \tau о v_{\perp} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \phi \rho о \nu \eta \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota\)
\(\tau \alpha \tau[\epsilon] \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota>\)
20 от \(\left.\omega{ }^{〔}{ }^{〔} \alpha \nu\right] \eta \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\) \(\alpha \gamma \nu 0\)
\(\omega \nu \kappa[\alpha \iota \pi \alpha] \nu \tau \alpha[\alpha \pi \rho \beta \lambda \epsilon\)
\(\pi \omega \nu[\epsilon t S \tau 0 \nu \in] \rho[\alpha \sigma \tau] \eta \nu^{\bullet}>\)
olos \(\omega[\nu \tau \omega \iota \quad \mu \in \nu \quad \eta \delta \iota \sigma \tau o s[\)
\(\epsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega[\iota \delta є \beta] \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \tau\) оs [
 \(\nu[0]![\alpha \nu \in \pi] เ \tau \rho[0 \pi o s \tau \epsilon] \kappa \alpha \iota^{-} \quad 239 \mathrm{C}\) \(\kappa[o l v \omega \nu o s\) ov \(\delta \alpha \mu \eta l] \lambda v \sigma t\) \(\tau \epsilon \lambda \eta S \alpha \nu \eta \rho \in \chi\rceil \omega \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \cdot\) \(\tau \eta[\nu \delta \epsilon \tau o] v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau o s \in \xi \iota \nu\) \(30 \tau \epsilon \kappa[\alpha \iota \theta \epsilon] \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu\) ol \(\alpha \nu \tau \epsilon\) \(\kappa \alpha \iota[\omega s] \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \iota\) ov \(\alpha \nu \gamma \epsilon\)

\section*{\(\theta \in \rho a \pi \in v\)} बetev \(\nu \eta[\tau \alpha \iota]\) кvplos os \(\eta \delta v \pi \rho \circ[[s]]\) \(\alpha[\gamma \alpha \theta \circ \nu] \quad \eta \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \delta \iota \omega\)
\(5 \theta \alpha \rho \omega \quad \tau \epsilon \theta \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu \quad \alpha \lambda\)
\(\lambda \ddot{u} \pi о \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu \iota \gamma \in \iota \sigma \kappa[\llbracket]\rfloor l a \pi 0\) \(\nu \omega \nu \mu \in \nu \quad \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \iota \omega \nu \kappa \alpha\) \(\ddot{\delta} \rho \omega \tau \omega \nu \quad \xi \eta \rho \omega \nu \quad \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \nu\) \(\epsilon[\mu] \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \nu \delta \epsilon \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \eta S\) каь \(\alpha\) \(10 \nu \alpha \nu \delta \rho o v\) סıatт \(\eta s\) а \(\lambda \lambda_{0} \tau \rho\) 239 d ols Xp \(\quad \omega \mu \sigma \sigma\) к \(\alpha l\) ко \(\sigma \mu\) оاs \(\chi \eta \tau \epsilon \iota\) оเкєเшข коб \(\mu о \cup \mu \epsilon\) עо้* \(\sigma \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha\) тоитоוs \(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \in \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon v\) \({ }_{15}[o \nu] \tau \alpha \cdot \alpha \delta \eta \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota\) оик \(\alpha \xi \iota \bar{O}\) a \(\delta \eta \delta \eta \lambda a\) \(\pi \epsilon \rho_{\jmath} \alpha \iota \tau \in \omega \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \nu\) \([\alpha] \lambda \lambda \alpha \in \nu \kappa \in \phi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o \nu\) о \(\rho \iota \sigma \alpha\) optбацєvov
 \(\gamma \alpha] \rho\) тоьоขто \(\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \in \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon\) \(20 \mu \omega \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota s\) र \(\rho \in \iota \alpha \iota s\) о \(\sigma \alpha t \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha l\) ot \(\mu \in \nu \in X \theta \rho o t\)
 аvтоו ol єрабтаl фоßоvvтаl. тоuто \(\mu \epsilon \nu\) ouv \(\omega \mathrm{S} \delta \eta \lambda o \nu \epsilon\) \({ }_{2} 5 \alpha \tau \epsilon 0 \nu\), то \(\delta є \phi \epsilon \xi \eta S \rho \eta \tau \epsilon O \nu\) тוva \(\eta \mu \iota \nu \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \iota \alpha \eta \tau \iota\) \(\nu \alpha \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \eta \nu \kappa \tau \eta\) 239 c \(\sigma \iota \nu \eta\) TOU єрш \(\quad\) тоS \(о \mu \iota \lambda \iota\) \(\alpha \tau \in K \alpha \iota \in \pi \iota \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon\) \(30 \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \notin \varsigma \delta \eta\) тоuто \(\gamma \epsilon\)

\section*{TL}
\(\pi \alpha \nu \mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \quad \mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \tau \omega \iota\) \(\epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta\) от८ \(\tau \omega \nu \phi \iota \lambda \tau \alpha \tau \bar{\omega}\) \(\kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \cup \nu O v \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha l \theta \in l\)

\section*{Col. vi.}
[oт] \(\alpha \tau \omega \nu \kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu\) [ \(o \rho\)
\(\phi[\alpha] \nu 0 \nu \pi \rho \circ \pi \alpha \nu \tau 0 s[\epsilon v \xi \alpha \iota\)
\(\tau[\alpha], \nu \in \iota \nu \alpha \iota \tau o \nu \in \rho \omega \mu \epsilon[\nu 0 \nu\)
\(\pi \alpha \tau \rho \circ s[\gamma \alpha \rho] \kappa \alpha t \mu \eta \tau \rho[\rho] s \kappa[\alpha \iota\)
\(5 \xi v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu[\omega] \nu \kappa \alpha \iota[\phi] \lambda \lambda \omega \nu[\sigma \tau \epsilon\)
\(\rho \epsilon \sigma \theta[\alpha \iota \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau o \nu] \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha \iota[\tau 0\)
\(\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \omega \lambda v[\tau \alpha S \kappa \alpha] \iota \in \pi[\iota \tau \iota\)
\(\mu \eta \tau \alpha s \quad \eta \gamma o v \mu[\epsilon] \nu 0 s \quad \tau \eta s \quad \eta[\delta l\) \(\sigma \tau[\eta s \pi \rho] o s \in \alpha v \tau 0 \nu\) o \(\mu \in i \lambda[\iota a s \quad 240 \mathrm{a}\)
\(10[\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \eta \nu\) ov \(] \sigma \iota \alpha \nu \gamma \in X 0 \nu \tau[\alpha\)
\([\mathrm{X}] \rho v[\sigma 0][[\nu]] \eta \tau \omega \%\) os \(\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta s \kappa[\tau \eta\)
\(\sigma \epsilon \omega[s]\) ovi \(\epsilon \in v \alpha \lambda[\omega] \tau 0 \nu \quad o \mu[0 \iota\)
\(\omega S\) ov[ \(\tau \in \alpha \lambda o v \tau \alpha \in v] \mu \in \tau \alpha[X \in \iota\)
\(\rho \iota \sigma \tau o[\nu \quad \eta \gamma] \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau[\alpha \iota] \epsilon \xi \omega \nu[\pi \alpha\)
\({ }_{15} \sigma \alpha \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta\) єр \(\alpha \sigma \tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha i{ }^{\top} \delta \iota\) \(v\)
кot[s \(\phi \theta\) ] \(o \nu \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \nu\) ovata \({ }^{[ } \kappa \epsilon\) \(\kappa \tau \eta \mu \in \nu 01 s^{-} \alpha \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon[\nu \eta s\) \(\delta \epsilon X^{\alpha \iota \rho \epsilon[l]!\nu[\epsilon] \tau \iota \text { тоıvv } \alpha \gamma[\alpha]}\) \(\mu o \nu \alpha[\pi \alpha i] \delta \alpha \cdot \alpha 0 \iota k o \nu\) oтt
\(20 \pi \lambda \epsilon[\) [ \(\sigma \tau \sigma \nu]\) X \(\rho 0 \nu 0 \nu \pi[\alpha \iota \delta \kappa \alpha\) \(\epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \tau[\eta s \in \nu \xi \alpha \iota]^{\dagger} \tau \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu \in \sigma \theta_{i} \alpha \iota\) \(\tau \sigma[\nu]] \dot{\alpha} v[\tau 0 v \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \nu] \omega s \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau o\left[{ }^{\prime}\right.\) \(\chi \rho \circ \nu\left[0 \nu \nu \alpha \alpha \rho_{L}^{\top} \pi o v \sigma \theta\right] \alpha \iota \in \pi[\iota\) \(\theta \nu \mu \omega[\nu] \epsilon \sigma_{[ }^{[ } \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \eta\) к \(\alpha \iota\)
\({ }_{2}{ }_{5} \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa[\alpha] \kappa \alpha \cdot \alpha[\lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \iota S \delta \alpha \iota \mu \omega \nu 240 \mathrm{~b}\) \(\epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \xi \in \operatorname{Tols}[\pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau o \iota s \in \nu\) \(\tau \omega \pi \alpha \rho \alpha v \tau \iota \kappa[\alpha ~ \eta \delta о \nu \eta \nu\) oוov ко入акь [ \(\delta \in t \nu \omega\) Өךрt \(\omega\) \(\kappa \alpha \iota \beta \lambda[\alpha \beta] \eta \mu_{\epsilon}^{\top} \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \quad \circ \mu \omega s\)

Col, vii.

\([\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon] \nu \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \cdot\) o[ \(\iota\) т \(\tau\)

\([\epsilon \omega \alpha \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \chi] \epsilon \iota \cdot \pi \alpha![\delta \iota \kappa о \iota S\)
\(5[\delta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta s \pi \rho 0] s \tau \omega \beta[\lambda \alpha\)
\([\beta \in \rho \omega \kappa \alpha \iota \in \iota\) то \(\sigma v] \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \in v \quad 240 \mathrm{C}\)
\([\epsilon \ell \nu \quad \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \alpha] \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha\)
\([\tau \sigma \nu \quad \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \alpha \gamma \alpha] \rho \kappa \alpha \iota \circ[\pi \alpha\)
[ \(\lambda\) alos \(\lambda\) ooyos \(\tau \epsilon \rho \pi] \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau[0 \nu\) 10 [ \(\eta \lambda \lambda \iota \kappa \alpha \quad \eta \quad \gamma \alpha \rho\) ol] \(\mu \alpha \iota\) Xp \([\) [ovov
 \(\alpha \gamma \sigma[v \sigma \alpha \delta \iota \alpha\) ороьот \(\eta \tau \alpha \phi \iota\)
\(\lambda \iota \alpha \nu \pi \alpha[\rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \quad \circ \mu \omega s\)
короу \(\gamma[\epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \eta\) тоvт \(\omega \nu\) \(\sigma v \nu\)
\({ }^{1} 5[o v \sigma]<\alpha \in[X \in \iota\)
10 lines lost.
\([\eta \delta] o v \alpha[s] a[\epsilon \ell \delta \iota \delta o u s \quad \alpha \gamma \epsilon \iota\) 2.40 d
\([0 p] \omega \mu^{\prime} \tau \iota \alpha[\kappa] 0 v_{[ }^{[01} \tau \iota \alpha \pi \tau \circ\)
\([\mu \epsilon] \nu \omega \iota \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota \pi[\alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \iota \sigma \theta \eta\)
\([\sigma \omega \nu] \alpha \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \nu[o] \mu[\epsilon \nu \omega \tau\) тоv \(\epsilon\)
\(30[\rho \omega] \mu \epsilon \nu 0 v[\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha]!\eta \delta[0\)
\([\nu] \omega \nu \quad \alpha \varphi[\tau \omega \alpha \rho \alpha \rho o \tau] \omega S \nu \pi \eta[\)
] \({ }^{[ }\).]
\([\rho \in \tau \epsilon l \nu \tau] \omega l \delta \in \delta \eta \epsilon \rho \omega \mu \epsilon\)
\([\nu] \omega \iota \pi o c[o \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha] \mu \nu \theta \iota o \nu\)
[ \(\eta \tau]\) ] \(\alpha\) s \(\eta\left[\delta_{01} \alpha s \delta_{1} \delta_{0 v s}\right.\)
\(35[\pi 0] \iota \eta \sigma \in \iota[\) TOV \(\iota \sigma O \nu\) X \(\rho 0 \nu 0 \nu\)


 \(\lambda \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha\) т \(\left[\omega \nu^{\prime}\right.\) тоוоитотро

Col．six．Plate VI．
Tovs \(\epsilon \pi l \gamma \iota \gamma] \nu 0 \mu \in \nu[\) ous \([\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon] v \in \iota\) os \(\delta \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \in v \mu \alpha\) \([r]\) las Mov \(\sigma \omega \nu \in \pi \iota \pi o l \eta \tau \iota\) ［к］as Oupas aфıкијац．\(\pi \epsilon \iota\)
；\([\sigma \theta] \in \iota S\) ws \(\alpha[\rho] \alpha \in K \tau \epsilon X^{\nu \eta S}\) iк \(\alpha\) \([\nu] o s \pi o l \eta \tau \eta s \in \sigma о \mu \in \nu^{\prime} \circ\) ． \([\alpha] \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta s\) avтоs \(\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \eta \pi о \iota\) ［ \(\eta] \sigma l s\) vто \(\tau \eta s \tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \iota \nu \circ\) \([\mu] \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \quad \eta\) тоט \(\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu о \bar{v}\) 10 ［ro］s \(\eta \phi \alpha \nu \iota \sigma \theta \eta^{*} \tau 0 \sigma \alpha v \tau \alpha\) \([\mu] \in \nu\) бol \(\kappa \alpha \iota \in \tau \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon l \omega l \mu \alpha\) ［ \(\nu \iota l] a s \in \chi \omega \quad \gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0 \mu \in \nu \eta s\) \([\alpha] \pi[0 \quad \theta] \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha\) \([\epsilon \rho] \gamma \alpha \cdot \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon\) тоито \(\gamma \epsilon\) аито \({ }^{1} 5\left[\mu^{\dagger} \eta \phi 0 \beta \omega \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \mu \eta \delta[\epsilon] \tau![s]\right.\) \([\eta] \mu \alpha s\) 入oуos \(\theta о \rho v \beta \in ⿺ 𠃊 \omega\) de \([\delta \iota]\) ттонєขos ws \(\pi \rho \circ\) тоv \([\kappa \epsilon] \kappa \in \omega \eta \mu \in \nu=v\) тои \(\sigma \omega\) \([\phi \rho o] \nu \alpha \delta \in \iota \pi \rho \circ \alpha \iota \rho \in \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) \(20[\phi l \lambda] o v \cdot \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha\) то \(\epsilon \epsilon \pi \rho \circ s \epsilon\) \(K \in l] \nu \omega \delta \in \iota \xi \alpha s, \phi \in p \in \sigma \theta \omega\) \([\tau \alpha \nu] ı \kappa \eta \tau \eta \rho l \alpha \cdot \omega s\) ouk \(\epsilon\) \([\pi \omega] \phi \epsilon[\lambda \iota \alpha \quad\) o \(] \in \rho \omega s \tau \omega \iota \in\) \([\rho \omega \nu] \tau \iota[\kappa] \alpha \iota \tau \omega \iota \in \rho \omega \mu \in \nu \omega \iota\)
\(25[\epsilon \kappa \theta \epsilon] \omega \nu \in \pi \iota \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) \([\eta \mu l] \nu \delta \in \alpha \pi 0 \delta \in \iota \kappa \tau \in O \nu\) \({ }_{[ } \alpha \cup\) to］\(v v \alpha[\nu T L O] \nu \omega S \in \pi \in U\)

Col．xx．Plate VI．
245 a \(\quad[\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \iota \nu] \eta s\) ї \(\delta о \nu \tau \alpha\)
\([\pi \alpha \theta \eta \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha] \iota \in \rho \gamma \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \in \iota \delta \eta\)
\([\tau \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon S \quad \tau 0] \eta \sigma \alpha!\alpha \rho \chi \eta \delta \epsilon\)
\([\alpha \pi \nu] \delta[\iota] \xi[\epsilon] \omega s \quad \eta[\delta \epsilon] \psi v \chi \eta\)
\(5[\pi \alpha \sigma] \alpha \alpha \theta \alpha[\nu] \alpha[\) Tos то］\(\gamma[\alpha \rho] \alpha \cup \quad\) то үар \([a \leqslant!] \kappa \in \downarrow\)
\(\tau[0 \kappa] \epsilon \iota \nu \eta \tau o \nu \quad \alpha \theta \alpha \nu[\alpha] \tau[o] \nu\)
\(\tau[0 \delta\) a \(\lambda \lambda o]\) кєı \(\nu 0 v \nu \kappa \alpha \iota v\)
\(\pi a \lambda \lambda[o v] K[\epsilon \ell] \nu O \nu \mu \epsilon \nu O \nu\)
\(\pi \alpha v \lambda \alpha \nu \in \chi[[\epsilon \epsilon]]] \kappa \epsilon I \nu \eta \sigma[\epsilon \omega \varsigma]\)
\({ }^{2}+5 \mathrm{~b} \quad\) ло \(\pi \alpha v \lambda a[\nu] \in X \in \iota \zeta[\omega] \eta s \mu 0 \imath \cdot[\bar{o}]\)
\(\delta \eta\) то \(\in[\alpha]\) vтo кєєขouv \([\alpha\)
\(\tau \epsilon\) ouk \([\alpha] \pi о \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \circ \nu \in \alpha v[\tau 0\)
оитотє \(\lambda \eta[\gamma] \epsilon \iota\) кєเขovpє
vov \(\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha\) к \(\alpha l\) Tols \(\alpha \lambda \lambda[\) ols
\({ }^{15}\) oo \(\alpha\) кelveltal tout［0］\(\pi \eta \gamma \eta\)
\(\kappa \alpha l \alpha \rho X \eta\) кє \(\quad \| \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s\) а \(\quad 245 \mathrm{~d}\)
\(\chi \eta \delta \epsilon \quad \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau 0 \nu \epsilon \xi \quad \alpha \rho \chi \eta S\)
\(\gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma к \eta \pi \alpha \nu\) то \(\gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0\)
\(\mu \in \nu \quad \gamma[l \gamma] \nu \in \sigma \theta a l\) avt \(\eta \nu\)
\(20 \delta \epsilon \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \xi \in \operatorname{V} O S^{\cdot}[\epsilon \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon] \kappa\)
тоט \(\alpha \rho \chi \eta\) үı \(\gamma \nu\) оוто оик \(\alpha \nu[\)
\([\epsilon \xi \quad \alpha \rho] X \eta s \quad \gamma[\iota \nu 0 l] \tau 0^{\circ} \in \pi \in l\)
\(\delta \eta \delta \in \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu[\eta] \tau 0 \nu \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu\).
каl adıaф \(\theta\) o \(\rho \circ \nu\) 人vt［o
\({ }^{2}\) 亏 \(\alpha \nu \alpha \gamma к \eta \in \iota \nu \alpha \iota^{\circ} \alpha \rho \chi \eta s \gamma \alpha \rho\)
\(\delta \eta \alpha \pi o \lambda о \mu \epsilon \nu[\eta] s\) ovi \(\epsilon v\)
K 2


\section*{Col. xxi.}
\(\tau \alpha \tau \epsilon\) очраvov \(\pi \alpha[\sigma \alpha \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \quad 2+\bar{j} \mathrm{e}\) \(\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \omega \nu \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma[0] v \sigma \alpha \nu\) oт \(\eta\) val. каl \(\mu \eta \pi\) то \(\alpha v\)

5 \(\tau \alpha \gamma \in \nu \eta \sigma \in \tau \alpha \iota \cdot \alpha \theta[\alpha] \nu \alpha \tau 0 \nu\)

\section*{\(\phi\)}
\(\delta \epsilon \pi \epsilon \phi \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu 0 v\) тov \(v \pi \alpha v\) тov \(\kappa \in \omega \nu 0 \nu \mu \epsilon \nu 0 v \psi v \chi \eta s\) ovaıà тє каı तoyov тоvтō \(\alpha u \tau o \nu\) тts \(\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu\). ovk \(\alpha \iota\) \(10 \sigma \chi \nu \nu \in \iota \tau \alpha \cdot \pi \alpha \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \sigma \omega[\mu] \alpha\) \(\omega \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu\) то \(\kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \iota\) \(\sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ a \psi v \chi o \nu \cdot a \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \delta o \theta[\bar{\epsilon}\) \(\alpha v \tau \omega t \in \xi \in \nu \tau[0] v \in \mu \psi[\nu] X o[\nu]\) ws \(\tau \alpha u \tau \eta s\) ova \(\eta\) S \(\phi \nu \sigma \epsilon\)
\(\left.{ }^{1} 5[\omega S \psi] v \chi \eta S \in \iota \delta \in[\epsilon]\right\rceil \tau_{l}\) тout \({ }^{\prime}\) [out \(\omega]\) ¢ \(\epsilon \chi^{\circ \nu} \mu \eta \alpha \lambda \lambda о\) то \(\epsilon \iota\) \([\nu] \alpha \iota \tau[0]\) ауто єаขто кєเขой \([\eta] \psi v \chi \eta[\nu] \epsilon \xi[\alpha] \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta s \alpha\) \([\gamma] \epsilon \nu \eta \tau\left[0 \nu \tau \in \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \theta_{j} \alpha \nu \alpha\right.\) \(20[\tau o] \nu \psi[\nu \chi \eta\) \(\alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \eta \pi \epsilon] \rho \iota\) \([\mu \in \nu\) ov] \(\nu[\alpha] \theta-\alpha \nu \alpha \sigma] \iota \alpha \alpha^{\circ} \alpha\)

To âuro kel
vouv \(2+6\) a

\section*{Col. xxii.}
\(\tau \omega \nu[\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \quad 246 \mathrm{~b}\) \(\pi \rho \omega \tau[0 \nu \quad \mu \in \nu \quad \eta \mu \omega \nu\) o \(\alpha \rho\) \(\chi^{\omega \nu} \xi[\nu \nu \omega \rho i \delta o s ~ \eta \nu \iota o\) \(X^{\epsilon \iota} \in \iota[\tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \iota \pi \pi \omega \nu \circ \mu \epsilon \nu\)
\(5 \alpha[v] \tau[\omega \kappa \alpha \lambda o s \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota\) a \(\gamma \alpha \theta\) os \([K \alpha l ~ \epsilon \kappa ~ \tau о l o u \tau \omega \nu]\) o \(\delta \epsilon{ }^{\top} \epsilon \xi\) \([\epsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota] \epsilon \nu \alpha \nu[\tau t\) os \(\left.\chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi} \eta \delta \eta \kappa \alpha l\right] \delta[v \sigma \kappa 0\) \(\lambda o[s \in \xi \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta s \eta \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \eta\) Io \(\mu\) as \(\eta[\nu t o \chi] \eta \sigma \iota s^{*} \pi[\eta \delta \eta\) ouv \(\theta \nu \eta \tau 0{ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \kappa\) каи \(\alpha[\theta \alpha\) \(\nu[\alpha] \operatorname{ro\nu } \xi \omega 0 \nu[\epsilon, K \lambda \eta[\theta \eta\) \(\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon O \nu \in \iota \pi[\epsilon \epsilon] \nu^{*} \psi[v \chi \eta\) \(\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \pi \alpha] \nu \tau 0 s \in \pi \tau \mu \epsilon[\lambda \in \iota\)
\({ }^{15} \tau \alpha \iota\) тоv \(\alpha[\psi] \nu \chi \odot[v \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha[\delta \epsilon\) ovp \(\alpha \nu o[\nu] \pi \epsilon \rho[\iota \pi] o \lambda \in t \cdot \alpha \lambda\) \(\lambda о \tau \epsilon \in \nu[\alpha] \lambda \lambda o t s \in \delta \in \epsilon \iota[\gamma \iota\) \(\gamma \nu[0] \mu \epsilon \nu[\eta]^{\cdot} \quad \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha\left[\mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime}\right.\) ov \(\sigma \alpha \kappa \alpha[\iota \in \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \eta\) \(24^{6} \mathrm{c}\)
 \(\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha[\tau 0] \nu \kappa о \sigma \mu о \nu \delta \iota\) огкєレ・ \(\eta \delta[\epsilon] \pi \tau[\epsilon] \rho \rho_{l}[o] \rho \nu \eta \sigma[\alpha]\)
\([\sigma \alpha] \underset{T}{ } \omega s \phi[\epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \epsilon \omega] s \alpha \nu\)
\(\tau \eta S \quad \tau K<\alpha \nu \omega S \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \epsilon\)
[ \(\tau \eta\) ]s \(\ddot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \alpha s \omega \delta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon O \nu\) เঠєas autך
[o] \(\llcorner\circ \nu \mu \in \nu \in \sigma \tau t \pi \alpha \nu \tau[\eta]\)
\({ }_{2}{ }_{5} \pi[\alpha \nu] \tau[\omega S]\) Ө \(\epsilon \iota \alpha S[\epsilon \iota] \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \iota\)
\(\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \alpha s \delta_{\ell} \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \in \omega[s]\)
\(\omega \delta^{\prime} \in о \iota \kappa \in \nu . \alpha \nu \theta p \omega \pi \iota\)
\(\nu \eta S[\tau \epsilon]\) к \(\alpha \iota \in \lambda[\alpha \tau]\) rovos.
\(\tau \alpha u \tau \eta\) ouv \([\delta] \eta \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu\).
т[avin ouv 30
\(\qquad\)
30 єогкєтю \(\delta[\eta\} \xi \nu \mu \phi \nu \tau \omega\)
\(\delta v v^{\prime} \alpha \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \pi о \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \circ v[\xi \in \in\) gous \(\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha l \eta \nu t o \chi 0 \nu \theta[\epsilon \omega \nu\)
\(\mu \in \nu\) ov \(\left.l \pi \pi o_{l}^{\circ} l\right] \quad \tau \in \kappa \alpha l \quad \eta \nu[t\)


Col. xxiii.
\([\gamma] \alpha[s \quad \eta \gamma \in \mu \omega \nu \in \nu\) oupav \(\quad Z \in \nu s \quad 246 \mathrm{e}\) \(\epsilon \lambda \alpha \nu[\nu \omega \nu \pi \tau \eta \nu 0 \nu \quad \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \pi \rho \omega\)

\section*{Col. xxvi.}
[ \(\tau 0 \pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \kappa] o \nu \delta \epsilon \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta[\alpha l]\) [i\&ouба \(\left.\delta_{\imath}\right] \alpha\) Хpovov то ov \([\alpha \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \pi \in \kappa \alpha]!\) \(\theta \in \omega \rho 0 \nu \sigma[\alpha]\) \([\tau \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \tau \rho \epsilon] \phi[\epsilon] \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \iota\)
\(\left.{ }_{5}{ }^{\top} \epsilon v \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \iota \in \omega S \alpha \nu \kappa\right] v \kappa[\lambda \omega \eta\)
\([\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi] \circ \rho \alpha \in \iota S[\tau \alpha \nu] \tau 0 \nu[\pi \epsilon\) \([\rho \iota \epsilon \nu] \epsilon \gamma \kappa \eta[\cdot] \epsilon[\nu \delta \epsilon \tau \eta]\), \([\pi \in \rho \iota] 0 \delta \omega \iota \kappa \alpha\left[\theta_{0}\right] \rho \alpha \mu[\epsilon] \nu\) [ \(\alpha v \tau] \eta \nu\) סєкаlo \(\sigma v \nu \eta \nu\).
10 [. . .]. \(\delta เ \kappa \alpha เ o \sigma v \nu \eta^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \theta[0]\) [ \(\rho \alpha \alpha\) ס]є \(\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \sigma v \nu \eta \nu\) ка日о \([\rho \alpha \delta \epsilon] \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta \nu\). ov \(\chi\) ' \(\begin{aligned} & \eta \\ & \eta\end{aligned}[\epsilon]\)

Col. xxvii.
\(247 \mathrm{~d} \quad \chi \circ v[\kappa \epsilon \phi \alpha \lambda] \eta \nu^{*} \kappa \alpha[\iota \sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon\)
\(\rho \iota \eta \nu \epsilon[X] \theta \eta \tau \eta \nu \pi[\epsilon \rho \iota \phi \circ \rho \bar{\alpha}\)
\(\theta \circ \rho v \beta<v[\mu] \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \pi \circ[\tau \omega \nu\)
\(\iota \pi \pi \omega v\) к \(\alpha \iota \mu 0 \lambda \iota s[\kappa \alpha \theta \circ \rho \omega\)
5 \(\sigma \alpha \tau \alpha\) ov \(\tau \alpha \dot{\eta} \delta \in \operatorname{\tau o\tau }[\epsilon \mu \in \nu\)
\(\eta \rho \in \nu \tau[0] \tau \epsilon \delta^{\prime}\) ov \(\beta \iota \alpha[\delta \circ \mu \epsilon\)
\(\nu^{\prime} \omega \nu \delta[\epsilon \tau] \omega \nu \iota \pi \pi[\omega \nu \tau \alpha\)
\(\mu \in \nu \in \iota \delta \in[\tau] \alpha \delta^{\prime}\) ov \(\alpha[\iota \delta \in \delta \eta\)
\(\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota[\gamma \lambda \iota \chi \circ] \mu \in \nu \alpha \iota \mu \in \nu \quad \alpha\)
\(10 \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha[1\) TOV \(\alpha] \nu \omega \in \pi[0 \nu \tau \alpha\),
\(\alpha \delta v i[\alpha \tau 0 v \sigma \alpha \iota] \delta \in v_{[ }^{\prime} \pi o \beta \rho \nu\)
\(\chi^{\iota \alpha \iota}[\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \rho] \iota \phi \epsilon \rho[o \nu \tau \alpha \iota\)
 \([\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \pi] o v \in \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \in \nu \in \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \iota\) \({ }^{1} 5[\cdots \omega] \nu \quad \eta \mu \epsilon \epsilon s \nu v \nu\) ov \([\tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha] \lambda \rho[v] \mu\left[\epsilon \nu{ }^{[ }\right] \cdot a \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \ddot{\eta}\)
 \([\pi / \sigma \tau \eta \mu] \eta \nu \quad o[v] \sigma \alpha \nu \cdot \kappa[\alpha t\) \(\left[\tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \omega \sigma{ }^{\top} \alpha \nu \tau[\omega s \tau \alpha\right.\) ov \(20[\tau \alpha\) о \(\nu \tau \omega s \theta] \epsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon[\nu \eta \kappa \alpha \iota\) \(\left[\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \alpha \theta \epsilon \ell^{\top} \sigma \alpha[\delta \nu \sigma] \alpha \pi \alpha \alpha_{i}^{\top} \lambda\right.\), [ tis to єlбw tov ouvpavov [ocka \(\delta \epsilon] \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \cdot \epsilon \lambda \theta o v \sigma \eta\) [s [ \(\delta \in \alpha \nu \tau \eta]\) s o \(\eta \nu t o \chi o s\) тpos
25 [ \(\tau \eta \nu \phi \alpha] \tau \nu \eta \nu\) rous \(\iota \pi\) \(\left.{ }^{[\pi o v s} \sigma \tau\right] \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma{ }^{[ }[\alpha] \rho \epsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \bar{\epsilon}\) \([\alpha \mu \beta \rho о \sigma \iota] \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \in \pi[\iota\) [ \(\alpha v \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa] \tau \alpha \rho \in \pi о \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon[\nu\) [ка८ ovto]s \(\mu \epsilon \nu \delta \eta \theta \in \omega[\nu\)
\(3 \circ[\beta \operatorname{los} \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon] \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha l \psi v \chi \alpha t \eta\left[2 \psi^{8} \mathrm{a}\right.\) \(\left.\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mu \epsilon \nu & \alpha \rho t \sigma\end{array}\right] \tau \alpha \quad \theta \epsilon \sigma_{l} l\right] s \in \pi о \mu[\epsilon\) \(\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}\nu \eta & v \pi \epsilon] \rho \eta \rho \epsilon \nu\end{array}\right] \epsilon\right] \iota \operatorname{\tau ov}\) [ \([\epsilon \xi \omega \tau 0 \pi] 0 \nu \tau \eta \nu \tau[0 \nu \eta \nu t 0\)


Col. xxxii.
Opposite Col. xxiii. 17 .
]a!
Opposite Col. xxxiii. 19.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ] . } \operatorname{Tā} \bar{\delta}[.] \\
& \text { ]v }
\end{aligned}
\]

Col. xxxiii.
\([\pi \iota] \mu[\iota \alpha] \psi v \times \chi \alpha \iota s\) ovk \(\epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \pi \iota \quad 25^{\circ} \mathrm{b}\)
\([\phi] \epsilon \gamma \gamma \circ \mathrm{S}\) ov \([\delta \in \nu \in \nu\) тols \(\tau \eta\) \([\delta] \in\) о \(\mu \iota \omega \mu\left[\alpha \sigma t \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta_{\imath} \alpha\right.\) \(\mu v \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\) o \(\rho \gamma \alpha \nu \omega \nu \mu \sigma \gamma \iota s\)

Col. xxxiv.
[Xovs \(\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i{ }_{j} \pi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu\) ó \(\left.\mu_{l} \epsilon\right] \quad 250 \mathrm{~d}\) \([\tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon L \nu \omega \nu \tau \epsilon] \epsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \nu^{\prime}\) ov \([\delta \epsilon \nu \rho o ~ \tau ~ \epsilon \lambda \theta o] \nu \tau \epsilon S\) катє \(\lambda \eta \phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu\) av \(\tau 0] \delta_{t \alpha} \tau \eta \rho \in\)

5 \(\alpha u \tau \omega v \kappa[\alpha t\) o入tyol \(\epsilon \pi t \tau \alpha S\) єıKol’аs ï[ovтєs \(\theta \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \alpha \downarrow\) то тov \(\epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha[\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \tau 0 s \gamma \in \nu O S\)
 \(\lambda[\alpha \mu \pi \rho o \nu\)
+ lines lost.
\(\tau \in \kappa_{\mathrm{L}} \alpha t \in \tau \epsilon \lambda о v \nu \tau 0 \tau \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \bar{\omega}\)
\({ }_{5}{ }_{5} \eta\left[\nu \quad \theta \epsilon \mu l s \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon L \nu \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho l \omega\right.\) \(\tau \alpha[\tau \eta \nu \quad \eta \nu \quad \omega \rho \gamma \iota \alpha\} \rho \mu \in \nu\)
 \(\tau \epsilon[\mathrm{S} \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \iota S \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \nu\)
 \(20 . v\left[\pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \in \nu\right.\)

Col, xxxv.
\(\tau \alpha l \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \phi v \sigma t \nu \eta \delta o[\nu \eta \nu\) \(\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega \nu\) о \(\delta \epsilon \alpha \rho \tau \iota \tau \in \lambda \eta\) ¢ \(\eta \tau \omega \nu\) тот \(\pi=\lambda \nu \theta[\epsilon \alpha \mu \omega \nu\)
 \(\eta\)
5 \(\sigma \omega \pi o \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda\) os \(\epsilon v \mu[\epsilon \mu \tau\) \(\mu \eta \mu \in \nu O \nu \eta \tau \omega \alpha \sigma \omega \mu[\alpha\) Tos \(i \delta \varnothing \epsilon \alpha \nu . \pi \rho \omega \tau о \nu \mu \in \nu\) \(\epsilon \phi \rho t \xi \in \nu^{\cdot}\) к \(\alpha \iota \tau t \tau \omega \nu \tau[0\) \(\tau \in \ddot{u} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \epsilon \alpha \nu \tau о \nu \delta \epsilon \iota\) 10 \(\mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu\) • єוT \(\pi\) Tробор \(\omega \nu\) \(\omega s\) \(\theta \in o v a \epsilon \beta \epsilon \tau \alpha t\) к \(\alpha \iota \in \iota \mu[\eta\) \(\epsilon \delta \epsilon \delta \iota \epsilon \iota \tau \eta \nu[\tau] \eta \varsigma \sigma \phi 0 \delta \rho[\alpha\)
```

s $[\nu \alpha \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \eta S \alpha] \iota \sigma \theta \eta[[\tau \alpha]] \sigma \epsilon$ $\left[\omega s \tau \omega \nu \quad \eta \mu \epsilon \tau \in \rho^{\top} \omega \nu\right.$ $\sigma \tau / \lambda$ [ $\beta$ ov $\in \nu \alpha \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \tau] \alpha \tau \alpha$ o $\psi$ เS $\gamma \alpha \rho$ $\left[\eta \mu l \nu \quad\right.$ о $\left.{ }^{2} v \tau \alpha \tau \eta \tau \omega\right] \nu \delta t \alpha$ $[\tau 0 v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau 0 s \in \rho \chi \epsilon \tau] \alpha \iota \alpha \iota \sigma \theta \eta$ $10[\sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \eta \phi \rho o \nu \eta \sigma t s]{ }^{0 \nu}{ }^{0}{ }^{o}$ [ $\left.\rho \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \nu o u s \gamma^{\prime} \alpha\right] \quad \alpha l^{\prime} \pi \alpha$ $\left[\rho \in \ell X \in \nu \in \rho \omega \tau \alpha S \in \iota \tau_{J}^{\top} \iota \tau\right.$ [outov $\in v \alpha \rho \gamma \in S$ єou'? $\eta$ ?
\omegas \tau\omega\nu \eta\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rho]\omega\nu \sigma\tauו\lambda
[\betaov \inv}\alpha\rho\gamma\epsilon\sigma\tau]\alpha\tau\alpha O\psi/S \gamma \alpha
[\eta\mu\iota\nu о\xiv\tau\alpha\tau\eta \tau\omega, \nu \delta\iota\alpha
[\sigma\epsilon\omega\nu \eta \phi\rhoo\nu\eta\sigmats] ov\chi }\mp@subsup{}{}{0
[\rho\epsilonl\€\nu\nu \epsilon\rho\omega\tau\alphaS <l T'l \tauо\iota
2.50 c [ov\tauOv \inv\alpha\rho\gamma\epsilons \inovi]\etaS

```

251 a \(\quad \mu \alpha \nu t a s ~ \delta o \xi \alpha \nu\). \(\theta v o l \alpha \nu\) \(\omega s ~ \alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha \tau[l] \kappa \alpha \iota \theta \epsilon \omega[\tau]\) ots 15 Talסıкols ïסovta \(\delta \in \alpha v\) тоข olov єк т \(\eta\) S \(\phi \rho ⿺ к \eta\) я \(\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta \tau \in \kappa \alpha \iota \ddot{i} \delta \rho \omega s\)

\([\beta] \alpha \nu \epsilon l \cdot \delta_{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \mu[\epsilon \nu 0] s \gamma \alpha \rho \tau[0 \nu\) 2 2 [ \(\kappa] \alpha \lambda \lambda\) ovs \(\tau \eta \nu[\alpha \pi \circ] \rho[\rho \circ \bar{\eta}]\)
\([\delta i] \alpha \tau \omega \nu \quad \circ \mu \mu[\alpha \tau \omega \nu \in \theta \epsilon \rho\)
\([\mu] \alpha \nu \theta \eta \eta \iota \eta \tau[0 \nu \pi \tau \epsilon \rho o v\)
\(\left[\phi v_{j} \sigma \iota S \alpha \rho \delta[\epsilon] \tau \alpha \iota\left[\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha \nu^{\prime}\right.\right.\)
[ \(\theta \in \nu \tau 0 S] \delta \in \epsilon \tau \alpha[\kappa \eta\)

Unidentified fragments.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline к. [ & ]. \(\iota \mu \eta\) & & \(\beta[\) & \(\kappa\) [ \\
\hline \(5 \nu\) [ & (e) & & & (g) \\
\hline \(\epsilon \kappa\) [ & - . & & (f) & \\
\hline T0 \(¢\) [ & ] . \(\tau \omega[\) & & & ] . [ \\
\hline \(\epsilon \pi[\) & ] \(\boldsymbol{\sim}\) [ & & \(\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }\) [ & \(] \alpha[\) [ \\
\hline - . & - . - & & \(\theta\) & \(3 \theta \epsilon\) \\
\hline (h) & (i) & & (k) & (l) \\
\hline - - & - & & - . & - \\
\hline ] \({ }^{\text {[ }}\) [ & ] & & T \(\alpha ¢ \S[\) & \(T \in![\) \\
\hline \(] \in \tau[\) & \(] \mu\) & & ]ot[ & \(] \alpha \lambda[\) \\
\hline ] \(\lambda^{\prime} \circ \sigma\) [ & ] & & . . & \\
\hline ] \(\lambda \alpha \times\) & . - & & & \\
\hline - & & & & \\
\hline (m) & ( \(n\) ) & & (o) & (p) \\
\hline - & - . & & - . & . . \\
\hline ] \(\pi\) O \([\) & ]¢ \(\tau\) [ & & ] \(\nu \tau[\) & ]. [ \\
\hline ]roe[ & ] \(\alpha\) [ & & ] \(¢\) & ]. \(\pi 0[\) \\
\hline - . & . & & - . & . \\
\hline (q) & (r) & (s) & (t) & (u) \\
\hline - . & - . & - & - . & - \\
\hline \(] \lambda \epsilon[\) & ]¢! \(<1\) & ] \(\epsilon \kappa\) [ & ] \(\alpha \tau[\) & ] \(\alpha \lambda[\) \\
\hline - . & - \(\cdot\) & - \(\cdot\) & - . & - . \\
\hline (v) & (w) & \((x)\) & (3) & (z) \\
\hline - & - - & & & \\
\hline ] \(\alpha v[\) & ]at[ & \(]<\alpha[\) & ] • \(\mu\) [ & ] \(\mu\) [ \\
\hline - . & - & - . & . & - \(\cdot\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
i. 1. ]ek is part of a marginal variant. The tenth line from the bottom of this column would fall near the beginning of 238 b , and \(]_{\epsilon \kappa}\) may therefore well refer to \(\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu\), for


There is a similar confusion in the MSS．regarding кєктク \(\mu\) évov just below and кєкт \(\hat{\jmath} \sigma \theta a t\) in the previous sentence．The division \(\kappa \epsilon \kappa \mid \lambda \eta\) is however unusual．
iii．4．\(\mu \eta\) so T，edd，；om．B．
6．\(\delta \epsilon\) ，which is here entered as a variant，is found in Vat． 225 ；cf．iv． 6.
\({ }^{13}\) ．The alteration in the spelling and division of cr \(\chi^{\text {wov }}\) is by the second hand．
iv．3．\(\tau \omega \nu\) ，v．l．rots：\(\tau \omega \nu\) ，the original reading，is that of BT and Stobacus；rots was conjectured by Heindorf．Burnet prints \(\tau \omega \nu\) wihhin brackets．

6．\(\delta \in\) for \(\delta \eta\) does not occur in the MSS．
16．The marginal порр \([\omega]\) Tar \([a\) is peculiar to the papyrus．
24． 3 ア \(\lambda a \beta \epsilon \rho \omega\) тf \(\rho\) os，the alternative reading，is that of Vat． 225 ，Ven． 185,189 ，and four Paris MSS．The margin after this word is lost，but the line is completely filled，and it is not likely that \(a v\) or \(\bar{a}\) followed；\(a_{v}\) is omitted in BT．\(\tau \omega\)（so B）is still more unlikely to have been added at the end of 1.23 ．

25．The marginal entry seems to have been some variant on \(\tau a \mu \epsilon \nu\) ovv，but none is known ；］av would not be satisfactory．The object of the short horizontal strokes at the end of this line and the next is not evident．In \(\varepsilon \nexists \mathrm{m}\) the second \(t\) is perhaps a later addition．

26．It is not at all certain that the detached fragment containing the letters \(\operatorname{lr} \rho[\) is rightly placed here．

3r．No variant \(\theta_{\epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon ข \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \ell \nu}\) occurs elsewhere，nor can it be defended．
32．A \(\sigma\) at the end of this line has apparently been crossed through，by which hand cannot be determined．\(\pi \rho o s\) for \(\pi \rho o\) is found in Ven． 185 ．
v．r．\(\mu \epsilon \tau a: \mathrm{B}\) has the haplography \(\mu \epsilon\) ．
2．\(\delta \epsilon\) ：so MSS．；\(\delta \dot{\eta}\) Burnet with Hirschig．
5．There may be a high stop after тєөрaццеvov，but there are several accidental ink－spots at the end of this line．

15．a \(\delta \eta \delta \eta \lambda a\) for a \(\delta \eta \lambda a\) is not otherwise recorded．
 MSS．）；орєтацєуод is new．

19．тон⿱亠䒑o：тооитор MSS．
31．\(\delta \varepsilon\) ：so T ；\(\gamma \in\) B．
33．каи：тє каи MSS．
vi．4．\(\mu \eta \tau \rho^{r}[]\) ］\(\kappa[a t\) ：so T Stobaeus；om．каi B．
9．єautov ：aùróv MSS．；either may be right．
11．\(\chi\) purov seems to have been originally written by mistake for \(\chi\) pvarov．It is impossible to be sure which hand made the correction．

19．oikov B ．
22．The deletion of the superfluous \(v\) is perhaps to be assigned to the second rather than to the first hand．
\({ }^{23} 3^{-4}\) ．The letters \(] \nu\) кap \([\) and \(] \epsilon \sigma[\) are on a detached fragment，the position of which is hardly certain．

32．ris：tuos was possibly written originally．
vii．1．The variant in the margin is presumably каи for \(\tau \in \kappa a t\) ，as in Ven． 8 and 189.
3． T and Stobaeus have \(\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\sigma}\) rons， B the marginal \(\mathfrak{\eta} \delta i \sigma\) rotot（so Burnet）．
7. There would be room for two or three more letters in this line, the lacuna being of the same length as in 11. \(5-6\), but there is no known variant. a a a \(a \tau \omega \nu\) for \(\pi a \nu \tau \omega \nu\) is not a very satisfying remedy.
8. \(\gamma^{a} \rho\) : so MISS. ; \(\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho\) o \(\delta \dot{\eta}\) Burnet with Stobaeus and Aristaenetus.

11-30. The division of the lines is conjectural ; it is not at all clear that in 11. 12-14 \({ }^{\text {aro }}{ }^{\circ}\), \& \& c., are beginnings of lines, the margin being lost.
\(30-1 . \eta \delta o r \omega \nu\) seems to have stood in place of \(\eta \delta o \nu \eta s: \omega \nu\) is certain, and the vestiges

32. Above the \(\omega\) of \(\tau \omega t\) a letter has been written (by the second hand ?) which can hardly be read otherwise than as \(\lambda\), and after it another letter may be lost. No variant occurs here, and the insertion is not easily accounted for.
35. [ \(\pi\) a] \(n \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau}\) : the scribe began to write a instead of \(\epsilon\).

23. \(\omega \phi_{\epsilon} \lambda_{t a}\) : or \(\omega \mid \phi_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon}\) : there would be room for o before epas in either case.
29. \(\theta_{\epsilon}\) ]ov: the MSS. have only the marginal reading, \(\theta\) ew

33-4. According to the insertion in the margin the order of the words was to be
 is no variation in the MSS.
XX. 2. кu \(\epsilon i o ̂ \eta\) : Om. MSS.
 but it suits the vestiges and is in itself likely enough ; cf. тѝ aúrò kı̌oì in the next sentence and aútoкivptov in Hermias, ad Phacdr., pp. \({ }^{115} 5 \mathrm{sq}\).

 Iamblichus?); dipxy Vind. 89 and apparently Cicero.

29. таутct, v. I. та \(\pi\) apta: the MSS. all give the article.

31-2. The best MSS. support the reading in the text (with aívò for cauro); тù vúro ktoồ, as in the margin here, is however found in Par. 2011 and Ven. 8, 184. Cf, xxi. rit.
xxi . 2. \(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \nu}\) : so BT Syrianus Stobaeus ; \(\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \mathrm{t}\) in marg.
3. avits: the MSS. all have the regular Attic form. Cf. 1018. 175.

6. \(\delta \in\) : so T Alexander Stobaeus; om. B.

Only the tail of the over-written \(\phi\) is presersed, and it is therefore difficult to distinguish the hand; the \(\pi\) has not been crossed out. The MSS have caurov.
\({ }^{1} 5\). \(\delta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \iota\) was originally written : the correction is likely to be by the second hand.
17. For the variant cf. xx. 31-2 ; the MSS. are here unanimous except that some have aító for équvó.
23. The MISS, support the adscript tôeas avtils.
26. \(\mu\) акарааs: \(\mu\) ккрâs MSS.
29. \(\delta j \eta\) : om. MSS. The crossbar of a \(\tau\) in the margin points to the insertion of the ordinary reading as a variant.
30. єоккєш \(\delta[\eta]\) : so rightly t Hermias Stobaeus, though of course it is impossible to be certain that the scribe intended the words to be so divided ; 'ooke \(\tau \varphi \rho\)


xxii. 11. тє: so T, Burnet; om. B.
 \(\pi \bar{a} \sigma u\) Euscbius. \(\psi v \chi \eta\) amply fills the line.
16. ovpavv[v]: so BT, Burnet ; äveponov Vind. rog, oỉv Herwerden, secl. Badham.
18. Teגєta: veגia MSS. It seems likely that the papyrus agreed with B and many other MSS. in omitting oiv (T, Burnet) after \(\mu \epsilon \nu\), which sufficiently fills the space, though ovv if written ov would not take much room.
 \(\lambda_{\epsilon i \tau a}\) is new; \(\mu \in \tau \epsilon \omega р о \pi о р є \hat{i} \tau \in\) BT and the majority of the MSS., v.l. \(\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega р о \pi о р є i t a t\).
21. таıти: so B, Burnet ; äлavza T.
22. 1. \(\pi \tau \epsilon р о р \rho и \eta \sigma а \sigma a\).
23. \(\pi \omega\) : om. MISS. Only the barest vestige remains of the letter preceding \(\omega\) and it does not particularly suggest \(\pi\), but since \(\tau\) ews would be too long \(\pi \omega s\) seems inevitable.
24. The MSS. support the marginal otepeov (the usual Platonic form: aтєppotépov Tim. Locr. sor a), not \(\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \rho o v\). It is probable that the second rather than the original hand substituted \(\sigma\) for \(\pi\).
29. A fragment containing the letters \(\mu v \nu\) is 1 ather doubtfully placed herc.
31. Avqr in the margin was doubtless \(\theta_{\eta \eta r \eta v, ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ f o u n d ~ i n s t e a d ~ o f ~ t h e ~ b e t t e r ~}^{\text {a }}\) supported Guqтóv in Ven. 8, Par. zorr.
xxvi. ı. \(\delta \in \xi \in \sigma \theta(a t\) : so B ; \(\delta \in \xi a \sigma \theta a r\) T, Burnet.
8. ка \(\theta_{0}\) ра \(\mu[\varepsilon] \nu\) : so T ; каӨор \(\hat{\mu \epsilon \nu}\) В.
9. The reading a \(\begin{gathered}\text { rin } \nu \delta<\kappa a c o o v i v \eta \nu, ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ b y ~ t h e ~ w r i t e r ~ o f ~ t h e ~ a d s c r i p t, ~ i s ~ f o u n d ~ i n ~\end{gathered}\) Coisl. \(1_{5} 5\) and was considered favourably by Heindorf.
10. [...]. \(\delta\) кatoovvn does not occur in any MS. The letter before \(\delta\) is represented by a vertical stroke which would suit \(\eta, t\), or \(r\), and it is immediately below the second upright of the \(\eta\) in \([\) avt \(\eta \eta\). Perhaps \([0\) evt \(]\) e may be restored; cf. Parm. 133 d airov̀


14. धy etepe ovaa (so MSS.) in the margin shows that something other than ovaa stood in the text, but there is no known variant. Of the \(\nu\) of \(\omega \nu\) there remains only the top of the second upright stroke.
17. ovт \(\omega\) : ồ oै ôt \(\omega\) MSS.
29. \(\delta \eta\) : OM, MSS.
31. \(\theta \in \varnothing[\) s s: \(\theta \in \hat{̣}\) MSS. ( \(\theta \in \omega \hat{\omega}\) Coisl. 155 ).
 lacuna at the beginning of the next line.
xxvii. 4. \(\mu\) oдıs: \(\mu\) óys MSS.
6. \(\delta\) ' ov: \(\delta^{\prime}\) "tov MSS. \(\delta\) ' ov was presumably due to the influence of 1.8.
\({ }^{27}\). The papyrus of course may have read \(\delta \eta\) with T instead of \(\delta \varepsilon\). \(B\) here has ouvisv


xxxiii. A slight difficulty arises concerning the gap between the remains of this column and Col. xxvii. A column of this papyrus corresponds elsewhere to approximately fourteen lines of the Oxford text; there are sixty-five printed lines lost between xxvii. 33 and xxxiii. 1 , giving an average of only thirteen lines for the five columns, which would therefore appear to have been written larger or to have been rather shorter than their neighbours. This irregularity makes it the less easy to explain the remains of the two marginal adscripts which are all that survive of Col. xxxii. In the second of them ] otav \(\delta_{\epsilon} \mid \tau \nu \tau \omega, \nu\) is
 but the stroke before \(\tau\) is somewhat straight for an \(o\), and the words in question would be expected to have occurred two or three lines lower in the column: moreover örav \(\delta \dot{6}\), which would imply the omission of airot, is quite unsupported. On the other hand there is nothing else in the neighbourhood suggesting -ray, and that 11. 14-20 of Col. xxxiii, which are on the same fragment as the two marginal insertions, are rightly identified can hardly be doubted.
xxxiii. I. This line is most probably the first of the column, but the margin above it is imperfect.

If. \(\tau \epsilon\), which was originally omitted, was added by the second hand.
xxxiv. 1. o: om. MSS. Possibly \(\tau(\epsilon)\) was omitted in 1.3.

XXXv. 3. \(\eta\left(=\eta \eta^{\prime}\right): \dot{o}\) MSS.

5. \(\eta\) was added above the line by a hand different from that to which the majority at any rate of the insertions are due. The MSS. agree with the original reading.
12. \(\epsilon \delta \epsilon \delta t \epsilon t\) confirms the correction of Cobet ; \(\delta \in \delta t \epsilon i \eta \mathrm{~B}, \delta \in \delta i \epsilon t \mathrm{~T}\).
22. \(\eta \iota \eta\) : so T and edd. ; \(\eta \mathrm{B}\).

Fr. (b). This fragment cannot be referred to Col. xxii. 7-9.
Fr. (c). Neither Col. ii. \(10-12\) nor Col. xxav. \(18-20\) seems to be the right position for this fragment.

Fr. (d) possibly belongs to Col. xxxiii.
Fr. ( \(f\) ). The breathing over the \(\omega\) is doubtful.
Fr. ( \(k\) ). It is hardly certain that the fragment belongs to 1017.
Fr. (m). Col. ii. 2-3 is an unsuitable position for this fragment.
Fr. ( \(n\) ) cannot be assigned to Col. xxvii. 8-9.
Fr. (p). Not Col. xxvii. 19-20 or \(22-3\).
Fr. (2'). The combination with Col. xxii. 5 is unconvincing.
1018. XENOPHON, Cyropacdia i.
\(25.6 \times 10.7 \mathrm{~cm}\). Third century.
Two columns, containing part of the sixth chapter of the Cyropaedia, Book i. The recto of the papyrus is occupied by a second-century money-account; the literary text on the verso, written in rather coarse and irregular uncials, may be attributed to the first half of the third century. Stops in the high and medial position are inserted, besides double dots marking a change of speaker ; as in 1018, a single point is sometimes used where two would be in place, and vice versa.

Iccents have been added occasionally. These lectional signs as well as a few corrections may all be due to the original scribe.

Textually this papyrus is of considerable interest, standing in very close rclationship to the family of MSS. represented by D, the Bodleianus, and Stobacus. Witness to the early influence of this family had already been found in a Vienna papyrus (ed. Wessely, Mittheilungcn vi) and in 697 ; and the same conclusion is emphasized by 1018, whose agreement with DBod. is still more marked. In fact, there is here only one noticeable discrepancy from those two MSS. to set against the considerable number of coincidences, namely at 1.39 where instead of their tues the papyrus has the commonly accepted \(\tau\left(\epsilon^{\prime}\right)\) eiou. Editors may be right in regarding this as the generally inferior family; but there can be no doubt that it embodies an ancient and strongly established tradition.

In the collation below I an able to supplement the information given by Dindorf (Oxford, 1857 ) with that of the apparatus to the edition of the Cyropaedia about to be published by Mr. E. C. Marchant, who has kindly allowed me the use of his proofsheets. \(\mathrm{C}=\) Parisinus, Bod. \(=\) Bodleianus Bib. Canon. 39 (Marchant's D), \(\mathrm{H}=\) Escorialensis T iii. 14; the other sigla correspond with those of Dindorf.

\section*{Col. i.}

Col. ii.
```

    [\pi]\lambda\epsilonо\nu\epsilonк\tau\eta\nu т\omega\nu \pi0 6. 27
    [\lambda\epsilon]\mu\iota\omega\nu}<<<\alpha\iota о Kvpos \epsilon
    [\pil]\gamma\epsilon\lambda\alpha\sigma\alpha\Omega \epsilon\iota\pi\epsilon\nu:\omegaH
    [\rhoa]\kappa\lambda\epsilon\iota5. o\iotaov \sigmav \lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota\varsigma
    5 [\omega \pi] [\alpha\tau\epsilon\rho \delta\epsilon\iota\nu \alpha\nu\delta\rho\alpha }\mu
[\gamma\epsilon]\nu\epsilon\sigma0\alphal: otos \alpha\nu \epsilonф\eta
[\omega \pi] [\alpha\iota \delta\iotaк\alpha\iotao\tau\alphaтos \tau\epsilon
[\kappa\alphal] \nuо\mu\iota\mu\omega\tau[[\epsilon]]\tauos \alpha\nu\eta\rho
[\epsilonl\eta]: \pi\omegas \mu\eta\nu \epsilonф\eta
10 [\pi\alphal]\delta\alphas o\nu\tau\alphas \eta\mu\alphas
[\kappa\alphal] \epsilonф\eta\betaovs \tau\alpha\nu\alpha\nu\tau\iota
[\alpha \tauо]v\tau\omega\nu \epsilon\delta\iota\delta\alpha\sigmaк\epsilon\tau\epsilon*
[\nu\alphat] \mu\alpha\Delta\iota \epsilonф\eta к\alpha\iota \nuv\nu
[\gamma\epsilon\pi].jos rous \phil\lambdaous T\epsilon

```
35 Tıvos \(\alpha \in \iota[[]][\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon\)
    \(\alpha \gamma \omega \nu \ell\} \in \sigma \theta[\alpha \iota \quad \pi \rho \circ \rho \alpha v\)
    \(\tau \alpha^{*} \hat{\eta}\) ov \(\gamma เ \gamma[\nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota ร\)
        \(\bar{\beta} \quad \bar{a}\)

        \(\gamma \iota \alpha l \tau \in l \sigma l \kappa<\alpha \ell \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \ell\)

        \({ }_{o \nu} \nu \xi \iota \iota l \cdot \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \iota \mu \alpha \Delta l \in\)
        \(\phi \eta\) о Kupos \(\theta_{i} \eta \rho \iota \omega \nu\) \(\gamma \epsilon\)
        \(\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu \delta\) โ \(\epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha \iota\)
        \(\delta_{0} \xi \Omega \iota \iota\) ßov[ \(\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \in\)
\(45 \xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \alpha ́ t\) ( \(\tau \iota \nu \alpha \pi o \lambda\)
        \(\lambda \alpha s\) \(\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha\) s orl \(\delta \alpha\) \(\lambda \alpha \mu\)
        \(\beta \alpha \nu \omega \nu: ~ o v \delta \epsilon[\gamma \alpha \rho\) то
        \(\bar{\xi} \epsilon \nu \epsilon l \nu \quad \epsilon \phi \eta\) ol \([\mu \alpha \ell\) ov
```

    15 [K\alphal] \tauov[[s \phii\lambdaovs]]. o\pi\omegas
        [\delta\epsilon \gamma\epsilon] Tovs \pio\lambda\epsilon\mulous \deltav
        [\nu\alpha\iota\sigma]0\epsilon как\omegas \piо\iota\epsilon\iota\nu
        [ovk o]!\sigma0\alpha \mu\alpha\nu0\alphavov
        [\tau\alphas v]\mu\alphas \pio\lambda\lambda\alpha[s] к\alpha
    20 [коv\rho\gamma \imath\alphas: ov \delta\eta\tau\alpha є\gamma\omega\gamma,\epsilon
    [\epsilon\phi\eta}
    [\mu\eta\nu \epsilon]\phi\eta \epsilon\nuєК\alpha \epsilon\mu\alpha\nu
    [0\alpha\nu}\in\tau]є \tauо\xi\inv\epsilon\epsilonl\nu. \tau
    [lOS \delta \epsilon]\nu\epsilonK\alpha akO\nuTl}\epsilonl\nu
    25 [Tו\nuOS] \delta є\nu\inка \deltaоv\lambdaоvิ\nu.
    [us a\gammap'lovs \pi\lambda\epsilon\gamma\mu\alpha\sigmal
    [\kappa\alpha\iota opv`\gamma\mu\alpha\sigmat. \tau[\ell] \delta\epsilon
    [\lambda\alphaфо]vs \pio\delta\alpha\gamma\rho\alphaוs
    [к\alpha\iota а\rho]\pi\epsilon\deltaо\nu\alpha\iotas. \tauו
    30 [\delta\epsilon \lambda\epsilonоv]\sigma\iota каl а\rhoкто\iotaS
[\kappa\alphal }\pi\alpha\rho\rho]\delta\alpha\lambda\epsilon[\sigmal]\nu ov

```

```

    [\mu\epsilon\nuOL] \epsilon\mu\alphaХ\epsilon\sigma0\epsilon. a\lambda
    [\lambda\alpha \mu\epsilon\tau\alpha \pi\lambda\epsilonO]\nu\epsilon\epsilon\xi<\alphaS
    ```
6. av: \(\vec{u} \nu\langle\ddot{\omega}\rangle\rangle\) Hertlein, Hug, Marchant.
8. vo䒑t \(\mu \omega \tau a \tau o s:\) the second \(\tau\) was converted from a \(\rho\), i. e. \(\nu о \mu \not \mu \omega \tau \epsilon \rho o s\) was first written.
9. \([\epsilon \eta]\) : on the analogy of the preceding and following lines not more than three letters should be lost, and hence it is likely that the papyrus agreed with DBod. and Stobaeus in reading sti for cins. The supposed double dots might possibly be the tips of a \(\sigma\), but some kind of stop at any rate is expected.
14. The papyrus evidently had \(\gamma \epsilon\) before \(\pi\) ]pos, agreeing with DBod. ; om. other MSS.
 clear whether the removal of the dittography is due to the original or another hand.
16. \(\delta v[v a r \sigma] \theta_{\epsilon}\) suits the space better than \(\delta v[v a \sigma] \theta_{\epsilon}(\mathrm{CAD}\), \(\delta \dot{v} v a \sigma \theta a t\) Bod.).

22. є] \(\phi \eta є \nu \in \kappa a\) : so DBod.; \(\tilde{\nu є к а ~} \tilde{\epsilon} \phi \eta\) other MSS.
24. є 〕עєки: om. С.

26. \(\pi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota\) : so DBod. ( \(-\sigma \iota\) ) ; каі̀ \(\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \mu a \iota \iota\) other MSS.
33. \(є \mu \propto \chi є \sigma \theta \epsilon: ~ \mu a ́ \chi є \sigma \theta \epsilon\) CAGH.
35. aєt: aiti CAGH. The letter following att is covered by a blot and a dot signifying deletion is also placed over it; perhaps the ink ran when the scribe was writing the ef \(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \alpha \sigma \theta\).
36. av]ra: or tavia, as in DBod.
 was indicated by the marks above 1.38 , the oblique dashes showing the number of letters to be transposed and the figures \(a\) and \(\beta\) the desired arrangement; cf. e. g. 16. 26. The

39. DBod. have tuves for \(\tau(\epsilon)\) tiou.
42. o Kupos: so DBod. ; om. other MISS.

 A blot covers the \(a\) of \(a \lambda \lambda\).
58. [ \(\delta \mathrm{f}\) : so DBod.; om. CAGRII.
\(65 . \mu[\eta \delta \epsilon: \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon\) AGH.

\section*{1019. Cilariton, Chaereas and Callirvhoë.}
\[
{ }_{17} \times 17.5 \mathrm{~cm} \text {. Late second or early third century. }
\]

Two fragments of the Chacras and Callirrhoë have already been obtained from Egypt, one a Fayûm papyrus of about the end of the second century (P. Fay. I), the other a vellum palimpsest bought by Wilcken at Luxor, of the later Byzantine period (Arclio i. pp. 227 sqq.). Fresh evidence for the early popularity of Chariton's romance is now supplied by a papyrus from Oxyrhynchus. This contains parts of two columns, from the third and fourth chapters of Book ii, written in a clear semi-cursive hand of, apparently, much the same date as P. Fay. I ; it may be assigned to the close of the second century, or, at latest, to the opening decades of the third. An oblique dash is used as a stop in 1.55 ; cf. e. g. 413 verso.

The text of the Chaereas and Callirrhoc̈, apart from the two small fragments recently discovered, depends upon a single Florentine MS. of the thirteenth or fourteenth century (F). Compared with this, 1019 shows characteristics very similar to those of the Fayûm papyrus, except that the latter is more accurate. As would be anticipated in copies so much closer to the author, both papyri sometimes supply what is evidently a better reading. Thus, e. g., in 1019, \(\pi 0 \delta \hat{\omega} v\) in 1. 1 confirms an emendation of D'Orville ( \(\pi \circ \theta^{\prime} v\) F) ; in 1.25 the sense, which in F is obscured, was rightly given, though the exact wording is uncertain;
 There are also a number of small variations with regard to which the choice is less easy, though naturally the older authority deserves every consideration and is likely to be more often right than not. On the other hand, confidence is
disturbed by such errors as those in 11. 33, 38, 44, and 48-9. A noteworthy agreement of the papyrus with F in a probable corruption is found in 1. 29.

\section*{Col. i.}
 ii. 3
\([\mu \epsilon \nu \eta\) К \(\alpha \lambda] \lambda \iota \rho \circ \eta \pi \rho o s\) avtov \([\epsilon\)
\([\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \phi \eta]\) \(\theta \in \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu 0 S\) o[ \(v^{\prime} \quad \circ\)
[ \(\Delta \iota o \nu v \sigma \iota o s] ~ \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \omega s ~ \epsilon \phi \eta \omega A[\phi \rho o\)

[ \(\eta\) S кат \(\alpha \pi \iota] \pi \tau 01 \tau \alpha\) \(\delta \epsilon\) аut[0V
\([\eta \delta \eta \quad \Lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \alpha] s \quad \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \nu \quad k[\alpha t\)
[aviך \(\phi \eta \sigma \omega \nu] \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \omega \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \circ \tau[\alpha\)
[ \(\eta\) v \(\boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \omega \nu \eta \tau o] s \quad \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \theta[\eta s\)
\(10\left[\begin{array}{llll}\kappa \alpha \ell & \sigma v & \delta \epsilon & \omega\end{array}\right] \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon\)
\([\tau \omega\) кvрเ \(\omega \quad K] \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \rho \circ \eta \mu \in \nu \quad \nu v \nu\)
[ \(\pi \rho\) оs тоvvo] \(\mu \alpha\) тоу кvріоv катш
\([\kappa \nu \psi \alpha \sigma \alpha \pi \eta] \gamma \eta \nu \quad \alpha ф \eta \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \kappa \rho \nu \omega \nu\)
\([0 \psi \in \mu \in \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \nu] \theta \alpha \nu 0 v \sigma \alpha \tau \eta \nu\)
\({ }^{1} 5[\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \iota a \nu]\) o \(\delta \epsilon \Delta \iota \nu v v \sigma \iota o s \pi \lambda \eta\)
\(\left[\xi \xi^{\alpha} \text { тov } \Lambda \epsilon \omega\right]_{\nu}^{\nu} \alpha \nu \quad \alpha \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon\)
\([\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \nu\) ws \(\alpha \nu] \theta \rho \omega \pi o l s \quad \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \epsilon \gamma \eta\)
[rots \(\theta\) eols \(\tau \alpha v] \tau \eta \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota s a \rho\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[\gamma \nu \rho \omega \nu \eta \tau o \nu} & \delta i\end{array}\right] \kappa \alpha L \omega s\) ovir oux \(\in v\)
20 [ \(\rho \in \mathrm{S}\) тоv \(\pi \iota \pi \rho \alpha] \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha\) ouk \(\eta \kappa\) ки

\([\eta \mu \alpha s\) к \(\alpha \iota \gamma \epsilon\) ol] \(\theta \in o \iota \quad \xi \in \iota \nu o \iota \sigma \iota \nu \in o \iota \mid\)
[котєs \(\alpha \lambda \lambda o \delta \alpha] \pi o \iota \sigma t \nu \quad \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{llll}v \beta \rho t \nu & \tau & \kappa \alpha \iota & \epsilon \nu \nu o] \mu t \eta \nu \\ \epsilon \phi о \rho \omega \sigma t \nu\end{array}\right.\)
\({ }_{2} 5[\cdots \cdots \cdot . . . . . . K \alpha] \lambda \lambda \iota \rho o \eta \pi \alpha \nu \sigma \alpha \iota\)
[ \(\mu 0 \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \epsilon \lambda] \omega \nu\) кац \(\theta \epsilon \alpha \nu\) ovo
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mu \alpha \xi \omega \nu & \tau \eta \nu\end{array} \frac{0 \cup \delta \delta \epsilon}{} \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu\right.\)


Col. ii.
```

    \tau\omega\nu \epsilon\sigma\tau\omega\tau\omega\nu \epsilonк\epsilon\ell к\alpha\iota к\epsilonк\lambda\eta
    30 }\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\nu \sigmav\nu\eta\kappa\epsilon\nu о \Lambda\epsilon\omega\nua
ка\iota аф\epsilontко\mu\epsilon\nuоs \epsilonts \tauо \tau\epsilon\mu\epsilon
vos \epsilon\xi\eta\gamma\alpha\gamma\epsilon \tau\eta\nu K\alpha\lambda\lambda\iota\rhoo\eta\nu
\tauovs \delta \eta\nu İ\&\epsilon\nu oт\iota \phiu\sigma\epsilont \gamma\epsilonlvov
\tau\alpha\iota \beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\iotas \omega\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho о \epsilon\nu \tau\omega \sigma\mu\eta
35 \nu\epsilont \tau\omega\nu \mu\epsilon\lambda\iota\sigma\sigma\omega\nu \etaко\lambdaоv00
vv \gamma\alpha\rho а\nuто\muатшs a\piа⿱宀т\epsilons
а\nu\tau\eta к\alphaӨатє\rho \dot{ по тоv ка\lambdaло}
vs \delta\epsilon\sigma\pioוv\eta кє<br>epsilonє\rhoото\nu\eta\mu\epsilon\nuo\iota
\eta \mu\epsilon\nu ouv a\pi\eta\lambda0\epsilon\nu \epsilon\epsilons \tau\eta\nu ol
40 к\eta\sigma\iota\nu \tau\eta\nu \sigmav\nu\eta0\eta Alorv\sigma\iotaos \& [\epsilon ii. 4
\tau\epsilon\tau\rho\omegaто }\mu\in\nu \tauо \delta\epsilon \tau\rhoаv\mu\alpha \pi\epsilon[\rho
\sigma\tau\epsilon\lambda\lambda\epsilont\nu \epsilon\pi\epsilon\iota\rhoa\tauo o\iota\alpha \delta\eta \pi\epsilon\pi[\alpha\iota
\delta\epsilonv\mu\epsilon\nuos a\nu\eta\rho к\alpha\iota \in\xi\alpha\iota\rho\epsilon\tau\omega[s
ар\epsilon\tau\etas а\nu\tau\epsilon\piоtov\mu\epsilonvos }\mu[
45 \delta\epsilon тols оккє\tau\alphaLS 0\in\lambda\omega\nu єvка\tau\alpha
фро\nu\etaтоs \deltaок\epsilonl\nu \mu\etaт\epsilon \mu\epsilontрак[l
\omega\delta\etas Tols \phi<br>lambdaoוs \deltai\epsilonка\rhoт\epsilon\rho\epsilonl [
\pi\alpha\rho\alpha o\lambda\eta\nu \tau\eta\nu \epsilon\sigma\pi\epsilon\rhoa\nu \pioo\sigma[v
\mu\epsilon\nuos \gammaa\rho \lambdaa\nu0a\nu\epsilon!\nu ка\taua[\delta\eta
50 \lambdaos \delta\epsilon \gamma\epsilonlvo\mu\epsilon\nuos \mua\lambda\lambdao\nu [\epsilonк
\tau\etaS \sigma\omega\omega\pi\etas \muot\rhoa\nu \delta\epsilon \tau\omega\nua [\lambdaa
\beta\omega\nu a\pio \tauov \delta\epsilonlTvov \tauav\tau\eta\nu \phi[\eta
\sigma\iota ко\mu\iota\sigma\alpha\tau\omega \tau\iotas \tau\eta \xi\epsilon\nu\eta \mu\eta \epsilonl\pi\eta[
\delta\epsilon \pi\alpha\rhoa \tauov кир\ellov \alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha \pi\alpha\rho\alpha \Deltato
5 5 ~ \nu v \sigma l o v ~ / ~ T o v ~ \mu \in \nu ~ o v \nu ~ \pi o t o v ~ \pi \rho o
\eta[\gamma]\alpha\gamma\epsilon\nu \epsilon\pi\iota \pi\lambda\epsilon[l]]\tauo\nu \eta\pi\iota\sigma\tau\alpha\tauo

```
1. \(\pi\) oò \(\omega v\) : D'Orville's infeli.x conicctura (Cobet, Mhemos. 8, p. 256) is confirmed ; notív F, ö̃to \(\theta_{\epsilon \nu}\) Cobet. \(\pi o \delta \hat{\omega} \nu\) was accepted by Hercher.
2. Kad]hopon: this is the regular spelling in this text as well as in P. Fay, 1 and in Wilcken's fragments.

8．єढтгv：om．F．

18．There is not room in the lacuna for \(\sigma \dot{v}\) which precedes \(\tau a v i \eta \eta\) in F ．
 restoration of \(\delta_{t}\) \}katws seems certain and gives a preferable reading.

20．\(\eta\) кov \(\sigma\) as ：so the Didot edition and Hercher ；àкои́aas previous editors．
22．Nine letters will hardly fill the lacuna，which is of the same length as in 11.20 and 23，and the papyrus therefore seems to have agreed with \(F\) ．Hercher restores the usual reading in \(\rho 485\) каi \(\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon\) оí．

25．F has tò 犭ồv 入oıтı̀v \(\pi a \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ к \tau \lambda ., ~ w h i c h ~ h a s ~ b e e n ~ g e n e r a l l y ~ r e c o g n i z e d ~ t o ~ b e ~ d e f e c t i v e, ~\) The papyrus has the name Ko \(\lambda \lambda \iota \rho o \eta\) ，which is obviously needed，and this was of course preceded by some such verb as cītev or＂＇\(\phi \eta\) ；but there is not room for so much as rò yoùv入otsóv as well，and how the lacuna should be filled remains doubtful．
 Dionysius did not think so，but only pretended that he did．

29．каt кєкג \(\eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu\) ：so F ；\(\dot{\text { s }} \kappa \epsilon \kappa \eta \lambda \eta \mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu\) Jacobs，whom Hercher follows．
30．o：om．F．On the other hand after Atwuâs F has tò \(\gamma \in \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{ovoo}}\) which is omitted in the papyrus．

33．tovs is an evident clerical error ；тóre rightly F ．
\(34.0 \in \nu\) ：om．o F．
35．The divisions \(\eta к о \lambda o v \theta o j v y\) here and каддо｜us in l． 37 are very unusual．
36．аขтодатшs：om．F．
37．avтך：om．F．

\(4^{2}\) ．\(\delta \eta\) ：\(\delta \dot{\epsilon}\) F．Cf．P．Fay．i．ii． 17 and for the asyndeton ibid．i．i6，note．

45．\(\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu\) ：\(\epsilon^{\theta} \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu \mathrm{F}\) ．

50．\(\mu\) алдо ：om．F．
51．\(\lambda a] \beta \omega \nu\) ：\(\lambda a \beta\) ápevos \(F\) ．
 length and it is unlikely that \(-\tau \epsilon\) followed．The \(3^{\text {rd }}\) person singular is quite correct after коніба́тш теs．
 \(\pi a \rho a ́\), but örı can well be spared altogether．

\title{
IV. DOCUMENTS OF THE ROMAN AND BYZANTINE PERIODS
}

\section*{(a) OFFICIAL.}
1020. Imperial Rescripts.
```

10.8\times20 cm.
A. D. $198-201$

```

A fragment from the bottom of a column, giving two short rescripts of the Emperors Scptimius Severus and Caracalla, directing that the praefect should loold a new trial if the persons to whom the rescripts are addressed were under full agc. This is the well-known In intcgrum restitutio, regularly allowed by Roman law to minors ; cf. Cod. Just. 2. 21, Dig. 4. 4. The only novelty lies in
 by Professor Mitteis, to whom I am indebted for some suggestions on this
 cases related to release from an obligation of some kind (á \(\alpha \epsilon \sigma t s)\), in the other there was an accusation of fraud (ȧár \(\eta\) ). These rescripts are preceded by the remains of two lines from another official response referring an applicant, who had complained of some injustice, to the epistrategus. The papyrus seems to contain a collection of such decisions, though whether they were all concerned with the \(I_{n}\) integrum restitutio is not clear. Perhaps they were here citcd by a petitioner as precedents supporting his claim.
```

    [. . . . . . .]\alphaฺฺ` \epsiloni \pi\epsilon\rho\iota\gamma\rho\alphaф\età [
    ```

```

    Aúтокра́т\omegaр Kаî\sigma\alpha\rho \Lambdaov́кıos \sumє\piтí\mutos \sumєov\etâpos [Eú\sigma\epsilon\beta\etaेs \Pi]\epsilon\rhoтiva\xi
                \Sigma[\epsilon\beta\alpha\sigmaтòs 'A\rho\alpha\betaıкòs 'A\delta\iota\alpha\beta\eta\nu\iotaкоेs
    ```

```

            \nuivo[s Ev̇\sigma\epsilon\beta\grave{\eta}s \Sigma\\in\beta\alpha\sigma\tauòs
    ```

```

        \tauov̂ €̈0\nuous
    ```

```

                            L. }
    ```




7. \(\eta\) of \(\pi \rho о к o v \delta \eta\) corr. from \(\omega\) : the name is preceded by a marginal cross. 8. \(\epsilon\) of \(\epsilon \kappa \delta \delta[\kappa] \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota\) corr. from \(\eta\). \(\quad \theta v o\) of \(\epsilon \theta v o v[s]\) blotted.
11. 3-8. 'The Emperor Caesar Lucius Septimius Severus Pius Pertinax Augustus Arabicus Adiabenicus Parthicus Maximus and the Emperor Caesar Marcus Aurelius Antoninus Pius Augustus to Varus son of Damasaeus. If you can claim the assistance due to immature age, the praefect of the province shall decide the suit for release. Published in Alexandria . .

To Procunda daughter of Hermaeus through Epagathus, freedman. If you can claim the assistance due to immature age, the praefect of the province shall decide the suit for fraud. Published in Alexandria . . .'

1-2. These two lines seem to be the decision of a local official rather than another imperial rescript. Jas in l. I may be the termination of a proper name; or ]. \(\epsilon\), e. g. \(\left.\epsilon^{i}\right] \pi \epsilon\), might be read.
 Just. 2 I .2 Eo lempore, quo soror tua auxilio zuvabutur atatis. EXXes means ' is rightly

 Archiv iv. p. 380 . This line is somewhat short as compared with 1. 7 , but the sentence appears to be complete.
6. 'A \(\lambda \in \xi a \nu \delta(\rho \in \epsilon(a)\) was no doubt followed by a date.

\section*{1021. Notification of the accession of Nero.}
\[
13.5 \times 5.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 54
\]

The following unaddressed notice of the decease of the emperor Claudius and the accession of Nero seems to be a rough draft for an official circular or a public proclamation. It is written in a small cursive hand, and several corrections and modifications show the absence of finish. A closely analogous document is B. G. U. 646 , a circular from the praefect to the strategi of the Heptanomia enclosing a copy of his order to the people of Alexandria for the celebration of the accession of Pertinax ; cf. also the fragmentary Berlin lettcr, quoted in the note on 11. 14-16, announcing the nomination of G. Julius Verus Maximus as Caesar, and the curious Giessen papyrus published by

Kornemann in Klio vii. p. 278 (Archio v. p. 249), referring to the accession of Hadrian.

The papyrus is dated on the 2 Ist (?) of the month Neos Sebastos (Hathur), i. e. November 17, thirty-five days after the death of Claudius. Oxyrhynchus was thus considerably in advance of Elephantine, where, as Wilcken, Ost. i. 1.3, shows, the news was still unknown on November 28.
\({ }^{\prime} O \mu \bar{\epsilon} \nu \quad\) ó \(\phi \in \iota \lambda o ́ \mu \in \nu O s\)
тоîs троуóvoıs каì є̀ \(\nu\) -
фаvŋ̀s \(\theta\) єòs Kaī \(\sigma \rho\) єis
aủтoùs кєХ\({ }^{\omega} \rho \eta \kappa є\),


\(\theta \epsilon i s ~ A v ̉ т о к р a ́ t \omega \rho ~ a ̉ \pi о \delta є ́-~\)
\(\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \alpha l, \alpha{ }^{\gamma} \gamma \alpha \theta\) òs
\(\delta \alpha i ́ \mu \omega \nu\) ס̀ \(\tau \hat{\eta} s\)
10

7. \(\theta\) ets added above the line.
\(\llbracket[\mu \epsilon \gamma!\sigma] \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu\)
à \(\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \hat{\omega} \nu \quad N \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu\)

סıò \(\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s\) ó \(\phi \in i ̂ \lambda o \mu \epsilon \nu\)
15 бтєфа⿱\zh7ךфороиิvтаs
каi \(\beta\) ou \(\theta\) vтои̂̀таs
\(\theta\) өoîs \(\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota\) tị̛€́val

 \(\mu \eta(\nu o ̀ s) N^{\prime}(o v) \Sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \in \beta \alpha(\sigma \tau o \hat{v}) \kappa \alpha\).
8. є or дeктан above the line. 10. 1. oiкоv \(\mu\) evps.
 added in front of \(\kappa \lambda\) avo \(\begin{aligned} & \circ o v . ~\end{aligned}\)
'The Caesar who hąd to pay his debt to his ancestors, god manifest, has joined them, and the expectation and hope of the world has been declared Emperor, the good genius of the world and source of all good things, Nero, has been declared Caesar. Therefore ought we all wearing garlands and with sacrifices of oxen to give thanks to all the gods. The ist year of the Emperor Nero Claudius Caesar Augustus Germanicus, the 2 sst of the month Neos Sebastos.'

8-13. Perhaps there is an intentional antithesis here between Kaíap and Aútoкрátop in 1. 7 ; but the repetition of oikovдévךs and àmodéétккаи is clumsy, and II. \(8-13\) look like an


 probably does not misrepresent the sense, for which Wilcken compares the prophetic papyrus discussed by him in Hermes xl. pp. 544 sqq., Col. ii. \(6-7\) émà̀ . . . \(\pi\) a
 inscription already quoted. [ \(\left.\left.{ }_{p} \rho\right]\right]_{x}{ }^{n}\) in 1.10 is not at all convincing: the final letter is more like \(\nu\) than \(\eta\), and \([..] \mu \mu \nu \omega \nu\) could be read; moreover in I. 11, instead of supposing that the writer began the word \(\mu\) ejiatov, it would be possible to regard the deleted letters as a mistaken repetition of the syllables \(-\mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu\). A participle, however, gives a less satisfactory construction and sense, and no suitable substantive ending in - \(\omega \boldsymbol{y}\) seems to be obtainable ; \(\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu\) is not satisfactory.

14-16. The construction is confused, the accusative being employed as if \(\delta \in \hat{i}\) or some similar word and not ódeidouev were written. Cf. for the phraseology B. G. U. 646. 19-24

 Library at Berlin, published by Parthey in Memorie dell' Instituto di Corrisp. Arch. 2, p. 440 , and reprinted with improvements by Deissmann, Licht voom Ostcn, p. 267: \(\dot{\pi} \pi \dot{i} \gamma \nu[\omega] \sigma \tau[\eta s\)



19. Some very cursive letters just below the line in front of \({ }^{5} \lambda\) avoiou are probably to be interpreted as \(\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta\) uotov̂ \(\Gamma є \rho \mu a \eta \kappa o v ̃\), which was originally omitted. The words have been transferred in the text to their proper position. Kaioapos too is very cursive.
20. \(k a\) : the second figure is very uncertain ; it could also be \(\delta\) or \(\epsilon\).

\section*{1022. Enrolment of Recruits.}
\[
36.8 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm} .
\]
A.D. 103. Plate 1.

This is a letter in Latin addressed by the pracfect of Egypt: C. Minicius Italus, to Celsianus, praefect of the third Ituraean cohort, announcing the addition to the cohort of six recruits, whose names, ages, and distinguishing marks, if any, are given. At the foot is a note of the receipt of the letter and of its cutry in the archives of the cohort. The document, which is in excellent preservation, is written in a clear cursive: dots are placed usually after abbreviated words and in some cases also after numerals, rarely elsewhere.
```

                C
    C. Minicius Italu's Clelsiano suo
                sal[u]tcm.
    tirones sexs probatos a me in
    col(ortc) cui praees in nume-
    ros reforri iube cx xi
    kalcudas Martias: nomi-
    na corum et iconi]smos
    hutc cpistulac subicci.
    vale frater karissimie.
    C. Veturium Gemellum
    annor(ztn) xxi sinc i(conismo),
    C. Longium P'riscom
annor(um) rxii, i(conismus) supcrcil(io) sinistr(o),

```
```

    15 C. Iulum Maximum ann(orum) xxv
        sinc i(conismo),
        [.] Lucium Sccundum
        annor(um) xx sine i(conismo),
        C. Iulium Saturninum
        amnor(um) xxiii, i(conismus) manu simistr(a),
        M. Autonium Valcutcm
        ann(orum) xxii, i(conismus) frontis
        partc बcxtr(a).
    2nd hand. accepta vi k(alendas) Martias ann(o) vi
25 Imp(cratoris) Traiani n(ostri) per
Priscum singul(arem).
Avidius Arrianus cornicular(ius)
coll(ortis) ïi Ituracorum
scripsi wuthenticam
epistulam in tabulario
cohortis essc.
15. maximum. Pap. 30. epistulam. Pap.

```
'C. Minicius Italus to his dear Celsianus, greeting. Give orders that the six recruits who have been approved by me in the cohort under your command be included in the ranks from Feb. 19: I append to this letter their names and descriptions. Farewell, dearest brother.
C. Veturius Gemellus, aged 21 , without description,
C. Longius Priscus, aged 22 ; description, a mark on his left eyebrow,
C. Julius Maximus, aged 25 , without description,
[.] Lucius Secundus, aged 20, without description,
C. Julius Saturninus, aged 23; description, a mark on his left hand,
M. Antonius Valens, aged 22 ; description, a mark on the right side of his forehead.

Received on Feb. \({ }_{2} 4\) in the 6 th year of our emperor Trajan through Priscus, orderly. I, Avidius Arrianus, adjutant of the third cohort of the Ituraeans, bave written out the original letter for the archives of the cohort.'
r. The meaning of these letters in the middle of the upper margin is obscure. The second is pretty certainly \(e\), the first \(c\) or \(s\). There is no sign of anything further, but the papyrus is at this point worn as well as broken, and it is possible that one or two more letters followed. \(C e[p i]\) on the analogy of \(720.1_{5}\) (if that be the right reading \({ }^{1}\) ) is not very satisfactory.
2. Feb. 24, A.D. 103 (11. 24-5) is the latest date so far known for the praefecture of Minicius Italus, who was succeeded in this year by Vibius Maximus. The papyrus
\({ }^{1}\) Wilcken's suggestion legi (Archiv iii. 313) is hardly suitable; the first letter may be \(l\), but the third does not seem to be \(g\).
confirms the view of the date of Italus expressed in P. Amh. \(6_{4}\), and the corrected reading of B. G. U. 908. \(9, \epsilon\) ( \(\check{\text { ëtı }}\) ), in Archiv ii. p. 137.
4. Probatus is the technical term for 'passed', 'examined' by the praefect, corresponding to the Greek èтькєкрцн́vos (e. g. 1023. 5); cf. B. G. U. 696. i. 28 tirones probati and Mê. d'arch. de l'école française de Rome, 1897, p. 450 prob]ato in ïi Gallica. The spelling sexs is found in inscriptions.
8. icon[i]smos: the word may have its ordinary sense if in l. 14, \&c., some term signifying a mark or scar be understood ; otherwise it must be supposed that the proper meaning 'description' here passes into that of the features constituting the description,

 єікойбио́s.
11. This man recurs forty years later as a veteran in 1035. 2.
\({ }^{2} 5 . n(o s t r i)\) is unexpected, though it may stand. The letter could be \(m\), but this is no easier. au(gusti) cannot be read.
27. Arrianus: or perhaps Traianus.
28. The third Ituraean cohort is mentioned as being in Egypt in the year 83 in C. I. L. iii. p. 1962. From the fact that this letter was found at Oxyrhynchus it may be inferred that the cohort was at this period stationed in that district. That a son of one of the recruits subsequently appears there ( \(1035,1-3\) ) well accords with such a conclusion.
1023. Arrival of a Veteran.
\[
8.3 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Second century }
\]

A brief note recording the presence, at Oxyrhynchus no doubt, of a veteran soldier, with particulars of his entry upon the praefect's list. The document is unaddressed, and is no more than an occasional memorandum. It is inscribed on the verso of 1035, and presumably belongs, like that papyrus, to the reign of Antoninus, in spite of the formula of 11. 8-9, which was probably taken over from an earlier document. Lines 6-9 supply a new date for the praefecture of Q. Rammius Martialis, which is shown to go back to the first year of Hadrian.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { По́т入ıоs Пєтр́́vios }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \alpha \rho \in \pi \iota \eta \eta \mu \eta \sigma \alpha s, \delta \eta \lambda \omega-
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { v́тò Koєívtov 'Pa } \mu \text { - }
\end{aligned}
\]
```

\muílo]v Ma\rhoт\iotaá\lambda\iotas
\tau\hat{\varphi} a (\epsilon'\tau\epsilon\iota) 'A\delta\rho\iota\alpha\nuov
тоиิ кvрíov.

```
－Publius Petronius Celer，discharged soldier，now for the first time residing，declared to have been placed on the list by Quintus Rammius Martialis in the first year of Hadrianus the lord．＇

4．тapentônjías：record of the place of residence chosen by the veterans was kept in the


 Heerzesen，p． 125.

6 sqq．The earliest date hitherto recorded for the praefecture of Q ．Rammius Martialis was Pharmouthi 28 of the second year of Hadrian（ 23 April 118 ），in C．I．G． \(4713 \mathrm{f}=\) Ditten－ berger，Orientis Gr．Inscr．ii． 678 ；he is now shown to have entered upon his office before the end of Hadrian＇s first year，i．e．before 29 August， 117 ．His predecessor，M．Rutilius Lupus，was still praefect on the fifth of January of that year（B．G．U．rif．5）．

1024．Order for a Grant of Seed．
\[
30.4 \times 6.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 129
\]

An authorization from the strategus and basilicogrammateus of the nome to a local sitologus for a grant of seed－corn to a cultivator．The document is closely parallel to P．Brit．Mus． 256 recto（e）（Catalogue ii．p．96），an earlier authorization of a similar character，though differing in detail，conveyed from the strategus and basilicogrammateus by a son of an imperial slave；and in one or two places it helps to establish the text of that interesting but imperfect papyrus．Cf． Wilcken，Archiv iii．pp．236－7；Goodspeed，Papjri from Karanis，p． 10.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { каi 'Hраклєíon бוто入óyшı } \\
& \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau о \nu \text { тот } \alpha \rho \chi^{i ́ \alpha s} \Pi \alpha-
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { є́к то̂́ ка入入íवтоv } \delta \in i ́ \gamma \mu \alpha-
\end{aligned}
\]


 'A§́plavô Ǩíбapos тồ кขpíov

\(\lambda \omega r i ́ o v ~ ' H \lambda \iota o \delta \omega ́ \rho о v ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ ' A \pi о \lambda-~\)
\({ }^{5} 5 \lambda \omega \nu i ́ o v ~ \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \Theta a i ̂ \delta o s ~\)


\(\delta \in \xi(t \hat{\alpha})\), ò \(\nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \gamma \nu \omega \rho t \epsilon i \bar{S}\)

20 di air \(\dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega\) єis \(\hat{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath}\)
\(\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ к \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu{ }^{\text {}} \Omega \phi \iota \nu\) є́к тои

б̀̀v \(\tau \hat{\varphi}\) Пvppiov каi \(\Lambda v \sigma \iota \mu \alpha ́ \chi о(v)\)
\(\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \nu\) ( \(\left.{ }^{\circ} \rho o v \rho \alpha \nu\right) ~ a \delta^{\prime} \pi v \rho o \hat{v}\)

Өоv кєкобкıvєข \(\mu \in ́ \nu 0 \nu \quad \mu \epsilon ́-\)

\(\kappa \in \lambda \in v \sigma \theta \in i ́ \sigma \eta \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta \nu\)
Híav тє́т \(\alpha \rho \tau o v, /(\pi v \rho o v ̂)(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta) \alpha \delta^{\prime}\),


\(\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}, \hat{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\)



тàs ívas ä \(\mu \alpha\) тoîs тīs \(\gamma \bar{\eta} S\)





\(\Sigma_{\epsilon} \in \alpha \sigma \tau o \hat{v}{ }^{'} A \theta \grave{v} \rho\) ıگ.
 \(\kappa \alpha \grave{\tau 亠 \alpha}\) кат̀̀ ті̀ \(\nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho[\alpha \tau] \eta \gamma i \alpha{ }^{\prime}, \mu \epsilon-\)

45 \(\tau \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu\) то̂ \(\pi v[\rho o] \hat{v} \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \nu\) \(\mu i \alpha \nu \nu \tau^{\prime} \tau[\alpha] \rho \tau o \nu,[/]\left(\pi v \rho o \hat{v}\right.\) ?) \(\alpha \delta^{\prime}, \dot{\omega} s \pi \rho o ́ \kappa(\epsilon \tau \tau \alpha l\).
24. J. \(\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} p a v\). \(\quad\) 32. \(\eta\) of \(\eta \nu\) corr. from \(a\), i. e. the scribe began to write äs or \(a ̈\).
' Asclepiades, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, to Heraclas also called IIeraclides, sitologus of the district of Pakerke in the eastern toparchy, greeting. Measure out, with the authorization also of Hierax the basilicogrammateus, from the best sample, of the produce of the past \(13^{\text {th }}\) year, for the sowing of the present \(14^{\text {th }}\) year of Hadrianus Caesar the lord, as a loan of seed for Apollonius son of Heliodorus son of Apollonius, his mother being Thais daughter of Chaeremon, of the city of Oxyrhynchus, aged about 78 , with a scar on the right eyebrow, whom you are to recognize at your own risk, as requested by him for the \(I \frac{1}{4}\) arourae of land which he cultivates near the village of Ophis in the holding of Apollonius of the Althacan deme with those of Pyrrhias and Lysimachus, one and one quarter artabae of wheat, pure, unadulterated, unmixed with earth and sifted, according to public measure and regulation measurement, total \(\mathrm{I}_{4}\) art. wheat, without any deduction for debts or any other purpose; and he shall sow it on the land in good faith under the observance of the usual officers, and shall repay an equivalent amount out of the new crop together with the government dues upon the land; and you shall take from him a proper receipt in duplicate and shall give one copy to me. The \(14^{\text {th }}\) year of the Emperor Caesar Trajanus Hadrianus Angustus, Hathur 17.

From Hierax, basilicogranmateus and deputy-strategus: measure out the one and a quarter artabae of wheat, total \(1 \frac{1}{4}\) wheat, as above.'
1. The authorization is nominally issued by the strategus although, as the signature in 11. \(43^{-6}\) shows, the basilicogrammateus was discharging the duties of the superior office. Some traces of ink above 1. I near the edge of the papyrus are perhaps due to accidental blotting.


22. 'A入Aatícs: at this period the name of an Alexandrian deme was regularly accompanied by that of the tribe (cf. Schubart, Archiv v. pp. 83 sqq.) ; the absence of the latter here is of course due to the fact that the name of the \(\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho\) 's dates from an earlier time.

32-3. This passage makes it clear that in 1. 7 of the Brit. Mus. papyrus . . a \(i \boldsymbol{i} / \bar{\omega} s\)
 had already been observed by Grenfell. iztês is equally certain in P. Flor. 21 . 13.

33-4. '̇สaкодоv \(\theta\) oivt \(\omega \nu \tau \bar{\omega} y\) є \(i \omega \theta \dot{\sigma} \tau \omega y\) : the officials meant probably coincided at least partially with those specified in P. Brit. Mus. 256 recto (d) \(1-4\) as concurring in the
 toparch, the comogrammateus and the scribe of the public cultivators.
38. The papyrus confirms a \(\pi \sigma \times \hat{y} \nu\) in 1. 10 of the Brit. Mus, text, as restored by Wilcken, Archiv, l. c. in place of xetpoypapiav.
 i. e. the writer began the word \(\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a \tau \epsilon\).
46. [/] ( \(\pi \mathrm{v}\) poû) aó : or perhaps simply / aí.
1025. Engagement of Performers.
\({ }^{2} 5.9 \times 7.2 \mathrm{~cm}\). Late third century.
An order from the municipal officials of Euergetis to an actor and a Homeric reciter to come and perform on the occasion of a festival. The document is analogous to P. Grenf. II. 67 , in which the president of a village council engages the services of two dancing-girls, and which belongs, like 1025, to the third century ; cf. also 475, 731, Brit. Mus. 33I, P. Flor. 74, and 519 and 1050 , which record payments made to a mime and a reciter, no doubt on some such occasion as the present.
```

    Aú\rho\etá\lambda\iotaol "A\gamma\alpha0os \gammav( }\mu\nu\alpha\sigma\iota\alphá\rho\chi\\etas
    \epsilon้\nu\alpha\rhoХоs \pi\rhov́т\alpha\nu\iotas к\alphai
    'E\rho\mu\alpha\nuo\beta\alphá\mu\mu\omega\nu '́\xi\eta\gamma(\eta\tau\etàs)
    ```

```

5 каi Ko\piрi\alphas ко\sigma\mu\eta\tau\etaेs
\piо́\lambda\epsilon\omegaэ Ev`є\rho\gamma\epsilońтו\deltaos
Aúp\eta\lambdaío\iotas Ev̉pı\piवी \betaıo\lambdaó-
\gamma\omega к\alphai \Sigma\alpha\rho\alpha\pi\hat{c}}\dot{\hat{c}}\dot{\partial}|\eta\rho\iota\sigma\tau\hat{\ell
\chi\alphaí\rho\epsilont\nu.
10 \epsilon'\xi\alphav\tau\eta\hat{S}}\mp@subsup{\eta}{}{\prime\prime}\kappa\epsilon\tau\epsilon,\kappa\alpha0\dot{\omega}[
\epsilon'0os í\muì\nu \epsiloṅ\sigmaтl\nu \sigmav\nu\pi\alpha-
\nu\eta\gammav\rhoi}\epsilon\epsilon\nu, \sigmav\nu\epsilonо\rhoт\alphá\sigmaо\nu-
\tau\epsilons \epsiloṅ\nu \tau\hat{\eta}\pi\alpha\tau\rho\omegá\alpha \grave{\eta}<br>mu\hat{\omega}\nu

```

```

15 0\epsilono\hat{v}}\mu\epsilon\gammaí\sigma\tauov \alphả\nu\alpha\nu....[.
\tau\hat{\omega}\nu 0\epsilon\omega\rho\iota\hat{\nu}\nu \alpha'\mu' \alphaư`'\rho]\iotaov
\eta゙\tau\iotaS \epsiloń\sigma\taui\nu \iota \alphảyoب, [\epsilon'\nu]\omega\nu
\epsiloṅ\pii \tau\alphàs \epsilon'\xi \epsilon'0ovs ì\mu[\epsiloń\rho]\alphas,
\lambda\alpha\mu\betaá\nuо\nu\tau\epsilons \tauo[v̀s] }\mul\sigma
20 0oùs к\alphaì \tau\grave{\alpha} \tau\epsiloní\mul\alpha.
\sigma\epsilon\sigma\eta\mu(\epsilont\omegá\mu\epsilon0\alpha).
2nd hand. 'E\rho\mu\alpha\nuo\beta\alpha}\mu\mu\omega\nu\nu ' '\xi\eta\gamma(\eta\tau\etaेS
\epsilon}\rho\rho\hat{\omega}\sigma0\alpha\iota \dot{v}\mu\hat{\alpha}s\in\dot{v}\chi\circ\mu(\alphal)

```

4th hand．\({ }_{25}\) Kotpías＇́ \(\rho \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s\) є \(\cup ̛ \chi о \mu \alpha \iota\) ．
＇Aurelius Agathus，gymnasiarch，prytanis in office，and Aurelius Hermanobammon， exegetes，and Aurelius Didymus，chief priest，and Aurelius Coprias，cosmetes，of the city of Euergetis，to Aurelius Euripas，actor，and Aurelius Sarapas，Homeric reciter，greeting． Come at once，in accordance with your custom of taking part in the holiday，in order to celebrate with us our traditional festival on the birthday of Cronus the most great god． The spectacles will begin to－morrow the roth and be held for the regular number of days； and you will receive the usual payment and presents．Signed．I，Hermanobammon，exegetes， pray for your health．＇Similar signatures of Didymus and Coprias follow．

3．Hermanobammon is a compound of the names of three deities，Hermes，Anoubis．
 15.3 \＆\＆．）．

4－5．The order in which the ipxuерєís and кor \(\mu \eta \pi\) ris here stand is the reverse of that assigned them by Preisigke，Städt．Beamtonwesin，Pp． 31 sqq．，and the signatures in ll．22－6 prevent any supposition of a lapse on the part of the writer．In P．Flor．21．1－2，on the other hand，the cosmetes is given precedence．Apparently the rank of dipxtєpés was liable th some fluctuation．

6．Euergetis is mentioned in 814，a papyrus of the first century；it was evidently a considerable place．

7．Bıo入ór甲 ：this word occurs in an epigram found at Aquileia（Jacobs，Anth．Pal．iii．
 the same as \(\mu i \mu o s\), no doubt；cf．519． \(3^{-4}\) and 1050． \(25^{-6}\) ，where a \(\mu i \mu o s\) and a \(\dot{\partial} \mu \eta \rho \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}_{s}\) stand in close proximity．
\({ }^{15}\) ．The remains at the end of the line do not suit àvaveovévev or àvayкaiшs．
19－20．The scale of payment was high，as is shown by 519 ，where a \(\mu \bar{\mu} \mu \mathrm{s}\) receives 496 and a \(\delta \mu \eta \rho \sigma \sigma \tau^{\prime} s+8\) drachmae．It appears from that papyrus that the municipal officials made considerable contributions towards such entertainments．

\section*{1026．Attestation of Agreement．}
\[
28.8 \times 17.8 \mathrm{~cm}
\]

Fifth century．
The compact recorded in this papyrus is not very clearly expressed，but the main points are sufficiently evident．The principals are Gerontius and John，the latter apparently being in Gerontius＇debt．It is directed that certain personal effects should be sold and the debt paid；and that any surplus should be given to John＇s children．A list is appended of the property，with the prices obtained for the articles already sold：as often happens in such lists，some rare or
unknown words occur．The document was drawn up by two \(\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \rho \circ\) ，who seem to have been to some extent mediators as well as witnesses；cf．note on 1．2．No date is given，but the character of the handwriting and the nature of the sums mentioned fix the period fairly definitely．
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [. .] . [. .]y [. . .] }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { форíos каi факıа入ícv } ̈ \sigma \sigma[\tau] \epsilon ~ \pi \rho \alpha \theta \bar{\eta} \nu \alpha[\iota] \text { аúт } \alpha \text { каì סoûval }
\end{aligned}
\]
\(\tau \omega ิ \nu \tau \in ́ \kappa \nu \omega \nu\) аย่тov.
т̀̀ \(\pi \rho a \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \alpha \in \not \subset \not \subset \eta\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( } \delta \eta \nu \alpha \rho i ́ \omega v) ~ \mu(v \rho \iota \alpha ́ \delta \omega \nu), \gamma \tau о є,
\end{aligned}
\]
\(\phi а к \iota \alpha ́ \lambda \iota o v \quad\left(\delta \eta \eta^{\prime} \alpha \rho i \omega v^{\prime}\right) \mu\left(v \rho \iota ́^{\delta} \delta \omega v^{\prime}\right) \psi v^{\prime}\),
Ba入aváplov каi 入ıvoúdıov ( \(\delta \eta \nu \alpha \rho i ́ \omega \nu) \mu^{\prime}(v \rho \iota \alpha ́ \delta \omega \nu), \alpha \phi\).

> oi゙т \(\omega\).
> \(\delta \in \lambda \mu a ́ t i o v a\),
> \(\mu a \nless o ́ p t o v\) ỏ óv́X(tvov) a,
> 芭oïtlov \(\mu\) афо́plò a,
> بovӨová入!
> 20 Kádıov \(\gamma v \nu[a]\) cкîov,

 1．\(\pi a \nu \theta \epsilon \iota\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\nu] a ́ p ı o v . ~}\end{array}\right.\)
＇We have mediated between Gerontius and John to this effect：－John shall take the cloaks and the linen with the veils and the kerchiefs to be sold，and shall pay the loan of the three solidi；and we have given them to Gerontius to be sold for their value，as to the
amount of which an oath shall be taken (?) ; and the remainder shall be paid to John for the expenses of his children. The articles sold are as follows :-an onyx-coloured Dalmatian vest at \(33,850,000\) denarii ; another likewise of the Xoile kind at \(30,000,000\); a . . . linen cloth with a common kerchief at 1 solidus; a kerchief at \(7,500,000\); a towel and a linen cloth at \(15,000,000\) denarii. The unsold articles are as follows :-1 small hide, I onyx-coloured veil, I Xoïte veil, I . . . veil, a woman's box, a little shrine to hold unguents; these are to be sold through Theodorus and Gerontius for their value. Expenses through Gerontius amount to \(18,600,000\) denarii. We, Andreas and Triadelphus, presbyters, are witnesses.'
1. There are vestiges of a short heading, apparently not \(\chi \mu \gamma\).
 the two \(\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma\) should be regarded simply as \(\mu \epsilon \tau a \xi v \mu \epsilon \sigma i\) itat who witnessed an agreement

 1905, ii. p. 56 . But the whole form of the present document, as well as \(11.5-6\), may be taken to imply a more active part in the negotiation; cf. P. Brit. Mus. 113. (1)
3. \(\mu\) афо́poov, not \(\mu\) афо́proov, is the usual form in Byzantine Greek; see Du Cange, s. \(v\).
f-5. סoûrat tò סávecov might mean to lend, not to repay; but the whole transaction seems more intelligible if the words are construed in the latter sense.
6. \(\tau i \ldots\)... 0 ког : the meaning appears to be that an oath was to be taken by Gerontius as to the amount realized, but the construction of \(\tau i\) is harsh and the asyndeton awkward.
10. On the numismatical peculiarities of this period cf. the data collected by Wessely in his article on Philogelos, Sitzungsber. d. k. Akad. d. Wissensch. in H'inn, Phill.-Hist. K\%. cxlix. The thousands in ll. IO, II , and \(1+\) are denoted, as is usual at this date, by oblique strokes at the bottom of the figure. The symbol for \(\mu \nu p a i d e s\) is a semicircle open at the base and laving a dot beneath it.
11. Joituov is formed from soirns and some speciality of Xoïs or the Xoïte nome is meant;

12. \(\pi\) opaaì \(\lambda\) to is difficult, but the alternatives seem to be no better; \(\gamma a, \gamma \lambda, \tau a\) or \(\tau \lambda\) could be read in place of the \(\pi\), and \(\delta\) instead of \(\lambda\) ( - vov is unlikely). Bi \(\lambda \lambda a p u \kappa\) óv \(=\) villaricum, but that form does not occur.
14. Bu入aváptov: cf. 921. 18, 1051. 22.
16. \(\delta \in \lambda \mu\) íttov \(=\delta \epsilon \rho \mu \mu a ́ t \iota o \nu\); but perhaps \(\delta \epsilon \lambda \mu \mu \tau i\langle\kappa \kappa\rangle\) ov should be read.
19. \(\mu\) ov \(\theta_{0 \nu a} \lambda t u \nu\) is unknown; the syllable \(\mu\) ove suggests that the word may indicate a local product like 玉oítoo.
21. The novel \(\pi\) ave \(\theta\) továptoy was evidently a casket of special shape, modelled perhaps on that of the Roman Pantheum.

 C. P. R. 19. 7-8, quoted above.

\section*{(b) DECLARATIONS TO OFFICIALS.}

\author{
1027. Denial of a Claim.
}
\[
8.7 \times 16.7 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { First century } .
\]

This document, of which the beginning and end are missing, is an application, addressed no doubt to the \(\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota о\) v́дaкєs, from a creditor whose security was threatened. Money had been lent to two brothers, Theon and Pekusis, on the security of some house-property. In default of payment the creditor desired to foreclose upon the property, when he learnt that in order to prevent this the father of the debtors had sent in a declaration that the property had been mortgaged to himself. The applicant sceks to prove that this declaration was fraudulent.

The papyrus may be assigned on account of the character of the handwriting to about the middle of the first century.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \dot{\imath} \dot{v} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu . .] \phi[\quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \text { - }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 10 \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \text { '่ } \mu \pi \pi o \delta \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha i ́ \mu o v \text { т } ̀ \nu \quad \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \xi \iota, \delta i ' \text { ỗ } \mu \alpha \tau \alpha i \omega s
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } 30 \text { letters }] \epsilon \phi[. . . .
\end{aligned}
\]
 corr. from \(\rho\) and \(\delta t o v\) corr. from \(\delta t \omega \nu\).
'. . . of my debtors Theon and Pekusis sons of Ammonius, Theon of the lalf of a one-storeyed house and court, and Pekusis of an eighth part of another house and courts and yard, with the other conditions contained in the memorandum of transfer. When I therefore pursued my right of entry upon the transferred property, I found that the father of the debtors, Ammonius son of Ammonius, had presented to you a memorandum by means of which he hoped that my execution might be prevented, wherein he vainly relates that he was ignorant of the securities which had been given to me, and that he has had hypothecated to himself . .
1. The construction may have been something like \({ }^{2} \pi \epsilon \gamma \beta a \psi \dot{a} \mu \eta \nu\) or \(\left.\pi a p \epsilon \theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \nu\right] \delta_{i}^{\prime} i \mu[\hat{\alpha} \nu\) \(\dot{a} v] \phi[a \lambda c i a s\).



 Z. Savigny-St. xxx. p. 289 ; cf. Eger, Grundbuchzuesen, p. 47, Rabel, Verfügungsbesihränk-


\section*{1028. Selection of Boys (èmikplats).}
\[
24.4 \times 7.3 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 86
\]

Application from a woman, whose husband was dead, for the registration of their fourteen-year-old son in the list of privileged persons paying a reduced poll-tax of 12 drachmae. The document, which is written in a very cursive hand, is directed to a board of officials resembling that addressed in 714, not, like 478 , to the \(\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota o \phi\) údaкєs ; cf. besides those two papyri 257-8.
```

    \chi
    2nd hand(?). K\lambda\alphav\deltaí\varphi Maк\epsilon\deltaovi\varphi \sigma\tau\rho\alpha(\tau\eta\gamma它)

```

```

    к\alphai Ф(\lambdaí\sigmaк\omega\iota \gamma(v\mu\nu\alpha\sigma\iota\alpha\rho\chi\etá\sigma\alpha\sigma\iota) каi 'A\piо\lambda\lambda\omega'\nuí(\varphi)
    5 к\alphai \Theta'\epsilon\mp@code{vl \gamma\rho\alpha(\mu\mu\alpha\tau\epsilonv̂\sigmal) \pió\lambda(\epsilon\omegas)}
    \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha T\alphao\rho\sigma\epsiloń\omegas Tरेs \Thetaо\mu\psi\eta;\muto(s)}
    \tauov̂ \Thetao\omegávlos \tau\hat{\omega}\nu] dं\pi' 'O\xiv\rho\rhov́\gamma\chi}\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{\prime}\omega\nu
    ```

```

    \psi\eta\eta\mulos тo\hat{v} \Thetao\omegávlos. кат\grave{\alpha} T\grave{\alpha}
    ```

```

    к\rhoi0\hat{\eta}\nu\alphal \taucv̀s \pi\rhoo\sigma\beta\alphaivou}\tau(\alphas
    ```


（ \(\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \delta \rho \alpha ́ \chi \mu \omega \nu) \in i \sigma i \nu, \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi \omega \nu \hat{\omega} t\)
\({ }_{5}\) тò̀ vióv \(\mu\) ov Xalpй \(\mu\) ova
＇Ol＇vóфpios тồ Z＇óıtos
табтофо́pov इ’apámióos

фо́סov＇Iттобоо́ \(\mu о \boldsymbol{\pi}\) троб－
\(20 \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon \in \nu \alpha \iota\) т \(\widehat{\varphi}\) 骨 \(\nu \in \sigma\)－
\(\tau \omega ิ \tau \iota \in(\epsilon ้ \tau \epsilon \iota)\) каi єîval av̉тòv
（ \(\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu о \nu)\) каì тòv \(\alpha u ̛ \tau o \hat{v} ~ \mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu \pi a-\)
\(\tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha\) द́ \(\mu о \hat{v}\) ס̀̀ \(\gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon\) ．
\(\nu=u\)＇\(\ddot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha\)＇\(O \nu v \hat{\omega} \phi \rho t v\)
25 Z＇óıтоs \(\pi \alpha \sigma \tau о \not \subset o ́ \rho o \nu ~ \tau o \hat{v} ~ \alpha(\dot{u} \tau 0 \hat{v})\)
\(\theta \in o \hat{v} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi о \gamma \rho a(\psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu) \dot{\epsilon} \pi i\) रô̂ \(\alpha(\dot{u} \tau 0 \hat{v})\)

\(\tau \widehat{̣}\) a（ \(\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \iota\right) ~ \Delta о \mu \iota \tau \iota a r \circ \hat{\nu}\)
то仑 кирíov каi тòv \(\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha\)



т \(\widehat{\varphi} \beta\)（ （ \(\tau \tau \iota\) ）\(\theta \in о \hat{v}\) Títov，
каì ó \(\mu \nu\) v́ш \(A v\) v̇окра́тора
35 Kaíбapa \(\triangle\) оцıтıaıò̀

\(\mu \grave{\eta} \psi \epsilon(\dot{v} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha i)\) ．（ध̆тоus）\(\in\) Aútoкра́тороs
Kaío apos \(\Delta\) ouitiavov̂

40 ＇Eлєi申 а．（3rd hand）．Taopoos


40．1．Taopacús．
＇To Claudius Macedonius，strategus，and Gaius，basilicogrammateus，and Dionysius and Philiscus，ex－gymnasiarchs，and Apollonius and Theon，city－scribes，from Taorseus
daughter of Thompsemis son of Thoönis, of the city of Oxyrhynchus, with her guardian Thompsemis son of Thoönis. In accordance with the orders concerning the selection of boys approaching the age of \(1_{4}\) when their parents on both sides are residents of the metropolis rated at 12 drachmae, I declare that my son Chaeremon son of Onnophris son of Soïs, pastophorus of Sarapis the most great god, registered in the quarter of the Hippodrome, has reached the age in the present \(5^{\text {th }}\) year and that he is a person rated at 12 drachmac, and that his father my late husband Onnophris son of Soïs, pastophorus of the said god, registered in the same quarter among those rated at 12 drachmae, died in the 1 st year of Domitian the lord, and that my father Thompsemis son of Thoönis, registered at the Thoerreum (Theneplon) among those rated at 12 drachmae, died in the 2 nd year of the deified Titus; and I swear by the Emperor Caesar Domitianus Augustus Germanicus that I have made no false statement.' Date, and signature of Taorseus.
1. A large cross or \(\chi\) in the upper margin is presumably some official mark.
6. That Taopaeis (or Taopaềs) not Taóoris (P. Brit. Mus. II, index) is the nominative is indicated by P. Tebt. 311. 29; the two genitives Taopatîtos and Taopoéws correspond

17. тacтoфópov: cf. P. Tebt. 292, where application for priestly circumcision is made for a boy of only seven years; in P. Gen. 260. 22 (Nicole, 1909) the age is still earlier.
 the reading here or in H. 26 and 3 r.
19. \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa\) évat: sc. єis tois тєббарєбкаибєкаєтeis; the age is similarly omitted in P. Fay. 27. 9, P. Tebt. 320.8.
31. Өonpeiou: cf. 43. verso iv. 14, 16. Өєvém \(\lambda \omega\) is another name defining the locality more closely ; cf. 478. 15.
41. Өoر \(\psi \dot{n} \mu\) os would be expected at the beginning of this line, but there is hardly room for so much, and it is difficult to recognize the indistinct vestiges. As Taopoos shows, a misspelling is quite probable. The signature is in rude uncials.

\section*{1029. Return of Hieroglyphic Inscribers.}
\[
31.7 \times 10.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 107 .
\]

A list, addressed to the basilicogrammateus, of the carvers of hieroglyphics at Oxyrhynchus in the ifth year of Trajan. These iepoydú \(\phi o t\) are classified according to the district in which they lived; and they declare on oath that the list is exhaustive and that there were no apprentices or strangers versed in their art. Few references occur in papyri or inscriptions to the iepo \(\begin{aligned} & \text { dú } \phi o t ~(c f . ~ P . ~\end{aligned}\) Leyden U. i. 2, iv. 2, C. I. G. 4716 d 14, and the Cairo inscription edited by Spiegelberg, Die demot. Inschr. pp. 69-70) and little is known concerning their position ; but it is evident from 11. 15-16 below that some of them were definitely attached to the service of the temples, and there is a close analogy between the present return and the lists ( \(\gamma \rho a \phi a i\) ) of priests and temple-revenues annually supplied to the strategi or basilicogrammateis, on which cf. P. Tebt. 298.





```

    \chi\epsilon\iota\rho\iota\sigma\mu'\epsilon'\imath\omega\nu ú\piò \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \sigmav\nu\iota\epsilon\rhoо\gamma\lambdaú\phi\omega\nu
    \phi\grave{\eta}\{\mu\hat{\omega}\nu \tau\epsilon к\alphai \tau\omegâ\nu \alphaủ\tau\hat{\omega}\nu \sigmav\nut\epsilon\rhoo\gamma\lambdaú-
    ```

```

    T\rhoа\iota\alphavô K\alphaí\sigma\alpha\rhoos \tauồ кupíov.
    1 0
        \tilde{\omega}
        \\epsilonк\alphá\tau\eta\mp@subsup{S}{}{\prime}
        T\epsilon\hat{\omegas 'Olv\omegáфрlos ò \pi\rhoо\gammaє\gamma\rho\alpha\mu\mu'́vos,}
        'O\nu\nu\hat{\omega}\phi\rho|s \dot{\alpha}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phiós,
        'A\sigmaк\lambda\lambda人s 'O\imath\nu\omegáф\rhoıs ò \pi\rho\sigma\gammaє\gamma\rhoа\mu\mu\epsilońvos,
        \mp@subsup{}{5}{5}
        'O\sigma\epsilonípıos 0\epsilono\hat{v}}\mu\epsilon\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\sigma
    'Aml,\deltaos?) \deltapó\muov \Thetao\etápl\deltaos`
        \Piто\lambdaє\muаís Пєто\sigmaора́тtos то\hat{v} \Pi\epsilonто\sigmaора́тtos.
            - \ddot{\alpha}\nu\delta(\rho\epsilonS)\epsilon.
        20 каi ö\mu\nuv́o\muध\nu Av́roкра́тора Kаí\sigmaара
            N\epsilońpov\alpha\nu T\rho\alpha\iota\alpha\nuòv \\]\epsilon\beta\alpha\sigma\tauòv Г'є\rho\mu\alphaиъкòv
    ```






```

        Kaí\sigmaapos N\epsilon\rhoov́a Tp\alphatavồ \Sigma'\epsilon\beta\alpha\sigma\tauô
    ```

 the line. i6. Second of ofetpos above the line.
- To Claudius Menandrus, basilicogrammateus, fiom Teos, younger son of Onnophris son of Teos, his mother being Taseus, and Asklas son of Onnophris son of Osmolchis, his mother being Tesauris, both of the city of Oxyrhynchus, hieroglyphic carvers, who have
been delegated by their fellow-carvers: the list of ourselves and the said fellow-carvers of hieroglyphics for the present \(\mathbf{n}\) th year of Trajanus Caesar the lord, as follows:-

In the quarter of the Tenth, Teos son of Onnophris, the aforesaid, Onnophris his brother, Asklas son of Onnophris, the aforesaid, Osmolchis his brother, who is also a hieroglyphic carver of Osiris the most great god.

In the quarter of the square of Thoeris, Ptolemaeus son of Petosorapis son of Petosorapis.
Total 5 men. And we swear by the Emperor Caesar Nerva Trajanus Augustus Germanicus Dacicus that we have honestly and truthfully presented the foregoing list, and that there are no more than these, and that we have no apprentices or strangers carrying on the art down to the present day, otherwise may we be liable to the consequences of the oath. The \(11^{\text {th }}\) year of the Emperor Caesar Nerva Trajanus Augustus Germanicus Dacicus, Phaophi 29.'

\footnotetext{
 an ă \(\mu \phi o \delta o y\) or \(\lambda\) aúpa at Oxyrhynchus; it has not occurred previously in the local papyri.
 as a iepopגúpos of Osiris seems to indicate that the other four occupied a more or less independent position and were not connected with any particular temple or cult. In the margin opposite this line there is a dash and, just below, the letters \(a \pi t\), apparently intended to modify or supplement in some way the statement of the text. Perhaps the meaning is that Osmolchis was associated with the cult of Apis as well as that of Osiris.
}

\section*{1030. Notification or Deatir.}
\[
26.2 \times 9.6 \mathrm{~cm} .
\]

This notice of the death of a slave follows the usual formula (cf. c.g. 262), and its chief point of interest lies in the address. The report, which is dated in the year 212 , is directed to the ' \(\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \delta о \gamma \rho о \mu \mu а т \epsilon\) 's of the first tribe and the second circuit', showing that at the beginning of the third century the inhabitants of Oxyrhynchus were divided off into numbered tribes which were subdivided into numbered circuits ( \(\pi \epsilon\) рioooro). It was already clear from e.g. 88. if and P. Leipzig \(65.7-8\) that the municipal reorganization introduced by Severus included a tribal division on the Greek model ; but the present is so far much the earliest allusion to this arrangement. and the \(\pi \epsilon\) finoot seem to be novel.

\section*{\(\pi \epsilon\).}

\[
\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \text { Sıoývous (3rd hand) Пatovtêtos }
\]

то̂̀ \(\Sigma \pi \alpha \rho \tau \hat{\alpha} \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ T \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \tau о 今\)
5


\(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \bar{\eta} s\) @aŋ́бtos \(\delta o \hat{v} \lambda o s{ }^{~}\) I \(I \sigma \tau o ́ \rho \eta-\)



סiò є̇ \(\pi \iota \delta i \delta \omega \mu\) тò \(\dot{\cup} \pi o ́ \mu \nu \nu \eta \mu\)
\(\dot{\alpha} \xi \iota \omega \quad \alpha[\hat{v}] \tau \grave{o} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \dot{\epsilon} \nu\)
\(\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu[\hat{o}] \mu \circ i ́ \omega \nu \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \in \iota\), каi
ỏ \(\mu \nu\) v́ш т \(̀ \nu\) той кирíov Ма́ркои


Aúтокрár[о]роs Kаíбароs Ма́ркои


20 Mєरírтou Eủб \(\epsilon\) ßoûs \(\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau o \hat{v}\)
\(\llbracket \mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ ' A \delta \rho l a \nu o v \rrbracket \rrbracket \kappa\). (4th hand). Dioyévךs
 \(\mu \in \kappa \alpha\) ті̀̀ ö őкоу.

25 TOU Tò iै íOV.

\section*{' No. 85.}

To Serenus, district-scribe of the first tribe, second circuit, from Diogenes son of Papontos son of Spartas, his mother being Tereus, of the city of Oxyrhynchus. The slave belonging to me and Thaësis, my full sister on the father's side, Historetus, who was past age, had no handicraft, and was registered in the quarter of Pammenes' Garden, died in the past year: I therefore present this memorandum begging that he be registered in the list of such persons, and I swear by the fortune of the Emperor Marcus Aurelius Severus Antoninus that I have made no false statement.' Date, and signatures of Diogenes and Serenus.
 \(43^{6}\), where no doubt \({ }^{2} \mu \phi \nu \delta o\left(\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \sigma \tau^{\prime} \omega \nu\right)\) should be read. All these instances date from the earlier part of the third century, at which period the office would seem to have been instituted. For a \(\phi \cup \lambda(\hat{\eta} s)\) cf. P. Leipzig \(65.7-8 \in \phi \cup \lambda(\eta) s)\).
3. A different hand continued the document from the name Hanovit̄os. There are some similarities in the first line and a half to the signature of Diogenes in II. 21-3, but probably the writers were distinct.
8. imeferins: i. e. past the age of 60 , after which liability to poll-tax ceased ; cf. P. Brit. Mus. 259. 64 and Wilcken, Archiv iii. pp. 232-3.
21. The name of the month has for some reason been washed out.
22. ї \(\mu \dot{\mu} \mu к а\) : so e.g. 251. зо.

\section*{(c) PETITIONS.}

\section*{1031. Application fok Grant of Seed.}
\(15.4 \times 9.4 \mathrm{~cm}\).
A.D. 228.

A request for a grant of 30 artabae of corn as seed for 30 arourae of government land, of which the applicant was the sub-lessee. The document is addressed to two members of the senate of Oxyrhynchus who were the local commissioners for such grants. Cf. 1024 and P. Flor. 21.






\(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ A \cup ́ \rho \eta \lambda i o v ~ B ı \alpha i ́ o v ~ B ı a i ́ o v ~ \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ T a i ̈ o ́ \lambda \lambda \eta s ~\)









\(\lambda\), äблє \(\rho\) кокколоуй-
\(\sigma \alpha s \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}\) к \(\rho \iota \theta \hat{\eta} s\) каi \(\alpha i ̋ \rho \eta s\) кат \(\alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega\) єis т \(\eta \nu\)





\(\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma \epsilon \ell}^{\tau \hat{\eta}} \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta\), каì ò \(\mu \nu v ́ \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \nu\)

\author{
 \\  \([(\) ěrous) \(\eta\) A说]токра́тороs Kaíбароs Mápкои \\  \\  \\ 
}
 15. 1. Пєоьт́єs. 16. кок'ко Рар.
- To Aurelius Demetrius also called Alexander, ex chief priest, and Aurelius Dioscorus, agoranomus, both senators of the city of Oxyrhynchus, elected by the most high senate to superintend the distribution of seed of the present 8 th year in the upper toparchy, from Aurelius Biaeus son of Biaeus and Taiolle, from the village of Episemus. I request that there be assigned to me as one of the loans of seed from the wheat crop of the past 7 th year for the sowing of the present 8th year, for the public land which I cultivate at a rent of not less than 2 artabae near the village of Sko in the name of Lucius Aurelius Apollonius and his son Lucius Aurelius Matreas also called Heraïscus, in the holding of Odeas \(26 \frac{1}{2}\) arourae and in the holding of Pedieus \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) arourae, total 30 arourae, a loan of 30 artabae, which I will clear of barley and darnel and plant upon the land honestly and in good faith under the cognizance of those appointed for that duty, and I will repay out of the new crop an equivalent amount with the accompaniments at the same time as the regular dues upon the land for the present 8th year by the public half-artaba measure and according to the measurement ordered; and I swear by the fortune of Marcus Aurelius Severus Alexander Caesar the lord that I have made no false statement.' Date and signature of Aurelius biacus.

8. ' \(\mathrm{E} \pi \iota(\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu o v)\) ) \(\boldsymbol{o} \pi(\omega \nu)\) should perhaps be restored on this analogy in 518. 4. In

11. The nature of the distinction between \(\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i a\) and \(\beta a \sigma i \lambda t \times \dot{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}\) is still doubtful; cf. Wilcken, Archiv v. pp. 248-9, Mitteis, Z. d. Savigny-St. xxx. pp. 400-1.
12. \(\delta\) apáaißou must refer to \(\gamma \bar{\eta}\) and the meaning be that the annual rent per aroura was in no case less than two artabae. There may have been some restriction on loans of seed for land of inferior quality.
16. коккөлоує̄匕 occurs in the glossaries of Dositheus Magister.
18. Cf. 1024. 33-4.
 seed is not clear; it is unlikely that the additional payments mentioned in P. Brit. Mus. 193 are on account of such loans ; cf. P. Tebt. II. p. 342 . In P. Flor. 54 of A. D. 314 loans of




1032. Petition to the Epistrategus.
\(38.8 \times 14.2 \mathrm{~cm}\). A.1. 162.
A petition to the epistrategus Vedius Faustus from a brother and sister, asking for a decision in a dispute which had arisen out of some irregularity in the registration of a vineyard. The case had been delegated to the epistrategus through the dioecetes, and the facts are recounted in a copy of a long petition to the pracfect Volusius Maecianus (ef. note on 1. 5) who had been appealed to in the first instance (11. \(5^{-12}\) ). In A. D. 147-8 a small piece of land owned by the petitioners had been converted into a vineyard, in accordance with a permit which it now appears was requisite in such cases, and a certain sum of money was paid to the government for the right to make the change (cf. note on 1. 8). But some formalities of declaration or registration ( \(\pi a \rho \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \sigma t s\) ) were omitted, and nine years afterwards the praefect Sempronius Liberalis ordered these to be carried out without delay. According to an entry made by an assistant of the stratcgus of the nome, this order was communicated to Diogenes, a deceased brother of the petitioners; but they assert that not only was there no evidence of the communication, but Diogenes had died long before the order was made, and accuse the assistant of bad faith. At the end of June or the beginning of July A. D. I6I the praefect referred the matter to the dioecetes Vonasius Facundus (11. 43-8), who, in the absence of the accused assistant, sent it on to be dealt with by the epistrategus (11. \(4^{8-54}\) ). An endorsement at the bottom of the document (11. 58-60), dated at least nine months later, declares the readiness of the latter to hear the case.

Some of the main sections of the document are marked off by means of short blank spaces. It is rather difficult to read in parts owing to the discolouration of the papyrus. The verso contains 1049.
 \(\nu \epsilon \psi a \grave{v} \tau a \hat{v}\) av่тâ 'O



















 \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \kappa\)




 \([\dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \Delta \iota o \gamma] \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma к \alpha i ́ \omega[s\) ай \(\nu,] \quad \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \grave{\omega} \nu\) ки́ptє, катє-

 \(\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\varphi}\) каі̀ \(\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa(\hat{\omega}) \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha(\tau \in \hat{\imath})\) ö \(\pi \omega s\) 市 \(\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \iota \theta \in \mu \epsilon ́-\)











 таро́vтоя




 'A \(\mu \mu \omega \nu\) íov '̇ \(\pi \iota \delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\omega} к \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu\).
3rd hand. ('є̌ovs ?) [ \(\beta\) ? ? \(\Phi a \rho \mu\left(o u \theta_{t}\right) \kappa\).
\(\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon!\) 向коv-
60
\(\sigma \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \in \tau \alpha\).
9. ت̈̀七v Pap.; so in l. \(15 . \quad\) 19. \(\mu \eta\) added above the line. 26. ӥтоүєүрареvaı Pap. 51. 1. Факайvòos. 55. ъข Pap.
' To his higlmess the epistrategus Vedius Faustus from Ammonius and Martheis, both children of Diogenes, of the city of Oxyrhynchus. The following is a copy of the petition which we presented to Volusius Maecianus, ex-praefect, and of the endorsement upon it which we received: "To Lucius Volusius Naecianus, praefect of Egypt, from Ammonius and Martheis also called Heracleia, both children of Diogenes son of Diogenes, of the metropolis of the Oxyrhynchite nome. As long ago as the 11th year of the deified Aelius Antoninus we converted out of our own ancient plots which formerly belonged to our deceased paternal grandfather Diogenes, whose mother was Sepsarion, near Senepsau in the said Oxyrhynchite nome, as was conceded to us, \(\frac{7}{76}\) of an aroura of vine-land, on which the sum owing as apportioned was paid, and concerning this the local comogrammateus reported that the registration had been carried out accordingly out of our own plots . . . Whereas then we have now discovered that in the time of this comogrammateus and another a report was made whereby it is declared that the owners concerned when warned in writing to do so had not sent in a statement, and that the land was planted (because Sempronius Liberalis the ex-praefect in the circuit of the nome held in his time in the zoth year of the deified Aelius Antoninus had made an endorsement 'If they fail to present a statement within two months they shall be liable to the prescribed penalties'), and since
from the remarks which we have now seen appended to the report in the hands of the basilicogrammateus of the nome we have learnt that a certain Dionysius, who was assistant of the strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome in the 23 rd year, has made an endorsement that he had given information to Diogenes the grandson of our aforesaid grandfather, and this Diogenes to whom he says he gave information and who was our brother died in Thoth of the . . . year of the deified Hadrian, so that from this fact the malice of the assistant Dionysius with intent to defraud us is proved, for he could not in accordance with the order produce the acknowledgement of the recipient that he had in truth given the information, but, as stated above, our brother Diogenes died long before the endorsement which has been made: therefore, lord praefect, we have perforce taken refuge with you, the saviour and benefactor of all, begging you, if your fortune sees fit, to write to the strategus and basilicogrammateus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, in order that, on our presenting the statement originally required, we may suffer no damage in consequence of the endorsement wrongly made by the assistant, and so may obtain relief. Farewell." And of his endorsement this is a copy: "Let those who have presented these documents, ten in number, apply to his highness the dioecetes Vonasius Facundus, to whom copies have been sent. Make this public. The ist year of the Emperor Caesar Marcus Aurelius Antoninus Augustus and the Emperor Caesar Lucius Aurelius Verus Augustus, Epeiph... Published Epeiph 14." Since therefore, sir, his highness the dioecetes, being appealed to by us and learning that Dionysius was not then present, referred this matter also to you in the following terms : "Vonasius Facundus gives sentence: You accuse the assistant and the case must be investigated in the presence of Dionysius; apply therefore to his highness the epistrategus, who, when Dionysius appears, will give judgement in the case," we request you, if it seems good to your fortune, to decide about the case, so that we may obtain relief. Farewell.
(Signed) Ammonius and Marthion, both children of Diogenes, have presented this petition through one of us, Ammonius.
(Endorsed) The 2nd year, Pharmouthi 20 . To the administration : he shall be heard.'
 regular term for 'petition' till the end of the third century, when \(\beta_{\imath} \beta \lambda_{i o v}\) and \(\lambda_{i} \beta_{e} \lambda \lambda_{o s}\) displaced it. The papyri of the present volume, so far as they go, bear out that result; cf. 1l. 44 below, 1065 introd., 1070. \(3^{2}\), and, for \(\lambda_{i} \beta \in \lambda \lambda_{o s}, 1033\). i 4.
5. Lucius Yolusius Maecianus occurs in 653, B. G. U. 613. 9 and P. Gen. 35, but the precise date of his praefecture remained uncertain (cf. Archiv iii. p. 392). It is now fixed with probability by ll. 45 sqq. in the year a. D. 161.

 present passage does not necessarily come into conflict with Wilcken's view (Archiv iv. p. 548) that aváretv in P. Brit. Mus. 921 cannot be used absolutely and that some phrase like \(\epsilon\) is \(\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \Delta \nu\) must be restored, since here too the descriptive genitive \(\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda o v\) in l. il
 clear from the order of the words. Wilcken was however mistaken in assuming that the land in question necessarily belonged to the domains. Apparently even in the casc of private property (cf. l. 9 iठi \(\omega \nu\) oiкomi \(\delta \omega \nu\) ) a special permit was necessary for turning any part of it into a vineyard (cf. l. 11 is \(\sigma \nu v \epsilon \chi \omega \rho \eta \theta \eta\), as in P. Brit. Mus. 921 ); and a \(\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \pi t \mu \nu \nu\) was exacted for the privilege. The scale of this \(\pi \rho o \sigma \sigma \tau \nLeftarrow \sigma \nu\) is indicated by B. G. U.

 where a \(\pi\) góoteror was imposed on land, c.g. I'. Amh. 31 (в.c. 112), where a woman who
had planted palm-trees on a piece of desert is mulcted in the sum of \(\mathbf{1} 200\) copper drachmae. It may now be suggested that this money was held to be due not so much on account of the enclosure of the land as on account of the nature of the crop; cf. B. G. U. \(5^{6} 3\). ii. \(6-8\)

 d \(\nu \eta \gamma(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu\).\() . . . If the planting of palms no less than of vines was as such subject to special\) restrictions, the theories which have becn put forward with regard to P. Amh. \(3^{1}\) (cf. Archiv ii. p. 119-21) would need considerable modification.
10. \(\Sigma \in \psi\) apiov: cf. 503. 4. The village \(\Sigma_{\text {evequi }}\) has not previously occurred; it is not likely to be the same as \(\Sigma \in \nu \in \pi \tau a\).
16. \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota\). [: or \(\gamma \epsilon \iota[0 \mu \epsilon \nu\), .? The first letter is more like \(\gamma\) than \(\pi\), but the third is more like \(\rho\) than \(\iota\).





 passages indicates that of \({ }_{\epsilon} \dot{\beta} \phi \phi \epsilon \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu o t\) are the persons involved or concerned in the matter in hand. 'The neuter in P. Brit. Mus. 974 and 1008 is best translated 'relating to.'
19. \(\mu \dot{\eta}\) таратє \(\theta\) civ \(\theta a t:\) i. e. lad not made a proper declaration, or registration of the change ; cf. e.g. 713. 1, note, Archiv i. p. 196, Eger, Aeg. Grundbuchwesen, p. 135, Lewald, Grundbuchrecht, p. 38. évypí申ws probably qualifies mapavyekéitas rather than тарaтє \(\theta\) eiv \(\theta a\).

19-23. \(\delta \dot{\alpha}\) тú \(\kappa \tau \lambda\). is added to explain the ground of the fi̊os. The rapitegts was ordered by the praefect and the cions noted that the order had not been complied with.


26. The serving of official noticcs on the persons concerned was one of the functions of the \(\mathbf{v \pi \eta \rho \text { étau ; cf. e.g. 485. 49, 712. 16-17. }}\)

28 sqq. The oblique construction is illogically continued.
30. [ \(\Theta \dot{\omega} \theta]\) is probable on account of the short space.
31. \([\pi]\) !parүрaф \(\bar{y}\) : \(\pi \epsilon \rho 九 \gamma \rho a \phi \bar{\eta}\) would be expected but cannot ibe read; the letter before \(\gamma\) is almost certainly \(a\). Perhaps there was a clerical error, though cf. 488. \(29-30 \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha u \kappa \bar{\omega} s\)

33. The meaning appears to be that Dionysius could not prove his assertion about the \(\mu\) etadogts by producing the receipt of Diogenes. Cf. e. g. 485. \(4^{1-2}\), where an acknowledgement of receipt is endorsed upon a document of which the \(\mu \epsilon \tau\) táoosts had been duly authorized. There is not room for \(\hat{\epsilon}[\pi i] \tau \eta \bar{\eta}\).
 might be read, but this does not combine with \(\kappa a \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \omega, \kappa\) of \(\kappa a \theta\) is doubtful, but a \(\pi\) seems impossible.


 lines, with тpia \(\left[\right.\) rather than \(\tau p u u^{[k o v \tau a}\). The figure of the year in 1.45 is not clear, but a seems to be right.
56. Maption : in II. 2 and 6 the name was given as Maperis.
59. \(\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \dot{\jmath} \xi \epsilon \epsilon\) means the official department concerned; cf. e.g. 1042. 15, and P. Fay. 35. 9-10 \(\beta_{1} \beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \eta \bar{s} \tau \dot{a} \xi \epsilon \omega\).

\section*{1033. Petition to Riparif.}
\[
28.3 \times 18.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 392 .
\]

A petition to two riparii of the Oxyrhynchite nome from two \(r v \kappa \tau \sigma \sigma \tau \rho a \dot{\tau} \eta \gamma o t\), who complain of the dangerous character of their duties and request either to be allowed proper assistance or to be relieved of their responsibilities. On the office of riparius, who was a police magistrate not met with before the fourth century, sec note on 904. 3. The ıvктобтрátๆүo were an earlier institution (cf. 933. 24 and note ad loc., Archiv i. p. 479); this is the first definite mention of them at Oxyrhynchus, and it is evident that they occupied a comparatively subordinate position.

On the verso is an account (1048).
 \(\Phi \lambda \alpha(\) ovíov \()\) 'Povфivov

Tô̂ \(\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \circ \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v \quad \Phi \alpha \hat{\omega} \phi \iota \kappa \alpha\).

















\footnotetext{


}
' In the 2nd consulship of our sovereign Arcadius, eternal Augustus, and of Flavius Rufinus the most illustrious, Phaophi 21. To Septimius Paulus and Claudius Tatianus, riparii of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Gaius and Aurelius Theon, both nightstrategi of the said city. Being entrusted with the care of the peace we are irreproachable in our obedience to pullic orders, and also intent upon the guardianship of the city. We are often called upon for the production of various persons in accordance with the command of our lords the superior officials, but having no assistance either of public guards or inspectors we often run the risk almost of our lives because these assistants have been taken from us and we go about the city on the watch all alone. Therefore to safeguard ourselves we present this petition requesting either that we should be given the proper assistance of the public grrards and the inspectors as aforesaid or that we should have no concern for the guardianship of the city or the production of persons who are wanted, in order that we may not incur risk.'
5. ivade \(\delta \delta o m \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v a t\) is a curious form ; there is no doubt about the reading.
8. Cf. 897, a declaration addressed to two riparii denying knowledge of the where-

10. '́фoঠevtai do not seem to be mentioned elsewhere in the papyri.


\section*{(d) CONTRACTS.}
1034. Draft of a Whil.
\[
10.8 \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}
\]

Second century.
Commencement of a will in draft, giving the proposed provisions, but not specifying names, which are either replaced by the word \(\tau\) ts or simply omitted. There are three heirs, a daughter, her foster-brother ( \(\sigma\) v́vipooos), and a third person, and the property devised, so far as the papyrus goes, consisted of houses.

On the verso is part of an account, of which the first few lines are well





 five lines of another paragraph follow, beginning in the same way: \(\lambda \hat{\eta}(\mu \mu a)\) \(\pi(a \rho \grave{\alpha}) Z_{\omega i} \lambda(o v)\).
```

    K\lambda\eta\rhoovó\muous ка\tauа\lambda\epsiloní\pi\omega \tau\grave{\etav 0vyа\tau\epsiloń\rho[\alpha}
    \muov \tauו\nu\alphà каi \tauòv {\tauo\nu} \sigmaúv\tau\rhoофо\nu av̉\tau行
    ```


```

5 \gammav\nu\alpha\iotaкì av̉тôv к\epsilon!\mu\epsilońv\eta\nu av̇\tauoîs \gamma\alpha\mu!k(\grave{\etaे\nu)}

```

```

    [\tau]\età\nu \delta¢́ Әv\gamma\alpha\tau\epsiloń\rho\alpha \muоv каi \tauòv \sigmaúv\tau\rhoофо(\nu)
    ```

```

    [\deltaúo
    I० [....... \tau\eta\s] \mu

```

- I leave as my heirs my daughter \(x\) and her foster-brother \(y\) and \(z, z\) of the house and court in the quarter which I previously mortgaged in security for the (dowry) brought to him upon his wife (in accordance with) the contract of marriage drawn up between them, and my daughter and her foster-brother jointly in equal shares of the two houses owned by me . . . one in the . . quarter and the other in the
quarter, . . .'
2. For this use of tis cf. e. g. \(\mathbf{5 0 9}\), P. Brit. Mus. 1457 verso iii.
 \(\uparrow[\ldots\). . фepvip, and the note ad loc., B. G. U. 970,15 sqq. The construction of \(11.5-6\) is



1035. Lease of a Weayer's Implement.
\[
8.3 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 143
\]
 instrument of some kind used in wool-combing or cloth-weaving, perhaps a pair of shears. The lessor was the son of a veteran, C. Veturius Gemellus, who is no doubt to be identified with the tiro of 1022. 11.

On the recto of this papyrus is 1023 , which relates to another veteran soldier.
```

    'E\muí\sigma0\omega\sigma\epsilon\nu \Gammaálos Ov̇\epsilontov́plos
    \Gamma\epsiloń\mu\epsilon\lambda\lambdaos viòs Paiov Oйєтоv-
    píov T\epsilon\mu\epsiloń\lambda\lambda\ov ov̉є\tau\rho\alpha\nuov
    'E\pi\alpha\gamma\alphá0\omega \alphá\pi\tau\lambda\epsilonv0'є́\rho\omega \Pi\tauо\lambda\epsilon-
    5 \mu\alphaíov Пто\lambda[\epsilon'\mu\alphaíou à\pi' 'O\xi{v\rhoú\gamma-
\chi}\mp@subsup{}{}{\nu\nu}

```




```

    Kaí\sigma\alpha\rhoos тô кupíov тò vim\alpháp.
    ```

```

    \kappaò\nu \sigma\iotaঠŋ\eta\rhoой\nu \tau\epsiloń\lambda\epsilon\iotaọv к\alphal-
    \nuò\nu i'\sigma\alphaк\muо\nu фó\rhoоу кат\grave{\alpha}
    ```


'Gaius Veturius Gemellus son of Gaius Veturius Gemelius, veteran, has let to Epagathus, freedman of Ptolemaeus son of Ptolemaeus, of the city of Oxyrhynchus, a Persian of the Epigone, for a period of five years from the first day of the next month Phamenoth of the present sixth year of Antoninus Caesar the lord, the combing-instrument belonging to him, made of iron, in perfect condition, new and with an even edge, at a monthly rent from the said month Phamenoth . . .

1036. Lease of a House.
\[
33.2 \times 8.7 \mathrm{~cm} .
\]
A. D. 273 .

A lease of a house for two years at a yearly rent of 400 drachmae ; cf. 502, 911, 012. For the date see P. Strassb. i. pp. 32-3+.
'E \(\mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu\) Títoı Má入ıoı \(\Sigma \in \rho \bar{\eta}-\)

\({ }_{25} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu 0 v \tau o ̀ \not \eta \mu เ \sigma v \kappa \alpha i \quad \chi\) ра́ \(\sigma \theta \omega\) \(\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho о к є \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta\) оікía

Títou Ma入iov＇Hpak入â Sià

 Mo．［．］．os \(\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \Sigma ' \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha \nu o \hat{v}-\)
 \(\pi \rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta s\)＇\(O \xi v \rho v \gamma \chi \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\)

10 ánò［ \(\tau 0] \hat{v} \Theta \grave{\omega} \theta\) то仑 є́ \(\nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau o s\)

 \(\delta[o] v\) П \(\alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s ~ \Pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \epsilon i ́ \sigma o v ~\) oikíav каı ail \(\theta\) pıov каì aủ－
\({ }_{15} \lambda_{\grave{\jmath} \nu} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau[\eta s]\) X \(\rho \eta\)－ \(\sigma \tau \eta ́ \rho เ \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha, \pi\left[\lambda \eta{ }^{\eta}\right] \nu\) тóттоט

\(\kappa \alpha i \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta[\lambda \omega \omega]\) rou \([\tau] \eta{ }_{\eta} s\) oikías oiкотє́ঠ［ \(\omega \nu\) ，］＇̇ขoıкíou
\(20 \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}\) єัтоS \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \nu \rho\) íou \([\delta \rho] \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega \nu}\) \(\tau \in \tau \rho \alpha к о \sigma i ́ \omega \nu, \beta \in \beta \alpha \iota о \cup \mu \epsilon ́-\) \(\nu \eta s \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \tau \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega s\) \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta o ́ \tau \omega \dot{o} \mu \epsilon \mu \sigma \theta \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s\)

 \(\tau \omega \varsigma, \mu \in \theta^{\prime} \partial \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta[\) ó \(\tau \omega\) каӨ a مàv \(\dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ к о \pi \rho i ́ \omega y^{\prime}\)


 \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \tau o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon[\mu] / \sigma \theta \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v\)

\(35 \pi \epsilon \rho i \hat{\eta} S \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda o u s\) é \(\pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \dot{\eta}-\) \(\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \in S \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\lambda} \lambda \iota \iota \dot{\omega} \mu_{0} \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta-\)

 \(A \dot{u} \rho \eta \lambda \iota \alpha \nu 0 \hat{\imath} \Gamma[0] \cup[\nu \theta]!\kappa \kappa \hat{v}\)

 2nd hand \(A \dot{v} \rho \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s{ }^{~} H_{\rho \alpha ́ к} \lambda \eta[o s] \mu \in \mu i-\)



 v่ \(\pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho\) aủrov̂ \(\mu \grave{\eta}\) єídóтos \(\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) ．
\[
\text { ı } 7 \text {. . . . } \nu \bar{o} \text { Pap. }
\]
＇Titus Manlius Serenus and Titus Manlius Alexander，both sons of Titus Manlius Heraclas，through one of them，namely Serenus，lave let to Aurelius Heracleus son of Sarapas son of Mo ．．．，his mother being Stephanous，of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus，for a term of two years from Thoth of the present \(4^{\text {th }}\) year，from his property in the said city in the quarter of Pammenes＇Garden a house and yard and court and all the appurtenances with the exception of one room beneath ．．and the plots on the east of the house，at an annual rent of 400 drachmae．When the lease is guaranteed the lessee shall pay the annual rent in half－yearly instalments of half the sum，and shall use the aforesaid house without hindrance for the term，after which he shall restore it free of filth and dirt of all kinds together with such doors and keys as he has received，right of execution lying against the lessee，as is just．The lease is valid，and we have put the question to each other and consented to each other．The 4th year of the Emperor Caesar Lucius Domitius Aurelianus Gothicus Maximus Pius Felix Invictus Augustus，Phaophi \(\mathrm{r}_{3}\) ． （Signed）I，Aurelius Heracleus，have leased the house and will pay the rent as aforesaid．
and in answer to the question have given my consent. I, Aurelius Serenus, wrote for him, as he was ignorant of letters.'
17. The vestiges hardly suit \([x] i \mu \mu \nu \nu\).
 is here omitted.
1037. Lease of an cxhedra.
\[
21.6 \times 16.6 \mathrm{~cm} .
\]

Lease of an exhedra or hall of a house for an indefinite period at the rent of \(24,000,000\) denarii (cf. 1026. 10, note), the lessor being empowered to resume his property when he chose to do so.
\(\chi[\mu]\).
\(M_{] \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi \alpha \tau i ́ \alpha \nu \quad \Phi \lambda \alpha o v i ́ \omega \nu \quad M \alpha \xi ́ i \mu o[v]\) тò \([\beta]\)
каi Патєрíov тิ̂v \(\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho(о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega v) ~ M \epsilon \sigma о \rho \eta ̀ ~ \imath \eta . ~\)

\(5[\alpha \hat{\alpha}] \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\eta} s \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} s\) каi \(\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \circ \tau[\alpha] \tau \eta s{ }^{\prime} O \xi v \rho v \gamma \chi เ \tau \bar{\omega}{ }^{\prime}\)

 \([\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha l] \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi o ̣ ̀ ~ v \in o \mu \eta \nu i ́ a s ~ \tau o \hat{v}\) ' \(\xi \xi \bar{\eta} s\) \(\mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \Theta \grave{\omega} \theta\)








 [кирía \(\dot{\eta} \mu i \sigma] \theta \omega \sigma \iota \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \quad \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha \kappa \alpha i \quad[\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \theta i s\) [ \(\dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{0}\) 人о́ \(\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha\).

On the verso
' The year after the consulship of Flavius Maximus for the 2nd time and Flavius Paterius, the most illustrious, Mesore 18. To Aurelius Philoxenus son of Doras, seller of phorbium, of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, from Aurelius Hierax son of Anastatianus, of the said city. I undertake of my own free will to lease from the first day of the next month Thoth of the present reign and the \(13^{\text {th }}\) indiction, the hall belonging to you in the house called . . . which is in the said city of Oxyrhynchus in the quarter of the Cobblers' Market, complete with all its appurtenances, and I will pay you for rent 2,400 myriads of silver yearly, and I will perforce pay the rent with no delay, and whenever you wish I will hand over to you the hall in a clean state. This lease, of which a single copy is made, is valid, and in answer to the question I bave given my consent.'
1. \(\chi_{1} \mu_{\gamma}\) : cf. 940. 1, note.
4. \(\pi\) op \(\beta \iota \sigma \boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta\), if that is the right reading, is for \(\phi\) opßtom \(\dot{\lambda} \eta\), a seller of phorbium or perhaps, more generally, a seller of fodder. фópßov is mentioned in Galen, vol. xii, p. \({ }_{5} 5^{2}\)

 P. Goodsp. 30. xxxi. 22 (Crönert, Stucd. z. Palüogr. iv. 99).
9. The reign was that of Theodosius II and Valentinian III.
12. ó óклд про \(\nu\) : cf. 1038. 23. oikios ó̀дo \(\{v\} \kappa \lambda\) q́pov should evidently be read in P. Strassb. 4. 1 I .

1038. Lease of Part of a louse.
\[
30.5 \times 10.3 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 568
\]

A lease of a ground-floor room (то́тоя) in a house, at the annual rent of 10 keratia, the lease to be determinable, as is common at this period, at the pleasure of the owner. Other good examples of late leases of house-property
 1023 , P. Flor. 13 and 73, P. Strassb. 4.


\(\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \in \sigma \pi\) о́тои \(\Phi \lambda \alpha o v i ́ o v\)
'Iovativou tov̂ aíwvíou Aúyov́gtov
5 каi Au’tокра́тороs є́tous \(\gamma\)
Мєбори \(\beta\) ì \(\delta(\iota к \tau i ́ \omega \nu о \varsigma) ~ \alpha . ~\)



\section*{1038．CONTRACTS}

\(10 \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \hat{\alpha}\)＇O \({ }^{\prime} v \rho v \gamma \chi^{\prime \tau} \bar{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota\)


\(\theta \alpha \nu \mu(\alpha \sigma i ́ o v)\) є̀voוко入óyov Aúpи́入ıos

\({ }_{15} \mu \eta \tau \rho(o ̀ s)\) Nóvvas \(\alpha \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau \eta ̄ s\)

\(\mu \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}\) vєо \(\mu \eta \nu i ́ a s\)
Toû \(\Theta \grave{\omega} \theta \mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ᅱ S ~ \sigma u ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}\)

\(20 \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\)＇́v \(\nu 0 \xi(o ́ \tau \eta \tau l)\) à \(\pi\) ò oikías \(\nu \in v o v ́ \sigma \eta s\)




25 aủtòv \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi i \grave{~ \nu o ́ t o \nu ~ \sigma u ̀ v ~ X \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ o t s ~}\)
\(\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu\) каì סıкаiots \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi\) ö ö \(\eta\) s \(\tau \hat{\eta} s\) oikílas，
\(\kappa \alpha i ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega\) и̇т̀̀ \(\rho\)＇́voıkíov）тои́тоv





\(\kappa \nu \rho(i \alpha) \dot{\eta} \mu i \sigma \theta(\omega \sigma \iota s) \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda(\hat{\eta}) \quad \gamma \rho \alpha \phi(\epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha)\) каі̀ \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho(\omega \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i s) \dot{\omega} \mu \circ \lambda(o ́ \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha)\) ．



\([+\) di сти Ioannu ．．．．．．．+
On the verso
 є̀voルк（iov）\(k \in p(\alpha \tau i \omega \nu) \quad t\)
\(\nu о \mu \iota \tau(\epsilon v o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu\).
4．\(\overline{\text { onvatuou Pap．18．} \theta \subset \bar{\omega} \text { Pap．19．iv } \delta \text { Pap．}}\)
'The \(3^{\text {rd }}\) year of the reign and consulship of our most godly and pious sovereign Flavius Justinus, eternal Augustus and Imperator, Mesore 2, Ist indiction. To Flavia Euphemia, the honourable daughter of Musaeus of honoured memory, landholder at this illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, through you, Flavius Anastasius, her noble agent, and you, Jeremias, her admirable collector, Aurelius Stephanus, baker, son of Heraclammon and Noma, of the said city, greeting. I undertake of my own free will to lease from the first day of the month Thoth of the coming D.V. second indiction, from your honour's property out of a house facing south situated in this city in the quarter of St. Euphemia, a complete room on the ground floor also facing south with all appurtenances and the rights attaching to all the house, and I will pay annually as rent for this ten carats of current coinage, total rocarats current, which rent I will pay every year in half-yearly instalments of half the sum, and whenever you wish I will surrender my possession of the room just as I received it. This lease, of which one copy has been made, is valid, and in answer to the question I have given my consent.' Signature of Stephanus written for him by the scribe John, signature of John, and titte on the verso.
\({ }^{1-6 .}\) Cf. \(199=\) P. Brit. Mus. \(77^{8}\), which is dated on Mesore 4 of the same year. In 1. 3 of that papyrus the and indiction is specified, and since in 1038 the 1 st indiction was
 suggests, a change of indiction-year was just taking place when 199 was written, and probably 1. 3 there should be read ivo(ckTi \(\omega\) vos) a \(\dot{a} \rho \chi\left(\hat{\eta}_{s}\right) \beta\). The month of Mesore is unusually late in the year for the commencement of an indiction, though cf. P. Grenf, roo. 4.



 and \(\beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon_{\pi} \boldsymbol{u}^{2}\) єis are similarly used, e. g. P. Brit. Mus. 113. 6 (a) 14, (b) 20.

32. The reading at the begiming of this line is rather uncertain. The formation of the first letter suggests \(\gamma\) rather than \(\tau\), but \(\gamma \bar{\eta} \nu\) is inappropriate here. \(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu\) must be followed by a substantive meaning 'possession' or something of the sort, and it ends either in \(-\nu о \mu \eta \nu\) or, conceivably, in \(\nu о \mu\) мav. There seems, however, to be no suitable compound, and hence the choice lies between \(\tau \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \quad \nu \nu\rangle \mu \mu \nu \quad\) with a lipography of \(\nu\) or simply \(\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \nu \quad \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \nu\) with a dittography of ou . For vouń cf. e.g. P. Tebt. 286. 7 vou \(\bar{\eta}\) üôckos \(=\) iniusha possessio, and the fifih-century contract of sale published by de Ricci in Wessely's Slud. z. Paläogr. i. p. 7 .

37. Ioanmu was most likely followed by eteliothe, but this is not easily reconciled with the strokes that remain.

\section*{1039. Contract of Deposit.}
\[
33.2 \times 10.6 \mathrm{~cm}
\]
A.D. 210.

Acknowledgement of a dcposit ( \(\pi a p a \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \eta\) ) of 600 drachmae repayable on demand ; cf. c.g. P. Tebt. 387 , P. Brit. Mus. 943 , B. G. U. 729. The name of the emperor Geta has been deleted in the date formula, as in 54, 56 and elsewhere.
```

    'A\pio]\\lambda(\omegávos \Sigma'\alpha\rho\alpha\pií\omegavos [\tauо\hat{v} \Sigma'\alpha\rho\alpha-
    \pií\omega\nuos \mu\eta\tau\rhoòs \Sigma'\iota\nu0\epsilon\hat{v}\[0S \dot{\alpha}\mp@subsup{\pi}{}{\prime}
    рv́\gamma\chi\omega\nu \piо́\lambda\epsilon\omegas \Theta'\epsilon\omega\nut K.[......
    \tauо\hat{v} к\alphai . \a\iota\rho\etá\muovos \mu\eta\tau\rhoòs 'A\pi!{\\alphas \alphá\piò
    5 \tau\etâS av̉\tau\etâS \pió\lambda\epsilon\omegaS X Xí\rho\epsilontv. [ò\muо\lambdaо-
    \gamma\hat{\omega}\mathrm{ '́ }\sigma\\eta\kappa\epsiloń\nu\alphal \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha \sigmaov̂ \deltai\alphà X[\epsilon\iota\rhoòs}
    \epsiloǹ\nu \pi\alpha\rho\alpha0'́\sigma\epsilon\ell \alphá\rho\gammav\rhoíou \' }\in\beta\alpha\sigma\boldsymbol{\tau
    \nuо\mui\sigma\mu\alphaтоs \delta\rho\alpha\\mu\mùs \epsilon'\xi\alphaк[о\sigmaí\alphas,
    ```

```

10 \tau\alpha\sigma\tau\etá\sigma\omega \sigmaot a้v\epsilonv \pi\alphá\sigma\eta\ v̇\pi\epsilon[\rho0\epsiloń-
\sigma\epsilon\omegas [\kappa\alphai] \epsilon\dot{\nu}\eta\sigma\sigmao\lambdao\gamma[í\alpha]s, \epsiloni \delta[\epsilon }\mu\dot{\eta}
\epsilonккт\epsilon'i\sigma[\omega \sigmaol к\alphaт\alphà Tò\nu \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \pi\alpha\rho[\alpha-
0\eta\kappaबे[\nu \nuó\muo\nu,] \gamma\epsilont\nuo\mu\epsilońv\etas [\sigmaol

```

```

I5 T\hat{\omega}\nu vं\pi\alpha\rho\chióv\tau\omega\nu \muot \pi\alphá\nu\tau\omega[\nu.
кv́\rho\iota\alpha \tau\eta \tau\etâS \pi\alpha\rho\alpha0\etáк\etaS \gamma\rho\alphá}\mu
\mu\alpha\tau\alpha \delta\iota\sigma\sigma\grave{\alpha} \gamma\rho\alpha\phi'́\nu\tau\alpha vi\pi' \epsilon}\mu[\mp@code{v̂
\tauо\hat{v}'A\piо\lambda\lambda\omega\nuíou \pi\alpha\nu\tau\alpha\chi\hat{\eta}\dot{\epsilon}\piเ\phi[\epsilon.
\rhoó\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha к\alpha\grave{ \pi\alpha\nu\taui \tau\hat{Q}}\hat{v}\pi\epsiloǹ\rho \sigmao'v

```

```

    Ka\iota\sigma\alphá\rho\omegav Aoukiov \Sigma\epsilon\piт\iota\muíov \Sigma'\epsilonov\etá{pov
    Пє\rhoті́vакоя 'A\rho\alpha\beta[l]кои 'A\delta\iota\alpha\beta\etav`\imath\iotaкои
    \Pi\alpha\rho0\iotaко\hat{v} B\rho\epsilon\tau\alpha\nu\nu\iotaко\hat{v}}\mathrm{ Mєуíтто[v
    к\alpha\grave{ М\alpháркоv Avjр\eta\lambdaíov 'A\nuтш\nuivov}
    25 [K\alphaai Mov\beta\lambdaíov \Sigmȧ\epsilon\piт\iota\muíov Г'́\tau\alpha]]
B\rho\epsilon\tau\alpha\nu\nuเк\hat{\omega}\nu M\epsilon\gammai\sigma\tau\omega\nu Ev`\sigma\epsilon\beta\hat{\omega}\nu
\Sigma}\epsilon\beta\alpha\sigma\tau\overline{\omega}\nu \Phi\alpha\hat{\omega
I I. l. єv́p\eta\sigmaı\lambdaoyias. 16. l. \tauá for \tau\eta.

```
'Apollonius son of Sarapion son of Sarapion, his mother being Sintheus, of the city of Oxyrhynchus, to Theon son of C . . also called Chaeremon, his mother being Apia, of the said city, greeting. I acknowledge the receipt from you from hand to hand on deposit of 600 silver drachmae of the imperial coinage, which I will restore to you whenever you choose without any delay or excuse, otherwise I will forfeit them to you in accordance with the law of deposits, and you shall have the right of execution upon me and upon all my property. This deed of deposit, written by me, Apollonius, in duplicate is valid whenever produced and whosoever produces it on your behalf.' Date.
4. 'Amilas: cf. 76. 2, 249. 3, 1046. 8.
7. ̇̇v пapatíctl: so P. Brit. Mus. 943. 5, P. Flor. 31. 4, P. Strassb. 54. 4. \(\pi a \rho a \theta_{i, k \eta}\) is the commoner term in this sense.
1040. LOAN OF WHEAT.
\[
3 \mathrm{I} \cdot 3 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 225
\]

An acknowledgement of a loan of four artabae of wheat, to be repaid with an addition (órá申opov) of one-half. This addition is to be regarded simply as interest for the accommodation, as in P. Flor. 54, where government loans of seed are to be repaid \(\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\jmath} s\) ípıo入ias : cf. 1042. 28 , where óádopov practically means interest. In P. Tebt. 110 and P. Amb. 147 the \(\dot{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{o \lambda i a}\) should probably be similarly explained rather than as fines incurred in connexion with previous transactions. The deed is written out in duplicate ( \(\delta \iota \sigma \sigma a ̀ \quad \gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \tau a 1.31\) ) on a single sheet, in two columns, of which the second, being the better preserved, is printed; cf. 988.

Col. ii.






 \(\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta ิ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \sigma o \hat{v} \pi v \rho \circ \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta\) \(\mu \alpha \tau o s ~ \tau о \hat{v} \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta\) óvtos \(\delta\) ('धिтоvs) \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \alpha s\)


 \(\sigma \omega \sigma 0 l \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \epsilon \nu \gamma v{ }^{\eta} \eta\) т \(\widehat{̣}\) П \(\Pi \hat{v} \nu \iota\) \(\mu \eta \nu i ̀ ~ \epsilon ' ф ’ ~ \alpha ̈ \lambda \omega ~ к \omega ́ \mu \eta s ~ T \epsilon \rho u ́ \theta \epsilon \omega s ~\)



\([\tau \rho \omega] \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \iota \kappa \widehat{\varphi}\) © \(\sigma o v \hat{\oplus}\) © \(\kappa \alpha i \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-\)
\([\mu \epsilon] \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \theta \alpha\) ，\(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \sigma o \hat{v}\)

\([\grave{\alpha} \nu] \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \quad \mu \grave{\eta} \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi o \delta \hat{\omega}\) к \(\alpha \theta \grave{\alpha}\) ध́ \(\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi \alpha \mu \epsilon[\theta \alpha\)
［тà］s трокєı \(\mu\)＇́vas то仑 \(\pi v \rho \circ \hat{v}\) б̀̀v \(\delta_{\ell-}\)

\(\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\sigma \omega & \sigma\end{array}\right] o \iota \quad \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}\) ì \(\mu\) o入ías каì \(\delta \iota \alpha ́ \phi o \rho o \nu\)




［v̀ \(\quad\) ］\(\alpha \rho \chi\) Хо \(\nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau о i ́ \omega \nu\)
30 ［ \(\pi \alpha ́] \nu \tau \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \rho\) єُк סíкךs．ки́рıа

［ \(\delta] \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i ́ \varphi\) какакєí \(\epsilon \nu \alpha\) ，\(\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \delta є ̀\)
［ \(\tau] 0 \hat{v} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha\) ỏ \(\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s\langle k \alpha i\rangle \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \bar{s} \gamma \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\)

\(35 \sigma[\alpha] \mu \epsilon \nu . \quad(\epsilon ้ \tau o v s) \in A v ่ \tau о к \rho \alpha ́ \tau о \rho о s ~ K \alpha i ́ \sigma \alpha \rho о s ~\)
Ма́ \(\rho к о и ~ A v ं р \eta \lambda i ́ o v ~ \Sigma ' є о и \eta ́ \rho о и ~ ' A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha ́ \nu \delta \rho о и ~\)
Ev่ \(\epsilon \in\) ßoûs Eútuxoûs \(\Sigma_{\epsilon} \in \beta \alpha \sigma \tau o \hat{v}\)
＇Aөv̀ \(\eta\) ．（2nd hand．）Aúp \(\lambda \iota o \iota ~ \Pi \epsilon \kappa \hat{v} \sigma \iota ร\)
\(\overline{\Pi \alpha v} \sigma \epsilon i ́ p l o s ~ к а i ~ o ́ ~ v i o ̀ s ~ \Pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon-~\)
40 vov̂фıs \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon\langle\mu \epsilon\rangle \tau \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha\)
тарà то仑 A \(\rho \rho \eta \lambda i o v ~ \Theta \epsilon ́ \epsilon \nu r[o s ~\)



45 тàs Є́mì тò av́тò тô \(\pi v \rho[0 \hat{v}\)



\(\dot{\omega \mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu}\) ஸ̀s тро́кו－
50 таt．Av́ри́入los Пєтлю́vios
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\]

On the verso of Col. i
3rd hand \(\chi^{\iota}(\rho o ́ \gamma \rho \alpha \phi o \nu)\) Пєки́бוos ( \(\left.\alpha \rho \tau \alpha \beta \hat{\omega} \nu\right) \delta\).

 - of avto corr. \(\pi\) of \(\pi v \rho[\) ov rewritten. \(52 . \nu\) of єьठєvau corr.

Collated with this text Col. i shows the following variants: 1. 6 à \(\mu\) фórepot, 1. го \(\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \iota \lambda i a\),



'Aurelius Pekusis, son of Pausiris and Soëris, and his son Aurelius Petenouphis whose mother is Sintheus, both of the city of Oxyrhynchus, to Aurelius Theon son of Didymus, of the said city, greeting. We acknowledge both equaily that we have received and had measured out to us from you of the crop of the past 4th year four artabae of wheat at the interest of one-half, making a total, with the interest, of sir artabae of wheat, which we will repay to you on our mutual security in the month of Pauni at the threshing-floor of the village of Teruthis, in wheat that is new, pure, unadulterated, without earth or barley, and sifted, as measured into the public granary, by your own receiving measure by which the measurement has been made to us, the measuring to be done by your agents. If I do not repay according to our written agreement the aforesaid six artabae of wheat including the addition, I will forfeit them to you with an increase of one-half and interest for the overtime also of one-half, (and you shall have the right of execution) upon us as mutual securities for the payment or upon whichever of us you choose and upon all our property of every kind, as in accordance with a legal decision. This bond, which is written in duplicate, is valid as if publicly registered, and in answer to your question whether this is rightly and fairly done we have given our consent.' Date, signature of Pekusis and Petenouphis written for them by Aurelius Petronius, and title on the back.
7. 'ब \(\sigma \eta \gamma^{\prime} v a r:\) Col. i shows the same spelling.
10. For סıaфóp cf. \(988,1041.9,1042\). 28, P. Leipzig 97. xix. 1, \&cc., 102. i. 1.
21. 'ंүрача́нє, \(\theta_{a}\) : the termination is assured by the duplicate copy.
26. тара́ \(\tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu: ~ s c . \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega ́ s ~ \sigma о t ~ o u ̈ \sigma \eta ร . ~\)
1041. Guarantee for a Loan.
\[
27 \cdot 7 \times 15.7 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 3^{81}
\]

In this deed Aurelius Plutarchus (?) takes upon himself the responsibility for the repayment of a loan which had been made through his intervention to a friend, Philonicus. The transaction was connected in some way with another
agreement in which Plutarchus was concerned, but the reference to this (11. 9-10) is rather obscure.
 \(\lambda] \alpha \mu \pi \rho о \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \ell\) Паиิvı \(\iota \epsilon\).










 таútas oot àmo-



[ivঠıктí \(\omega \nu 0]\) s, каi [ \(\dot{\alpha}] \nu \alpha к о \mu i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \pi \alpha \rho ’ ~ \alpha u ́ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau о и ́ \tau \omega \nu ~\)


 \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda o[\hat{v} \nu \quad \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \grave{\epsilon} \nu] \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho(\omega \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i s) \dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha\).




[кєเтає . . . . . . . . . . . . \(]\) os . [. . . . . .] . [.] . \(\underset{\text { a . . [. .] }}{ }\)
[ 28 letters ].
 \(\mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \stackrel{\beta}{\rho}\) Pap.
'In the consulship of Flavius Eucherius and Syagrius the most illustrious, Pauni 15 . Aurelius Plutarchus, son of Psenamounis and Ted[.]me, of the village of Phoboou in the
\(5^{\text {th }}\) pagus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, to Aurelius . . . us son of Heraclas, of the said city, dyer, greeting. Whereas owing to my persuasion you have drawn up an agreement with Philonicus son of Besammon, of the said city, for the repayment of four thousand two hundred myriads of denarii of silver which have been lent to him by you on account of extra payments in accordance with the contracts of . . made by me, in order that you may have security from me until the repayment of this sum I acknowledge that I owe and myself have the said four thousand two hundred myriads of denarii of silver, total \(42,000,000\) denarii, on the condition that I restore them to you on the day specified in the agreement made by you with the said Philonicus, that is the first day of the month Mesore of the present \(14^{\text {th }}=\) the 6 th \(=\) the 2 nd \(y\) year and the current 9 th indiction, and that I shall recover from him the agreement for this sum made by you with him and shall hand it over to you for annulment; and you shall have the right of execution upon me and all my property. This agreement, of which one copy has been made, is valid, and in answer to the question I have given my consent.' Signature of Aurelius Plutarchus.
3. חגoi]tapxos of course is uncertain, but it was a common name at Oxyrhynchus. At the end of the line \(\Phi \in \delta[i \mu \eta s \text { for } \Phi a u \delta i]_{\mu \eta s}\) cannot be read ; the initial letter is perhaps \(\delta\) or \(\lambda\), and the second may be \(a\).
4. Фoß́ov: the occurrence of this name shows that Фoßóov is the right reading in 973,
 revision in Archiv iv. p. 485, supports the spelling of 1041.
6. \(\lceil\pi \iota \sigma \theta\) tis \(\backslash\) is commended by the sense and the size of the lacuna.
9. \(\delta\) 九aфóp \(\omega v\) : cf. 1040. 10 and introd.
10. \([].[\cdot] \in[\) could be read in place of \(a[\cdot] \in[\), but there is hardly room for \([\pi i[\sigma r]\}[\omega\). In the previous line the relative has been corrected and it is not quite clear what was originally written.
14. \(\tau \bar{\eta}\) : sc., of course, \(\pi \rho \circ \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu i a\), which is expressed in 1. 25 below.
16. The regnal years are those of Gratian, Valentinian II, and Theodosius.
\(26-7\). These two lines very likely specified the writer of the foregoing signature on behalf of Plutarchus.
1042. Loan of Money.
\[
31 \times 8.3 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. } 578 .
\]

An agreement for a loan of one-third of a solidus, to be repayed on demand with some addition as interest, but the rate is not defined.
+ Baбi入єías тov̂ \(\theta \epsilon\) toтátov
\(\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau о \cup ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\)
\(\delta \in \sigma \pi o ́ \tau o v ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau o v ~ \epsilon \dot{\cup} \epsilon \rho \gamma є ́ \tau о v\)
\(\left.\Phi \lambda(\alpha o v i ́ o u) ~ ' I o v a \tau i v o v ~ \tau\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 \hat{v} & \alpha i\end{array}\right] \omega \nu i ̂ i o v\right]\)
5 Aủyov́бтоv каi A[ủ]токра́тороя
```

    ๕゙Tous \imath\gamma, \mu\epsilon\tauà \tau\grave{\eta}\nu \delta\epsilon\cupv'\epsilońp\alpha\nu
    v\pi\alpha\taui\alpha\nu \tau\etâS \alphaủ\tau\hat{v}v \gamma\alpha\lambda\eta\nuó\tau\eta\tau(os)
    \epsilon̈tous \iotaa, к\alphaì \Phi\lambda(\alphaoviov) T\iota\beta\epsilonpíou \tauô
    каi \nu\epsilońov K\omega\nu\sigmaт\alpha\nuтivov то\hat{\}
    10 \epsilonủ\tauv\chi\epsilon\sigma\tau\alphá\tauov \dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu
Kaí\sigma\alpha\rhoos ध̈tous \gamma
\Pi\alpha\chi<br>grave{\omega}\nu l\epsilon i\nu\delta(\iotaктí\omega\nu'os) \iota\alpha.

```

```

    \sigmaov\betaa\deltaıov́\beta\alpha \tau\tilde{\eta}S \eta
    ```

```

    vi\varphị̂}\tau0\hat{v}\tau\hat{\eta}s \lambda\alpha\mu\pi\rho(\hat{\alpha}s) \mu\nu\eta\mp@subsup{\mu}{}{\prime}(\etas
        àmò \tau\etaेS v\epsilońas 'Iov\sigma\tauívov
    \pió\lambda\epsilon\omegas A v
    \muv\lambdaоко́\pios viòs 'Avov̀\pi \mu\eta\tauт\rho(òs)
    20 Kv\rho\alphá\nu\alpha\nu \tau\hat{\nu\nu \alphȧ\piò \tauîS \alphaủ\tau\etaेS}

```

```

    \epsilon'\sigma\chi\etaК\epsilońv\alpha\ell \pi\alpha\rho\alphà \tau\hat{\eta}S \sigma\etaंS \lambda\alpha\mu\pi\rho(ór\eta\tauos)
    \epsiloṅ\nu X\rho\eta
    X\rho\epsiloní\alphas X\rhov\sigmaо仑 \nuо\mu\iota\sigma\muатiov
    ```

```

        i\delta(\iota\omega\tau!К\hat{Q}),
    к\alphaì тоûто оे\muо\lambdaоу\overline{\omega}}\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\sigma\chiєiv
    \alpha\cup̛T\hat{\eta}\mathrm{ отло́т 少 及о⿱亠\ }0(\hat{\eta})
    \mu\epsilon\tau\grave{\alpha} \tauov \delta\iota\alphaфópov \alphȧ\alphav\pi\pi\epsilon\rho0(\epsiloń\tau\omegas).
    \kappaú\rho(\iotao\nu) \tauò \gamma\rho\alpha(\mu\mu\alpha\tau\hat{\imath\nu\nu) \dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda(ovv\nu) \gamma\rho\alpha\phi(\epsilonे\nu) к\alphai}
    30 '̇\pi\epsilonp(\omega\tau\eta\eta\epsilonis) \dot{\omega}\mu<br>lambda(ó\gamma\eta\sigma\alpha). + A\dot{v}p(\dot{\eta}\lambda\iotaos) 'I\omega\alphá\nu\nu\etas viòs
'A\nu

```


```

        * di emm Serhmu ctclioth.
    ```

On the verso



\begin{abstract}
4. iovotuvov Pap.; so in ll. 17, 35. 6. ǐ Pap. 7. ïлatav Pap. 8. ä Pap.
 Pap. ; so in ll. \(30,35 . \quad{ }^{25}\). io Pap.; so in l. 35.
'The \(13^{t_{1}}\) year of the reign of our most godly and pious sovereign and greatest benefactor Flavius Justinus, eternal Augustus and Imperator, the 1 th year after the second consulship of his serenity, and the 3 rd year of Flavius Tiberius also called Novus Constantinus, our most fortunate Caesar, Pachon \(1_{5}\), ifth indiction. To Flavius Phib, the most esteemed assistant in the praefect's office in the province of Arcadia, son of of illustrious memory, from the new city of Justinus, Aurelius John, millstone-maker, son of Anoup and Kuranan, an inhabitant of the said city, greeting. I acknowledge that I have received from your magnificence as a loan for my pressing needs one-third of a gold solidus on the private standard of current coin, total \(\frac{1}{3}\) gold solidus, private standard, and this I agree to produce to you whenever you choose, together with the extra payment, without delay. This deed, of which one copy has been made, is valid, and in answer to the question I have given my consent.' Signature of John written for him by Serenus, the scribe who penned the contract, signature of Serenus, and title on the back.

8-1 1. Tiberius was appointed Caesar in 574 ; cf. Chron. Pasch. p. 376 a \(\mu \eta \nu i \Sigma \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \mu-\)
 aǚòv Kargavitivov.

14-15. oovßaסoovía = subadiuvae. On the province of Arcadia, which corresponded to the earlier Heptanomia, cf. Gelzer, Leipziger hist. Abhandl. xiii. pp. 8-9.
17. A blank space was left for the name, which was never filled in. For véas 'lovarivor \(\pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \epsilon \omega s\) cf. 126. 5 .
\({ }^{2} 5\). A solidus on the private standard was slightly inferior in value to a solidus on the public or the Alexandrian standard ; cf. 154. 13, note.
\end{abstract}

\section*{1043. RECEIPT.}
\[
6.6 \times 33.5 \mathrm{~cm}
\]

A receipt, dated by the cras of Oxyrhynchus, for three sextarii of oil. The writing is across the fibres of the papyrus.
 К \(\alpha \mu o v ̀ \lambda ~ \sigma v \mu \mu \alpha ́ \chi(o l s) ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon ́(\nu o v \sigma t)\)


 \(\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha i(o v) \xi(\epsilon \in \sigma \tau \alpha t) \tau \rho i s \mu^{\prime}\left(o{ }^{\prime} \nu t\right)\).

1. \(\sigma \nu \mu \mu а х \chi / \pi п р а \mu \dot{\mu} /\) Рар.
2. \(\eta \mu \epsilon \rho \rho /\) Pap.

Received through Serenus, collector, by Macarius and Elias and Kamoul, assistants attending on the honourable house, on account of expenses from the 16 th of the month Phamenoth to the 3 oth of the same month, \(\mathbf{I}_{5}\) days, three sextarii of oil, total 3 sextarii of oil, and no more. (Signed) Total three sextarii of oil and no more. The 254 th \(=\) the 223 rd year, Phamenoth 19, 1 th indiction.
1. є̇voıкодó \((\mathrm{ov})\) : cf. 1038. 13, note.
 133. 8, \(16,135.16, \mathbb{\&}\).

\section*{(c) ACCOUNTS AND LISTS.}
1044. TAXING-LIST.
\[
28 \times 57.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A.D. I } 73^{-4} \text { or } 205^{-6}
\]

On the verso of this papyrus are the six columns from the commencement of the Phaedrus printed under 1016. The recto contains three columns, of which the first is well preserved, of an alphabetical register of landholders, with the amounts due upon their holdings. The second column is much effaced, and of the third only the beginnings of lines remain ; but Col. i, which follows below, is a sufficient specimen of the whole; some few points of interest occurring in the later part of the document are incorporated in the notes. The date is about the end of the second century; the reign, of which the past 13 th year is mentioned in 1. 4, is perhaps more likely to be that of Marcus Aurelius than that of Septimius Severus.

The personal names are accompanied by various items of land and wheat, those of the latter being added up at the end of the several entries as the amount payable. In a number of cases the land is assigned to no definite class; sometimes, however, it is described as \(\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa\), and another common category is \(\alpha\) ( \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta s\) ), i. e. land paying a tax of I artaba on the aroura. A similar combination of \(\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \eta\) and \(\alpha\) ( \(\alpha_{\rho} \tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta s\) ) is found in P. Brit. Mus. 604, and from P. Tebt. 576 it is known that a tax of I artaba per aroura was paid by catoeci ; cf. ibid. 346.5 , note. In the present case it is noticeable that the amounts attached
to the land specified as \(a\) ( \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta\) ) are reckoned not at 1 artaba but at \(1 \frac{1}{8}\) per aroura (in I. 5 a fraction of \(\frac{1}{12}\) aroura is ignored, and in 11.24 and \(27,2 \frac{1}{2}\) choenices are treated as 3 , but otherwise the rate of \(1 \frac{1}{8}\) is correctly calculated). In one or two instances (11.9,27) the land is stated to have been purchased.

Besides the dues coupled with definite plots of land, there occurs not infrequently an independent item called оiкот( ), i. е. оiколє́óov or - \(\delta \omega \nu\), the amount being usually 3 choenices; in one case, however (I. II), it is 6 choenices, and a second charge of 3 choenices is made under this head to the same individual (1. 12). An impost \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma o \delta(\omega \nu)\) oiкоп ( \(\epsilon \delta \omega \nu\) ) occurs in a few papyri of the Roman period (Wilcken, Ost. i. p. 390 ; cf. P. Fay, 42 (a). ii. 15, B. G. U. 76 I) and is supposed to have been levied on incomes derived from building-sites, - a kind of tax on ground-rents. But that impost is regularly paid in money, whereas the payments for oikon(éoov) here are in kind; moreover, the property concerned in 1044 is clearly agricultural ; and the constancy of the amount shows that it can have stood in no very exact relation to income. Perhaps we have to do with a single tax, which was assessed in money upon land that was built over and in kind upon agricultural land; but it will be well to wait for further evidence.

An interesting point resulting from the arithmetic of this document is that the artaba in which the calculations are made contained to choenices; cf. e.g. 11. 12 and 21, and notes. An artaba of 40 choenices is found in the Oxyrhynchus metrological fragment ( 9 verso 8 ), and the survival of this measure in the Roman period had been inferred from the occurrence of fractions of fifths and tenths of an artaba (cf. P. Tebt. 61 (b). \(3^{86}\), note), but the present is the clearest example of its official use.

1 [ 20 letters ].[ I8 letters ]

 \(\Pi_{\nu} \in \phi \in \rho \hat{\omega}[\tau] \sigma s\)

 ( \(\dot{\alpha} \rho о и ́ \rho \eta s)<(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta s)<\chi(\) (оıík \(\omega \nu) \gamma\),


 \(\gamma \delta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}, \gamma(i \nu \sigma v \tau \alpha \iota)\) ivó \(\mu \alpha(\tau 0 s) \pi \nu \rho \sigma \hat{v}(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta a \iota) ~ \iota \gamma \quad \chi(o i v \imath \kappa \in s) \gamma\).







 \(\theta\), ai \(\delta i \grave{\alpha} \tau \eta \bar{\eta}\)
 \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda(\phi \hat{\omega} \nu) \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \lambda o t \pi(\hat{\omega} \nu) \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \pi(o t o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu)\) то̂̀ \(\pi o ́ \rho o v\).






 \(\angle \delta^{\prime} . \quad \gamma(\) (ivovial \() \pi \nu \rho o \hat{v} \eta \delta^{\prime} \quad \chi\) (oívikєs) 5.
\(13 \alpha i \delta_{1 \alpha} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \pi(0<o \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu)\) \(\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi o ́ \rho o v\).

 \(\delta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}\), каi є́к \(\tau^{\prime}(\hat{v}) M_{\epsilon \nu \epsilon \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi o v}\)
\(15 \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda(\iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s)(\dot{\alpha} \rho o u ́ \rho \eta s) 5^{\prime}(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \eta s)<\chi(o \iota \nu i \kappa \omega \nu) \eta\).









 \(\alpha(\dot{u} \tau o \hat{v})\left({ }_{\alpha} \rho \circ \nu \rho \alpha\right) \alpha(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \beta \hat{\omega} \nu) \gamma \angle \delta^{\prime} \quad \chi(o w i \kappa \omega \nu) \delta, \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda(\alpha \iota)\)

 ( \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta a t)\) ı \(\chi^{(o i v t \kappa \epsilon s) ~} \eta\).


 \(\left.\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda(\iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s)(\dot{\alpha} \rho \circ \dot{u} \rho \eta s) \lambda^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \rho \tau \tau \alpha \beta \eta s\right) \eta^{\prime}, \gamma(i v o \nu \tau \alpha t)(\pi v \rho o \hat{v})\left(\alpha^{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \beta \eta s\right)\) \(\angle \delta^{\prime} \chi\) (оívtкєs) \(\delta\), каi є́к \(\tau(o \hat{v})^{\prime \prime} A \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu\) оs

 \(\alpha \eta^{\prime}\), каi \(\epsilon \kappa \tau(o \hat{v})\) 'Avסpoveik(ov)





 \(\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \tau(o \hat{v})\) " \(A \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu o s\)

 \(\gamma, \lambda[o!\pi(\alpha i)(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\beta} \alpha t) \gamma<\chi(0 i v i k \in s) \zeta\).
 above a \(\theta\). 16. Final \(\chi\) (oivikes) \(\gamma\) written immediately below \(a \eta^{\prime} . \quad\) 22. \(\delta^{\prime}\) corr. from \(a\).
2. \(\mathfrak{i} k \tau(o \hat{v}) \Sigma \omega \tau \alpha^{\delta} \delta o v[s: ~ s c, ~ k \lambda i j p o v\). A holding mentioned in Col. ii but not in this

5. The stroke over the fraction \(\boldsymbol{\beta}\) covers also the preceding \(\gamma\), but that was doubtless unintentional. The total \(t \gamma\) exceeds the sum of the items by \(\frac{3}{4}\left(8 \frac{3}{8}+\frac{1}{2}+3 \frac{3}{8}=12 \frac{1}{4}\right)\). It is unlikely that these \(\frac{3}{4}\) occurred in the lacuna at the beginning of the line, since neither kali nor \(\delta^{\prime}\) can be read before \(\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime}\); \(\mu \eta \tau\left(\rho o s_{s}\right) . . .\). ]s is more likely.
6. \(\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o(\mu o t)\) is supplied on the analogy of several entries in Cols. ii and iii. At the end of this line an amount of artabae is missing ; cf. I. 7.
7. [8purn]: cf. 1. 8 ä̀入 (o) סpiov and 1. 12. The word is unknown: is it a form of סpupós?

An amount of artabae is again missing before oikon( \((\hat{\delta} \circ \boldsymbol{o v})\); cf. 1. 6. It is doubtful what followed \(\gamma\) (ivovrau) : neither \(\pi v \rho o \hat{~ n o r ~(~} \pi v \rho o \hat{v}\) ) suits the papyrus. The meaning of the a \(\angle\) after \(\chi\) (oivines) \(\delta\) is also obscure. In Col. ii a \(L\) occurs in connexion with i \(\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \omega\) tкк \(\gamma \bar{\eta}: \gamma\) (ivovtat)
 which it appears that ( \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi a \beta \bar{\omega} \nu)\) is to be supplied and a new category of land paying \(\frac{1}{2}\) art. is meant ; cf. the Ptolemaic \(\delta\) sapro(ia (P. Tebt. \(5 .{ }^{5} 5\), note).
8. At the beginning of the line either \(\beta \angle \delta\) or \(a \angle \eta^{\prime}\) must be wrong, and since the later figure is corroborated by the addition, the fault is shown to lie with \(\beta \angle \delta^{\circ}\), which should be \(\delta<\delta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}\). Another mistake occurs in the number \(\imath \alpha<\chi(o i v i \epsilon s) \in\), for this exceeds the later total 7 art. 9 choen., and it is evident that the \(t\) should be omitted and that (ipráßat) aL \(\chi\) (oivuces) \(\epsilon\) is another way of expressing the previous number (ápráßu) a \(\eta^{\prime}\); cf. 1. 23 . The final total is then correct : 5 art. 4 choen. (l. 7) \(+1 \frac{1}{2}\) art. 5 choen. \(+\frac{1}{2}\) art. \(=7\) art. 9 choen. That the artaba contained 40 choenices is confirmed by ll. 12, 21, \&


11. Nєєкобт \(\bar{\alpha}(\tau o v):\) i. е. \(\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \tau(o \hat{u}) \mathrm{N}\).
12. The items in ll. 10-12 add up to \(6 \frac{7}{8}\) art. \(3^{1}\) choen., and the total is given in 1. . 12 as \(7 \frac{1}{2}\) art. 6 choen., i. e. 25 choen. are reckoned as \(\frac{5}{8}\) art., implying an artaba of 40 choen.
14. \(\chi\) (oivikes) \(\varsigma\) should no doubt be \(\chi\) (oivkes) \(\gamma\), which, with the exception of 1.12 , is the regular amount for oikom(féov). With this correction the equation 5 choen. \(=\frac{1}{8}\) art. results, as in Il. 8, 23, and 27 .
 \(\pi(\quad) \nu \epsilon \tau \rho \varphi \in \ldots[\). In both these places the \(\nu\) is clear and \(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \omega(\underset{c}{ }\) certainly cannot be read, nor does \(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \varphi\) seem suited to the context. עeтp \(\rho\) however is an unknown word and the sense is obscure.
21. An artaba of 40 choenices again follows from the addition of the items, which amount to \(17 \frac{1}{4}\) art. 38 choen. The total as given is 18 art. 8 choen.; therefore 30 choen. \(=\frac{3}{4}\) art.
22. 'Apakvy( ): other uncommon names occurring in Col. ii are חapòaגâs and Пevaav\(\lambda \bar{\eta} \mu \mathrm{s}\) (fem.).
23. The total \(\frac{3}{4}\) art. 4 choen. is the sum of the two preceding items, 5 choen. being reckoned, as before, as \(\frac{1}{8}\) art.
25. \(11 \frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2}+1 \frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{2}+1 \frac{1}{8}\) art. and 10 choen. \(=15 \frac{1}{4}\) art. and 10 choen. \(=15 \frac{1}{2}\) art.

 and Eger, Aeg. Girundbuchwesen, p. 188, Lewald, Röm.-Aeg. Grundbuchrecht, p. 79, who both support our view. It may be suggested that in P. Brit. Mus. 604. 3 кат' eis \([0\) s \(\sigma \omega] \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \bar{v}\) should be restored in place of \(\kappa a \tau^{\prime}\) єiठ̀ \([\eta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho] \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu о \hat{v}\).

1045．LIST OF DUES．

Height 33.5 cm ．
About A．D． 205.
The following much mutilated fragments are given as specimens of the document on the recto of 1012．C contains part of a list of property－owners，of whom many bear Roman names and several are women；their property is frequently stated to be in the territory of Alexandria，and the sums mentioned are large．The column printed was preceded by another of which only one or two letters remain．A is occupied with some official correspondence，written in the same large hand as the list，to which it no doubt refers，though whether by
 1． 49 may well refer to the amounts detailed in C．Two other fragments，E and F ，appear to contain matter of a kind somewhat similar to that of A ；the former mentions ］s ó \(\delta \iota o \iota \kappa \eta[\tau \eta\) s．

\section*{C（Fr．9），Col．ii．}
```

        \pi\rhoo\sigma\tau\epsilon0[\epsiloni'\sigma\alphas (\delta\rho\alpha}\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{\mu}\dot{\alpha}s) \delta < %-
        [\mu]o\sigmaiov vi[ \epsilońv \tau\hat{\eta}
    ```

```

        [. . .]s \pi\rhoo\sigma[
    5[\Sigma\alpha\rho]a\pii\omegav ó [k\alphai \dot{\epsilon}v \tau\hat{\eta}

```

```

        \tau\epsilon0\epsiloní\sigma\alphas [(\deltaра\chi\mu\grave{\alpha}s)
    [\Sigma\tau\tau]\alpha\tau\iota\lambda\lambdaía 'A\nu\tauí\pi\alpha\tau][\rho\alpha
        \tau\hat{\omega}\nu M\epsilon\nu\epsilon\lambda\alpháov \tau[
    10 (\tau\alpha\lambda\alpha\nu\tau ) к\alpha (\delta\rho\alpha }\boldsymbol{\mu}\mathrm{ ) '|ка аंтò rov̂ o[
K\lambda\alphav\deltaí\alpha X\alpha\iota\rho\eta\muovis [ \epsilon'v \tau\hat{\eta 'A\lambda\epsilon\xi(\alpha\nu\delta\rho\epsiloń\omega\nu)}⿻土一\mp@code{\})
\chi\omegá\rho\alpha v̇\pi\alphá\rhoX( ) (\tau\alpha\lambda\alpha\nu\tau ) <br>delta [ }\mu\epsilon\tau\grave{\alpha}\tau\grave{\alpha}s \pi\rhoо\sigma
\tau\epsilon0\epsiloní\sigma\alphas (\delta\rhoа\chi}\mu\dot{\alpha}s) '\DeltaX\iota\zeta [
M\alphá\rhoкоs Kó\lambda\alphaıvos 'A\mu\epsilon[
15 'Iov\lambdaíov 'A[\lambda]\epsilon\xi'\alphá\nu\delta\rhoov \epsilon. [
(\tau\alpha\lambda\alpha\nu\tau\tau ) <br>beta\alpha[....].\lceil....]\nu\alpha[
'A\nu\tau\omegáv\iotao[s ḟ\nu \tauìn

```

```

    Ai\lambdaía Ei\rho\eta}\nu\eta !̀ [ка]! \Sigma \Sigmaє\rho\eta[
    20
.[............] (\tau\alpha\lambda\alpha\nu\tau ) l\alpha
Ma[. .]a\delta[. . . . '́]к \pi\rhoo . [

```

```

    Mаркía \Sigma'o`v\lambda\piькia єis \lambda[óyov(?)
    í\pi\alpha\rho\chi( ) \alphaú\tauov (\tau\alpha\lambda\alpha\nu\tau ) \alpha (\delta\rho\alpha\chi}\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{\prime}) '\Gamma\chi
    { } _ { 2 5 } Tıßépıos K\auv́dıos Nıкаı[
\eta к\alphai` 'H\rho\alpháк\lambda\epsilon\iota\alpha \tau\hat{\nu}\mp@code{[}

```

```

    \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \sigmav\nu\alpha\gammao(\mu\epsiloń\nu\omega\nu) (\tau\alpha\lambda\alpha\nu\tau ) \beta(\delta\rho\alpha\chi}\mu)v\iota\zeta 
    \tauò \iota\gamma (\epsilon'тos) (\delta\rho\alpha\chi}\mu) '\Delta
    30 N\epsilon\mu\epsilon\sigma\iota\alpha\nuòs ò к\alphai 'Hp\alpha[

```


```

    Moú\pi\lambda<o[s] Titlor[òs
    ```
                                    A (Frs. 1-2).

Remains of 3 lines.





                            ]. ovk • [. . .]. or.] \(] \mu 0 \lambda[\). .]! \(\pi\) [


        ] \(\nu о \mu о \hat{v} \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau ו \kappa \hat{\omega}[\nu \quad . ..] \nu \eta[\)
            \(\kappa \lambda] \eta \rho o \nu o ́ \mu o \iota s\) тои̂ Eipquícuos \(\sum_{\square}^{\sum} \alpha \rho \alpha[\pi\)

            \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) ó \(\phi \epsilon i] \lambda о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \kappa \in \phi \alpha \lambda \alpha i \omega \nu\) vinò тồ . [
        ] \(\nu \tau \alpha \iota\) єiסóotv \(\epsilon i\) סí vi \(\pi \epsilon \rho \tau[.\). . ] \(\sigma \iota \nu\) [
        \(\gamma \rho \alpha] \phi \in \iota ̄ \sigma \iota\) ध́ \(\sigma o ́[\mu] \in \nu \alpha\) каі \(\tau[\)
 \(] \omega \pi[\)
15. iovitov Pap.
1. \(\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \tau\) тás is probably to be restored before \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \theta\) eiars here and in 11.6 and 12 ; cf. I. 32. For \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \epsilon \theta\) eías cf. e. g. B. G. U. 8. ii. 15 sqq.
3. ['A入] \(\epsilon \xi(a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu) \chi \dot{\omega}((\rho a)): c f\). II. 6 and 18 . Wilcken reminds me that according to the edict of Julius Alexander (Dittenberger, Orientis Gr. Inscr. 669.59-60) the \(\dot{\sigma} \rho \chi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma^{\eta}\) in the 'A \(\lambda \in \xi a \nu \delta \rho \in \epsilon \nu \quad \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a\) was free of land-tax, so that the plots mentioned in this papyrus may have belonged to some other category.
44. This line gave the date of the foregoing letter, (ë́rovs). Aùroxpáropos Kaírapos

 also possible.

50-1. The sense no doubt is that neglect of the instructions will be punished: but \(\delta i^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \rho[\epsilon] \sigma \iota \nu\) cannot be read.
1046. TAXING-ACCOUNT.
\(24.5 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm}\). A. D. 218-219.
Conclusion of an account of payments for various taxes and dues. The verso contains a letter (1084).
\(\tau \varphi \mu \hat{\eta}(s) \chi^{\lambda \omega \rho} \hat{\omega}_{(\nu)}^{\nu} \quad(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) v \mu \epsilon\) (óßo入òs) \(\chi(\alpha \lambda \kappa o \hat{v} s) \alpha\),

\(\pi \rho \circ \sigma o \delta \delta(\omega \nu) \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda(\omega)=\omega \nu)(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \rho \kappa \theta\),
\(i \in \rho \alpha \tau(c \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu) \chi^{\lambda \omega \rho} \bar{\omega}(\nu) \quad(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \xi\),
\(5 \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega S \quad(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \pi \xi(\tau \rho \iota \omega ́ \beta \circ \lambda o \nu) \chi(\alpha \lambda \kappa о i) \gamma\),
/ ( \(\left.\delta \rho \alpha_{\chi} \mu \alpha i\right){ }^{\prime} B \rho \mu \eta\).


\(\dot{\alpha} \pi о \mu о i ́ \rho \eta(s) \quad\) ( \(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \rho t 5\),

\(\dot{\alpha} \pi о \mu о i p \eta(s) \quad(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \lambda_{5}\),
\(/(\delta \rho x \chi \mu \alpha i) \rho \nu \beta\).
 ('̇тоия) \(\beta\) Av̇тократópos Kaívapos

\section*{}

Év \(\sigma \in \beta\) ßôs Eúvuरoûs \(\Sigma \in \beta a \sigma \tau o \hat{v}\)
Tv̂ßı.
\[
\text { 5. 1. } \sigma v v \tau a \xi \epsilon \omega s .
\]
1. \(\pi \mu \bar{\eta}(s) \chi^{\lambda \omega \rho} \bar{\omega}(\nu)\) : cf. P. Brit. Mus. \({ }^{171}\) (a), a receipt for \(3^{6}\) drachmae pard to
 Line 4 below records another payment for \(\chi^{\lambda \omega \rho a ́ ~ o n ~ s o m e ~ t e m p l e-l a n d, ~ i \epsilon \rho a \tau(~}(\kappa \omega \hat{\nu}) \chi^{\lambda \omega \rho \hat{\omega}(\nu) \text {. }}\)
3. Taxes on \(\dot{d} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon\) s are well known under various names, but this particular form
 187), appears to be novel. Wilcken in Ost. i. p. 310 regards the impost \(\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi} \pi} \boldsymbol{\rho} \rho \pi \rho o \sigma o \delta o v v\) фovix \((\omega \nu)\) as an income-tax ; that however is uncertain.
5. For the priestly \(\sigma \dot{v} v \tau a \xi \iota \varsigma\), which is here appropriately placed next to iєpar(ıкิิv) \(\chi^{\lambda \omega \rho \omega}(\nu)\), cf. P. Tebt. 302. 5, B. G. U. 707. 10, Otto, Priester und Tempel, i. pp. 366 sqq.; iepatıк(ai) vvvrázets also occurs in an unpublished Hawara papyrus.
 aroura of \(\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon\), and 5 dr . per aroura of парáסєєбot; a rate of 5 drachmae is also found in B. G.U. 915 . 1.
\({ }^{1} 3 . \tau \hat{\eta} s \delta_{a \sigma \tau o \lambda}(\hat{g} s)\) : cf. e. g. B. G. U. \(55^{2}\) A. iii. 5, 553 A. iii. 10.

\section*{1047. Account of a Praepositus.}
\[
25.8 \times 12.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century } .
\]

A short account of two payments to a praepositus (castrorum), one for stipendium, the other as a donativnm. At the other end of the papyrus, written in the opposite direction, there are 10 lines of a calculation of days according to the Roman calendar, preceded by a heading . . เov, e.g. 11. 7-10 [ \(\lambda\) órov a àò



Aóyos toи кирiov \(\mu\) ои траıтобítov.
\(\sigma \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \nu \delta i o v\) ка入a \(\alpha \delta \bar{\omega} \nu\)
\(\Sigma_{\epsilon} \in \tau \epsilon \mu \beta \rho i \omega \nu(\delta \eta \nu \alpha \rho i \omega v) \mu(\nu \rho \iota \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon s) \gamma, 5\),
\(\delta \omega \nu \alpha \tau i ́ o v o \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ ~ o ̀ к \tau \omega ̀ ~ к \alpha \lambda(\alpha \nu \delta \omega \nu)\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { // } \dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{v} \hat{v} \mu \nu \rho \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon s) \gamma[, \eta] \phi .
\end{aligned}
\]
'Account of my lord the praepositus: for the stipend of September \(\mathbf{1}, 36,000\) denarii, a present on July \({ }_{2} 5,2,500\) denarii, making together 38,500 denarii.'
3. The abbreviation of \(\mu\) (vpaióss) here takes the form of a large uncial \(м\), above which the \(\gamma\) is written.

\section*{1048. Account of Corn-Freights.}
\(28.3 \times 18.9 \mathrm{~cm}\). Late fourth or early fifth century.
The following account is written on the verso of 1033. It is a list ( \(\beta\) рєov́เo \(\boldsymbol{\nu}\) ) of freights of corn carried by boats which are classified as dovowpiat (lutsoriac) and \(\pi \lambda o \hat{\imath} a\), with the names of the owners of the boats and their captains. For the lusoriae see Cod. Theod. vii. 17 de lusoriis Danuzii, where they are styled iudiciariae and agraricnses, and it is also ordained that quaecumque ex ieteribus fucrint reformatae transvectioni specici annonariae secernantur; of. Theod. Novel. 23. The freights here are presumably to be connected with the cmbola. Lines \(8-15\) are in ink of a different colour from that used for the first seven lines and were probably written at a different time, but the hand is perhaps the same. Oblique dashes occur in the left margin against \(11.2-7\), and there are some obscure marginal annotations.-Cf. P. Flor. 75, \&c.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& B \rho(\epsilon о \cup ́ t o \nu) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\]
( \(\dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha \iota) \omega \kappa \gamma\),
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( } \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \iota \iota \text { ) } \beta^{\alpha}{ }^{\kappa} \text {, }
\end{aligned}
\]

( \(\alpha \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \iota), \gamma \chi^{\lambda}\),

]؛( ) \(\lambda o v(\sigma \omega \rho i \alpha) ~ \sum \alpha \tau о \rho \nu i ́ \lambda o v ~ \lambda \alpha \mu(\pi \rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v ?) ~ \dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ N \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma i ́ \omega \nu \alpha ~ \delta_{!}(\grave{a})\)
Пậ̂тos ( \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \alpha \iota), \beta v \xi \epsilon\),

( \(\alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \alpha t) \psi 0 \zeta L\)
\({ }_{k \rho \iota} \theta \hat{\omega} v^{\prime} \quad(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha), \alpha \psi \nu \beta\),
10
\(\left.{ }_{(\alpha)}^{\alpha} \tau \alpha \beta \alpha t\right), \beta \rho r \zeta\),

Maкроßiov \(\pi \lambda(o i ̂ o v) ~ \dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ \Theta ' ́(\omega) \nu \alpha ~ ' A \gamma \alpha ́ \theta o v \quad ~(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \alpha \iota) \psi \eta \angle\), каі̀ \(\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \tau \eta s \quad(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta a \iota) \omega \beta \angle\),


2. \(\pi_{0} \lambda(\) (tтevonévou \()\) : this expansion of the abbreviation \(\pi 0 \lambda()\) is indicated by a fragment of a similar list, which was found along with this, headed \(\delta_{\iota}(\grave{(a)}\) той vouckov and containing the

5. The margin does not seem to be quite complete, but the loss before \(\chi^{o( }(\) ) \&c. in the marginalia on II. \(5^{-8}\) is in any case small. For חavio(vos) cf. C. P. R. 34. I Havviwu.
8. 'A入є \(\xi^{\prime} u \delta \rho o v\) was doubtless intended, but cannot be read; the letter before \(\rho\) looks most like another a.
10. \(i \pi\langle\langle\dot{o} \Pi\) )aî̀ov is suggested by the fragment mentioned in the note on l. I, iñò Пaüरov occurring there.
12. \(\pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi \tau \eta s\) is apparently the name of a tax, and may be the same as the \(\pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi r \eta\) which
 however, is of the third century.
13. This line is obscure. The top of the \(s\) of \(\tau \eta s\) is brought down to a level of its base and followed by two oblique dashes; and there are some slanting flourishes between \({ }^{\prime}\) and
 after its first occurrence ; but \(\tau \hat{\eta} s a(\hat{v} r \bar{\eta} s)\) is unsuitable.

\section*{1049. Account of Transport.}
\[
30.6 \times 14.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Late second century: }
\]

An account of expenses incurred in connexion with the transport of \(\chi\) о́pros to the village of Ophis. Hire of donkeys is at the rate of 2 drachmae a day, of donkey-drivers 1 drachma 5 obols and 2 dr. 4 ob., of workmen employed in tying up bundles 3 dr . 3 ob . The account is written on the verso of 1032 .
```

    [A]ó\gamma(os) \mu\epsilon\tau\alphaфо(\rho\hat{\alphas) Xó\rho\tau(ov) \mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\nu\in\lambda0(óv\tauos)}
    \epsilonis \tau\grave{\eta}\nu) ä\lambda\omega \tau\eta\hat{\} "\Omega\phi\epsilon\omegas \Piа\chi\omegá\nu.
    ```

```

        \mu\iota\sigma0(òs) övo(ts) 0 \grave{\epsilon< (\delta\rho\alpha\chi}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu)\beta (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) \imath\eta,
    5 óv\eta\lambda(\alpha'\tau\alpha\iotas) \dot{\rho}\mu(0'\omega\) \gamma '̇к (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\hat{\eta}s) a (\pi\epsilon\nu\tau\omega\betaó\lambdaov)
\delta\rho\alpha}\mp@subsup{\chi}{\mu}{\primeai) €(o\betao\lambdaós),

```
```

$\ddot{\alpha} \lambda(\lambda \omega) \quad \alpha \dot{o} \nu \eta \lambda\left(\alpha^{\alpha} \tau \eta\right) \dot{\phi} \mu(o i \omega \varsigma)$
( $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \beta$ (тєтр $\omega \beta o \lambda o \nu)$,

```



\(\mu \iota \sigma \theta(o ̀ s){ }^{\circ} v o(\iota s) \iota \beta \quad \hat{\epsilon}[\kappa](\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\nu} v) \beta \quad\) ( \(\left.\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i\right)[\kappa \delta\),] \(\dot{\partial} \nu \eta \lambda(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \omega \iota s) \dot{\partial} \mu(o i ́ \omega s) \varsigma{ }^{\prime}[\kappa]\) ( \(\left.\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\eta} s\right) \alpha(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \beta o ́ \lambda o v)\)
( \(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i)\) । ( \(\delta v o ́ \beta o \lambda o \iota)\),
 ( \(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \lambda \xi(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \beta o \lambda o \nu)\).
\(\kappa\). \(\dot{o} \mu(o i \omega s) \stackrel{o}{\nu} \nu o(l) \delta \phi o(\rho \tau i \alpha) \theta, \quad \mu \alpha(\nu \delta \alpha ́ \kappa \alpha i) \lambda[5, \dot{\alpha}] \gamma \bar{\omega}(\gamma \iota \alpha) \imath \gamma\).

\(\dot{o}_{\nu}^{\nu \eta \lambda(\alpha ́ \tau \alpha \iota s) ~ \dot{o} \mu(o i ́ \omega s)} \beta\) '́ \(\kappa(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\eta} s) \alpha(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \beta o ́ \lambda o v)\)
( \(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \gamma(\tau \rho \iota \omega \beta o \lambda o \nu)\),
 \(/(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \iota \gamma\) (óßo入ós).

\(\mu \iota \sigma \theta(o ̀ s)\) ö \(\nu 0(\iota s) 5\) є́к \((\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu) \quad \beta \quad(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i)[\iota \beta\),
\([\dot{0}] \nu \eta \lambda(\alpha ́ \tau \alpha / s) \dot{\delta} \mu(0 i \omega \omega s) \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \kappa(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\eta} s) \alpha(\pi \in \nu \tau \omega \beta o ́ \lambda o v)\)
\(\left[(\delta p \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \in\left(o{ }^{\circ} \beta o \lambda o ́ s\right),\right]\)
 \(/(\delta \rho \alpha \times \mu \alpha i)[\iota \theta\).

5. The sign for ( \(\delta \rho a \chi \mu \bar{\eta}\) ) was inserted after a was written.

Lines 1-13:
' Account of the transport of hay transferred to the threshing-floor of Ophis in Pachon.
The 18 th. 9 donkeys, 8 loads, making 72 trusses from 43 bundles, 24 cart-loads. Wages for 9 donkeys at 2 drachmae, 18 dr., likewise for 3 drivers at 1 dr. 5 obols, 5 dr. I ob., likewise for another driver 2 dr .4 ob., for 2 more workmen binding trusses 3 dr .3 ob . Total 29 dr . 1 ob.

The 19th. Likewise 12 donkeys, 8 loads, making 96 trusses, 32 cart-loads. Wages for 12 donkeys at 2 dr., 24 dr., likewise for 6 drivers at I dr. 5 ob ., 10 dr . 2 ob ., for 2 more workmen binding trusses 3 dr .3 ob . Total 37 dr .5 ob.'
3. \(\phi \mathrm{o}(\mathrm{)}\) ) can hardly be anything but \(\phi \mathrm{o}(\rho \mathrm{\rho} i a)\); the constancy of the figures with po(pria), 8 thrice, 9 once, indicates that they represent the number of journeys to the äd


are found together, as here, \(1 \mathbf{1 6 6 . 1 2 - 1} 3\), and the Oxyrhynchus ostracon referred to in the note on 935.19.
5. The drachmae are throughout on the silver standard, seven obols being the equivalent of a drachma.

 expected to be given here, but neither ápyv(piou) \(\left[(\delta \rho a \chi \mu a i) q^{\theta}\right.\) nor aj \({ }^{2} \omega(\gamma \bar{\eta} s)[(\delta \rho a \chi \mu a i)] g \theta\) is suitable. The letter after "a may well be \(\lambda\), and perhaps some form or derivative of a \({ }^{\circ} \lambda \omega\) s occurred; cf. l. 2.
1050. Account for Games.
\(20.4 \times 15.5 \mathrm{~cm}\). Second or third century.
A fragment of an account of expenditure for the purposes of the public games at Oxyrhynchus. Cf. 519, part of an account of the same character, where several of the items that are found here recur, and 1025.

Col. i.


Col. ii.
\(\kappa\). [
\({ }_{25} \mu \in!\mu \omega \mid\)
\(\dot{\sigma} \mu \eta \rho_{!} \sigma[\tau \hat{\eta}\)
2. їреvą Pap. 5. їтпоко \(\mu\) Рар.
6. First \(k\) of \(k \eta \rho v k \iota\) corr. from í. 21. iєpoof[Pap.
'Account of 400 drachmae. To the priests 60 dr ., to Nilus 20 dr ., for a chair 20 dr ., grooms . . dr., a herald . . dr., the master of the games . . dr., Morion . . dr., Severus . . dr., Bellarinus . . dr., umpires . . dr., a pair of pancratiasts . . dr., boxers armed with the ball . . dr., another pair of pancratiasts . . dr., to Cophus the boxer . . dr., guards of the theatre . . dr., sprinklers . . dr., . . . conjurer . . dr., flute-player . . dr., temple-slaves . . dr., . . . an actor . . . dr., a Homeric reciter . . . dr.'
3. Cf. 519. 10, where 20 dr . are paid кшналтais \(\mathrm{Nei} \mathrm{\lambda}(a v)\).
 and the word would hardly be in place in this context.

17. pávia is: cf. B. G. U. 185 . 10 ṕєáurıs, and Wisely's note in Studies z. Paldogr. ii. 25.
 which would be expected, cannot be read.
1051. Inventory of Property.
\[
{ }^{1} 5.3 \times 5.3 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century } .
\]

A list of articles, chiefly of dress, belonging to a woman whose name is given at the end ; cf. 921, where the vocabulary is very similar, and also 741, P. Tebt. 405-6, P. Gen. Bo, \&c.
\(\Delta \in \lambda \mu \alpha\) тікı \([. . . . . \alpha\),
Se \(\lambda \mu \alpha\) тíkıv \(\lambda_{t}[\nu 0 \hat{v}\)


\({ }_{5} \beta \iota \nu\) סía \(\eta \mu o \nu[\alpha, \dot{\rho} \iota \zeta o ́-\)
\(\sigma \eta \mu o \nu \quad \alpha, \phi[\beta \lambda \alpha \tau \omega\) -
\(\rho i v \quad \alpha, \sigma \pi i \chi^{\alpha} \rho\left[\begin{array}{ll}l v & \lambda_{i} \\ \hline\end{array}\right.\)
oṽv \(\alpha\), ко入ó \(\beta[\iota \nu \quad \lambda \iota \nu\) -
\([o] \hat{v} \nu \quad \tau \rho \iota \beta \alpha \kappa \grave{v} \nu[\alpha, \ldots\) 10 [. .] \(\alpha \nu 0 \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta 0 \lambda[\alpha \dot{\delta} \omega \nu\)
\([\alpha,] \quad \phi \iota \beta \lambda \alpha \tau \omega ́ \rho t \nu \alpha\),
\([\sigma t] \nu \delta o ́ v t \nu \quad \alpha, i \mu i \lambda i-\)

трıv торфúpas \(\dot{\rho} \iota\) si-

15 廿evסomó \(\phi\) ирov \(\alpha\),

\(\mu \alpha \pi i \nu \quad \alpha \phi \rho \in \nu \quad \alpha\),

\(\kappa \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma v \rho \hat{\alpha} \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \in\),
20 [ ] \(\sigma \alpha\) -
jos \(\lambda\) єuкòs \(\alpha\),
\(\beta \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \rho ı \nu \quad \alpha\).
\(K \nu \rho \iota \lambda(\lambda) \circ \hat{\tau} \tau o s\).

' : Dalmatian vest, i linen Dalmatian vest with vegetable-purple stripe, I ... shawl, 1 shirt with double stripe, 1 with vegetable-purple stripe, 1 band with buckle, 1 linen tunic, 1 linen shawl, worn, 1 ... shawl, I band with buckle, I cambric, half a pound of vegetable-
purple, 1 woman's shirt of false purple, i tinen Dalmatian vest, 1 . . . napkin, a saucer and plate, 5 silvered napkins (?), I white blanket, 1 towel. The property of Cyrillous.'

6. \(\phi[\beta \lambda \lambda a \tau \dot{\omega}] \rho \omega \boldsymbol{v}\) : cf. l. 11. It is the Latin fibulatorium.
7. arixáplov occurs also in P. Gen. 80. 3, e.g.
15. భevóoтóрфvpov: i. e., presumably, dyed with торфúpa ṕıšius.
17. It is doubtful how the letters should be divided. \(\mu a \pi \iota \nu\) may be for \(\mu a \pi \pi i o v\), but uфpev is puzzling. At the end of the line above a there is no sign of the horizontal stroke which usually accompanies numerals in this list, but it may have disappeared with some of the fibres of the papyrus, or have been omitted, as was apparently the case in 1.3 .
19. \(\mu\) a a : l. \(\mu a \pi \pi i a\) ? Cf. I. 17. But upyvpâ is an unexpected epithet.
20. The upper fibres of the papyrus are missing where this line would naturally have stood, but possibly this had happened before the list was written and there is nothing missing before ouzos. The letters \(\sigma a\) are below the lacuna caused by the detachment of the fibres, and their position cannot be accounted for by the mere slope of the line. But it is curious that, if there is no loss, the word should have been begun at this point.
22. Baגavápıv: of. 1026. 14.

\section*{1052. Account of Revenues.}
\[
27.7 \times 10.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century } .
\]

A list of amounts, which are associated with various Oxyrhynchite villages, of balsam valued in money, and of wool and woad (irátrs) estimated by weight. Several names occur among the villages which have not previously appeared in the papyri. The account is written on the verso of 1057 , and is therefore later than the year A. D. 362 .

```

    \Sigma'є\rhoú\phi\epsilon\omegas (\delta\eta\nu\alpha\rhoí\omega\nu) \mu(v\rho\iotaá\delta\epsilons), ,\rho
    \sum\epsilon\nu\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\grave{v} (\delta\eta\nu\nu\alphaí\omega\nu) [\mu(v\rho\iota\alphá\delta\epsilonS)],\alpha\tau\nu,
    Mov\chiı\nuá\xias (\delta\etava\rhoí\omega\nu) \mu(v\rho\iota\alphá\delta\epsilons) X X,
    5 \Sigma'v́\rho\omegav (\delta\eta\nu\alpha\rhoí\omega\nu) \mu(v\rho\iota\alphá\delta\epsilons),\betaT
'A\lambda\epsilon\xi%ขิ\tauos
\epsiloṅ\pio\iotak(iov) M\epsilon\rho..s (\delta\eta\nuарí\omega\nu) \mu(v\rho\iotaá\delta\epsilons) \rho\nu.
\epsiloń\rhoiov-
K\in\rhoкєú\rho\omega\nu
10 B\propto\phi't[\omega],
\sumє\nu\inK\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\grave{\nu}

```
\(\lambda i(\tau \rho \alpha \iota) \iota \beta\),
\([\mu \cdot]] \mu(\alpha \hat{\imath}\) ?) \(\epsilon\),
\(\lambda_{i}^{( }(\tau \rho \alpha) \quad \eta\),
```

    B\alpha\phi(\epsiloń\omega\nu) \mu(\nu\alphai) \epsilon,
    \sum\epsilonрv́\phi\epsilon\omegas \lambdaí(\tau\rho\alphas) к\beta \mu(\nu\alphaî) l,
    Mov\chi\iotavág}\alpha\leqq̣ \lambdaí(\tau\rho\alphat) \beta \mu(\nu\alphaâ) \gamma
    15 Кєркє0í\rho\epsilon\omegas \lambdaí(\tau\rho\alpha\iota) \beta,
'A\lambda\epsilon\xiov̂\tauos \lambdaí'(\tau\rho\alpha) \alpha \mu(\nu\hat{\alpha})\alpha,
\sum'́\rho\rho\nu \lambdai(\tau\rho\alphai) t\beta \mu(v\alpha\hat{\imath})!\beta,
\Pi\epsilon\tau\epsilon\muои́v\epsilon\omegas \lambdaí(\tau\rho\alphai) \gamma }\mu(v\alphai) \gamma
i\sigma\alpháт\epsilon\omega\.
20 [\Sigma]\epsilon\rhov́\phi\epsilon\omegas \delta( ) к\epsilon\nu\tau(\eta\nu\alphá\alpha\rhot\alpha) \eta \lambdaí(\tau\rho\alphai) \lambda\epsilon,
Ктоí\sigma\omega\nu
[\Sigma]\epsilon\epsilon\nu\epsilonк\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\grave{v}}<\kappa\in\nu\tau(\eta\nu\alphá\rhotov) a \lambdai((\tau\rho\alpha\iota) к
[M]ov\chi\iotav\alphá\xi\alphaṣ к\in\nu\tau(\eta\nu\alphá\rho\iotaov) \alpha,
[K]\epsilon[\rho]\kappa\epsilon0\dot{v}\rho(\epsilon\omegas) \lambdaí(\tau\rho\alpha\iota) к\gamma,

```


In the left margin, at right angles

 \(\gamma\{\kappa \in \nu \tau(\eta \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \alpha)\} \lambda i(\tau \rho \alpha \iota) \pi\).
\[
\text { 1. 1. } \beta a \lambda \sigma a ́ \mu o[v] \text {. } 20 \text {. of } \kappa \in \nu \tau \text { corr. from } \lambda_{\iota}(\tau \rho a \imath) \text {. }
\]

7. Not Mep \(\epsilon_{\rho} \rho \theta a\).
10. The third letter of the name is most probably a \(\phi\), and the other vestiges suit \(\operatorname{Ba\phi } \hat{\epsilon}[\omega] v\); cf. l. 12, where the abbreviation \(\operatorname{Ba\phi (})\) is more natural if the same name had just occurred. On the other hand there is no other instance in the list of the name of a village being repeated under the same heading. With regard to the \(\mu \nu a i t\) the only objection is that these consistently follow the dirpat, instead of preceding them as would be expected ; cf. e.g. P. Brit. Mus. 1254, where the normal order is observed. But it is difficult to perceive what else can be meant, and in I. Io \(\mu \nu(a i)\), or even \(\mu \nu a(i)\), may be read; in the other cases the word is written simply as \(\mu\) with a wavy flourish after it.

20 . In the abbreviation of \(\kappa \in \nu \tau(\eta \nu \dot{\rho} p t o \nu)\) the \(\nu\) is slurred, so that there sometimes appears
 which consists of \(\delta\) and a waved flourish, is obscure.
21. Kтoí \(\omega \nu\) (?) appears to be a village-name, the amount corresponding to which has not been filled in.

\section*{1053. Account of Work on Dikes and of Expenditure.}
\(24.4 \times 27.8 \mathrm{~cm}\). Late sixth or early seventh century.
This papyrus was briefly and not quite accurately described in Part I, 191. Both recto and verso contain accounts connected more or less directly with the dykes. That on the recto refers to repairs carried out on an estate at Tarousebt (cf.日98), and is important as showing that the naubion, or as it is here called vaoviov, was at this period a cubic छv́dor, thus confirming our restoration of \(669 .{ }^{1}\) The Ptolemaic naubion is now known to have been a cube of two royal cubits (Comptes Rendus de l'Acad. des Inscr. 13 Juillet 1906), and since the \(\xi\) vinov containcd three cubits it is clear that the naubion, at any rate in the later Roman and Byzantine periods, had gained in size. Fifty such naubia are here valued at one solidus. The account on the verso gives particulars of the expenditure of \(227 \frac{2}{3}\) solidi, which were received from a superintendent of dykes and disbursed for various purposes. Both these documents most probably belong to the papers of the Apion family (cf. P. Oxy. I. pp. 206 sqq.), with which several of the villages named on the verso are known to have been connected.




```

        \mu\etá\kappa(ovs) \xiú\lambda(\alpha)]\kappa\zeta \pi\lambda\alphá(Tovs) \xiú\lambda(\alpha) «\beta \beta\alphá0(ovs) \xiv́\lambda(ov) \gamma' \epsilon'is v\alphaov́\iota(\alpha) \rho\eta,
    5 \mu\etaj\kappa(ovs) \xiv́\lambda(\alpha)\kappa\eta}\pi\lambda\alpha\alphá(\tauovs)] \xiv́\lambda(\alpha) \varsigma- \beta\alphá0(ovs) \xiv́\lambda(ov) < \epsilonis v\alphaov́l(\alpha)\sigma\kappa\delta
    \mu\eta\prime\kappa(ous) \xiv́\lambda(\alpha) \lambda\gamma \pi\lambda\hat{\alpha}(\tauovs)] \xir`\lambda(\alpha) \kappa\beta \beta\alphá0(ovs) \xiv́\lambda(ov) \gamma' \epsilonis vaov́ı(\alpha)\sigma\xi\delta,
    \mu\etá\kappa(ovs) \xiv́\lambda(\alpha) \imath\eta \pi\lambda\alphá(\tauovs)] \xiv́\lambda(\alpha) \\zeta \betaá0(ovs) \xiv́\lambda(ov) \gamma' \epsilonis \nu\alphaov́\iota(\alpha) \rho\beta,
                                    ] \gamma{(\nu\epsilon\tau\alphal) \nu\alphaov́l(\alpha) \epsilonфф\kappa\eta \epsilonis \nuo(\mu\iota\sigma\mu\alphá\tau\iota\alpha) \rho\iotaL,
                                    ] vo(\mu\imath\sigma\muá\tau\iota\alpha) k\inL,
    IO
] / \nuo(\mu\iota\sigma\mu\alphá\tau\iota\alpha) p\lambdas.
\nu\alpha0v́l(\alpha) \tau\nu \epsilonis \nuo(\mu\imath\sigma\mu\alphá\tau\iota\alpha) \zeta, \gamma(i\nuє\tau\alpha\ell)
ó(\muov) \nuo(\mu\iota\sigma\mu\alphá\tau\iota\alpha) \rho\mu\gamma.

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Ir. Kenyon informs me that P. Brit. Mus. \(\mathbf{1 7}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{5}\), of the Byzantine period, shows that the mutilated adjective in \(069 .+\) is ifparı] kóv. With 1053 recto cf. now P. Giessen 42 (ed. P. Meyer 1910), where in l. 5 read \(\beta a ́ \theta(o s) 5^{\prime}\), i. e. \(\frac{1}{6}\) छúגG\%.
}
```

Verso

```

```

L \deltai\delta\epsilon\tau(\alphai) \epsilonis \gamma\epsilonov\chi\ellк(\delta\nu) \lambdaó\gamma(o\nu)
\delta(i\alpha) \tau\hat{\omega}(v) а̇\piò \Sigma'\pi\alphavías
I5 \delta(\iota\grave{\alpha})\tau\hat{(v}(v) \alphȧ\piò T\alpha\pi\epsiloń\tau\iota

```

```

        \delta(i\alpha) T\hat{\omega\nu} \alphȧ\piò Ta\rhoov0ívov
        \delta(\iota\alpha) \tau\hat{\omega}(\nu) а́\piò M\epsilon\sigmaка\nuои́v\epsilon\omegas
        \delta(\iota\alpha) \tau\hat{\omega}(\nu) \alpha,\pi\grave{o}}\Sigma\\epsilon\phi\grave{\omega
    20
/ \nuo(\mu\iota\sigma\muá\tau\iota\alpha) \pi\gamma, / \alpha}\mp@subsup{\alpha}{\rho}{\rho}(\iota0\mu\rho\hat{v})\pi(\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha})\tau\hat{\omega}(\nu) \chi\omega\mu(\alpha)\tau\epsilon\pi\iotak\tau(\hat{\omega}\nu
\nuo(\mu\sigma\mu\alpháт\iota\alpha) \rho\mu\delta\mp@subsup{\beta}{}{\prime}.

```

```

    vo(\mu\iota\sigma\muá\tau\iota\alpha) b,
        \tauoîs ả\piò 'I\sigmaíou \Piа\gamma\gamma人
        \epsilonis \tau\grave{\eta\nu '̇кк\lambda(\eta\sigmaí\alpha\nu) \alpha'\beta\beta人\hat{\alpha}}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime}I\epsilon\rho\alphaкí\omega\nuos
        \tau\hat{\varphi}}\chi\chi\omega\mu(\alpha\tau\epsilon\pií\kappa\tau\eta) \dot{v}(\pi\grave{\epsilon}\rho)\mu\iota\sigma0(o\hat{v}
    ```

```

                                    \nuo(\mul\sigma\muá\taula) \deltaL.
        /\nuo(\mu\imath\sigma\mu\alphá\tau\iota\alpha) (\kappaаi) \tauои́\tau(ots) {\nuo(\mu\iota\sigma\mu\alphá\tau\iota\alpha)} кรL, \omegaंs
    ```

```

        \tauoîs \langleà\\piò Ta\mu\iota\tau( ) T\epsilon\omegâs vo(\mu\iota\sigma\muá\tauı\alpha) \xi,
        (к\alphai) \tauoîs \alphả\piọ̀ "A\mu\eta\nu\tauos \nuo(\mu\iota\sigma\mu\alpháть\alpha) 5,
    ```

2. ïwuvov Pap. 3. \(\omega\) over an erasure. 8. pit Pap. The figures \(\kappa \eta\) and \(\rho \iota L\), and \(k \in L\) in l. 9, are over an erasure. 14. i Pap.; so in ll. 15, 2 I , and 27 . 19. \(\sigma\) of


8. Line Ir gives the valuation 1 solidus for 50 naubia. In I. 8 the ratio would be identical if the total of the naubia were \(55^{2} 5\) instead of \(55^{28}\); the odd 3 naubia were thus ignored in the calculation.
12. \(\chi\) wнarenikr(ov) : cf. P. Brit. Mus. 1246-8, where, as I had already pointed out

13. \(\operatorname{di\delta \epsilon \tau }(a t)\) : analogous forms occur already in the Roman period, e.g. 121. \({ }^{2} 3\),

20. \(\dot{a} \rho(t \theta \mu \hat{v})\) : the analogy of 1.27 points to this reading, though the abbreviation is rather differently written. Only one \(\chi \omega \mu a r \epsilon \pi i к \pi \eta s\) is mentioned in l. 12, and the plural here is perhaps a slip.

25．тup \(\theta \omega \tau\)（ais）seems to be another form of тoproturis ；cf．the Ptolemaic \(\pi\) apaфpu－
 are other possibilities．
 \(\tau\) brought down just as e．g．in tovit（oss）in l．26，but there is also a dot above，which is used with many of the other abbreviations．

\section*{（f）ORDERS FOR PAYMENT．}

1054．Order for Delivery of Wine．
\[
18.5 \times 9.8 \mathrm{~cm}
\]

An order for a payment of 65 keramia of wine．This papyrus was found with 1055，which is from the same person，but written in a different hand．For the date cf．introd．to 1055.

> Пє́кข入入оs Полvঠєє́кць \(\chi^{\alpha i} \rho \in \omega\).
＇Pecyllus to Polydeuces，greeting．Deliver to Theon，agent of Satyrus son of Onech ．．， from the ror jars the remainder of the wine in 65 jars．Farewell．The roth year， Pauni 24.
 but this gives no likely word，and the spelling in the document is otherwise correct．The third letter may well be o instead of \(\epsilon\) ，but ovoxeis is unknown．
1055. ORDER FOR Delivery of Wine.
\(9.3 \times 12.2 \mathrm{~cm}\). A.D. 267 .
Another order from Pecyllus (cf. 1054) for a delivery of wine. The document is on the verso of the papyrus, the recto containing the ends of 8 lines of an account of payments in artabae, preceded by parts of two lines of a letter mentioning \(i \pi \pi \pi^{\prime} \omega\) К Конápov \(\Sigma_{\epsilon \rho \eta r^{\prime}}\) ov and dated in the 18 th year, probably of Septimius Severus. 1054 and 1055 therefore apparently belong to the reign of Gallienus.
```

\Pi\epsilońкv\lambda\lambdaos \Thetaéc\omega[\nu]l \chi\alphai\rho\epsilonl\nu.
\pi\alpha\rho\alphá\deltaos 'Нрак\lambda[\epsilon]í\delta\eta оi\nu\epsilon\mu\pió\rho% \tau\epsilon-
\tau\alphá\rho\tau\eta\ \lambda\eta\nuo\hat{v}[\kappa\epsilon]\rho\alphá[ [\mu]l\alpha \delta\iota\alphaкó\sigma\iota\alpha\langle\tau\rhoí\alpha\rangle, \pi'́}\mu
\pi\tau\etaS кєра́\mu\iota\alpha ध́к\alpha\tauо́v, \sigma[v]\muф\omega\nu\eta0є́v-

```

```

\tauó\nu, \overparen{\omega\nu}\nu\dot{\eta} \tau\epsilon\iota\mu\etaे \chiं\omega\rho\epsilon\hat{\imath} \epsilonis \epsiloṅ\pi\iota0\etáк\eta\nu
'E\mu\beta\eta\tauí\omega\nu0s \tau\alpha\lambda\alphá\nu\tau\omega\nu \pi\epsiloń\nu\tau\epsilon. (2nd hand) \deltaòs \tau\grave{\alpha} \tauo\hat{v}
Oivov к\in\rho\alphá( }\mul\alpha) т\rho\iota\alphaкó\sigma\iota
\tauрí\alpha.

```

\[
\text { 6. } \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\omega} \nu \text { Pap. }
\]
'Pecyllus to Theon, greeting. Deliver to IIeraclides, wine-merchant, 203 jars from the fourth vat, and 100 jars from the fifth, of which the price has been agreed upon at the rate of 1,100 drachmae for 101 jars and the cost goes to make up the five talents of Embetion. (Signed) Pay the 303 jars of wine. The 14 th year, Pauni \(1 \%\).'
3. rpia has presumably dropped out after ס̌akóara owing to the homocoteleuton; cf. 11. \(8-9\), and the figure \(\rho a\) in 1.5 , which suits 303 but not 300 .
7. The signature is probably in the hand of 1054, which will then be the autograpls of Pecyllus; hence the absence of signature there.
1056. Order for Delivery of Aracus.
\[
12.7 \times 21 \mathrm{~cm}
\]
A. D. \(3^{60}\).

An order to deliver 40 artabae of aracus, which are valued at \(72,000,000\) denarii, and were in payment for 500 pounds of meat. The date is by the cras of Oxyrhynchus.
\(\Pi(\alpha \rho a ̀) ~ \Pi \tau о \lambda \epsilon \mu i v o v \quad \Theta \omega \nu i ́ \omega ~ \pi \rho о \nu о \eta \tau \hat{\eta}\) Níypov Xaípєtv.


 ( \(\left.{ }^{\alpha} \tau \tau \alpha ́ \beta \alpha \iota\right) ~ \mu\),



2nd hand \(\quad \sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu i o \mu \alpha \iota\) á \(\beta \alpha к о s\) д’ \(\rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha s \quad \tau \epsilon \sigma\langle\sigma\rangle \in \rho \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha\).

On the verso



'From Ptoleminus to Thonius, steward of Nigus, grecting. Neasure out to my brother Dorotheus, who is about to collect payments on my account, hitherto paid (?) through Hieronicus, for the price of 500 pounds of meat, forty artabae of aracus, total 40 art. aracus, a single artaba being reckoned at one hundred and eighty myriads of denarii, making the order seven thousand two hundred myriads of denarii, total 7,200 myriads of denarii.' Signature and date. Endorsed on the verso 'There is one God: aracus, Phaophi'.
4. \(\tau^{\prime} \omega_{0} \delta\left(u^{\prime}\right)\) : so P. Brit. Mus. 984.5 , \&c., also of the fourth century. There are two oblique dashes against this line, and a single shorter one against l. 5 .

\section*{1057. ORdER FOR PAIMENT.}
\[
10.2 \times 27.7 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 362
\]

An order for the payment of \(7,500,000\) denarii which were owing to the writer for a hide. The writing is across the fibres of the papyrus. The verso contains 1052.


 ( \(\delta \eta \nu \alpha \rho i \omega \nu) \mu\left(\nu \rho(a ́ \delta \epsilon s) \psi v \mu^{\prime}(\nu a \ell)\right.\).

1. 1. \(\Delta\) ра́коитı ? (Wilcken).
2. シ̈ँ \(\eta \rho \epsilon \tau \eta\) Pap.
3. 1. §ŋvapiwv.
' Papnoutis to his brother Dracon. greeting. Pay to Papnoutius, the assistant of the strategus, from the money which you owe me for the price of a hide seven hundred and fifty myriads of denarii of silver, total 750 myriads of denarii, and no more.' Date and signature.
2. A mention of the strategus at this late date is noticeable; cf. 66, and Wilcken, Hormes xxvii. pp. 297 sqq., Gelzer, Leipziger hist. Abhandl. xiii. pp. 51-2.
4. The signature was more rapidly written than the body of the text, but does not seem to be by a different hand.

\section*{(g) PRAYERS.}

\section*{1058. Christial Prayer.}
\[
9.2 \times 11.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth or fifth century }
\]

A short prayer, inscribed in large rude uncials. On the verso are. a few words, written in different directions, apparently to try a pen.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 'O } \theta(\epsilon \grave{o}) S \tau \hat{\omega}{ }^{\prime} \text {, таракє }- \\
& \mu^{\prime} ย \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \rho \bar{\omega} \nu, \\
& \text { ßońӨ } \eta \text { бov тòv So- } \\
& \text { v̂ไóv } \sigma o v \text { ' } A \pi \phi o v a ̂ \nu \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\]
\(5 \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu\).
\[
\dot{\delta} \kappa \alpha \lambda .[
\]
1. \(\theta \sigma\) Pap. \(\quad\) 3. \(v\) of \(\delta o u \lambda o v\) added in front of the line.
' \(O\) God of the crosses that are laid upon us. help thy servant Apphouas. Amen.'
 phorical use of \(\sigma\) ravpós is as old as the Gospels, e. g. Luke ix. 23 ápáte tòv otavpòv aìtoù кa \(\theta^{\circ}\) inipar. God is apparently thought of as at once the sender and mitigator of trials.
1059. Christian Prayer.
\(6.1 \times 12.2 \mathrm{~cm}\).
Fifth century.
A prayer written in a rude hand and in illiterate Greek, across the fibres of the papyrus. This is on the verso ; the recto contains the first four lines




' O Lord my God and my hope, look on Thecla and her children, look on Anna and her servant, look on Apphous, look on Sakaon, look on Dionysius and his children, look on Helladius, look on Ptolemaeus, look on each one of them.'
2. \(\omega \psi \epsilon\) is apparently for ő \(\psi\) at from \(\begin{gathered}\text { ö } \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon a t, ~ ' l o o k ~ u p o n ' ~ i m p l y i n g ~ ' p r o t e c t ' ; ~ c f . ~ e . g . ~\end{gathered}\)
 for the imperative form.
 'severally', 'each and all'.
1080. Gnostic Amulet.
\[
9.2 \times 6.3 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Sixth century }
\]

A charm against reptiles and other ills. The first three lines are occupied by a formula showing the gradual diminution by cutting off letters which is frequent in texts of this class (cf. e.g. B. G. U. 956), then follows a mystical invocation of the familiar kind including the names 'Iàे (Jehovah) \(\sigma a \beta a \grave{\theta} \theta\) à \(\delta \omega v a \imath\) ', then the petition proper 'Free this house from every evil reptile and thing, quickly. quickly ', and finally a reference to St. Phocas. Cf. 924 and P. Tebt. 275 .
\[
\begin{aligned}
& +T \grave{\nu} \nu \text { Өúpav } \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \text { 'A } 1
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \iota \tau \eta \nu \text { ル } \tau \nu \nu \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \quad \eta \nu . \quad \omega \rho \omega p \\
& \phi \omega \rho \phi \omega \rho \text { ' } I \alpha \grave{\omega} \sigma \alpha \beta \alpha \grave{\omega} \theta \text { á } \delta o \nu \grave{\epsilon}
\end{aligned}
\]
```

\delta \deltaє\nuо\sigma\epsilon \sigmaкор\pií\epsilon а. ртє\rho\eta\sigmat\epsilon,
\alpha\pi\alphá\lambda\lambda\alpha\xi%\nu \tauòv Oîkov \tau0थि\tauol'

```

```

    <к\alphai\rangle \pi\rho\alphá\gammaратоs \tau\alpha\\grave{v}\tau\alpha\и́.
    o á\gammatos \Phi\omegaк人िs \hat{\omega}\delta\hat{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu
    ⿺夂 \Phi[\alpha`\mu\epsilon\nu\omegà0 \imath\gamma i\nu\delta(\iotaк\tauí\omega\nu\primeOS) \tau\rhoí\tau\etas
T . . . . . . . . . .

```


3．\(\tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu \eta \nu\) should be \(\tau \eta \eta^{\prime \prime} \eta \nu \nu\) ．
4．ф \(\omega \rho \phi \omega \rho\) ：cf．P．Brit．Mus． \(121.684-5,658-60\).
5．\(\sigma к о \rho \pi i \epsilon\) is suitable in a charm against \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \frac{1}{:}\) ：the other words in this line appear to be meaningless．

9．Since the amulet was designed to ward off reptiles this Phocas must evidently be the Syrian martyr who is mentioned by Gregory of Tours，In Glor．Mart．98，and whose tomb was the resort of persons suffering from snake－bites：si in quempiam in his locis coluber morsum stringens venena diffuderit extemplo qui percussus est ut iamuam atrii quo martyr quiescit attigerit．．．salvahur．But the date given in l．Io does not quite correspond with St．Phocas＇day of celebration，which is Mar． 5 （cf．the Acta Sanctorum under this day）；Phamenoth 13 corresponds to Mar． 9.

\section*{（h）PRIVATE CORRESPONDENCE．}

\section*{1061．Letter of Diogenes．}
```

29\times16.4cm.
B．C． 22.

```

A letter asking for assistance with regard to the measurement of certain unirrigated land and the payment of the dues upon it．The hand is of a quite early type，and the 8 th year in 1.26 is more likely to refer to the reign of Augustus than of Tiberius．





öт \(\omega \mathrm{s}\) бvvтv́X \(\eta \iota \Delta i \omega t\) каi \(\Delta l o \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau(\rho i ́ o v)\)







\(\Pi_{\tau о \lambda \epsilon \mu \alpha i} \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \iota \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \lambda \lambda \omega \iota \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \iota \tau 0 \hat{v} \Pi_{\tau 0-}\)









גं \(\sigma \pi \alpha ́ S o v ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \sigma o u ̀ s ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha s . ~ \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha ́\} \epsilon-\)


On the verso


3. \(\kappa\) of second ккu corr. from \(r\).
11. \(a\) of \(a \delta ̀ \epsilon \lambda \phi o s\) corr. from \(\delta\).
22. \(\sigma\) of \(\pi \circ \eta \sigma \omega t\) corr. from \(\iota\).
'Diogenes to his brother Dionysius, many greetings and wishes for good health. Since I have written to you at other times and you have not brought about an agreement between us, and also to your brother Apollonius, I have now been obliged to urge Ptolemaeus the younger, son of Ptolemaeus, to meet Dius and Diogenes son of Demetrius until the unwatered land in the seven arourae and the government dues on it be measured through Dionysius and the remainder paid over to Ptolemaeus. I therefore beg that you will interview Ptolemacus, both you and your brother Apollonius, until you effect this for
me, for you are superior to Ptolemaeus in experience, and if it is necessary to meet the other Ptolemaeus, the elder brother of Piolemaeus, about this, that he may meet him and do lis best until it is effected. If then it please you to reply to me about this and about the other subjects which I asked jou about by letter I shall be obliged to you; and do you write to me about anything that you wish and I will most gladly do it. I have written also to Dius, the son of the agent, about this; whom you will meet. Salute all your household; Athenarous and the rest of the children salute you. Take care of your healkh. Good-bye. The 8th year of Augustus, Epeiph.. (Addressed) To Dionysius also called Amoils, son of Ptolemaeus and brother of Apollonius the comogrammateus of Tholthis, who is staying near Theon son of Ischyrion.'
 in the imperfect and aorist an analogous form of the perfect causes little difficulty.
16. The third person was employed perhaps because the writer was thinking prinarily of Apollonius.

\section*{1082. Letter of Marcus.}
\(27.1 \times 13.2 \mathrm{~cm}\). Second century.
A letter referring to the purchase of some fleeces which the writer was expecting his correspondent to procure on his behalf.

> Mápкos Matрéat т̂̂t фı \(\lambda \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \iota\) \(\chi^{\alpha i \rho \epsilon t \nu .}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 10 } \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \omega t \text { } \mu \text { ot '̇ } \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \text { '̀ } \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \varsigma ̣,[. .] . . .-
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ס'́ } \sigma 0 \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \text { ' } \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta ̀ \nu \quad \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \omega \text { סià } \sum \text { vípov }
\end{aligned}
\]
```

    Toùs \pióкovs, \pi\alphaрá\deltaos \tau\hat{\omegal \alphaü\tau\omegâ}
    \sigma\phi\rho\alpha\gammai\sigma\alphas [i้\nu\alpha \muo]\iota ко\mu\iota\sigma0\omegaि\sigma\iota.
        \epsilon}\rho\rho\omega[\sigma0.
    20 | M\epsilon\sigmaо\rho\etaे к.

```

On the verso

4. Second a of ayoparat corr. from o. 1. пpootévros. 16. 丂wï̀nt Pap.

\begin{abstract}
' Marcus to his dearest Matreas, greeting. About the fleeces, since you offered to buy some good ones, adding that the summer ones were the best, I bade you buy them whenever they were good, and I wrote to you that they had not brought any to me up to Mesore, and you afterwards wrote and said you had bought some and sold them again, and ... you wrote me a letter, and I was never . . .; I will send you the very letter by Syrus in order that you may read it in a sober mood and be self-condemned. If it is troublesome and you have not yet bought them, give the money to my friend Zoillus; if however you have bought them, deliver them to him under seal, in order that they may be conveyed to me. Good-bye.' Date, and address on the verso.
\end{abstract}
 sale; but \(v[v \gamma] \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \iota\) would be expected.
13. avayroîs: this form of the subjunctive is common in the кovýn.

\section*{1083. Letter to Amois.} \(19.6 \times 12.2 \mathrm{~cm}\). Second or thitd century.

The writer of this letter, who does not give his own name, commissions his son to deliver a message to Herodes, the son of a gymnasiarch, with regard to an appointment to the office of ápXéqoôos. Herodes himself seems to have held no official position, and it was presumably as his father's son that he was concerned in the matter.







```

    \nu\omega\nu \epsilonis \tau\grave{\eta}\nu X\rho\epsiloní\alpha\nu \epsilonौ\delta\omegaк\alpha \alphả\lambda\lambda\grave{\alpha} \tauò\nu
    \epsiloni\pióv\tau\alpha \pi\rhoòs \dot{v}\mu\hat{\alpha}\rho, \tauоиิ\tau' \epsilonॅ\sigma\tau\iota\nu
    10 'A\nu\tau\alphaิ\nu \i [0]\nuv\sigmaiov. \tauò oैvo\mu\alpha oviv
    \tauoû\tauo \sigmauv\tau\etá\rho\eta\sigmao\nu" 'A\rho\sigma\hat{\alpha}\nu A\epsilońov\tauos
    \tauо\hat{v} \Lambda\epsilońo\nu\tauos \mu[\eta]\tau\rhoọ[s] T\alpha\betaóv,\philos.
    2nd hand \epsilońp\rho\hat{\omega}\sigma0\alphaí \sigma\epsilon \epsilonÜ\chiо\mu\alphat.
    ```



On the verso
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 10. } \delta \text { sorvatov/ Pap. }
\end{aligned}
\]

\footnotetext{
'Greeting, my son Amoïs! Go and see Herodes, the son of Apion the gymnasiarch, in my name and say to him "the other day I gave you three names for the office of archephodus, and the next day when I asked you what you had done you said 'I have not given in any of them for the office but the man who told you of them, that is, Antas son of Dionysius.' So keep this name: Harsas son of Leon son of Leon, his mother being Tabomphis". I pray for your health. When you have read the letter do not give it to Herodes. (Addressed) Deliver to Amoïs . . '
4. cirov is the imperative of cina. That aj́mepov is not to be taken literally is shown by l. 6.
iI. The writer apparently wished the name of Harsas to be substituted for that of Antas; but \(\sigma v \nu \tau \dot{\eta} p \eta \sigma o \nu\) is rather ambiguous.
}

\section*{1084. Letter to Didymas.}
\[
24.5 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century }
\]

This letter is on the verso of 1046, and is therefore later than A. D. 218-9, though probably not later by very many years. The writer requests the good offices of his correspondent on behalf of a local revenue-collector.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \iota o \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s \quad \Delta \iota \delta \nu \mu \hat{\alpha} \tau \iota \tau \hat{\iota} \iota \\
& \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\varphi} \chi^{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu .
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{1. 6 rot added above the line.}
' Diogenes to his brother Didymas, greeting. I went to Achillas and inquired about you and he said "He is at Psobthis". So knowing your goodness I write to you that you may assist Apis, who is collecting the revenues of Takona, and may show him hospitality, so that on his return he may bear witness of it to me. Good-bye.'

3-5. \(\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s . . . \tilde{\epsilon} \phi \eta\) : a mixed construction of a common type.
10. Taкóva: this village must have been in the vicinity of Psobthis.
1065. Letter of Hepilafetion.
\[
9 \times 9.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century }
\]

The following short letter is written on the verso of a fragment from a petition, addressed probably to the strategus (1. + ímò \(\sigma o \hat{v} \delta i\) ' vinnpétov éк \(\beta \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon \iota \delta i \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota(\delta 0 \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu)\) and complaining of injury by a brother in connexion with a division of land at Kerkethuris.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \text { тà } \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \text { тô̂ viồ }
\end{aligned}
\]
 \(\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}[\boldsymbol{\nu}]\) ov́ \(\phi i ́[\sigma]\) о \(\mu a\).
[ \(\left.{ }^{\prime} \rho \rho \rho \sigma \sigma\right]\).
2. シ̈̆̃v Pap.
3. \(\ddot{\pi} \pi \epsilon \rho \theta \in \mu \in v o s\) Pap.
"To Stephanus from Hephaestion. On receipt of the letter from my son Theon put off everything and come at once to me at the village because of what has happened to me. If you neglect this, as the gods have not spared me so will I not spare the gods. Good-bye.'
i-8. Cf. the letter published by Vitelli in Alene e Roma, vii. p. 124, ll. 11-13 oint


 tendency in the popular religion to regard the relationship between gods and men as one of strict reciprocity. If the gods neglected their duty and afflicted their devotees, the sufferers retaliated by turning their backs on the gods.

\section*{1066. Letter of Nemesianus.}
\(26.8 \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}\).
Third century.
A letter concerning a file, which was to be procured of a certain size and other articles which the correspondents were forwarding to each other.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda \hat{i}[\sigma] \tau \alpha \quad \chi^{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon L \nu .
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{0 \iota} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\rho} i \nu \eta \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \lambda \alpha ́ s \\
& 5 \mu_{0 \iota} \text { aùtท̀̀ } \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu \text {. єi- }
\end{aligned}
\]
```

    \tauр\iota\sigmaк\epsilon\lambdaí\delta\iota\nu [\delta]l' 'A\pió\lambda\lambda\omega\nuv\rho, \epsilon\ddot{l' т\iota\nuos}
    ```

```

I亏 \tauov̂ \alphav่тоиे 'A\pió\lambda\lambda\omegavos \epsilonivv\alpha \sigmao\iota \alpha'-
\pio\sigma\tauí\lambda\omega,\sigma\piov́\delta\alpha\sigmaov \delta'є \gamma\in\nu\hat{\epsilon}\sigma0\alphal

```

```

\deltai' ’A\pió<br>lambda\lambda\omega\nuоs, каi \alphà\nu\alpha<br>etáт\eta\sigmaov
[\rhǒiv\eta\nuv ov́ \muóvo], p

```

```

[. . . . . . . . .]a, каì v́\pioסíkvソ єІ̆ \sigmao\iota
[. . . . . . . . . .]
\epsilon}\rho\rho\mp@subsup{\rho}{}{`}\omega\sigma\sigma0\alphaí \sigma\epsilon \epsilon\tilde{v}\о\mu\alpha
\pio]\lambda\lambdaoís xpóvols.

```
21. ӥтоб七кข Рар.

Nemesianus to his brother Sarapammon，many greetings．You did well to send me the file，but you sent me one which is too fine．So I send it to you by Apollon with the pattern in order that you may go by that．You write to me in the letter＂I send you a boy＇s linen cloth＂，and I have received nothing．Apollon told me，＂He gave me nothing．＇ I send you the tripod by Apollon；and if you want anything reply to me by the said Apollon，so that I may send it you，and do your best to get me the file，and send it by Apollon；and look for a file which files not only wood but iron tools ．．．and indicate ．．． I pray for your lasting health．

7．є̇छоข \(\pi \lambda\) ápu \(=\) exemplarium．
12．\(\ddot{\varepsilon} \delta \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu\) ：this is a rather early instance of the sigmatic form which is occasionally


19－20．The supplements adopted are in the main due to Wilcken．\(v\) of \(\mu\) óvo］is represented by a vertical stroke which is somewhat tall for a \(\nu\) and may well be an t．At



1087．Letter of Helene．

A very ungrammatical letter from a woman reproaching her brother for neglecting another brother＇s funeral，and giving him sundry commissions．Their father，in a postscript，asks for a present of fish．
```

    'E\lambda\epsiloń\nu\eta \Pi\epsilon\tau\epsilon\chi\hat{\omega}\nu\tauо\varsigma
        \tau\hat{Q}\alpha\mp@code{\alpha}\in\lambda\phi\hat{\omega}}\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{\alphai\rho
    ov \kappa\alpha\lambda\hat{\omegas}
    0\epsilon\hat{\imath\nu} X\alphá\rho|\nu \tauov dं\delta\epsilon\lambda\phiо\hat{v}
    5 \sigmaov. \alphaंф\hat{\eta}\in\varsigma \alphau'\tauòv \mu\grave{\eta}
    к\eta\deltaє\hat{v}\sigma\alpha\iota \alphaúтóv. \muá0\epsilon
    ```

```

    \nu\alphaîка\nu \epsiloṅк\lambda\eta\rhoомо́\mu\eta-
    \sigma\epsilon\nu aủ\tauóv. \gamma\epsilon\nuô ov̂\nu
    10 \pi\rhoòs \Theta'́\omega\nu\alpha к\alphal \epsiloni\pi\̀
\alpha\nuंT\hat{\imath}}\pi\epsilon\rho\hat{\imath}\tau\tilde{\eta}\varsigma к\epsiloń\lambda\lambda\lambda\alpha
Ö\tau\iota €ं\sigma\phiр\alpha\gammaí\sigma0\eta \tau\grave{\eta}\nu
кє́\lambda\lambda\alpha\nu}\alpha\cup\cup\tauо\hat{v} \mu\eta
\delta'̀\nu ó\phi\epsiloní\lambda\omega\nu, к\alphai
15 \epsiloni\pi\epsiloǹ \Pi\epsilonт\epsilonХ\hat{\omega\nu\tau\iota \tauò\nu}
viò\nu \Piо\lambdav\deltaєúк\etas

```
öt \(\epsilon\) єi \(\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota s\) €́ \(\lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu\)
є́ \(\lambda \theta\) Є́, \(\triangle\) וóбкороs \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\)
\(\lambda \epsilon เ \tau о \cup \rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath}\) v́rè \(\rho \sigma 0 \hat{v}\).
20 єi oîסєs ôt ou \(\mu\) и́ \(\lambda \lambda \in t s\)
Є̀ \(\lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi<\nu \mu 0 \imath\)
テòv ả \(\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ́ v\) oov
\(K \alpha ́ \sigma \tau 0 \rho \alpha\).
\[
\epsilon \rho \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ \quad \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \hat{v}^{\prime} \chi \sigma(\mu \alpha \iota) .
\]
\(2_{5} \kappa \alpha \dot{\gamma} \omega\) ' \(A \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho o s\) ó \(\pi[\alpha-\)
\(\tau \grave{\eta} \rho \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \zeta о \mu \alpha \iota\)


\(\theta \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s, \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi o v\) [ \(\delta \hat{\epsilon}\)

\(\eta \mu \epsilon[\).\(] ov \epsilon\).

 above the line.
'Helene to her brother Petechon, greeting. You did not do well not to come on account of your brother ; you have allowed his burial to be neglected. Know then that a strange woman is made his heir. Go to Theon and tell him about his cellar, that it has been sealed up although he owes nothing; and say to Petechon the son of Polydeuces, "Come if you are coming, for Dioscorus is labouring on you behalf. If you know that you are not coming, send me your brother Castor." I pray for your health.'
'I also, your father Alexander, send you many salutations. Buy me a little fish from the sea and send it by a man . . '

25. There is no change of hand, and it is likely that both Helene and her father employed an amanuensis.
30. Perhaps \(\pi[a \rho] \eta \mu \epsilon\left[v{ }^{\prime} o v\right.\).

\author{
1068. Letter of Satornilus.
}
\(24 . x \times 9.9 \mathrm{~cm}\).
Third century:
Satornilus, the writer of this letter, was engaged with some assistants in the conveyance of a corpse from the Arsinoite nome to Alexandria. Delays occurring in the Arsinoitte nome, his companions had temporarily gone away, probably back to the Oxyrhynchite nome, and Satornilus now asks his correspondent to give them any assistance they needed.
 \(\chi^{\alpha i \rho \epsilon t \nu .}\)


5 рî єïva \(\mu \circ \iota \pi \lambda o i ̂ o \nu ~ \delta \iota \alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \epsilon \tau \alpha t ~ \epsilon i ̈ v a ~ \delta v-~\)

\(\xi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \rho \iota \alpha \nu\), каì \(\delta \iota \alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \epsilon \tau о ́\) роь бкафídı-




\(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \in \lambda \theta\) óv \(\tau \in S\) ồ \(\nu \quad\) ' \(\nu \quad \tau \widehat{̣}\) ' \(A \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu 0 \in i-\)
\(\tau \eta\) є \(\hat{v} \rho o v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \tau \nu ~ \mu \eta ́ \pi o v ~ \delta v \nu \alpha ́-~\)
\(\mu \in \nu 0 \nu \quad \kappa \eta \delta \in v \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \in \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\)



\(\xi \in \alpha u ̉ \tau o i ̂ S ~ \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \sigma \hat{\eta}_{S} \sigma \pi o v \delta \delta \hat{\eta} S\),




\(\gamma \in ́ \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s \sigma_{\epsilon} . \quad \alpha \xi \iota \epsilon \sigma \omega\) ô̂v, кúpє \(\mu \circ v\),
єi้va dệs aútoîs \(\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) єïva \(\mu \eta\) -
 єì \(\alpha \quad \delta \nu \nu \eta \theta \hat{\omega}\) к \(\eta \delta \in v ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́-~\) \(\tau \iota \nu\) '́ \(\lambda \theta \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \epsilon ́\).
 mo入入oîs Xpóvots.

On the verso
30 ả \(\pi o ́ \delta o s ~ ' A \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega v i ́ o v ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \Sigma ' \alpha \tau o \rho v i ̂ \lambda o v . ~\)


 16. алаукєаs Pap. 1. àvaүкаias.
17. ข̈тарझє Pap.; v corr. from a. l. vinápga. \(\quad 20 . v\) of a \(\lambda \lambda o v\) added above the line; l. ä \(\lambda \lambda o\). 1. \(\sigma \chi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu\). 22. к of катє \(\chi_{\chi} \nu\) corr. from т. 23. 1. \(\gamma^{\prime} \nu \omega \mu a \iota\). Il. \(24-5\) are blotted.
'Satornilus to my lord Apollonius, greeting. Finding no boat available in the Arsinoitte nome I wrote to my lord Clematius the chief priest that he might send me a boat and so I might be able to carry the body down to Alexandria; and he sent me a skiff of sixty artabae burden. The brethren who brought it received letters also from Clematins to the intent that no one in the neighbourhood should trouble them (?). So on going up to the Arsinoite nome they found the body not yet ready to be buried, and I have a delay of some days. They therefore determined on account of a pressing need to go to Toou. So I urge you, my lord, to supply them with the marks of your good will, that on their return they may testify of it to me, and with anything else they may need from you for Simias' sake (for you sent for the ass and they kept it), until I come to you. I beg you then, my lord, to give them a letter in order that no one may trouble them on the road, and so I may be able to bury the body and come to you. I pray for your lasting health. (Addressed) Deliver to Apollonius from Satornilus.'

17. Taav' : this name occurs in Hermopolite papyri, e. g. B. G. U. 892. 8, P. Leipzig 99. 19.
21. \(\Sigma \mu_{\mu i o v: ~ a p p a r e n t l y ~ t h e ~ n a m e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ d e a d ~ m a n . ~}^{\text {a }}\)


\section*{1069. Letter of Troilus.}
\(26.6 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm}\). Third century.
A letter from a man to his sister, concerning chiefly a tunic which was to be made for him. The writer was a man of some means, but this letter, which is in a large uncultivated hand, is in the vulgarest of Greck; the form \(\pi \rho \rho o \begin{gathered} \\ \sigma\end{gathered} \nu\) for \(\pi \rho o ̀ s\) \(\sigma \epsilon\), and the use of \(\delta\) for \(\zeta\) are especially noticeable.



кòv кат⿳亠 \(\tau \alpha ́ \chi o\{v\} s \in[i] \nu \alpha \in \cup \cup-\) ［ \(\epsilon a v\) ］
\(5 \rho \omega\) ávì̀ \(\left.\gamma \epsilon \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \llbracket \epsilon \epsilon \nu \alpha \quad \epsilon \rho \theta \omega\right]\)
є́à \(\nu{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \theta \omega \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \sigma \epsilon \ell \nu\) ．Єíסov̀ \(\gamma\) à \(\rho\) каi

\(\beta \eta s \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma u ́ v \in \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha}\) رоv ка［i］тòv
\(\mu \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \grave{o} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \varepsilon \rho \zeta \epsilon \varphi, \hat{\omega} \nu . \quad \theta \epsilon \in-\)

aúvढ̀ \(\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \epsilon\) ．єídoù \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) каi
\(\left.\tau o ̀ ~ \pi о \rho \phi ט ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v v^{\nu} \nu\right] \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \omega \nu\)

\(\psi \epsilon \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \epsilon i ́ d \in \iota \nu \quad \Theta \epsilon \rho \mu o v-\)
\({ }_{15}\) 日eí \(\omega\) єis＇\(A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha=\delta \rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu\) ，
\(\tau \alpha ́ \chi \alpha\) रà \(\rho \delta^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu\) фo［ \(\left.\rho\right] v-\)

\(\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \nu\) ．т \(\nu \nu\)
\(\pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon i \sigma \kappa \eta \nu\) \(\mu\) оv ס̀̀ \(\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ y o \nu ~\)
20 ả \(\nu \alpha ́ \gamma к \alpha \sigma 0 \nu\) ф \(\epsilon \iota \lambda о \pi 0 \nu \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon\) ，

\(\nu a ́ \gamma к \alpha \sigma o \nu ~ \alpha u ́ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \beta \rho \epsilon ́-~\)

\(\sigma o \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) тò \(k \epsilon \ell \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon l \nu \quad \mu 0 \nu\)
\(25 \gamma \epsilon \nu\)＇́ \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ к[\alpha-\)
\(\lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \alpha\) थủt＠̣̂ \(\beta \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu\)
каi \(\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu\)＇\(\epsilon \pi \epsilon i\)


\(3 \circ \nu \alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}\) бov \(\tau \alpha ̀ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\)


\(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi a ́ S o \mu a \iota \delta^{\circ}{ }^{~} H \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu\) ．

Q

\section*{In the left margin, at right angles}

On the verso

\author{
\(\pi(\alpha \rho \alpha ̀) T \rho \omega i ́ \lambda o v \quad M a ̀ \zeta \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}\) \\ \(\dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{n}\).
}






'Troïlus to his sister Maz, greeting. Yon will do well to have my white tunic made quickly in order that I may find it made if I come to you. I have written to Heraclides also so that you may receive my tools (?) and the wages of the weavers; and I wish to know how you are hurrying on the making of it. The purple is put with the tools. Be careful to send the letter to Thermouthion at Alexandria, for we may be able to load two camels with wheat for you and to send them to you. Make my slave-girl be properly industrious; and if Tamoun bear a child make her be assiduous with it. Be careful to have ny tunic made properly, and let them put good measure into it, and be large-handed in the colouring. Buy a donkey for Nicetes in order that he may be able to accomplish your business; and reply to me if you want anything. I salute Heras. I pray for your health. P.S. I have written to Heraclides to give Tamoun 3,000 drachmae. (Addressed) From Troilus to his sister Maz.
 in mediaeval Greek; the latter occurs e. g. in C. I. G. 3440 , which is of about the same period as the papyrus. The dropping of final \(s\) is common from an early period; cf.




 \(\nu\) is not very satisfactory and may be a \(\pi\), and a letter may be lost between this and \(\omega \nu\). Cf. note on 1. 27.
 For the converse use of the future indicative for the subjunctive cf. e. g. 1068. 19 єiva \(\mu o\) дартирijgovat. At the end of the line форєтрístiv seems to be the verb intended, but I can find no other instance of it.

21-3. This may be no more than a warning against any neglect ; perhaps however, as Wilcken suggests, the meaning is that the child was not to be exposed (cf. e. g. 744. 10).
27. If \(\mu \in \gamma_{a} \lambda_{\epsilon}\) is for \(\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\dot{a} \lambda} \lambda_{a t}\) the feminine would confirm \(\gamma \in \rho \xi \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu\) in I. 9 and the meaning

 a [ \(\delta \epsilon\) is lost at the end of the line.

\section*{1070. Letter of Aurelius Demareus.}

\section*{\(22.1 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm}\).}

Third century.
This is a verbose and rather pompous epistle sent apparently from Alexandria by a husband to his wife whom he addresses as his sister. The writer expresses much solicitude for his home and reproaches his wife in an ironical strain for neglecting to write to him.

```

    \alphá\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\hat{\eta} \chi\alphai\rho\epsilonl\nu. i
    ```


```

5 \phi0\hat{v}\sigmaov к\alphaì \tauо\hat{v} \pi\alphaт\rhoó[s \sigmao]v к\alphaì \tau\etâs \mu\eta\tauрós
\sigmaov к\alphai \tau\omega\hat{[}[\nu] \grave{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}[\nu] \pi\alphá\nu\tau\omega\nu к\alphai \pio\lambda\grave{v}}\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon}\tau

```

```

    \pi\rhoо@\sigmaк\nu\nu\epsilon!l, \tauò\nu \mu\epsiloń\gamma\alpha\nu 0\epsilonò\nu \sum\alpha\rho\alphaि\pi\iota\nu \pi\alpha-
    \rho\alphaк\alpha\lambda\hat{\omega}\pi\epsilon\rhoí \tau\epsilon \tau\hat{\eta}S \zeta\omega\etâS \dot{v}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu к\alpha\grave{\imath}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu
    10 \grave{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu \pi\alphá\alpha\nu[\tau]\omega\nu к\alphaì \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \chiр\eta\sigma\tau\hat{\omega}\nu \epsilon'\lambda\pií-

```

```

    \nu\omega\nu. \tauò \mu\epsiloǹ\nu oû\nu \gamma\rho\alphá\alpha申\epsilon\nu \sigmaol \pi\epsilon\rho\grave{}
    ```

```

    ö\pi\epsilon\rho к\alpha\grave{\imath} ф0\alphá\nu\omega \piо\lambda\lambda\alpháк\iotas \sigmaol \gamma\rho\alphá\psi\alphas
    ```

```

    \llbracket\delta\epsilon\rrbracket \sigma0\iota '́v\epsilon\tau\epsilon\iota\lambda\alphá\mu\eta\nu \pi\epsilonр\iota\tau\tauò\nu \nuv̂\nu
    i\gamma\eta\eta\sigma\alphá\mu\eta\nu, ка\iota \gamma\alphà\rho \sigmaù \alphaú\tau\età \mu\eta`T\eta`\rho
    ```

```

    \lambdaov \epsilonُ\muov̂ 0\epsilon\lambda\etaj\sigma\epsilonls \tau\età\nu \tauоúт\omega\nu \pi\rhoóv[0]<-
    20 {\nu0\iota}\alpha\nu к\alphai \epsilon'\pi\alpha~\nu\omegá{\rho0]\omega\sigma\iota\nu \gamma[\epsilon]\nu\epsiloń\sigma}\sigma\alpha
\mu\epsilon\tau\grave{\alpha} \tau\eta\S \alpha}\nuv\pi\epsilon[\rho]\beta<br>eta{\tauоv к\eta]\deltaє\muо\nuí\alphas

```

```

    фро\nuтíOos \alphà\nu\taui \pi\alpha\nu\tauòs \pi\rhoо\nuó\eta\sigmaov,
    ö\pi\epsilon\rho каi \pi\epsilon\rhoi \tauoú\tauov \piо\lambda\lambda\alpháк[l]s \sigmaol \epsilonै\gammaр\alpha-
    ```

\(\phi \epsilon \iota \delta o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta\). \(\quad\) ê \(\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha ́\) бol \(\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \Delta \iota o l v-~\)







víov тồ vioû тô̂ \(\Sigma \kappa o ́ \pi \alpha \alpha\) каi тô̂



\(\tau \grave{\alpha}\) à \(\nu \tau i \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \dot{\alpha}\) бо८ \(\delta \iota \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu\)


In the left margin, at right angles

 \(\pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau о s\)

.] . . [.] . . . . !.

On the verso












\(\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \delta(o s)\) ' \(A \rho \sigma \iota \nu o ́ \eta \quad \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\eta} \pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}) \quad \Delta \eta \mu \alpha \rho \epsilon \epsilon \omega s\).
10. \(\tau\) of \(\tau \omega \nu\) corr. from \(\chi\). \(\quad 15\). An \(\epsilon\) is added above \(a\) of \(\delta u\), i. e. the writer perhaps



'Aurelius Demareus to his sister Aurelia Arsinoë, greeting. The prayer which I previously made to all the gods for the preservation of yourself and our child and your brother and father and mother and all our friends now goes up to them with far greater force in the great Serapeum, and I beseech the great god Serapis for your life and that of all our friends and for the good hopes that are held by mankind. I think it superfluous to write to you about our business and affairs concerning which I have written to you often before in many letters and have equally given you instructions in person; for you yourself as the mother of our child will be more eager than I am that those affairs should be studied and advanced with unsurpassed sollicitude. But before all study the care and regard of yourself, as I have often written to you about this also, sparing nothing that we have. I send you by Dionysius, who . . either in . . . or in the . . . the neighbour of the house of Apollonius, six cotylae of Siretic (?) oil in a half-chous jar, and one basket full of sweetmeats. Two petitions which were presented by Xenophas from Apollonius son of Scopas and his son-in-law Stephanus, who is at the city, against you father and motherof these too I send copies in the bundle of letters. If therefore you meet and come to any conclusion about them let me know quickly, and anything that I thought I could do, being here, I will not neglect (?). Nothing has happened up to now about our affairs. I pray for your health. . . . Do not at present send me any supplies until I let you know or write to you about this. Greet and salute all our friends severally. I thank you very much for this that, although I have often written to you, you have not written at all nor remembered me in regard to the safety of our house, as I often by notes and letters and when with you in person enjoined on you to do. Do not neglect this, lest indeed you choose to hand over the keeping of the whole house to Heraïs, who is unworthy, along with yourself, and, what heaven forbid, we find ourselves at sixes and sevens. The slave of Ptolemaeus, the brother of Hermogenes, has been journeying to Alexandria and has often come to me, but you have not been willing to give lim letters and have not sent him to me at all, but Eudaemon parted with him saying "At present we are not at leisure and are visiting others." (Addressed) Deliver to my sister Arsinoë from Demareus.'
8. tòv pé \(\mathfrak{y}\) av Sapãtev may belong to both verbs. In any case a conjunction is wanted.
 apparently indicates the place of manufacture.
\(4^{-2}\). If the decipherment is correct the construction has become very much confused. It seems difficult to avoid \(\dot{\epsilon}[\nu]\); \(\delta\) or \(\sigma\) would be fossible instead of \(a\), but these give no
 excluded. In 1.43 there would be room for two letters between or and \(] s\) : ot \([0]\) s is not at
all likely. \(\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\jmath} \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega\) can perhaps be connected with \(\delta \eta \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma a \tau \epsilon\), but more probably this is a case of the use of \(\mu \dot{\eta}\) where oi would be expected, or we may write toírov \(\langle o \dot{v}\rangle \mu \dot{\eta}\).
43. The superfluous \(\delta\) is only partially preserved and was possibly deleted.
 meaning ' out of harmony,' one person doing one thing and another another. 'A \(\overline{\in \xi \bar{\xi} \dot{v} \delta \rho o v}\) cannot be read, nor, if it could, would it give a satisfactory sense.

\section*{1071. Letter of Pambecilis.}
\[
15.6 \times 3{ }^{1.6} \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fifth century } .
\]

Letter to a captain (1. 10) requesting him to give orders concerning the disposal of 100 artabae of corn. The writing is across the fibres of the papyrus.
\(\pi\)




 бovatv. \(\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu\)
 т \(̀\) V 'Ißıovos,
 'Ißıovos, \(\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu\)


 тךфо́рои
тò \(\tau i ́ o ́ \phi i ́ \lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu \pi o ı \eta ́ \sigma o v \sigma t \nu, \delta \epsilon ́ \sigma \pi o \tau \alpha\).
On the verso

\[
\pi(\alpha \rho \alpha) \text { П ap! }
\]
3. \(\kappa\) of evener incompletely formed. \(\nu\) of oud rewritten. 4. I. \(\tau \in \lambda\) eíwoov . . . vaúraus.
 of a \(\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \omega\) written as a monogram, \(\omega\) through the tail of \(\phi\). 1. 'Aбкалạ \(\nu a v \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \varphi\).
＇As you were urged in person by me and by my lord the most distinguished Cyrus， advocate，on account of the hundred artabae of corn from the old produce，vouchsafe to perform this favour and write to your sailors what they ought to do．If you order them to make the bread here and send it to the village of Ibion，write to them；or if you order them to send the corn to Ibion，again write to them．For Anoup has already taken his fifty，and the other man his fifty．Vouchsafe then to write to them by the letter－carrier what they ought to do，sir．（Addressed）Deliver to my lord and brother Askalas，captain， from Pambechis ．．．＇

1．\(\pi\) ：cf．941．i，note．
3．Kúpov is best regarded as a proper name since kupiov precedes in 1．2．For the \({ }^{\text {axodaatikoi cf．902．I，note，Gelzer，Leipziger hist．Alhandl．xiii．p．} 34 .}\)


1072．Letter of Pihloxenus． \(29.9 \times 5.3 \mathrm{~cm}\) ．Fifth or sixth century：
A short letter，written in a very narrow column，relating to a pond which was in process of construction．
\(\Phi ا \lambda o ́ \xi \in \nu 0 \varsigma\)
ä \(\pi \alpha\) Mapтupíov
\(\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \in ́ \rho o v\).
\(\delta \in \chi o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 s\)
5 тоиิтó \(\mu\) ои
тò \(\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \alpha\)
\(\sigma \pi o v ́ \delta \alpha \sigma o \nu\)
фpovtíral
тồ \(\nu\) ย́ov
10 入áккоч
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i } \in \rho \in{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu \text {, } \\
& 15 \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \gamma \text { 久̀ } \rho \\
& \text { орккิ̄бє } \\
& \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̂ ~
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { öтt тí } \\
& 20 \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \eta^{\lambda} \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \\
& \text { єis aủtóv. } \\
& { }^{〔} \rho \rho(\omega \sigma 0) \text {. } \\
& \text { 14. ï } \rho \epsilon \omega \nu \text { Pap. }
\end{aligned}
\]
＇Philoxenus to Apa Martyrius，elder．On receipt of this my letter hasten to give heed to the new pond which is being made by the help of God in the priests＇estate，for I am about to take an oath of them as to the expense，what they have spent upon it． Good－bye．＇
 937．22，as was preferred by Wilcken，Archiv v．p． 272.
-

\section*{I N D I C E S}

\section*{I．NEW LITERARY TEXTS．}
（a） 1011 （Callimachus，Aetia and Iambi）．
diyaOós 84， 197
«そaтầ 320.

àjueiv 25 I．
«九кќข 114 ．
d \(\dot{\gamma} \lambda a \ldots 3^{89}\) ．
aquevét +3 I．
áyvós 235 ．
äpos Fr .2 recto 3 ．
ảyptás 13 ．
＂̈pios 297.
ล่าต้́ 254.

äє \(\theta \lambda o \nu 229,253\).
ब \(\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \epsilon 1 \nu 5.94,173,223,244\) ．

úeí\} wos 265 ．
\({ }^{\pi}{ }^{\prime \prime} \theta_{\text {Es }} 257\).
д̈ \(\eta \mu а\) з \({ }^{6}\) ．
à áávatos 69.
alfi 60.
aiveī 285 ．
aivos 211 ．
aik 13 ．
aiлó入os 97.
aipeî̀ 12， 153.
ай \(\rho \in ⿺ 20\).
aívos 121 ．
ai̛vv \(\mu \mathrm{a}\) ข I 62.

aiteíの日at 36.
Айтиa 90.
＇Aкая ．． 72.
адкартеї 8.

＇Akóvtlos 26，30，40，44．
àкovetv 92， 2 II， 328.
äkpos 231，330， \(400(?)\) ．
＇AKJŋ́ 264.
äкv \(\begin{aligned} & \text { os } 242 .\end{aligned}\)
＇A入a入áģtos 60.

à \(\lambda \in \notin \epsilon \iota \nu 5\) ．


d̀入ıтрós 68.
＇ \(\mathrm{A} \lambda \kappa \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\omega} \omega 139\).
à \(\lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \quad 28,30,80,128,139\), \(240,252,255,257,296\) ， 312，351，368．oủ रíp d̀ \(\lambda \lambda a ́ 92\).
«i \(\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu\) 295，407．
ä \(\lambda \lambda\) os \(27,62,416\) ．ӥ \(\lambda \lambda \omega_{s} 188\).
ã̉s \(39^{2}\) ．
à \(\mu \mathrm{a}\) ลิैs 335,349


á \(\mu\) avpoû̀ \(4^{29}\) ．
\({ }^{a} \mu \beta \omega \nu 34\) ．


à \(\mu \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \epsilon t \nu 10\) ．
а̇ \(\mu\) i 33，264， 289.

äy \(48,200,3^{80}\) ．
\(a \nu \nu=a v a 272\) ．
àvaßá入入єıv 43 ．
àvaүкá̧є九ц 188.
àvaкрiveเy 323 ．
iva入íokety 100.
ã้ขa \({ }^{\text {® }} 88,375,4+\mathrm{I}\) ．
àvappitтtel 201.
ävaora 82 ．
àvagté申яo大at \(23^{8}\) ．
àvaт \(\rho\) є́тєцข 68.
ávơávetv 271.

àvép \(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a t 35\).

àvク́ 103，166，245，264．

\(185,203,235,425\).
àvizpós 14 ．
ä \({ }^{2} \mathrm{\nu} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \beta\) Bos 296.
àvtí 45.
\({ }^{a} \nu \omega \theta \in \nu 32\) ．

àotós 32 I ．
ämats if 6 marg．
à \(\pi a \mu \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} 2\) ч 0 ．
а̀та́рұєбӨає 165.
a่тทレグs 257.
ḋ \(\pi \lambda \omega\) ต́s 195.
äто́ 24，57，98， 23 I ．
ג̇токтеívety 302.
＇A \({ }^{\prime} \delta{ }^{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu\) 97，174， 224 marg．， \(232,267,409\) ，Fr． 11 verso 3 ．

дытот入єil \(155^{8 .}\)
dं \(\pi 0 \pi \nu i \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu 300\) ．
à \(\pi \rho \eta \gamma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma\) Өat 198.
ä \(\pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a t 45,356\)（？）．
\(a ँ \pi \omega \theta \varepsilon 293\).
ä \(\rho \gamma\) vpas 30 ．
＂Ap \({ }^{\prime} 245\).
＇Aplataîas 33.
àpıotefós 218.
áptatev́s 247.
äplatas 255.
＇Apkás 103.
äрaŋv 3 （1．aùtíкa）．
di \(\rho \tau \epsilon \mu\) भंs 227
＂Артеніs 22.
àpхаios 54， 263,35 I，423．

ä \(\sigma \epsilon \lambda\) रaivet 202.

äбта⿱丷天心 46.
àттєрі́ткаs 120.
äorvpà 74.
àт七นầ 423 ．
àт \(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime} \omega\) s 24 I ．
йтритеs 277.
aủôâo \(\theta a t 21\) ．
aùтáp \(3^{2}, 38,72,89\) ．
av̉тika 3 （ä \({ }^{2} \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu_{t}\) Pap．）， 42.
av̉̉ts \(\mathbf{I} \delta\) ．
aùtós \(15,38,75,104,141\) ，
179，238，250，268， 328.
ux̌єìv 240.
aủ रウ́ข 391.
＊Aфрабтаs 74.
ä \(\phi \rho \omega\) ข \(224,233\).
＂\(\phi \omega \nu 0 s{ }^{1} 57\).

ßaivetv 38， \(3^{6} 9\) ．

Bapús 22.
Bías 138.
阝入є́тєtン II5，197，298，370．
乃óń 61.
ßотóv 85.
\(\beta_{o v}\) Fr． 1 verso 3.
ßov́dєofat Fr．in recto 3.
Bavтá̀єtos 95.
ßav̂s \(11,93\).
Bpír才os 224.
Bpáxıà 325.

子aía 260.
үацßцós 33， 195.
र́ános 18，22， \(5^{\circ}\) ．
rip 4，23，30，51，78，92， 104，110， 112,114 marg．， 156，206，213，222，235， \(236,252,254,268,275\) ， 279，293，300，317，319， 369, Fr． 5 recto 2.
रaबтíp \(2 \mathrm{IS}, 350\).
\(\gamma \in 5,32,79,200,358,413\).
үєєтоиєî̀ 300 ．
\(\gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega s=155\).
భévesà 43 I．
خ́́vas 164 ．
\(\gamma \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu 66,122,249\).
\(\gamma\) б由цєтрєї̀ 123 marg．
久ท̆ 123.
रiveo日at 102，107，229，232， 295.

रıขผ́のкєย＂233， 378.
\(\gamma\) 人ढَба 9， 144.
रóns 64.
रрúфєเข 123，125．үри́фєбӨal 102.

रpクûs 67 ．

\(\gamma v \mu \nu i ́ \zeta \epsilon u 1147\).
रu \(\mu \nu 0\) où IOI， 21 y ．
roví 401.
\(\delta a i \mu \omega \nu 128\) ．
Sais 228.
ठáxpu 400 （？）．
ठó \(\phi \nu \eta 212,222,223,226\) ， \(260,265-7,269,274\), 276，282，288， 297.
ס̂ete入ıvás 12.
Seíl 180.
ठ́є́ttas 66.
\(\Delta\) е́ \(\lambda\) фtos 20.
\(\Delta \in \lambda\) фо́ 23 I， 255.
\(\Delta \in \lambda\) фós 98， 172.
סévסрау 2 I4， 293.
\(\delta \in \xi\)（ウ） 193.
\(\Delta \epsilon \xi_{\theta} \theta \in \dot{\epsilon} \eta 7\).


§́votava 301.

Stútepas 16， 274 ．

סín 5I，129，211，299，357．
\(\Delta\) ídiot 279.
\(\Delta \hat{y} \lambda\) os 26.
\(\delta \hat{\eta} \mu\) os \(\mathrm{I} 66,28 \mathrm{I}\) ．
\(\Delta \eta \mu \omega \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\xi} \xi 6\).
Stakpiveay 268.
סtóóvat 132，133，193， \(3^{82}\) ．
\(\Delta i \delta v \mu\) ús 122.
ठıка̧́єє \(26_{3}\) ．
Síkatos 162 ．
Sıveíl j 05.
Dtavatuís 41 ．
סís 227.
ठt＇்кєty 57.
\(\Delta\) ánváos Fr． 11 verso 2.
ठакеї 44， 368.
\(\delta a \mu \eta \eta^{1} 5\) ．
\(\delta \delta \mu={ }^{2} 422\) ．
סopís 11 ．
Sóvis I36， 430 ．
ס̂oû̀as 324 ．
§рс́көv 218 marg．
ठpês 261.
\(\Delta \omega \rho i \eta{ }^{2} 230\).
\(\Delta \omega \rho t \sigma \tau i 353\).

є \(\gamma \chi\) єī 355.
è \(\gamma \dot{\omega}\) 28，89，133，220， 221 ，
\(228,230,235,244,245\),
\(248,253,256,279,302\) ，
408．í \(\mu\) eís \(53,165,299\).
éónфas 134 ．
द́ \(\theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon t \nu 28\).
ti 350， 4 I3．
єióévar \(234,33^{2}\) ．
tióos 218 marg ．
єїкєเข 441 ．
cìciv 144.
Givat \(26,48,49,79,105\) ， \(174(\vec{\eta} a), 200,203,235\), \(236,293,324,377,409\) （ \(\epsilon \sigma K \in \nu), 412\) ．
єїขєка 68.
fintiv 74，84， \(327,172,194\) ， \(227,289,298\).
fis 265,299 ．

єїт 35 s ．
є่к \(50,93,252,392\)
ধ́кабтos \(1+1\) ， 330 ．
‘Ека́тŋ 99.
е́кßá̀入єt 395.
éкeí qII \(^{\text {（？}}\) ）．
éкeit \(\theta \in \nu\) 1 7 I．
Éxeivos 44，130， \(185 . \mathrm{Cf}\) ． кeivos．
éккцךцой̀ 199.
є́кко́ттєед 163.

є́кл入єi้レ \(1+5\).

ध́кфúєєข 162.
E＇Aaí \(212,224,233,262\) ， 266，271，276，280，283， 33 I．
єлафпфи́pos 234 ．
テ̈ \(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {кєL }} 22 \mathrm{I}\) ．
є＇\(\lambda \lambda\) сіттєы 6 g ．
ধ́ \(\mu\) ós 23，48，81，13I，242， Fr． 15 recto 2.
ध́ \(\mu \pi \nu \epsilon\) ì 127.
\(\epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu 10,24,37,64,122,194\) ， 211，222，243，254，255， 258,263 ．＇̇ví 55.
évávèv 191．évav́ectar 34．5， 349.

ёขєка 6.


\(\notin \nu \theta \in \nu 76\).
द̇עıк入ầ 22.

ย̇ขvúxias 21 ．
évos 107.
є̇vte入ís 317.
є่ขтi \(\theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota 66\).
є̀vтрє́фєєン 184 ．
є́ \(\xi \in \iota \pi \epsilon\) ì 136 ．



＇่＇\(\cdot\)［ 130 ．
є́тєเта 117 ．
є่ \(\pi \dot{\eta} \nu 232\).
є่тi 34，61，1It，160， 187 ， 188，228， 250,284 ．

є́тiínuos 26.
émiéval 89.
émıца́pтия 48 ．

єтเти́ध 239.
є́ \(\pi \iota\) ヶá \(\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu\) mirg． 99 ．

єлтиєєрєì 182 marg．
єं \(\pi \imath \chi \rho i \epsilon \tau \nu 270\).
є̈ \(\pi\) OS 21，39． 226 ．
є́ \(\pi\) тá \(17,133\).
є́лтацијкךs 126.
є́pầ \(11+\) marg．， 224 mary．

＇pefir 1 4o， 325.

є́рєúyєıv 7.
є́pi\}cid 262.
є́ртєто́ン 163 ．
є́ри́кєь 363 ．

є＂\(\rho \omega s 75\) ．
＇s \(13,20,41,77,204,228\) ， \(231,248,269,321\).
\({ }_{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \in \epsilon \nu 270\).


\(\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \omega 271,408\).
єтаi \(\rho \eta+2\) ．
є่тєóv 9.
Ettepos 128，135， 165.
є่тทтvцї 76 ．
Є゙т！ 51 ．
єย่єбтต́ 87 ．
єйкр \(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\nu o s} 72\) ．
є ั้入 ．．． 150 ．

єi．opкє̂̀ 42 ．
є்่т入о́кадоs 73 ．
Eũ̃
єن́píткет \(121,260,262,267\).
tủpús 372.
єйатєктоs 300.
Eṽ́申opßos 124 ．

＂Ефєбоs \(334,34^{8}\) ．
є́фіє \(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \theta a t 131\) ．
є́фíवтабӨat（énívт．） 108.
є́фориа̄бlat 175.
 112，128， \(165,169,392\). 403.
éx \(\begin{gathered}\text { pós } 141, ~ 295 . ~\end{gathered}\)

Zeús 36， 6 I（土ús）， 79 （Zq甲ús） 88， 388.
ケón 182.
i＂ 1 fo（？），187，202， 221. \(227,228,237,249,251\), \(254,255,290,399,40 \mathrm{I}\) ．
is 8 ， 300 ．
\(\bar{\eta}={ }^{\prime} \phi \eta 3^{8}\) ．
？ 120 ．
クुठ́ध́ 126 （？）．

ク̈Kєє \(92,146,194\) ．
ŋ̉入є \({ }^{\prime} 66\).

\(\bar{\eta}^{\top} \lambda \iota \xi 42\).
\(\dot{\eta} \lambda t o \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \xi 219\) ．
динє́ра 194.

\(\dot{\eta} \mu \theta \dot{\theta} \eta 71\) ．
jucs 262.
\(\ddot{\eta} \nu 28,139,307\).
ฤиіки \(174,24^{8}, 3^{83}\) ．
\({ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{H} \rho \eta+\) ．
そेஸ̂OS 10.
Өádacoa 168.

Өa入入ós 283.

Өávatos 6．4．
\(\theta\) cá 6， 380.
Otós 38，42，49，65，108，198， \(203,268,34^{6}\), Fir．II recto 4 ．
बभ \(\eta \rho \eta 24\).
Өŋनєंs 273.
\(\theta \nu \eta ́ \sigma к \in \iota \nu 149\).
\(\theta \rho i \xi 110,431\).
\(\theta\) púav 2 \＆．
Ouyúr刀p 29.
\(\theta \hat{v} \mu a 98\).
Quдós 5，289， 350.

Өviŋ́p 221.

＇la⿱土兀i 353.
lav́etv 2.
¿ס̄єй 6.
＇iotos 41.
iסpúél 222.
¿ঠрица 73.
ípós 6，14，33．ipós 61，194． 232， 236.
ik \({ }^{2}\) Fr． \(1_{5}\) recto 3.
＂ıкцоs 34 ．
i \(\mu\) ероs 53 ．
ivis 63.
í \(\pi \pi\) os \(86,402,447\) ．
＇1 \(\pi \pi \omega ิ \neq a \xi 92\).
iqтával 112.
iбторí 7 ．
＂̈ \(\sigma \in \sigma \theta a t 4\).
＇lounis 52， 72.
＇1 фikd toos 46 ．
＂xpor 86.
＂loves 225.
каӨंグкєєу 109 （？）．
каӨ \(\eta \sigma \theta a t 259\).
кавijety 280.
kai．kaì ríp 104，110，156， 213，222．kuitaí 328.


बато 40 （？）．кท̉тí 250,284 ．

кої 286．коย่ 235．кө่ง


273．Х ढึто́тау 236.
кuifter 237.
како́s \(8,12,298,424\) какюิs 328.
 272.

Ка入入ıótワ 77.
кu入ós \(214,364,44^{6}\) ．калйs 173，188，ќ่入入ıбтоs 430 ． кади́ттєє 39.

ка́uдєє 17.
ка́цттєєц 234.
Kâpes 62.
KápӨata 7 I ．
карто́s 269.
ка́рта 6， 175.
ка́ртоs 164.
Kapv́at 59.
ка́бィs 23.
кат． 445 ．
кататіӨє \(\sigma\) Aat 55.
кє 45 ．
keivos 50，85，319．Cf．
ékeîvos．
Keios \(3^{2}, 53,74\).
кєíの日at 180，250，276， 411 （？）．
Ke入aevítys 47.
кє \(\lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu 2\).
кєขо́s 166.
képas 321.
кєраúntos 64.
кє́pдos 3 I6．
Kє́ \(\boldsymbol{\omega}\) s 63.
кグסєє 23.
\(\kappa \bar{\eta} \rho 362\).
кприбのєtข \(1+1\) ．
K \(\iota \omega \omega . .5^{8}\) ．
кл \(\lambda \rho \circ \bar{\nu} \nu 3^{67}\)（？）．
к \(\lambda \iota \sigma \mu i o \nu 16\).
\(\kappa \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota \bar{y} 53\).
\(k \lambda \nu \zeta \in \epsilon \nu=25\).
\(\kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \pi \dot{\eta} \rho \mathrm{II} 2\).
к \(\nu i ́ \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu 330\).
Kпбреiôns \(3^{2}\) ．
ко́ \(\lambda \lambda \nu\) ßos 93.
кодขцßầ 273 （？）．
ко́ \(\eta \quad 20 \mathrm{I}\) ．
ко́лтє 362.
ко́р 390．коv́p \(\eta\) I 5， \(39 . ~_{\text {3 }}\)
Kop \(\eta\) бus 74.
кор \(\dot{\omega} \eta \eta^{2} 78\).
котє́ 4，18， 54 （тотє́ Рар．），
211.

ко̂ै 254 ．
кои 112.
кои́p 15,39 ко́р 390.
кoùpos 1.

кр \(\eta\) रúws 196.

Kри́б生 444.
крiveи＇ 428 ．
K рóvos 160.
крои́etr 226， 314.
крицós 19.
ктєаті甹єөaє 47 ．
ктєivetr 379.
Kขסíлाク 19.
кข์єє 159.
ки́ккоs 126 ．
кúкขos 243 ．
ки́тєєроs 261 ．
кирі́шs 187 ．
кй \(1,144\).

Kшри́кıає ví \(\mu \phi\) ає 56.
кติร 278,403 ．
кんs 161 ．
кตтı入i̧etv 277.
кштinos 259 ．
\(\lambda a t \delta\) oós 4， 278.
入a \(\begin{aligned} & \text { cíl } \\ & 352 .\end{aligned}\)
\(\lambda\) ádos 170 ．
\(\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v e t \nu\) Fr． 15 verso 4 ．

295， 377.
Aédeqes 62.
גєикós \(218,248\).
入íitos 33 （？）．
\(\lambda\) дкєї 183.
А \(\eta\) т \(\omega\) 280．
入íp 294．
גiveos 37.
\(\lambda i s 57\).
\(\lambda\) дóyos 137 （？）， \(43^{2}\)（？）．
入otmós 40， 291.
Аи́үб́apes 23.
Avóoí 212.
\(\lambda \hat{\nu} \mu a \quad 25\).
入úvis 422.
\(\lambda \dot{\omega} \beta \eta \eta_{9} 8\).
\(\lambda\) нitepos 87 ．
\(\mu \mathrm{á} 301\).
Maípa 35.
да́кар 65.
Мккєд \(\dot{\omega}^{67}\).
цакро́s 103.
\(\mu a ́ \lambda \alpha 24 \mathrm{I}\)
нávтєs \(22 \mathrm{I}, 286\).
ムá \(\sigma \sigma є \nu 205\).
\(\mu a ́ \sigma r a \xi ̆ 271\).
\(\mu\) aì̀ls 9.
нáरク 94.

 290.
\(\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon t \nu 34,76\).
Me入ín 63.
\(\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 10,50,113,237,334\) ， \(34^{8}\) ．

\(\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta ̂ \sigma \theta a i ~ 18,252\).
\(\mu_{\epsilon ́ v}\) 10， \(11,70,136,163,187\) ， 210，218，222，228， 240 ， \(245,256,319,368,420(?)\) ， Fr． 15 recto 3.
\(\mu \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \ell \nu 20\).
\(\mu\) épos 409.
\(\mu\) éros 105,37 I．
\(\mu \epsilon \tau a ́ d 6\).
\(\mu є \tau а \sigma т \rho \epsilon ́ \phi є \iota \nu\) I 82.
\(\mu є \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \chi є \sigma \theta a \iota 40\).
\(\mu\) ќтрор \(334,34^{8}, 362\).

\(\mu\) ทं 137，239，294，295，299， 328，355， 349.
\(\mu \eta \nu 17\) ．
\(\mu \eta \dot{\tau}\) г 270.
\(\mu i \operatorname{lin\rho } 67,190\).
\(\mu i \gamma \nu v \sigma \theta a t 31\).
Miồs 47.
нікко́s \(3^{82}\) ．
\(\mu i \mu \nu \epsilon 1 \nu 3+1\) ．
\(\mu(\nu 58,60,129\).
\(\mu\) ітр 45 ．
\(\mu \nu \eta \mu \eta 55\).
\(\mu\) ќdizos 30.
нои̃vos 68，I53， 3 II（ \(\mu\) óvos Рар．）．
нoûaa 8 I．Mơ̂̂a，Mov̂бat 85 ，
\(89,204,357,361,400\).
нахӨךро́s 199.
\(\mu u ́ \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu{ }^{2} 5\)（？）．
\(\mu \nu\) Өòóyos 55.
\(\mu\) и̂धos 77，86， 173.
رий 97.
\(\mu v\) pios 396.
\(\mu \dot{\prime} \rho \mu \eta \xi+2\) I．
vai 296.
ขaít 56 ．
vatetầ 52.
Nágos 38.
vaós 408.
\(\nu a v \sigma \theta \lambda o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a t 4 \circ(?)\) ．
\(\nu\) ข́є \(\sigma \theta a t 50\).
veíkos 212.
עek oós 236 ．
\(\nu є ́ \mu є \iota \nu 85\).
véos 217,356 ．
\(\nu \in ́ \rho \theta \epsilon \nu 2_{4}\) ．Cf．ধ̈vє \(\nu \theta \epsilon\) ．
\(\nu \in v \in i v 205\).
\(\nu \epsilon \varnothing \epsilon \lambda \eta 37\) ．
\(\nu\) ที้s 49 ．
\(\nu\) ที̃os 55, Fr． 6 verso 2.

vıкâv \(+3^{8}\) ．
ขадós 89 ．
vov̂oos 12.
ví \(\phi \eta 5^{6,436 .}\)
vuमфios 27.
viv 191.
víg 44.

Зєуоцй \(\delta \eta\) s 54 ．
छ́vos 323.

\(\xi \dot{v} \in เ \nu 123\) ．
guvós 268.
 275．ои́лафŋфо́роs（ \(\dot{\text { }}\) ． Pap．）234．о́̈ци́s 13 \(\mathbf{I}\) ． ои́vтрафєі́s 184 ．ойтєроя 128 ． та̉лi 160 ．тйтє́p刀 135. тои̃óaфos I34．тоv̉入óxpvoov
 \(\lambda \omega \nu=s 3^{2}\) ．T \(\boldsymbol{\omega} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \dot{\omega} 163\). ஹ́ptatéós 218.
ó，\(\dot{\eta}, \tau o ́(\) demonstr．）．ó 38 ．тív 12，240．\(\tau \hat{\omega}\)（ \(=\) therefore） 58．т \(\hat{\nu} \nu 7,93\) ．ठ́ \(\mu \epsilon \in \nu\) ，ó \(\delta \hat{\epsilon}\)

21，39，70，111，127，143， 169， 2 IO， 2 I9，240， 289 ， 297， \(375 \cdot\)
ô，\(\eta^{10}\), тó（relat．）．тó 124．тív
 5．tás 57.
ซ̈́ \(9,54,2\) 40，268，298， 329 ， \(3^{81}, 4^{3} 5\).
óóós 250 ．
\(\approx \theta \in \nu 334,348\).
oi̊€ \(i v 290\)（？）．
ởલิ̂̀ 59，I 68 （？），181， 422.
กiкทбเs 78.
aikos \(88,220\).
oivos 3 I 8 ．
oios 277 ．
о́ко̂аs 234 ．
о̋коข 93 ．
d̀ oós \(^{19} 9\).
öдоя 88 ．
бло́хрибоs 130.
＇Oגv \(\quad\) тín 254.
＂одข \(\mu \pi\) os \(3^{8} 5\) ．
óцартєív 250 ．
одилеiv 327.
© \(\mu \nu\) víval 27.
о́ \(\mu\) иे 62.

óvípa⿱日大日a 6.
ógús 11 ，75，86， 258 marg．（？）．
önts 79 ．
ön \(\pi\) 生 28 \＆
о́то́та⿱ 236.
о́тло́тє 26.
ópyisє大日at 225 （？）．
ס́fễ 139.
ठ̈ ккєоу 29.
ö́pкos 22.
öpleov 12 I marg．
öpues 257.
öpos 23 I．oûpas \(34,370\).
\({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}\) р \(\pi \eta \xi=15\) ．
वैртטگ 37.
ö́s \(14,34,47,54,68,107\) ， 115，128，188， 219,225 ， \(245,247,267,273,292\) ， \(3^{2} 4,400,428,443\) ．oैs \(\pi \epsilon \rho\) 220．ö́s \(\tau \epsilon 3^{6}\) ．
ó \(\sigma i \eta 5\) ．
örots \(8,49,124,132,172\) ， 252.
öтау 144 ．
о̋тє 68， 8 1．
ov，oùk \(5,6,23,27,30,43\) ， \(44,4^{6}, 49,65,78,83,92\) ， \(94,103,128,157,162\) ， 164，173，174，220，221， \(224,226,235,253,268\) ， \(278,293,294,301,313\) ， 326，373，388．oúरi 233， 311.

ดข่อ́ย \(24,47,104,162\)（ov่．．．
（8́），191，234，375．409．
ovideis 257.
ขข์кє́тᄂ 20.
กั้้ \(282,35 \mathbf{I}\) ．
ойขєка 329 ．
оั้ขоца 50,62 ．
oípos 34.370 ．öpos 23 I ．
ойтย \(256,287,333,347\) ， 361 （？）．
оึंтоs \(21,139,145,164,171\) ， \(253,258,296,325,35^{2}\) ， 447.

öpes 264.
пíq 115.
татס́viety 196.
таís \(3,9,16,22,26,76,224\) ，
249，401， 430.
таíхขเov 390， 395.
тádat \(212,259\).
\(\pi а \lambda і \mu \pi \rho \eta\) тоя 324 ．
\(\pi\) тìıv 38.
Пa入入ús 262， 267.
тари́ 54，86，97，220．па́p
105．тираí \(3^{6}\).
таратє́тєбөаи 327.
Пap日évlos 25.
тар ச́vios 45 ．
тaptévos 1， 113.
Парขךббо́s 57.
\(\pi\) ûs 29，39，54，68，84，107， 128， \(169,242,404\).
\(\pi\) пí \(\chi \epsilon เ \nu 307\) ．
тaтeì \(235 \cdot\)
\(\pi \pi т\) 追 20，131，379，384， 388.
\(\pi a v \in \sigma \theta a t 294\).
\(\pi \epsilon\) ̧ós 89 ．
\(\pi \in i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a t{ }^{5} 5\) ．
\(\pi \in \nu \theta \epsilon \rho o ́ s 3^{2}\) ．
\(\pi є\) тто́рєтроу \(3^{13}, 366\).
\(\pi \in \rho 5,220\) ．
\(\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad 444\) ．
\(\pi \epsilon р \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon เ \nu 237\).
\(\pi \epsilon\) рітгиоs 52.
\(\pi \in \dot{\kappa} \eta 261\) marg．
\(\pi \bar{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} 233\).
тเаivety 287.
тєӨavës 98 marg．
пivet \(144,270\).
\(\pi \iota \pi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu 93\).
тiттetv 2 IO， 265.
Hıбаios 79.
\(\pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu 3^{18}\) ．
\(\pi \lambda\) єi้ \(120,339\).
\(\pi \lambda \in i ̂ o \nu 25\) I．
\(\pi \lambda \epsilon к \epsilon \tau 2.4\) ．
\(\pi \lambda є и \rho о ́ \nu ~ 238\).
\(\pi \lambda \bar{\eta} \theta\) os 99.
\(\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota 37\).
\(\pi \nu\) тĩ 239， 350 ．
\(\pi \nu o\) І 100.
moteiv I79， \(227,299\).
Пойテба 73.
тонílos \(3^{89}\) ．


тo八íns 281 ．
\(\pi о \lambda v i \delta \rho \epsilon i \eta 8\).
modús \(85,219,271,308,389\) ， 391， 398 ．mounes 52.
\(\pi ⿰ ㇒ ⿻ 土 一 ⿱ ⿴ 囗 十 丌\) ．． 411 ．
порұро́s 202.
тóvos 206,425 ．
тотадо́s 25 ．
тór \(\eta\) S 114 ．
\(\pi о \nu \lambda \dot{\mu} \mu v \theta\) os 170.
mounús \(5{ }^{2}\) ．Cf．\(\pi\) odís．
\(\pi\) oús 433 ．
\(\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \nu о \nu 279\).
\(\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta u s 76\).
трทivetv 35.
\(\pi \rho i \nu\) I 15.
\(\pi \rho i v o s 261\) ．
\(\pi\) ооиúrфios 2.
\(\pi\) úús 129.
\(\pi \rho \sigma^{\sigma} \theta \epsilon \nu 290\).
\(\pi \rho о т \epsilon i v \epsilon \omega 275\).
\(\pi \rho o u \sigma \in ́ \lambda \eta \nu \operatorname{los} 12 \mathrm{I}\).
\(\pi \rho\) оिtos 125.
\(\pi \tau \in \rho o ́ v 421\) ．
ттодіє \(\theta_{\text {pol }} 72\).
\(\pi r \omega ّ \mu a 274\).

Пutín 222.
חív \(\theta \nu+\) го．
\(\pi \hat{v} \rho \mathbf{1 7}, 191,334,348\) ．


คクŋoíws 397.
ค̂j̄ซts 102， 182 marg．， 289 ， 359.

Eáßos 399.
бá̀ \(\pi \iota \gamma \xi 61\) ．
баṑr，бó 88.
ミapóquós 172.
बєíelข 215.
बiтt \(\eta(-\tau \eta s ?) \mathbf{I} 2 \mathbf{I}\).
бка入ךขóv 125.
\(\sigma к і \pi \omega \nu \quad 134\).
\(\sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \mu o ́ s ~ 391\).
oós 26.
бoфós \(13^{2}\)


］atepeĩ 427.
aтp \(\omega \nu v\) vá 16.
ov́ 5，3І，32， 40 （ \(\sigma \in \hat{i} 0\) ）， 44 ．
75 （ \(\sigma \epsilon \theta \in \nu\) ），83，88，133，
137，175，236，251，252，
\({ }^{2} 54(\sigma \epsilon i), 256,299,3^{66}\) ，
\(367,4^{12}, 43^{6}\) ．iцкеія 132 ，
184． 373.
биิкоข 15 ч．
\(\sigma \nu \mu\) ．．． \(353 \cdot\)
\(\sigma v \mu \beta\) ád \(\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 302\)（？）．\(\sigma v \mu \beta \dot{\text { ® }} \lambda-\)

бvццт \(\frac{1}{v} v a t 333,345\).
б́идлкктоя 353.
\(\sigma \nu \mu \phi \rho и \dot{\delta} \mu \omega \nu=28\).
Gúv \(3,87,246\) ，Fr．I I recto 4 ．
ovขavтūข 192 ，

\section*{1．NEIV LITERARY TEXTS}

สvขтєӨ́vat 366.
бфирóv 46.
\(\sigma \chi \eta \eta_{\mu} 123\).
б才о入á̧̌ル 105.
\(\sigma \omega \pi \eta\) 102， 255.
tádas 294.
rầıs 3 ．
т
тavpos 297.
тáфos \(237,248,378\)（？）．
\(\tau \in 3^{6}\)（ö́s тє）， \(58,60,64,65\) ， 70，214，226，238，246， 284， \(39^{2}\) ．
те́ \(\theta \mu\) нод 2.
тєıरi乡Etע 70.
тєлеї 108.
тє \(\lambda \epsilon \sigma\) фópos \(8_{4}\) ．
\(\tau \in \lambda \in v \tau a ̂ ̀ ~ 29\).


т́́pvetv 230.
T \(\epsilon \mu \pi \eta\) 252．T \(\mathrm{T}_{\epsilon \mu \pi \dot{\mu} \hat{\theta}_{\epsilon \nu} 2} 230\).
тeós 53 ．
tícoapes 70.
тєtapraîos 17.
тє́тратоу 20.
тє́ \(\chi^{\nu \eta}+17\) ．
тє \(\chi\) рі̇єเร 389 ．
т \(\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime} \theta_{\eta} 24^{8}\) ．
\(\tau \eta \kappa \in \tau=15\).
т \(\eta\) ойтоs 44 ．
т приіка 356 ．
Tinvos 23.
titévat 28，195， 213,274, \(37+\left(\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu\right), 445\).
Tı日⿱⿻土㇒日保 249.
тіктєи \(241.335,349,440\) ．
тицiv 266.
тии 38 ．
тицїкts 396.
ті́ноя 116.
тьขもupijє 258.
tis 60 （ \(\tau \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu\) relat．），220， 221 ， \(260,262,266,269,275\) \((\tau \epsilon \hat{v}), 279(\tau \epsilon \hat{v})\) ．
Tis 6，52，139， 179 （？）， 207
marg．（？），285，286，350，
\(357,3^{82}, 46^{(?)}, 44^{8}\)
T \(\mu \hat{\omega}\) 人os 211 ．
tókos 242 ．
то́тє 14 ．
тойขєка \(3^{80}\) ．
т таүчóós 168,3 I \(^{2}, 3^{6} 7\).

трfis 276.
\(\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \in \iota \geqslant 7(\epsilon ँ \delta \rho \rho \mu \mathrm{\epsilon})\) ．
трі \(\beta \omega \nu\) Іог．
трíyตıov 125 ．
трitious +13 ．
тpis 227.
трíos 18， 207 marg ．
Tpıтшу＇s 390.
тродєiv 328.
трофós 443 ．
тvүХáveєv 393 ．
ïßpıs \(64,69\).
vैסpos 218 ．

चै \(\delta \omega \rho\) г
ป็入 \(\eta 261\) ．

í \(\mu \dot{\eta} \nu a\) os 43 ．
ข́такоиєєе 127 ．

virvos 2.
ย̇тобт \(\rho\) ตขvíva \(223,239\).
ím \(\rho \rho o \phi=s+14\) ．
фаєtvós 30 ．
фаive \(\theta\) Oat 297.
фávat 4，31，129．139，145， \(216,288,324,379\).
фа́рцикоу 188,376 ．
файдos \(327,388,422\).
фє́рєєг 94，231，249， 3 19， 390.
\(\phi \in \hat{v} 277,291\).

\(\phi \eta \mu i\}_{\epsilon}\) I 4,58 ．
фídos 195， 354.
фí入o廿os 167.
\(\phi \lambda\left(\right.\)＇́ \(^{2} 20\).
Фổßos 21， \(63,183,225,301\) ， 417.

Фoivkes 120.
фоттєir 228.
фрıктós 6.
Ф \(\rho\) úg 124 ．
фикі́окая 263.
фи入cíбनєเข 279.
фú入入oข 258， 275.
\(\phi \bar{i} \lambda o \nu 5 \mathrm{I}\) ．
\(\phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta} 169\).
хаípєє \(87,88,432\) ．
\(\chi^{\text {àє }} \pi\) ós \(8,35,49\).
Xápites 73，82．

\(\chi^{\text {cilidos }} 278\) ．
\(\chi \theta \dot{\omega} \nu 372\).
\(\chi^{\text {dóos }} 12\).
\(\chi^{\lambda \omega}\) ро́s 5.54 ．
Xooós 228,443 ．
\(\chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime}+16\).
\(\chi \rho \bar{\jmath} \sigma \theta a t 269\) ．
хрŋотós 256 ．
Xpíєe Fr． 2 verso 4.
\(\chi \rho i ̈ \mu \Omega 241,272\).
хрїьt Fr． 2 verso 2.
xpóvos 429.
xpuoós 31,420

xutós 292.
\(\chi\) whós \(335,349\).

廿ฑ̈фos 48 ．
廿ittakós 167.
© \(99,242,294,298,417\).


409．\(̈ \phi \rho \omega \nu 224,233\).
६ิठ 129.
\(\omega_{\rho} \rho \eta{ }^{1} 5^{8}\) ．
is \(2,9,56,58,60,70,14^{2}\) ，
144， 18 2 marg．， 2 18， 253 ，
261，272，299，331，357
ढ̈s 289 ．
\(\omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho 164\) ．
ஸ́ \(\sigma \tau \epsilon 326\) ．

\section*{（b）OTHER TEXTS}

\section*{（Numbers in thick type refor to papyri．）}

ả子oét 1012．C ii．， 16.
á \(\gamma\) vós 1015． 15 ．
＂үроєкоs 1012．Fr．i6．I．
ия \(ү о\) о́я оя 1015． 7.
díed фós 1013．Fr． 4 verso 2. áєiốcy 1015．I， 9 ．
＇A日̄̃va 1012．C ii． 45.
＇A Aŋvaiot 1012．C ii． \(3^{8}\) ．
Aiүc市тat 1012．C ii． 40.

аїа 1010． 17.

＂ipeì 1013． 31.

aйтos 1012．С ii． 48.
＂íx \(\mu\) ！1014． 25.
đікои́єєข 1012．A ii． 27 ；1013．6． áкрой \(\theta\) и 1012．A ii． 30.
ब̈入єiфєє 1015．I \＆
à \(\lambda \eta\) Oŕs 1012．Fr．I3．ii．30，
Fr．16．3，8， 11,13, Fr． 18． 6.
d入入í 1013．34， 44 ；1015． 13 ． «̈入入os 1012．A i．6，F 15 ； 1014． 22.
ü入ogos 1012．Fr．I3．ii． 29.
á \(\mu\) артávєє \(1012 . \mathrm{F}_{14}\) ．
« \(\mu \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu\) 1015．1 7.
ảyú 1015．го．
（ivayp［1012．Fr．39．2．ब̀vayıw 1012．Fr．20． 6.
ävag 1015．Io．
d̀ঠঠеєía 1012．Fr．11．ii． 8.
＇Avסpotín 1012．C I9， 2 I．
ảทウ́p 1012．Fr．12． 1 （？）； 1015. 18， 20.

d̀vтєเлєi้ 1012．C ii． 55.
む̀ \(\nu \tau\) ßодє̂̀ 1013． 18.
ìvтíikos 1012．A ii． 29.
＇Àtimatpos 1012．C ii． 11.
à \(\nu \nu \mu \nu \in \epsilon \epsilon \tau \nu 1015.6\).
ひそ๙ขิข 1013． 20.
do兀 \(\delta\) otó̉os 1015． 2.
ảotó́s 1015． 6.
ätas 1013．35， 4 I ．
à \(\pi \dot{a} \tau \eta\) 1012．Fr．if．ii． 8.
ảnó 1010． 19.
ảnódeţts 1012．A ii． 22.
àmostoóvat 1012．C ii． 31.
＇А \(\pi о ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu\) 1015． 5.
àто入ขт \(\rho \circ \hat{\nu}\) 1013． 21.
äрєєos 1012．Fr．іб． 17 （？）．
＇Apiotırtos 1012．B i．I 3.
＇Apıбтофкivns 1012．Fr．23． 3.
ápнó̧̧єv 1012．A iii．I 2.
＂̈рте 1015． 5.
äртоs 1010． 19.

àp \(\rho \chi \in \cup \in \downarrow\) 1015．І3．
darós 1015． 11.
＇Aтtıкク 1012．C iii． 40.
＇Atтєós 1012．Fr．16．5， 15
Fr．17．3，5，7，9．
av̇Guठia 1013．Io．
aủ̃ós 1010．г6，г 7 ；1012．B ii．2，C ii．18，22，25，54， Fr．11．ii．7，13，Fr．24．3；
1013．19；1015．I， 4 ．
 ảфィのтávą 1012．C ii． 48.
àфvctós 1015． 18.

àхеєios 1012．Fr．16．14， \(1_{5}^{5}\) ， 17.
＂хр \(\neq \sigma\) тоs 1012．Fr．16． 17.
\(\beta a \rho \nu \tau\) о́vตs 1012．Fr． 16.16.
\(\beta_{1} \beta \lambda i o n\) 1012．A ii．6，Fr． 13. ii． 27 ，Fr． 18 ． 1.
Bios 1012．B i．4．

ßov́ \(\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta\) 1012．A ii． 35.
ßоидєи́є兀 1013． 36.
ßov̄s 1015． 5.

үáp 1012．A ii． 2 I，iii． 1 3，C ii． \(24,3^{6}\) ，iii． \(3^{8, ~ F r . ~ 16 . ~} 7\) ， Fr． 21.8 ，Fr． 23.4 ； 1013. 15,\(42 ; 1014.10,23 ; 1015\). 12，18， 19 ．
\(\gamma \in\) 1012．Fr．16． 12.
\(\gamma є i \tau \omega \nu\) 1013． 2.
\(\gamma \epsilon \rho a i p \epsilon\) е 1015．11， 21.
Гétas 1013．9， 10.
रiveatar 1012．C ii．30， 49. F 19 ；1013． 41,\(44 ; 1014\). 9，10， 20.
үเขผокєเ 1012．Fr．20．4； 1015． 13.
үрациатєє́o 1012．C ii．15， 2 1．
үра́фєt 1012．Fr．I3．ii． 22.
\(\gamma^{\rho} u \overline{1013 .} 14\).
\(\gamma\) ขииітьo 1015． 9 ．
रvví 1012．Fr．27． 4 ； 1013. 22.

ठаク̄pą 1015． 20.
\(\delta \epsilon t \kappa[1012 . A\) ii． 12.
ঠєikдívar 1013． 4 I．
Seiv 1012．B i． 8.
סєโิण \(\begin{gathered}\text { at } \\ \text { 1012．C ii．} 53 .\end{gathered}\)
ঠєútєроs，є̇к סєєтт́́pov 1010． 24.
ঠ̂ŋえov̂v 1012．C ii． 20.
\(\Delta \eta \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \rho\) 1015．І5．
\(\Delta \eta \mu\) ́́as 1013．13， \(15,22\).
ঠîues 1012．C ji． 33 ； 1015. 10， 16.
\(\Delta \eta \mu\) ortév \({ }^{2}\) 1012．A ii． \(3^{6, C}\) ii． 20 ，iii． 46 ，Fr． 13 ．ii． 17 ， 25.

Sú 1012．Fr．4．4，F 21 ； 1013． \(3^{2 .}\)
\(\delta \operatorname{\delta a\beta i} \lambda \lambda \epsilon t y\) 1012．Fr． 1 1．ii． 5. ठєaıทŋrís 1012．C ii． 30.
Sta入úєt 1012．A iii．7，C ii． 49. \(\delta u \sigma \tau \epsilon ่ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu\) 1012．Fr．16．4．

ठиффорá 1012．C ii． 28.

ס८ơáのкє兀v 1015． 22.
Stóóvat 1013．44，Fr． 4 verso 1 ；1015． 17.
बíduros 1012．Fr．13．ii． 25.
\(\delta i \eta \gamma[1012\) ．A iii． 10.
Stka \(\begin{gathered}\text { rís 1012．A ii．} 30 .\end{gathered}\)
§ırतגи̂s 1012．Fr， 27.3.
סırtás 1012．Fr．i6．4．ठıтtês 1012．Fr． 16.15.
Síta 1010． 20.
Sokêv 1012．A ii． \(17, \mathrm{~F}_{15}\) ．
סокєца̧́єєv 1013．+2 ．
Sóga 1012．B i． 2 （？）．
סúvapis 1012．Fr．i6． 4.
\(\delta v \sigma \mu \mu \eta\) то́татоs 1012 ．A ii． 34.
\(\delta\) рробокіа 1012．Fr．11．ii， 7.
ठิिpov 1015．Ј5， 19.

＇่ \(\gamma \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \iota \circ \nu\) 1015．9， 23.
єं \(\gamma \dot{\omega}\) 1013．16，19， \(21,41,42\) ； 1015．г．
そ̈etv 1012．Fr． 16.13.
єi 1012．Fr．I3．ii．30； 1013. 42.

єî̊́va 1012．B i．g．
єїкобя 1012．C ii． 33 ．
eival 1012．A ii．8，9，35，iii． 4，В i．5，8， \(10, \mathrm{C}\) ii．ıा， ：8，10， 25,47 ，iii． 4 r．Fr． ro．i．3，Fr．13．ii．29，F 18；1013． 2 I，Fr． 4 verso 3；1014． 23.
firteiv 1012．C ii．6，23， 43. iii． 48 （？）．
fis 1012．C ii． 25,\(45 ; 1015,4\) ．
eiotévat 1013． 44 ；1014． 8.
єiฮтрє́Хє兀v 1014． 13.
éx 1010． 24.
テ̈́кабтоs 1012．A ii． 25 ，Fr．I 3. ii． 26.
éxeîvos 1015． 21 ．кfìvos 1015. \(16,18,19,22\).
ёктоtos 1013． 7.
е́ктоs 1012．C ii． 14.
¿ккфє́єєレ 1012．A iii．6，Fr． 16. II．
é \(\lambda\) atáputos 1015．I i．
ẻ̉aıóरıтоs 1015．I 4.
 19.
＇Eス入ضрtкá 1012．F＇
 4，6， 8.
\(\epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu 1014.8\)（？）， 17.
\(\epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu \phi a[\) 1012．Fr．23． 5.
є่้ 1010． \(3,8,10,1 \mathrm{I}, 13\) ； 1012．A ii．31，37，C ii． 6 ， 13，20，28，5r，iii．53，54， Fr．13．ii．25，F 12，1\％， Fr．18．I ；1015．8．èvi 1015． 10.
＇̀vayஸ́ntos 1015．8．
ぞvoa 1015．го．

Є้ \(\nu \tau \epsilon \lambda_{\eta}{ }^{\prime}\) 1012．C ii． 5 （？）．
évivर૪ðávely 1013． 22.
＇\({ }^{\prime} \xi\) оцоьồ 1012 ．A ii． 28 ．
є゙ \(\ddagger \omega\) 1012．Fr．I 3．ii． \(30, \mathrm{~F}_{20}\) ； 1014． 24 （？）．
芼 \(\xi \omega \theta \in \nu\) 1014． 4 （？）．
є́ \(\alpha \rho \tau \grave{1}\) 1012．C iii． 28.

єं \(\pi i\) 1015．16， 21.


＇Етікоироs 1012．B i．I 1.
є่тьоркі́а 1012．Fr．г т．ii． 7.
\(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \in ́ \pi \tau \in \sigma \theta a t\) 1012．A ii． 7.
єтібкотоs 1015． 9.
е̇тахєтєن́єє 1014．\＆（？）．
є́ \(\pi\) тátovos 1015． 3 ．
द́peiv 1012．C ii．8，Fr．13，ii． 23，28， 33 （？），Fr．16．8， Fr．24．1， 3 ．
 8， 23.
є́ро́т \(\eta\) бьs 1012．Fr．16． 9.

éの \(\sigma \lambda\) 人́s 1015 ． 16.
̇́тaîpos 1015．i2．
єттєром 1012．Fr．13．ii．8，33，
Fr．24． 2.
E゙тィ 1015．I3．
\(\epsilon \mathcal{U}^{\text {1012 }}\) 1012．A ii．5，7，8， 11 ； 1013． 37.
єंยєруєテia 1012．C ii．26， \(4^{2}\) ．
єข่ยрүєтєîv 1012．C ii． 38 ．

єข่єрує́тทs 1012．C ii． 26. є ṽpє \(\sigma\) Ls 1012．A ii．I 4 （？）． Eúpúdoxos 1012．C ii．i 2.
 ё＇Xєьv 1012．A ii．6，7．C ii．29，

F 25, Fr．16．4．Fr． 18.3. é \(\chi\) Opós 1012．Fr． 1 i．ii． 11

ケั้ \(1013.37,+1\).
\({ }_{7}\) 1012．A ii．30，Fr．I3．ii． 8 （？）， 29 （？）；1013． 40 ； 1015． 21.
クु \(\delta\) є́ 1015.15.
クुण \({ }^{\circ}\) 1012．C ii， 46.
خ̇ठo \({ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) 1012．B i． 12
\({ }^{j} \theta 0 \mathrm{os} 1012 . \mathrm{A}\) ii． 26.
ฑ゙кєєข 1010． 22 ；1012． C ii． 24.
\(\tilde{\eta}^{\eta} \lambda_{\text {tos }}\) 1013． 8.
\(\eta^{\mu \epsilon} \nu\) 1015．I 4.
＇Hpak入єióךs ó Пòтıкós 1012. C ii． 1.
＇H \({ }^{\text {ádóotos 1012．C ii．} 56 .}\)
ク̈тоц 1015． 18.
Өádaббa 1014．I7．
Oávatos 1014． 23.
\(\Theta \varepsilon \mu \iota \sigma \tau о к \lambda \hat{\jmath}\) 1012．C ii． 24.
Өєо́тоцтая 1012．С ii．у 3.
Өєóфраятоs 1012．C ii． 27.
Otós 1012．B i．ıо；1015．7．
\(\theta_{\epsilon} \epsilon ้ \omega \nu\) 1015．I2．
Өovkvôiồs 1012．Fr．5．3，C ii． 23 ，iii． 37 （？）．
Өрaб \(\omega v i \delta \eta\) s 1013．10，37， 43.
Eptáatov（ \(\pi \in \delta \delta i o \nu\) ）1012．C ii． 46.
¿ס̊เธ́тทs 1012．Fr．16． 2.
í́pєєa 1012．C ii． 7.
ífós 1015． 10.
＇ìдvpıoí 1012．C iii． 53.
＇I \(\mu\) є́ \(\rho\) 1012．C ii． 7.
＇Imrias（l．｀I \(\sigma a \gamma\) ópas）1012．C ii． 43,51 ，
I rayópas（＇I \(\pi\) mins Pap．） 1012. C ii．43， 5 I．
iutopeì 1012．C ii．13， 56.

каӨа́тєр 1012．A ii． 36.
кaì үáp 1012．A ii． 2 I．
Kaкídeos 1012．Fr．13．ii． 24.
кotpós 1012．A ii．I 5，26，C ii． 28 ．
каітоь 1012．F 17.
как 1012．Fr．І8． 6. какю̄s 1012．A ii． 5.
ка́циєє̀ 1015． 4.
катá 1012．A ii．ıо，B i．t，
C ii．15，20，21，Fr． 16.9.
катáүєьข 1012．C ii．44，50， \(5^{2}\) ．
катариниїбӨає 1012．C ii． 37.
кат \(\eta \gamma\) арєì 1012．Fr．I 3 ．ii．I4．
кễos 1015．16，18，19， 22.
є̇кeivos 1015． 2 I ．
кєvєavðク́s 1015． 19.
кєขео́s 1015 ．I 9.
Кє́ркира 1012．С ii． 25.
Kєркураїо七 1012．C ii．29， 32. кла́єє 1013． 18.
к入ลิ้ 1012．F 22.
клєієєข（＝клєiv）1015．6， 7 ．
K \(\lambda\) etivias 1013．12， 13 （？）．
\(k \lambda\) eís 1012．C iii． 53 ．

\(\kappa \lambda \dot{j} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu 1015.7\).
ко入á乌єı 1012．C ii． 39.
Kopivalos 1012．C ii．30，32， \(45,55\).
коти́лŋ 1015． 14.
Kpáteta 1013．32，39．
крірєєข 1012．C ii． 3 I．
крเт \({ }^{\text {к 1 1012．A ii．}} 30\).
крıтıкós 1012．A iii． 9 ．
кроข́є兀ц 1015． 3 ．
кvpíws 1013． \(4^{2}\) ．
к \(\omega \lambda\) v́єєข 1012． B i． 6.
\(\kappa \dot{\mu} \mu \eta\) 1014．5．
кшццкós 1012．C ii． 3 ．
\(\lambda_{a} \theta^{-1012}\) ．Fr．II．ii． 11 ．
\(\Lambda a k \backslash\) 1012．C ii． 2.
Аакєঠац \(\mu\) о́vot 1012．C ii． 46 ．
Аакебัи́ \(\mu \nu\) 1012．C ii． 5 I．
\(\lambda\) адßávéw 1013．I I， 12 ；
1014． 26.
\(\lambda_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \gamma \in \iota \nu\) 1012．A ii． \(27, \mathrm{~B}\) i． 5 ， \({ }^{1} 3, \mathrm{C}\) ii． \(2, \mathrm{I}_{7}, 22\) ，iii． \(3^{6}\) ，

Fr．16．8，13，Fr．23． 2 ； 1013． 17.
入єtó̃ๆs 1012．F 27 （？）．
入íe erv 1012．Fr．16． 12.
入íay 1012．F 15 （？）．
\(\lambda_{\imath}\) ós 1010．г，I \(3,19\).
入óyos 1012．A ii．8， 28.
入óqरך 1012．C iii．54； 1014.
12， 20.
\(\lambda\) v́pa 1013． 18 ；1015． 3.
Avaias 1012．A ii． 20.
\(\lambda\) út \(\rho \frac{\nu}{1015.5 .}\)

дакáptos 1013． 40.
накро́s 1012．Fr． 21.3.
нá入ıテтa 1012．A ii．20， 32 ； 1015． 21.
на́дагра 1010．го．
н́́yas 1014．6．\(\mu\)＇́ \(\gamma\) totos 1012. C ii． \(4^{2}\) ．

\(\mu \epsilon i ̀ \lambda \imath \gamma \mu a\) 1015． 19.
\(\mu^{\prime} \nu\) 1010． 22 ；1012．C ii．I 7 ， iii． 50 ，Fr．16．16； 1014. 10，18， \(23 ; 1015.6,16\) ， 18．\(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu\) ởv 1012．A ii．6， Fr． 16.6.
\(\mu \epsilon \beta\) 1012．A ii． 1 I．
н́́pos 1012．A ii． 8.
\(\mu \in \tau\) ©́ 1015． 12.
\(\mu \in \tau \epsilon ́ \omega \rho o s ~ 1010.12\).
\(\mu\) н́т \(о\) оу 1012．A ii． \(3^{2}, \mathrm{~F} 3^{2}\)（？）． \(\mu \dot{\prime}\) 1012．C ii． 50 ；1013．42， 44 ，Fr． 4 recto \(3(\) ？）．
\(\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu\) 1012．Fr．16． 12.
\(\mu \eta \rho o ́ s ~ 1012 . ~ C ~ i i i . ~ 54 . ~\)
\(\mu\) ít 1012．A ii． 23,24 ．
\(\mu\) нбєì 1013． 22.
Moû́a 1015． 20.
vaûs 1012．C ii． 4 I ．
véos 1015．6， 13.
\(\nu\) 名 1013． 8.
ขо́ \(\mu\) тоя 1015． 7.
vû̀ 1013．to；1015．I \％．

Z゙єขофิข 1012．F 3， 9.
o（demonstr．）1015． 2 I ； \％̈（relat．）1015． 4.
óүкої 1014．14．
oiôeiv 1014．I5．
oíos 1012．F 25.
оїхєбөa 1013． 43.
óкขєì 1012．C ii． 17.
\({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{O} \lambda \nu \mu[\pi\) 1012．C iii． 31.
 1012．Fr．i6．3， \(13,19\).
\({ }_{\text {óvода }}\) 1012．C ii．6，II， 6.
ồvos 1013．I8．
ógvióvas 1012．Fr．16．Io．
\(\dot{o}_{\mathrm{L}} \theta\) ．．1012．Fr．35． 2 （？）．
öpos 1010． 12.
ös 1012．A ii． 20 ；1013．＋4． ӧотєр 1012．Fr．I1．ii． 4.
öтav 1012．F 22. Fr．16．6， 9.
ӧтt 1012．C ii．24， \(25,43,5\) 1．
ò，ойк 1012．C ii．16，42，Fr． II．ii． 8,10 ；1014． 26 ； 1015． 12.
ov̉óé 1013．I4．
оさ̃кє́rı 1013． 26 （？）．
ov้̂ 1012．A ii． 7 ．
oṽveka 1015． 22.
ovitos 1012．A ii． 17,33 ， C ii． \(2_{7}, \mathrm{Fr}_{1}\) 16．14，Fr． 19． 9 ；1013． \(20,30,33\) ， 42 ；1015．10，17，20， 22. тavtí 1013． 17.


тaîs 1015．1，10，12， 17.
лá̀ı兀 1010． 23 ；1012．C ii． 8 （？）， 45.
\(\pi a v[\) 1013．Fr．＋recto 2.
таутахо́ \(\theta_{\epsilon \nu}\) 1014．І 5 ．
\(\pi a \nu \omega \lambda \epsilon \theta\) pía 1014． 9 （？）．
тарá 1012．C ii．9，Fr．г6．\＆； 1015．4．
таралеітєєц 1012．A ii． 23.
таре́хєєン 1012．C ii． 4 I．
Париєлішу 1012．С ii． 12.
tâs 1010． 7 ；1012．A ii． 3 I， 34，Fr．I 1．ii．6；1014．ı6．
\(\pi a ́ \sigma \chi \not \epsilon \nu 1012\) ．A iii．I I，C iii． 50；1013． 29.

тит＇ŋ́ 1013． 2 s， \(34,39,40\) ； 1015． 22.
\(\pi \epsilon\) סío 1010． 9 ．
Пєьбьбтратiôa 1012．C ii． 52. \(\pi \epsilon ่ \lambda \epsilon \tau\) 1015． 19.
\(\pi \epsilon \rho i\) 1012．B i． \(3, \mathrm{C}\) ii． 28 ， 46，Fr．13．ii．23， 25 ，Fr． 35.5.
\(\pi \epsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma\) ós 1012．A ii． 24.
\(\pi \eta \nu i к a 1013.3\).
\(\pi i \delta a \xi\) 1015． 1 1．
тіขєє 1010． 8.
тı日avós 1012．A iii． 4 （？）． \(\pi \iota \theta a \nu\) étaros 1012．A ii． 34 ．
\(\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \downarrow 1010.4\) ；1012．F 23 ； 1015.5.
\(\pi \lambda a t\) ís 1014． 6.
\(\pi \lambda \epsilon\) îवtos 1012．A ii． 37.
\(\pi \lambda \eta\) 向 \(\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu\) 1012． C iii．54．
\(\pi \lambda\) літоs 1015． 19.
тоєё̀ 1012．C ii．37，Fr． 1 I． ii． \(4, \mathrm{Fr} .23 .4\).
тоіпра 1012．Fr．18． 2.
\(\pi о \lambda є \mu \epsilon i \nu 1012 . \mathrm{C}\) ii． 41.
\(\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \iota о\) 1014． 8.
тольоркі́а 1012．С iii． 5 r，Fr． 11. ii． 7.
\(\pi\) одıs 1010． 5 ；1012．Fr． 1 r． ii． \(13 ; 1015.9\).
\(\pi \omega \lambda \iota \tau \in \grave{\imath} \in \sigma \theta a \iota 1012 . \mathrm{C}\) ii． 18.


\(\pi о \lambda \nu \eta \chi \eta{ }^{\prime} s\) 1015． 3.
\(\pi 0 \lambda\) ús 1012．Fr． 18.3.
тóvos 1013．Fr． 4 recto 4.
Поขтiкós．＇Hpaк入єións \(\delta\) II． 1012．C ii．ı．
торєйข 1015．5，16， 18.
торуєviet 1012．C ii．16， 22.
\(\pi о ́ т є\) 1012．Fr．13．ii． 32.
тотє́ 1012．C ii． 8.
toús 1015． 4 ．
\(\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu \mu\) 1012．A ii．22，C ii． 23.
\(\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota\) 1012．Fr．11．ii．3， 6 ；1013． 33.
\(\pi \rho є ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu\) 1012．A ii． 15 （？）， 31 ； 1013． 37.
\(\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \in \dot{\log }, \nu 1012 . \mathrm{C}\) ii． 10.

\(\pi\) рооі́ \(н\) 1012．A iii． 2.
 \(1,18\).
\(\pi\) pós 1012．\(\Lambda\) ii． \(28,29, \mathrm{C}\) ii． \(40 ; 1014.7,21\).
\(\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \circ \nu\) 1012．A ii．16； 1014． 11.
\(\pi \rho o t \iota \theta_{\text {éva }}\) 1012．A ii． 9.
\(\pi \rho о ф\) е́рєя \(\theta\) аи 1012．Fr．『6． 9 ， 16.
\(\pi \rho 0 \chi\) еĩ 1015． 1 1．
\(\pi \rho\) о́тוбтоs 1015． 12.
\(\pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau}\) оs 1010． 2 I ；1012．C ii． 47，F 33，Fr．16．10； 1015． 4.
jọáóos 1012．Fr． 11 ．ii． 6.
\(\dot{\beta} \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho\) 1012．A ii． \(25,33, \mathrm{Fr}\) ． 4．3，Fr．rı．ii．4，Fr． 3 I． 2，Fr． 32.2.

Sá \(\mu\) tot 1012．A ii． 39.
бópg 1010． 16.
\(\sigma a \phi_{\eta}\) 1012．Fr．16．8， 14. \(\sigma a \phi \hat{\omega}\) 1013． 8.
б \(\eta \mu a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu 1012\) ．Fr．16． 6.
ミıкє入ía 1012．Fr．83．ii． 12.
\(\sigma \kappa є \psi \in \sigma_{1}\) 1012．Fr．20． 2.
бофі́a 1015．zo．
\(\sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon{ }^{\circ}\) 1015． 2.
oтáótor 1015． 8.
бтратє́il 1012．C ii． 50.
бтратпүо́s 1014． 19.
बv́ 1010．3，5，7；1013．20； 1015．6，10，12，21， 22.
буукататі的Өal 1012．Fr．16．7．
बvүкроข́єi，1012．F 28 （？）．
бvкофи́ขтךs 1012．Fr．26． 7.
бv入入aß́n 1012．Fr．16． 1 ．
\(\sigma v \mu \beta \circ \lambda \eta \eta_{1} 1014.24\).
бv \(\mu \mu а \chi i a\) 1012．C ii． 37.
बv́ \(\mu \mu \alpha \chi^{\circ}\) s 1012．C ii． 48.
\(\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a t \epsilon \bar{\nu}\) 1013．19（？）．
бvvaүopєvєєь 1012．A ii． 54.
बv́v \(\theta\) eats 1012．F 24 ．

аขขтрíßeє 1010． 5.
\(\sigma_{\chi} \in \delta o ́ v 1012\). A ii． 33.
\(\sigma_{\chi}{ }^{\circ} \lambda \dot{\eta} 1014.26\).
\(\Sigma \omega\langle\sigma t\rangle<\lambda \hat{\eta} s\) 1012．C ii． 55.
тáخavtav 1012． C ii． 33.
táخas 1010． 22.
rápazos 1012．A i． 8.
тáซбєเข 1014． 6.
тахú 1014．9．
\(\tau \in\) 1013． 43 ． тétos 1012．B i． 12.
teós 1015． 1.
tévбapa 1012．A ii． 9.
тŋ入о̄̄ 1015． 13.
тпреì 1012．A ii． 3 r ．
тієен 1015． 10.
тіктецע 1015．\＆．
ris 1012．A ii．7，Fr．：3．ii．
32 ；1013．30，Fr． 4 recto
3．Sià tí 1013． 32.
Tis 1012．A ii．5，23，F 7 ，
Fr． 16.7.
toloûtos 1012．F 15.
tóvos 1012．Ft．16．5．
то́t 1012．Fr． \(16 . ~_{10}\)
тойveка 1015． 6.
т тахи́s 1012．F 23 （？）．

1012．Fr．20． 3.
Tptßà入oí 1012．C iii．54．
трıа́á六ıos 1013． 46.
то́то́ 1012．A ii． 5 ．
тvy \(\begin{array}{r}\text { ávelv 1012．Fr．20．} 10 .\end{array}\)
túpapros 1012．C ii． 44 ．
\(\dot{v} \beta[\) 1012． B ii． 11.
v̋ \(\delta \omega \rho\) 1010． 21.
ขึ \(\lambda \eta\) 1012．B i． 7.
iтáp єєıу 1012．\(\Lambda\) ii． 13.
intó 1012．Fr．16．7．
ข่ \(\pi о ф\) ทีт \(\omega \rho\) 1015． 1.

фaive \(\sigma\) Oat 1012．Fr．34． 2.
фávar 1012．C ii．28，Fr． 1 i． ii． 6 ，Fr． \(16 .{ }_{5} 5\).
\(\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \tau \nu 1012\). Fr．35． 3.
\(\phi \epsilon \check{\gamma \epsilon i \nu}\) 1012．C ii． 25.


Фì̀ıттеs 1012．C ii．9，iii． \(4^{8}\) ，
Fr．11．ii． 5.
фínos 1015． 16.
фpoveiv 1012．Fr．in．ii． 10 （？）．
 фро⿱亠䒑ễ̀ 1012．A ii． 25.
Фри́ \({ }^{\prime}\) 1012．C iii． 39.
фu入a 1012．Fr．10．ii． 5.
xєip 1015． 3.
X \(\chi \epsilon \rho \omega[\) 1012．Fr．20． 9.
xp \(\eta \sigma t \mu\) оs 1012．A ii． 24 （？）． \(\chi \rho \eta \sigma \theta a t\) 1012．Fr．I 1. ii． 9. \(\chi \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha\) 1014． 3 ．
\(\psi \in \cup \delta 0\) 1012．Fr．34．I．

\(\approx\) 1013． \(3^{8}\)
©s 1012．A ii．34，B i．II，I3， C ii．13，23， 56 ，iii．37， Fr．16．8，14， 17 ； 1013.
19.

ఱ̈ote 1013．Fr．\＆recto 2.

\section*{II．EMPERORS．}

Ceaudius．
Өcòs Kaíaap 1021． 3.
Nero．

N \(\epsilon\) ค \(\omega \nu\) 1021． 12.
Titus．
－ eòs＇Titos 1028． 33.
Domitian．

－opıtıàòs ó кúptos 1028． 28.
Trajan．

Tраиацòs Kaî́．ó кúpıos 1029． 9.
Imperator Traianus noster 1022． 25 ．
Hadrian．
Aùtoкр．Kaîб．Tpatavòs＇A íptayòs \(\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta\) ．1024． 40.
＇Ad́ptàòs Kấo．ó кúptos 1024． 12.
＇Adptavòs ó кúptos 1023． 8.

Antoninus Pius．
＇Avtwvivos Kaî́．ơ кúpıos 1035．Іо．
\(\theta\) eòs Aîlıos＇Avt \(\omega \nu\) vidos 1032．8， 21 ．
Marcis Aurelius and Verus．
 \(\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta .1032 .46\) ．

\section*{Septimius Severus.}


\section*{Septimius Sevfrus and Caracalla.}



\section*{Septimus Severus, Caracalla, and Geta.}




\section*{Caracalla.}
 \(\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta .1030 .17\).


\section*{Elagabalis.}

Severus Alexander.
 1040. \(35 \cdot\)


\section*{Aurelian.}
 1036. 37.

Gratian, Valentinian II, and Theodosius.
є̈тоऽ \(1 \delta=\beta\) 1041. 16.
Justin If.
 Айтокр. 1038. 2.

\section*{Justin II and Tiberius.}



Аі̇токра́тшр 1021. 7.
Kaíбар 1021. 13.

\section*{III．CONSULS，ERAS，INDICTIONS．}

Consuls．

 גацлрота́тои（392）1033．І．

 étrous \(\gamma(568)\) 1038． 1.

Eras of Oxyrhynchus．
Ëros \(\lambda \gamma\) 与（360）1056． 9 ．
ধ̈ros \(\lambda \eta \zeta(362)\) 1057．4．
є̈тоs \(\sigma \nu \delta\) бку \((578) 1043\).
Indictions．
```

Ist (568) 1038.6.
2nd (568-9) 1038. 19.
3rd (6th cent.) 1060. Io.
5th (late 6th or early 7th cent.) 1053. I.
9th (381) 1041. 16.
I Ith (578) 1042. I2; 1043.4.
I3th (444) 1037.9

```

\section*{IV．MONTHS AND DAYS．}
（a）Months．
＇Ấpayós（Choiak）1030． 21 ；1045． 27 （？）． Néos Eefjuarós（Hathur）1021． 20.

\section*{（b）Days．}

єiסoi＇Oкт́́ßptar 1047．introd． ка入ávóat Aüzovatat 1047．4． kalendae Martiae 1022．7， 24.

 кади́vo̊at इєттє́ \(\beta\) ßра兀 1047． 2.


\section*{V．PERSONAL NAMES．}
＂Ayatos f．of Theon 1048． 11.
＂Aүatos，Aippidtos＂A．gymnasiarch 1025．і．
＇Aঠ́pavás 1045． 27 （？）．
＇Aétoos decurion 1048．4．
＇A Aavíalos decurion 1048．5．
＇A Aquapoūs 1065． 25 ．
Aidia Eippín also called Seren ．．1045． 19.
 ex－chief priest，\＆cc．1031．I．
＇A入é \({ }^{\prime}\) avópos s．of Copreus 1048． 6.

＇A入égavòpos，＇Iov̀дcos＇A．1045． 15.
＇A入＇\(\xi\) avòpos f．of Petechon，Castor and Helene 1067． 25.
－＇inégavópos，Titos Má̀zoos＇A．s．of Titus Man－ lius Heraclas 1036． 2.
＇ \(\mathrm{A} \mu \mathrm{E}\)［ f．（？）of Marcus Colaenus 1045． 14 ．
\({ }^{\prime}\)＇\(\mu \mu \dot{\omega} \nu\) иos f．of Ammonius 1027． 8.
＇A \(\mu \mu \dot{\omega} v\) cos s．of Ammonius and f．of Theon and Pekusis 1027．2， 8.
＇A \(\mu \mu \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\prime}\) ıos s．of Diogenes 1032．2，6，56， 57 ．
＇А до́́s 1083．і， 16.
＇A \(\mu\) óts also called Dionysius，s．of Ptolemaeus 1061．I， 27.

＇Avactatayós f．of Aurelius Hierax 1037． 6.
＇ı дö́éas presbyter 1026． 24.
＇ A дঠ́póviкos 1044． 24.
＂А \(\downarrow \delta \rho \rho \omega 1\) 1044．10，22，23， 27.
＂Avva 1059． 3 （？）．
ААоит 1071． 7.
＇Avoín f．of Aurelius Johannes 1042．19，31， 35.
＇Aıtâs s．of Dionysius 1083．ı．
＇Avritarpa，Etatidגia＇A．1045．8．
＇Àtต́vos 1045． 17.
Antonius，M．Ant．Valens 1022． 21.
＇Amía 1039． 4.
＇Aтia，Kגovঠ́ía＇I \(\sigma \iota o ́ \omega \rho a\) also called A．1046． 7.
＇A \(\pi\) ts 1064． 8.
\({ }^{2}\) ATts god 1029． 17 marg．（？）．
＇Amts f．of Pauseiris 1044．7．
＇Aticy f．of Herodes 1063． 2.
 1044． 26.
＇А Ао́л \(\lambda \omega \nu\) 1066． 6 el saep．
＇Amoג入ஸ́vas 1024．22；1068．1， \(30 ; 1070\). 29.
＇Amo入入ف́vas city－scribe 1028．4．
＇Aтo入入́́थиos comogrammateus 1061．3，1 I， 27.
＇Ato入入ஸ́vios f．of Heliodorus 1024．I4．
＇Atoд入́̀ntas s．of Heliodorus 1024．13．
 lius Matreas 1031．13．
＇Amo入入 \({ }^{\prime} \nu\) cos s．of Sarapion 1039．i， 18.

＇A \(\pi\) фovâs 1058．4．
＇A \(\mathrm{A} \phi \mathrm{Qu} \mathrm{s}\) 1059． 4 ．
＇Anфois s．of Eudaemon 1048．I 5.
＇Аракvу（ ）1044． 22.
Arrianus，Avidius A．cornicularius 1022． 27.
＇Apoâs s．of Leon 1063． 1 i．
＇Арбıvó, Aìp \(\lambda\) ג́a＇A．1070．1，57．
＇Apoivoos s．of Apollophanes 1044． 26.
＇A \(\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \overline{\text { is }}\) s．of Penpauseiris 1044． 27.
\({ }^{\text {＇Ap}} \downarrow \bar{\eta} \mu t\) 1044． 9.
＇Ap \(\hat{\eta} \mu\) ts f ．of Tetseiris 1044．i 6.
＇А祭àâs 1071． 10.
＇Agkतâs s．of Onnophris 1029．3，I4．
Aúp \(\lambda i a^{\prime}\)＇A \(\rho \sigma t{ }^{\prime} o ́ \eta\) 1070．1， 57.


Aùp \(\eta\) ìcos＇\(\Lambda \chi \chi \lambda \lambda \epsilon\) ús also called Isidorus 1046. 10.

Aúpíntos Bíatos s．of Biaeus 1031．7， 28.
Aúpj́dtos 「ríuos night－strategus 1033．4．
Aùp \(\mathrm{\eta}\) toos \(\Delta \eta \mu a \rho \epsilon u ́ s ~ 1070 . ~ 1, ~ 57 . ~\)
Aúp \(\dot{\jmath} \lambda \iota o s ~ \Delta \eta \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \rho \iota o s\) also called Alexander，ex－ chief priest，\＆c．1031．I．
Aúpク́入tos \(\Delta i ́ \delta \nu \mu o s\) chief priest 1025．4， 24 ．
Aúpídios \(\Delta\) tó ккороs agoranomus 1031． 2.

Aưp \(\dot{\lambda} \lambda t o s\) Eủpıtâs mime 1025．7．
Aưpj́̀icos＇Hрáki \(\quad\) os s．of Sarapas 1036．5， 42.
Aủpíntos \(\epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \nu\) s．of Didymus 1040．f， 4 I ．
Av̉pý \(\lambda\) cos \(\theta^{\prime} \omega \nu\) night－strategus 1033．4．

Aúppintos＇I \(\omega\) áv \(\nu \eta\) gs s．of Anoup 1042．ı8， 30.
Aujpí入ıos Korpias cosmetes 1025．5， 25.
A \(u\) ṕǹıos，Aoúkıos Aip．＇A Natreas 1031． 13.
Aujpínıos，soíkıos Aujp．Matpéas also called

Heraiscus，s．of L．Aur．Apollonius 1031. 13.

Aúpи́خıos Пєкйбıs s．of Pauseiris and f．of Petenouphis 1040．1， 38.
Aivpíhoos Meтepoùфıs s．of Aurelius Pekusis 1040．2， 39.
Aúpŋ́入tos Metpóveos s．of Marcus 1040． 50.
 3， 22.
Av’pŋ́入ıos इapanâs reciter 1025． 8.

Aípí入 сos \(\Sigma\) réфovos s．of Heraclammon 1038. I3， \(34,38\).

Avidius Arrianus cornicularius 1022． 27.
＇Aфробír刀 1080． 1.
\({ }^{1} A \chi \iota \lambda \lambda a ̂ s ~ 1064.1\).
＇AXidicús，Aúpídıos＇A．also called Isidorus 1046． 10.

Beג入apeivos 1050． 10.
B \(\eta \sigma \alpha \not \mu \mu \omega \nu\) f．of Philonicus 1041． 6.
Bínos f．of Aurelius Biaeus 1031．7， 29.
Bíalos，Aúpq́dtos B．s．of Biaeus 1031．7， 28.
C．Iulius Maximus 1022．is．
C．Iulius Saturninus 1022． 19.
C．Longius Priscus 1022． 13.
C．Minicius Italus praefect 1022． 2.
C．Veturius Gemellus 1022．I 1．Cf．1035． 2.
Celsianus praef．cohortis 1022．2．
Гаßıvía＇I \(\sigma\) бо́pa 1044．26，note．
「пйavós 1045． 3 1．
Cátos，Àupý̀toos I．night－strategus 1033． 4.
Táuos basilicogrammateus 1028． 3 ．
Гćzos Ov̇eтov́plos Гé \(\mu \in \lambda \lambda\) cs f．of Gaius Veturius Gemellus 1035．2．Cf．1022．I1．
Гótos Ov̇eтov́pıos Г＇́ \(\mu є \lambda \lambda\) os s．of Gaius Veturius Gemellus 1035．i．
Г＇є́цєлдоs．See 「átos．
Gemellus，C．Veturius G．1022．in．Cf． 1035． 2.
Гєро́vтios 1026．2，6，22， 23 ．
\(\Delta a \mu a \sigma a i o s\) f．of Varus 1020．5．
\(\Delta \eta \mu a \rho \epsilon u ́ s, ~ \Lambda u ̀ p \eta ́ \lambda \iota o s ~ \Delta .1070 .1,57\).
\(\Delta \eta \mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \rho \iota s\), Auppìitos \(\Delta\) ．also called Alexander， ex－chief priest，\＆c．1031． 1.
\(\Delta \eta \mu \eta \dot{\tau} \rho t o s \mathrm{f}\) ．of Dius and Diogenes 1061． 6. \(\Delta \iota \delta \nu \mu a ̂ s ~ 1064.1\).
\(\Delta i \delta \nu \mu o s\) f．of Alexander 1048． 8.
\(\Delta i \delta v \mu 0 s, A v j p \eta ่ \lambda \iota o s ~ \Delta\) ．chief priest 1025．4，24， 49， 52.
sidvpos f．of Aurelius Theon 1040． 5 ．
\(\Delta i\) iovpos s．of Plas 1048． 14 ．
\(\Delta t o \gamma \epsilon ́ \imath \eta\) s 1061． 1 ；1064． 1.
\(\Delta \iota o \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta s\) s．of Demetrius 1061． 6.
\(\Delta t o \gamma^{c} u \eta s\) f．of Diogenes 1032．7， 10.
\(\Delta t o \gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta\) s s．of Diogenes 1032．27，28， 36.
\(\Delta t o \gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta s\) s．of Diogenes and f．of Ammonius， Diogenes and Martheis 1032．2，7， 56 ．
Jtoyévys s．of Papontos 1030．3， 21.
\(\Delta t o y \epsilon v \eta\) ，also called Pausanias，f．of Sarapous also called Tadiogas 1044． 4.
Atovíalos 1059． \(5 ; 1061.8 ; 1070.26\).
Stovíoıos also called Amoïs，s．of Ptolemaeus 1061．1， 27.
\(\Delta\) toviotos f．of Antas 1063． 10.
دıovírtos s．of Apollophanes 1044． 26.
Dtorvícos assistant of strategus 1032．25． 31.
\(\Delta\) tovírıos ex－gymmasiarch 1028． 3.
\(\Delta\) ios 1061． 22.
Dios s．of Demetrius 1061． 6.
\(\Delta\) tóткороя 1067． 18.
\(\Delta\) tó́ккорos，Ai pídıos 1 ．agoranomus 1031． 2.
\(\Delta \rho \dot{\kappa} \omega v\) 1057． 1 （？）．
\(\Delta \omega \rho o ̂ s ~ f\) ．of Aurelius Philoxenus 1037．\＆．
\(\Delta \omega \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon \circ\) 1056． 2.

Eip \(\eta \nsim \eta\), Ai入ía Ei．also called Seren ．．． 1045. 19.

Eipqui \(\omega \nu\) s．of Sarap ．．．1045． 47.
＇Eגéry d．of Alexander 1067．ı．
＇Eג入ádoos 1059． 6.
\({ }^{\prime} E \mu \beta \eta \tau i \omega \nu\) 1055．7．
＇Eлá \({ }^{\prime}\) atos freedman 1035．4．
＇Eлúqa \(\theta\) os imperial freedman 1020． 7.
\({ }^{\text {＇Eputios f．of Procunda 1020．} 7 .}\)
 22.
＇Eppias 1044． 17 ，note．

Ev̉daíp \(\omega \nu\) 1070． 55.
Evóaíh \(\omega v\) f．of Apphous 1048． 15.
Ev̉oaip \(\omega v\) s．of Sarapas 1048．3．
Eỉpırâs，Aip pị̀ıos Ei，mime 1025．7．

Eíфұнia saint 1038． 23.

\(Z \omega i \lambda\) os 1034．introd．；1062． 16.
＇H \(\lambda\) ias assistant 1043．I．
＇Hגtófopos s．of Apollonius and f．of Apol－ lonius 1024．14．
\({ }^{\text {＇} \mathrm{H} \rho \Omega}\)［ also called Nemesianus 1045． 30.
\({ }^{\prime}\) Hpais 1070． 51 ．
 H．，s．of L．Aur．Apollonius 1031． 13.
＇Іракда́ \(\mu \omega \nu\) f．of Aurelius Stephanus 1038. 14，34， \(3^{8}\) ．
\({ }^{\text {＇Hpaкגâs }}\) f．of Aurelius ．．．1041． 5.
＇Hipakגâs also called I Heraclides 1024． 2.
\({ }^{2}\) Hраклâs，Títos Mú入los＇H．f．of Tjus Manlius Serenus and Titus Manlius Alexander 1036． 3.
＇IIpók \(\lambda_{\epsilon}\)＇1045． 26.
＇Hріккєєa，МарӨєis also called H．，d．of Dio－ genes 1032．2，6， 56
＇Hpakגeións 1069．7， 35.
＇Hраклєiöns，＇Hраклâs also called H．1024． 3.
＇Hраклєiôךs wine－merchant 1055． 2.
 5， 42.
\({ }^{\text {＇H }} \mathrm{H}\) о́к \(\lambda \eta\) Поs f ．of Petseiris 1044． 19.
＇Hpâs 1069． 33.
\({ }^{\text {＇H }} \mathrm{H} \rho \dot{\omega} \delta{ }^{2} \eta \mathrm{~s}\) s．of Apion 1063．2， 15 ．
＇H申avтíw f．of Theon 1065．i．
Өaĵбus d．of Papontos 1030． 7.
Өais d．of Chaeremon 1024． 15.
Өaïбoūs d．of Petseiris 1044．9．
Өє́кла 1059． 2.
Өєóón \(\rho\) os 1026． 22.
\(\Theta \epsilon\) є́ómpos s．of Parit 1048． 2.

Ө＇́çv 1044．7，note；1055．i ；1067．го． \(\theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu\) s．of Agathus 1048．1 J．
\(\Theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu\) s．of Ammonius 1027．2， 3.
Ө＇́ \(\omega \nu\) ，Aúpjìtos \(\Theta\) ．s．of Didymus 1040．4，4I． \(\Theta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu\) ，A \(\dot{p}\) ṕdcos \(\Theta\) ．night－strategus 1033． 4 ． \(\Theta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu\) city－scribe 1028． 5.
\(\Theta \epsilon \in \omega \nu\) s．of Hephaestion 1065． 3.
Өécus s．of Ischyrion 1061． 28.
Өُ́فע s．of C ．．．also called Chaeremon 1039． 3.

Өé \(\omega \nu\) фроขтıatク่s 1054． 3.
Єоц \(\downarrow \hat{\eta} \mu\) нs s．of Thoönis 1028．8．
\(\Theta \circ \mu \psi \bar{\eta} \mu\) s s．of Thoönis and f．of Taorseus 1028．6， 30.
Өowvis f．of Thompsemis 1028．7，9，30．

＇Iá́ 1060． 4.

＇Iépaǵ basilicogrammateus 1024．7， 24.
＇Iepquías 1038． 12.
\({ }^{\text {＇Iє }}\) р́́viкаs 1056． 4.
＇Iov́ \(\lambda\) los＇А入е́ \(\xi a \nu \delta \rho o s ~ 1045.15\).
＇I \(\sigma \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \rho a, ~ \Gamma a \beta \iota \nu i a ~ ' I . ~ 1044 . ~ 26, ~ n o t e . ~\)
＇I \(\sigma \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \rho a\), K \(\lambda a v \delta i ́ a\)＇I．also called Apia 1046． 7.
 Io．
＇Iatópqтos 1030．7．
＇I \(\sigma \chi\) vpi \(\omega \nu\) f．of Theon 1061． 28.
Italus，C．Minicius I．praefect 1022． 2.
Iulius，C．I．Maximus 1022． 15 ．
Iulius，C．I．Saturninus 1022．I9．
＇I \(\omega\) ávvךs 1026．2，3，7；1038．36．Ioannes 1038． 37.
 35.

Kaцov́d assistant 1043． 1.
Káotwo s．of Alexander 1067． 23.

Kıx \({ }^{\hat{s} s}\) f．of Timotheus 1048． 4.
k \(\lambda a v \delta i a\)＇I \(\sigma \iota \delta \dot{\omega} a\) also called Apia 1046．7．
Kスavốa Xalp \(\mu\) ovis 1045． 1 I．
Kגav́ס́ans Makeঠ́óvios strategus 1028． 2.
Kえav́dıos Mévavópos basilicogrammateus1029．1．
K入av́dıos Tatıavós riparius 1033．3．
Kגav́óıos，Tıßépıos K．Nıкą［1045． 25.
К \(\lambda \eta \mu\) átıos chief priest 1088．4， 10.
Kólvtos＇Pá \(\mu\) ıos Maptiầıs praefect 1023．6．
Kó入aivos，Мápkas K．s．of Ame 1045．14．
Kó \(\mu\) apos s．of Serenus，im
Koтрєís f．of Alexander 1048． 6.
Kotpias Aúpṕdıos K．，cosmetes 1025．5， 25.
Kopatés 1044． 20.
Kvpávà 1042． 20.
Kvpi入入oûs 1051． 23.
Kîpos scholasticus 1071． 2.
Kö́pos 1050． 15 ．

Аєvкáólos 1048．15．
\(\Delta \epsilon^{\prime} \omega y\) f．of Leon 1063． 12.
\(\Lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu\) s．of Leonard f．of Harsas 1083．II．
Aıßєри́入ıos，\(\Sigma є \mu \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}\) оя A．praefect 1032． 20.
Longius，C．L．Priscus 1022．13．
 Matreas 1031． 13.
ムav́кtos Aúpp̣̀̀tos Matpéas also called Heraïscus， s．of L．Aur．Apollonius 1031．I3．
Aoúktos Oíoдoúrtos Matktavós praefect 1032．3．5．
Lucius Secundus 1022．I7．
Avбípaдos 1024． 23 ．
Ma［．．］a \(\delta[\) 1045． 2 I ．
Má̧ 1069．1， 36.
Matкıavós，ムov́ktos Oủo入av́atos M．praefect 1032. 3,5 ．
Maкápros assistant 1043．i．
Maкєठ́óvıos，K入aúס̊єos M．strategus 1028． 2.
Maкро́ßıos 1048．іा， 14.
 Heraclas 1036．1， 4.
Múdıos，Titos M．＇Hрик入âs f．of T＇itus Manlius Se－ renus and Titus Manlius Alexander 1036．3．
Múdıos，Títos M．\(\Sigma_{\epsilon}\) pĥros s．of Titus Manlius Heraclas 1036． 2.
Map \(\theta\) iis also called Heraclia d．of Diogenes 1032．2，6；called Maptiov 1032． 56.
Maption also called Heraclia d．of Diogenes 1032． \(5^{6}\) ；called Mapteis 1032．2， 6.
Map oûs 1044．Io．
Маркіа इоидтıкіа 1045． 23.
Mápкоs 1062．1， 21.
Máркоs f．of Aurelius Petronius 1040． 51.
Mápкos Kódaıvos s，of Ame［ 1045．14．
M．Antonius Valens 1022． 21

Мсрти́pıos，äта М．\(\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \dot{́} \tau \epsilon \rho o s ~ 1072.1\).
Matpéos 1082．1， 21.
Matpéas，Aoíklos Aùpíjios M．also called He－ raïscus，s．of L．Aur．Apollonius 1031．I3．
Maximus，C．Iulius M．1022．I 5 •
Méruvópos，K入av́óos M，basilicogrammateus 1029．I．
Mevéخoos 1045． 9 ．
Мєขє́ \(а\) аоо 1044． 7.
Mevea \(\begin{aligned} & \text { eús 1044．io at saep．}\end{aligned}\)
Minicius，C．M．Italus praefect 1022． 2.
Mevorâos f．of Flavia Euphemia 1038． 9.
\(N\) fî̀os 1050． 3.
Nє \(\mu \in \sigma\) เоуо́s 1066．I．
Nєцєбtavòs＇Hpa 1045． 30.
\(\mathrm{N} \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma i \omega \nu\) 1048． 7.
Níypos 1056．1．
Niкat，Tißéptos Kגav́doos N．1045． 25.

Nekóraхos 1044． 2 et sacp．
Nıко́ттритоs 1044．11， 14.
Nóvza 1038． 15.
ご \(\epsilon\) t o
＇Ove \(\quad\) ．．1054． 4.
＇O \({ }^{2} v \omega \hat{\omega} \phi\) pes s．of Onnophris 1029．13．
＇Ovyڤ̄фpıs s．of Osmolchis and f．of Asclas and Osmolchis 1029．3， 14.
＇Ovvøфpts f．of Pnephersoïs 1044． 22.
＇O \(v\) vēppos s ．of Soïs and f ．of Chaeremon 1028．16， 24.
＇Oprôфpıs s．of Teos and f．of Teos and Onnophris 1029．2， 12.
＇O \(\sigma \mu \rho^{\prime} \lambda\) थı f ．of Onnophris 1029．4．
＇O \(\sigma \mu\) ó \(\lambda \chi\)＇s s．of Omophris 1029．I 5.
Oúàé́ptos decurion 1048． 10.
Ovápos s．of Damasaeus 1020．5．
Oùetóptos，Гálos Oì，Гє́ \(\mu \mathrm{f} \lambda \lambda\) os f ．of Gaius Veturius Gemellus 1035．2．Cf．1022．i i， Ov̉єtov́pıos，「álos Oủ．「є́ \(\mu \in \lambda \lambda\) os s．of Gaius Veturius Gemellus 1035．i．
Ov́odoúatos，Aoíklos Où．Matktavás praefect 1032．3，5•
Oủ \(\omega \nu\) áの tes Факойข \(\delta\) os dioecetes 1032．44， 5 I．
Пákıos decurion 1048．2．
Пà⿳亠二冋匕ts 1044． 19.
Пà \(\omega \sigma\) ts f ．of ．．．emeous 1044， 6.
Пп \(\mu \beta \bar{\eta} \chi\) ts 1071．11．
Пavi \(\omega \nu\)（？）f．of Plutarchus 1048．5．
Патуoûts 1057．i，4．
Пumvoítos assistant of strategus 1057． 2.
Пaлогtês s．of Spartas and f．of Diogenes and＇Thaësis 1030．3， 22.
Паро́додоs 1044． 23 ．
Парб́aरâs 1044．22，note．
Mapit f．of Theodorus 1048． 2.
Пaũ̀os（？）s，of Sarapion 1048． 10.
Пaи̂גas，इєттíptos П．riparius 1033．3．
חavoarias，\(\Delta\) coyévŋs also called P．，f．of Sara－ pous also called＇Tadiogas 1044．4．

Havacipts s．of Apis 1044．7．
חavacipıs f．of Aurelius Pekusis 1040．ェ， 39.
Пavoєtpitw f．of Pauseirion 1044． 6.
Пavбєtpioys，of Pauseirion 1044． 6.
Пavбєєрívy s．of Psenamounis 1044，I \＆．
Пaติs 1048．خ．
Пє \(\delta t \in\) ús 1031．I5．
Пе́кข入入os 1054．1；1055．1．
Пєкйбוs S．of Ammonius 1027．2， 4.
חєкîqıs，Aúpí入tos II．s，of Pauseiris and f．of Petenouphis 1040．1， 38,53 ．
Пєттаvג \(\eta \mu\) ıs 1044．22，note．
Пеvтavaeipis 1044． 27.
\(\Pi \varepsilon \nu u ̄ p ı s\) s．of Petronius also called Horion 1044． 17.
Пєтєขойфєs，À̇pí入ıos П．s．of Aurelius Pekusis 1040．2， 37.
II \(\tau \epsilon \chi^{\omega} \nu\) S．of Alexander 1067．1．
Пєтє \(\chi \hat{\omega} \nu\) S．of Polydeuces 1067．I 5 ．
Пєтогора̂тıs f．of Petosorapis 1029． 18.
川єтогора̄тts s．of Petosorapis and f．of Ptole－ maeus 1029．x8．


Hetpéntos also called Horion f．of Penuris 1044． 17.
Hetafipts s．of Heracleus 1044． 19.
Пєтбєipıs f．of Horus 1044． 3 ．
Пєтбєipts f ．of Thaïsous 1044．g．
IIєтбєєрiov S．of Seirion 1044． 10.
חגás f ．of Didymus 1048．it．
 1041．3， 22.
Плои́тap才os s．of Panion（？）1048．5．
\(\Pi \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho \sigma\) ós d．of Onnophris 1044， 22.
\(\Pi \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega} s\) 1044． 2.
Hóoos 1034．introd．（？）．
По入є́ \(\omega \nu\) 1044．I 1，20， 25.
Поגขסєúкŋร 1054．І．
Hoגvסєiк₹ f ．of Petechon 1067．г 6.
Пóтлсоs Пєтри́vios Кє́ \(\lambda \epsilon \rho\) 1023．х．
Пои́тлıos Tıтıavós 1045． 33.
Priscus，C．Longius P．1022．13．
Priscus singolaris 1022． 26.
Проко́уба d．of Hermaeus 1020． 7.
Птодєцоіоя 1059． 7 ；1070．53．
птолєцаios f．of Dionysius also called Amoïs 1061． 27.
Птодєдаїоs S．of Petosorapis 1029： 18.

Птодє \(\mu\) аios f．of Ptolemaeus 1035． 5 ；1061． 5.
 5 el saep．
Пто入є \(\mu\) ivos 1056．1．
Пuppias 1024．23．
\({ }^{\text {＇Pá }} \mu \mu\) tos，Kóıvtos \({ }^{\text {＇P．Maprıâdıs praefect 1023．} 6 . ~}\)
Saкá㇒⿻ 1059． 4.
इapar［ f．of Eirenion 1045． 47.
इарата́рцшу 1066．х．
ミapatâs，Aúpídios \(\Sigma\) ．reciter 1025．S．
इaparâs f．of Eudaemon 1048．3．
£apatâs s．of M ．．．and f．of Aurelius Heracleus 1036． 5 ．
ミapẫıs，god 1028．ı7；1070． 8.
Sapamíwy also called ．．．1045．5．
Sapaniov f．of Paulus（？）1048． 10.
Sapamíu f．of Sarapion 1039．i．
Sapamioy s．of Sarapion and f．of Apollonius 1039．т．
इapamous also called Tadiogas，d．of Diogenes also called Pausanias 1044． 4 ．
Earopviरos 1048．7，8；1068．1， 30.
Saturninus，C．Iulius S．1022．I9．
Sárvpos 1054． 3 ．
Secundus，Lucius S．1022．I 7.
Уєtpív f．of Petseirion 1044． 10.

Уєoùjpos 1050． 9.
\(\Sigma є \pi \tau i \mu\) ios Пaǜos riparius 1033． 3 ．

ミєрй \(\nu\) os 1042． \(3^{2}\) ．Serenus 1042．34．
Eєp \(\eta\) vos amphodogrammateus 1030．2， 24.
ミepinvos，Aúpíntos \(\Sigma\) ．1036． \(4^{66}\) ．
ミєpinvos collector 1043．г．
\(\Sigma \epsilon \rho \eta ̄ \nu o s \mathrm{f}\) ．of Comarus inteús 1055．introd．
ミєp \(\bar{\eta}\) os．Tíros Múitos \(\Sigma\) ．s．of Titus Manlius
Heraclas 1036．ı， 4 ．
ミє廿úpıà 1032．Io．
Sıpias 1068． 21 ．
S \(\llcorner\nu \theta \epsilon\) ûs 1039． 2 ；1040． 3.
staóes 1034．introd．（？）．
ミкótas f．of Apollonius 1070． 34 ．
ミoñpıs 1040． 2 ；1044． 19.
Sóts f．of Onnophris 1028．16， 25 ．
Sovдтькіа，Маркі́a इ．1045． 23.
\(\Sigma \pi \alpha_{p} \tau a ̂ s\) f．of Papontos 1030． 4 ．
ミтаті入入ía ’̀vтітатра 1045． 8.

ミтéфavos 1065．1；1070．35．
ミté申avos，Auppricos \(\mathbf{\Sigma}\) ．son of Heraclammon 1038． \(13,34,38\).
ミтє申а⿱亠䒑日＇s 1036． 6.
£тро́щßоs 1044．2，note．
Ev́pos 1062． 12.
\(\Sigma \omega \tau\) áßŋs 1044．2， 5 ．
Taßóvфts 1063． 12.
Taঠeoyâs，£apatồs also called T．，d．of Dio－ genes also called Pausanias 1044，4．
Taió \(\lambda \lambda \eta\) 1031． 7.
Tацои́и 1069．21．35．
Taopotis d．of Thompsemis 1028．6， 40.
＇Tafê̂s 1029． 3.
Tatıavós，Kìav́óıos T．riparius 1033．3．
\(\mathrm{T} \epsilon \delta[.] \mu \eta\)（？）1041．3．
Tєpeùs 1030． 4.
Teбaípıs 1029． 4.
Tet \(\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{i p e s}\) d．of Harpsemis 1044． 16.
T \(\epsilon \omega \bar{s}\) f，of Onnophris 1029． 2.
Ttês S．of Onnophris 1029．2， 12.
Tißíplos K入áótos Nekat 1045． 25.
Tчдó \(\theta\) еоs s．of Kichus 1048．4．
Titıavós，Пои́тגıos T．1045．33．
 Heraclas 1036． 2.
Títos Má入ıos＇H nus and Titus Manlius Alexander 1036． 3 －
Títos Mádoos \(\Sigma \in \rho \bar{\eta} v o s\) s．of＇Titus Manlius Hera－ clas 1036．1， 4
Totocús 1044．і 7.
T \(\rho\) táde入 \(\phi\) os presbyter 1026． 24.
Tpuфâs 1062． 21.
T \(\rho \omega\) in os 1069．г． 36.

Valens，M．Antonius V．1022． 21.
Teturius，C．V．Gemellus 1022．it．Cf． 1035． 2.

Фí \(\beta\) ，Фגaov́sos \(\Phi\) ．subadiuva 1042．I 3 ．
Фidíokos ex－gymnasiarch 1028． 4 ．
Федóvicos s．of Besammon 1041．6， 15 ．
Фı入óǵevos 1072．1．

ゅ a aovia Ev̉фұpia d．of Musaeus 1038．7．
ゅえaoúcos＇Avagtácoos 1038．II，
Флаои́七os Фíß subadiuva 1042． 13 ．
Фшка̂s saint 1060． 9.

Xatp \(\dot{\eta} \mu \omega y\) also called C ．．．，f．of Theon 1039．4．
Natpíuev S，of Onnophris 1028．I5．
Xat \(\rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu\) f．of Thaïs 1024，i6．

世єvauoùrıs f．of Aurelius Pluiarchus 1041．3， 22.

＇\(\Omega\) Śáas 1031． 15
＇\(\Omega\) píct 1050． 8.
＇\(\Omega\) pís＇，Пєтрம́vios also called H．，f．of Penuris 1044． 17.
\({ }^{*} \Omega\) pos s．of Petseiris 1044． 3
．．\(\eta \mu \eta\) ой 1044． 6.
．．．© Gávap 1044． 23.

\section*{VI．GEOGRAPHICAL．}
（a）Countries，Nomes，Cities，Toparchies．

Áyvintos 1032． 6.
 15 ；1070． 53.



そ＇Vvos \(1020.5,8\).

Eर̀̀ерүе́тıs тódts 1025． 6.
＇Lovativov véa módes 1042．I7．＇Iovativou módes 1042．35．Tólıs 1042． 2 I ．
Ituraei 1022． 28 ．
 \(\pi 0 \lambda\) is 1044．4．
vouós 1032． 21 ， 24 ：1045． 46.
Zoïtıos 1026．II， 18.
 \(3^{8}\) ；1033． 3 ；1041．4．

 \(\lambda а \mu \pi р о т\) áт \({ }^{\text {² }} \mathrm{O}\) ．\(\pi .1036 .7\) ；1037． 5.

5 ；1030． 5 ；1032． 2 ；1035． 5 ； 1037. \(11 ; 1039.2 ; 1040.4\).


\(\pi\) ódıs \(=\nu\) v́a＇lovatirov \(\pi\) ．1042． \(21 .=\mathrm{Oxy}^{\prime}\) rhynchus 1033． \(5,7,13,17 ; 1036.12\) ； 1037．7；1038．16， 22 ；1039．5； 1040. 5 ；1041．5，7；1044． 26 ；1070． 36 （？）． \(\pi o ́ \lambda เ s ~ E v ̇ \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon ́ \tau \iota s ~ 1025 . ~ 6 . ~\).

Sєєрŋтєкós 1070． 28 ．
толархіа，äעшт．1031．6．ґ่лク入єळ́тоv т．1024．5．
（b）Villages，є̇ \(\pi\) oíкıa，тómoı（Oxyrhyuchitc）．
＇A入eそ̆oûtos 1052．6， \(16,27\).
＂ \(\mathrm{A} \mu \eta \mathrm{\eta} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s }}\) 1053． 29.
Raф＇́ \(\omega \nu\) 1052． \(10,12\).
＇Етıаク́дои є́тоік七оу 1031． 8.
\(\theta \bar{\omega} \lambda \theta_{\iota s} 1061.2 \delta\).
＇ı३є́v 1071．5， 6.
＇Iaiov Пaүүй 1053． 28.
КєркєӨ̂̄pts 1052．15， 24 ；1065．introd．
Кєркєі́рюд 1052．г，9， 27.
Kтоía \(\omega \nu\) 1052． 2 I．

Мєє ．．з є̇тоі́кьу 1052． 7.
Меткадои̂̀ts 1053． 8.
Mouxtıága 1052．4，14， 23.
Nє \(\epsilon \mu і \mu\) н 1053． 2 I．
Пакє́ркŋ то́ток 1024． 4 ．
Hepetvoû̀s 1052． 26.
Пєтєцойvis 1052．18， 26.

Пє́т \(\nu \eta\) 1052． 26.
Sevekedev́ 1052．3，II， 22.
Seve廿av́ 1032．10．
\(\Sigma \in \rho \bar{\phi} \phi \stackrel{s}{ } 1052.2\), I \(_{3}, 20\).
\(\Sigma_{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\omega}\) 1053． 19 ．
ミкஸ́ 1031． 12.
ミтavía 1053．I4．
乏ú \(\rho \omega \nu\) 1052．5，I7， 27.
Taки́ya 1053．у 6 ；1064． 9.
Та \(\mu \cdot[.] \epsilon \phi\) ．．\(][\)［．．］1053． 30.
Тицит（）Тє̄̄s 1053． 28.
Танлє่тє 1053．І 5.
Tapoveivov 1053． 17 ．
Tapováє \(\beta\) т 1053．．．
Tepū \(\begin{aligned} \text { is } 1040.14 .\end{aligned}\)
Toov́ 1068． 17 （？Oxyrhynchite）．
\(\Phi \circ \beta \dot{\omega} \circ v\) 1041． 4.
\(\Psi \hat{\omega} \beta \theta_{\iota s} 1064.5\).
\({ }^{7} \Omega \phi\) is 1024． 21 ；1049． 2.
（c）ӓифоба of Oxyrliynchus．
＇Ayopūs ミкитє́由 1037． 12.
\(\Delta \epsilon \kappa a ́ t \eta s\) 1029． 1 I．
\(\Delta \rho о ́ \mu о \nu\) Өоп́рıঠos 1029．І7；cf．1028．31．

Eủф \(\quad\) uías̀ \(\uparrow \hat{\jmath}\) s áyías 1038， 22.
＇I \(\pi \pi\) об́ро́ \(\mu\) оч 1028．і 9.
Пацц́́vovs Параঠ́íтоv 1030．9：1036．13．
（d）Deme（Alexandrian）． ＇A入Aatev́s 1024． 22.
（c）к入へ̂pot．
＇Avoparikur 1044． 24.
＂Avopovos 1044．10，22， \(23,27\).
＇A
Ө́́ \(\omega\) vos 1044．7，note．
Kopá́ \(\omega\) s 1044． 20.
Avaiцáxоv 1024． 23.
Мєขєнáхои 1044．7．
Medeotéms 1044．io cl sato．
Nıкоиáхоv 1044． 2 ct sact．

Өопрєín Өєขє́т入ы 1028． 3 I． \(\pi \epsilon\) pio \(\delta\) оs 1030． 2.

Nıкобтра́ти兀 1044．I I， 14.
Пєठténs 1031． 15.
Полє́ \(\mu \omega \nu=s\) 1044．I I，20， 25.
Пиррíov 1024． 23.
ミтро́ц३ои 1044．2，note．

Xeptүє́vovs 1044．6， 18.
＇\(\Omega\) ס́́v 1031． 15.

\section*{（f）Miscellaneous．}

ミаритєіо 1070．7．

VII．RELIGION．
（i）Pagan．
（a）Gods．

\({ }^{7} \mathrm{~A} \pi\) ts 1029.17 marg．（ \()\) ）．
＇Афродіт 1060．г．
\(\theta\) eós 1021．3．17；1028．26；1065．6， 8 ； 1070． 3 ．

Өō̂pıs 1029． 17.

－Oбeipes 1029． 16.
 1070． 8.
（b）Temples．
｜इаратєion 1070．7．
（c）Priests，\＆c．
àpхтратєи́aаs 1031． 1.
dip Xtєpeús 1025．4，24；1068． 4.
iєратıка̀ \(\chi^{\lambda \omega \rho a ́ ~ 1046 . ~} 4\).
ieper＇s 1050． 2 ；1072．14（？）．
iєроули́фos 1029．5．iepoy入．＇Oбєiptos 1029． 15.
iepódoùos 1050． 21.
табтофо́роя इара́тьঠos 1028．1 \％， 25.
quขtepoy入úфos 1029．6， 7.

\section*{（2）Christian．}
di弓ßās＇Ie prakion＇1053． 23.
 ฉцйр 1058．з．



Ofós 1058．1．दís \(\theta\) gés 1056．10．kíptos \(\theta_{\text {tuís }}\)
 iepeús 1072．14（？）．
тртб弓útєроs 1026．24；1072． 3 （？）．
Фwка̄s ó ä̀zus 1060．9．
\(\chi \mu \gamma\) 1037． 1.
（3）Magic．
aio \(\omega\) vai 1060． 4. артєрךоьє 1060． 5. ＇Aфробít 1060．I． ठє ＇І \(\omega\) 1060． 4.
\(\sigma a ß a \dot{\omega} \theta\) 1060．4．
ткортіоз 1060．5．
\(\phi \omega \rho \phi \omega \rho\) 1060． 4
\(\omega \rho \omega \rho\) 1060． 3 ．

\section*{VIII．OFFICIAL AND MILITARY TITLES．}
àjopavópos 103ī．z．
à \(\mu\) фобоүраниатє́́s 1030．2． 24.
 1031． 4.
ảँоли́б兀цоs \(\sigma т \rho а т ь \dot{т} \eta\) s 1023． 2.

àpХєєратєи́vas 1031．I．
«рхєєрє́́s 1025．4， 24 ；1068．4．
＂̈рХоутєs 1033．9．
Baбıìıòs रןaццатєús 1032．25．39．「áıos （A．D．86）1028．3．K入av́ótos Mévavópos
 ката̀ \(\tau \dot{\eta} v\) aтратทүiap（A．D．129）1024．7，43． ßоvגєutís 1031． 3 ．
ßov入й，向 кратíттŋ ß．1031．4．
\(\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon\) ús，\(\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota k o ̀ s ~ \gamma \rho\) ．See \(\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda_{\iota}\) кós．\(\gamma p\) ． \(\pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega\) н 1028． 5 ；1045． 45 ．


cohors 1022．5，31．cohors iii Ituraeorum 1022． 28.
cornicularius 1022． 27 ．

ঠпро́тเo兀 1033．10， 15.
Stóкпатs 1046． 2.

 \(44,5 \mathrm{I}\) ．
\({ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \eta \gamma \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta_{s}\) 1025．3， 22.
èтархіа＇Арка́ঠ́шу 1042．\({ }^{15} 5\).


 162） 1032 ．.
є́фобєчти́s 1033．Іо， 15.
\(\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu\) 1032．36．C．Minicius Italus（A．D． 103）1022．2．Kótvtos＇Pá \(\mu \mu\) мos Maptıầıs


 161）1032．5．Ov̉o入．Маıк，í \(\gamma \epsilon \mu\) оעєúgas 1032． 3.

imntús 1055．introd．

256

ко \(\sigma \mu \eta \tau \dot{\eta}\) ，1025． 5.
кюцобрациатєі＇s 1032．14；1061． 28.
ขоико́s 1048．2，note．
vขкто⿱тра́т \(\eta\) วos 1033． 4.
ov̉ยт \(\rho a v\) ós 1035． 3 ．
тод८тєขи́цєขоя 1048．2，4，5， 10.
төтацітŋs 1053． 2.
\(\pi \rho а у \mu а т є к о \grave{~ \nu о \mu о и ̆ ~ 1045 . ~} 46\).
праıто́бtтos（к⿺́⿱亠乂木трюv）1047．1．
\(\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho\) оs 1048．2．note ；1072． 3 （？）．

pıтáptos 1033． 3 ．
singularis 1022． 26.
бtroдózos 1024． 3 ．


\section*{INDICES}
 1031． 4


 Kえav́dios Make §óvtos（A．D．86）1028． \(2 .^{2}\) ＇А \(\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \pi\) ruiồs（A．D．I29）1024．I．Cf． бт \(\quad\) ат \(\eta \gamma^{\prime} \mathbf{i}^{2}\) ．
\(\sigma \tau \rho a t ⿺ 𠃊 ́ \tau \eta s\) àmo入v́ctuos 1023． 2.
\(\sigma \chi\) одабт兀кós 1048．2，note；1071． 2.

tiro 1022． 4 ．
 26，31，f1，51．

фú入uкєs Өєátpov 1050． 16.
\(\chi є!\rho \ell \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\prime}_{s}\) 1061． 22.
\(\chi \omega \mu a \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon i к \tau \eta s\) 1053．12，20， 25 ．

\section*{IX．WEIGHTS，MEASURES，COINS．}

\section*{（a）Weights and Measures．}

ӓрогра 1031．15，16；1032．11；1044． 2 ct saet．；1061． 8.
d．ртáß 1024．28，29．45；1031．16； 1034. introd．；1040． 9 ct saet．；1044． 2 et sutp．； 1048． \(2 \mathrm{ct} \mathrm{sact.;} \mathrm{1056}. \mathrm{4}, \mathrm{5}, \mathrm{8;} \mathrm{1068} 8.\).

入itpa 1052． 9 et sac力．；1056．3．

 \(\lambda \eta \mu \pi \tau \iota к\) о́v 1040． 17.
\(\mu v a ̂\) 1052．io ct sact．

§́є \(\sigma \tau \eta\) ．1043．2， 3.
छú入ov 1053． 3 et saef．
\(\chi\) oive \(\xi 1044,3 \mathrm{et}\) sact．
（b）Coins．
àprópıov 1036． 20 ；1037．I4；1057． 3 ；el saep．；1037．14；1041．7，12，13， 24 ；
 1039．7．

（ \(\delta\) vó \(\beta_{0} \lambda_{01}\) ）1049．І I．
ठрахй́ 1034．introd．；1036． 20 ；1039． 8

1045．I el sacp．；1046．I at sacp．； 1049. 4 et sacp．；1050．I el satp．；1055．5； 1069． 35.

кєра́тіоу 1038．28，29， \(3^{\text {®．}}\)
vо́ \(\mu \sigma \mu\) 1039． 7.
ขоцเб \(\mu\) т́тtov 1026． 12 ；1042．24，25， 35 ；
1053.8 el saep．； 1059 ．introd．
 о́локútтıvos 1026． 5 ．
（ \(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \dot{\beta} \beta o \lambda o v) 1046.2 ; 1049.5 \mathrm{el}\) saep．
rádavtov 1045．10 el saèp．；1055．7．
（ \(\tau \in \tau \rho \omega \dot{\beta} \beta \circ \lambda o \nu)\) 1049． 6.
（ \(\tau \rho t \dot{\beta} \beta_{0} \lambda \circ \nu\) ）1048． \(5 ; 1049.7,12\), I 6.
\(\chi^{\text {a入коüs 1046．1，2，} 5 .}\)
xpvoós 1042．24， 25.35 ．

\section*{X．TAXES．}
a àpráß 1044． 5 el saep．\(\quad a \angle 1044.7\). «і \(\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu \pi \rho\) úтоठоє 1046． 3 ．
«ло́цотри 1046．9，І І．
үमијбıa тє入є́б \(\mu\) ата 1031． 2 I．
ঠпно́тєа 1024．37；1081． 8.


\(\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau \eta\) 1048．12， 13 （？）．
\(\pi \rho о ́ \sigma о \delta о \iota ~ \grave{a} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu\) 1046． 3 ．
тро́ттіцоу 1032． 12.
สベขтa§̧ts 1046． 6.
тєлє́ггата \(\gamma \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota a\) 1031． 2 I．

\section*{XI．GENERAL INDEX OF GREEK AND LATIN WORDS．}
a 1022.4.
đ̉ßßās 1053． 23.


ả ảaós 1021．8， 12.
ả \(\gamma\) そíov 1070． 30.
ä \(\gamma \in \epsilon\) 1025．17；1032． 17.
ä \(\mathbf{y}\) os 1038 3；1060． 9.
«јкá入ך 1049．3．
àyvoeiv 1027．I I．
áyopáseıv 1044．9；1062． 4 el saep．； 1067. 27 ；1089． 28.
а̀ уорауо́доя 1031． 2.

à ара́ццатоз 1038． 36 ；1042． 33.
á \(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathrm{\gamma}\) เov 1049． 3 et sacp．
àうต้ 1020．6， 8.
accipere 1022． 24.
åठє \(\lambda \phi \dot{\eta}\) 1030．7；1039．1，37；1070．1， 57.
à \(\delta\) e \(\lambda \phi\) ós 1029．13， 15 ；1032．29，35； 1056. 2；1057．1；1081．I el saep．；1064．2；
1066． 2 ；1067．2，4， 22 ；1088． 8 ； 1070.
4，53；1071． 10.
й o одоs 1024． 25 ；1040． 15.
niồraí 1080． 4 ．
ǎt aptov 1027． 4 ；1036． \(14 .^{4}\) ．
aipa 1031．I 7．
uipeì 1024，r9；1031．4；1039．9；1040． 28.

\section*{\(25^{8}\)}
ni̋tīӨat 1031． 8.
aĭт \(\eta \sigma\) เs 1024． 20.
aìtấv 1032． 5 I．
aím̌vos 1033．1；1038． 4 ；1042． 4.
diкодои́ \(\theta \omega\) s 1041． 9 ；1044．17，note．
ג̇коข́є兀 1032． 59.
ه̈кри \(\theta\) оs 1024． 25 ；1040． 16.
áкúpwats 1034．introd．；1041． 19.
äк \(\lambda \lambda\) ít \(\omega\) s 1036． 27.
d̉ \(\lambda{ }_{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon \iota a} 1029.22 ; 1032.33\).
\(\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \epsilon \gamma \gamma \dot{u}, 1040\) ．13， 46 ．
む̀ \(\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda\) є́ \(\gamma \gamma\) vos 1040． 27.
\(\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu\) 1036． \(35,36\).
ä入入os 1026． 11 ；1027． 5 ；1044． 3 et sacp．； 1048．13；1050．14；1061．14， 18 ； 1068．20；1071．7．ä̀ \(\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \xi ฝ ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu(?) 1070\) 52.

व̈入入отє 1061． 2.
え̀入入óтрเюs 1067．7．
ã \(\lambda \omega \mathrm{s}\) 1040． 14 ；1049． 2.
व̈ \(\mu a\) 1024． \(3^{6 ;}\) 1025．16；1031． 21.
адєлєї̀ 1070．42， 5 ．
ฮдє́ \(\mu \pi \tau \omega\) 1033． 5.
а่ \(\mu \dot{\eta} \nu 1058.5\).
\({ }^{\prime} \mu \pi \tau \epsilon \lambda\) os 1032． 11 ．
ад \(\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}\) 1046． 3 ．
ä \(\mu ф о \delta o \nu\) 1028． 18,27 ；1030． 9 ；1034．6， 10， 11 ；1036． 12 ；1037． 12 ； 1038. 22.

ả \(\mu\) фо́тєроィ 1028． 12 ；1029．4；1031． 3 ； 1032． \(2,7,56\) ；1036． 2 ；1040． \(3,6\).
àvaßòáćtov 1051．3， 1 о．
àขáyєเ 1032． 8.

àvaүкá̧єtv 1033． 7 ；1069．2，20， 21.
àขаүкаїоs 1042． 23 ；1068．16．àvаүкаíшs 1032． 36.
ảvá \(\gamma \kappa \eta\) 1061． 4.

àvaүрафŋ́ 1032．І 5.
d̀vaঠ̊̊óvat 1024． 40 ；1033． 5 ；1034．introd．； 1041．18；1061． 9 ；1063． 14.
àvádorıs 1031． 5 ．
ávaそŋŋтеiv 1066．I 8.
алакоріґєбөи 1041． 17.
àvadíoкeเv 1026． 22 ；1072． 20.
àvá入 \(\omega \mu\) 1026．7；1043． 2 ；1072． 8.
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avépХєбӨat 1068．12， 19
ävev 1039． 10.
àท่ \({ }^{2} \rho\) 1028． 24 ；1029． 19. ä้ \(\partial \rho \omega \pi\) оs 1067． 30 ；1070．11．
annus 1022．iz et sactp．
ảvox \({ }^{\text {¹ 1068．} 15 .}\)

àтiүрафо⿱ 1032． 43 ；1070． \(3^{8 .}\)


à \(\nu \tau \iota \phi \omega \nu \in i ้ ~ 1061 . ~ 19\).
àvvாє́p \(\beta \lambda \eta \tau\) os 1070． 21.

äva 1031． 6.
ả̧เồข 1030．12；1032．37， 54 ；1033．14；
1038． \(3^{6 ;}\) 1068． 23.
а̇таเтєī̀ 1064． 8.
àлa入入́ \(\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu\) 1060． 6.
ふ̇пи́тๆ 1020． 8.

ג่ \(\pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \mathrm{s}\) 1024． 4 ；1036． 18.
ส่ঁлov̂s 1037．18；1038． 33 ；1041．21； 1042．29．\(\quad\) пт \(\lambda \bar{\omega}\) 1024． \(3^{2}\)
длтоура́фєо өаı 1028．18，26， 3 1．
à \(\pi\) обєєкци́vaı 1021．7， 13.
àто́ঠєб
 1036． 23,43 ；1037．15；1038．29； 1040．12， 21 ， 44 ；1041． 25 ；1063．16； 1068． 30 ；1070． 57.
àmóסoбıs 1041．7， 1 I．
д̀тока \(\theta_{\iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ v a ı ~ 1039 . ~}^{9}\) ；1040．І3．
àтидúбчцоs 1023． 2.
גло́доьра 1046．9，I I．
a่тยбтầ 1033． 12.
àлобтé入入єє 1066． 3 el saep．
ふ̉лота́ббєбӨaı 1070．55．
с̀тофаivé Өat 1032． 50.
ànox́ 1024． \(3^{8 .}\)
＂pa 1070． 50 ．

á \(\rho t \mu_{\text {uós 1032．} 44 ; 1053 . ~ 20,27 .}\)
à \(\rho \gamma u ́ p \iota o v . ~ S e e ~ I n d e x ~ I X ~(b) . ~\)
àpyvoûs 1051．19．
äpovpa．See Index IX（a）．
àpтóß \(\eta\) ．See Index IX（a）．
aןтє \(\rho \eta \sigma\) os（magic）1060． 5 ．
артоко́тоя 1038． 14.
àpХптоs 1032． 9.
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‘ерұєфовєі́a 1063． 5.
di \(\mu \chi \dot{\eta}\) 1021．10．\(\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \dot{a} p \chi \eta{ }^{2} s\) 1032． 40.
dірхєератєієь 1031．1．
ápхиерек＇s 1025．4，24；1068．4．

 1070． 47.
áбфú入єєa 1027．12；1070． 49.


йтєХ \({ }^{\text {vos 1030．} 8 .}\)
aủ \(\lambda \dot{\eta}\) 1027．3，4；1034．6；1036．14．
aủ \(\lambda \eta \tau \eta \eta_{s}\) 1050． 20.
aขึpเov 1025． 16.
authenticus 1022． 29.
aùrós，éni rò aủtó 1031．16；1040．11，45；
1044． 25,28 ；1046． 13 ；1049． 24.
ñ \(\phi \in \sigma\) ts 1020． 6.
á \(\phi\) tévat 1067． 5 ．
афрєע（？）1051．I 7.
๙̃хрๆбтоя 1070． 5 1．
Bátos 1053． 3 et sacp．
Bàaráptod 1026．14；1051． 22.
\(\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon t \nu 1069.26\).
\(\beta a ́ \lambda \sigma a \mu o \nu 1052\) ．I．
ßápos 1062．14．
Baбt入eía 1037． 9 ；1038．I；1042．1．
 ß．үраниатєús．See Index VIII．
ßафєús 1041． 5.
Beßutoì 1036． 21.
\(\beta \iota \beta\) 入ióıov 1032． 44 ；1065．introd．；1070． 32.

ßıодóyos 1025．7．
ßоך \(\theta\) दiv 1058． 3.
ßoŋ̈өєia 1020．5，7；1033．10， 15.
ßovevjeĩ 1021． 16.
ßoúлєбӨaı 1037．І 6 ；1038． 3 I ；1042． 27.
ßou入tvtís 1031． 3 ．
\(\beta o v \lambda i ́ 1031.4\).
ßраßєutís 1050．II．
Bpeov́ton 1048．I．
Bpétos 1069． 22.
及úpoa 1057． 3.
үа入пขóт \(\eta \mathrm{s}\) 1042． 7.
रамßрós 1070.35.
үацккós 1034． 5.
\(\gamma\) кít \(\omega \nu\) 1070． 28.
\(\gamma \in \nu \in{ }^{\prime} \theta \lambda \cos 1025\) ．I 4.
\(\gamma^{\text {є́ }} \eta \mu\) а 1024． 9 ；1031． \(9 ; 1040.8 ; 1071.2\).
रбouдєir 1038． 9.
үєouхเкós 1053． 13.
\(\gamma є р \delta\) íatva 1069． 9 （？）．
\(\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma \epsilon\) ì 1024． 20 ；1031．II．
\(\gamma \bar{\eta}\) 1024．33， 36 ；1031．18， 2 1．äßpoХos（ \((\hat{\eta})\) 1061．7．\(\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota k \dot{\eta}\)（ \(\gamma_{\bar{\eta}}\) ）1044．Io et saep． ঠŋдобia \(\gamma \hat{\eta}\) 1031．I 1．ठtápraßos \(\gamma \hat{\eta} 1031\). 12．iठı七т \(\kappa \kappa \dot{\eta}(\gamma \tilde{\eta})\) 1044． 7 ，note．
耳ivéOai 1027．II， 23 ；1031． 16 ；1032． 14 et saep．；1033． 18 ；1036． 32 ；1038． 29 ； 1039． 13 ；1040． 33 ；1041．14，18，19； 1042． 25 ；1043．3；1044． 3 et satp．； 1053．І，8，ІІ ；1057．3；1062．6，ІІ； 1064．3；1066．16；1068． 23 ；1069．3， 5，II， 25 ；1070． \(20,43,52\) ；1072．I1．
ช \(\downarrow \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \in เ \nu 1032.17\).
रvíjos 1031． 21.
\(\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 1024.18\).
jovév́s 1028．I3．
урсіниа 1032． 33 ；1036． 47 ；1039．16； 1040．31，52；1065．2；1068．9，24； 1070．49；1072． 16.
үранцатвіоу 1041．15，18，20；1042．29，32， 35.

रрандатєús．See Index VIII．
үрициатŋф́роя 1071． 8.
үратто́ン 1061． 19.
үри́фєєン 1032． \(3^{8 ;}\) ；1036． \(4^{6}\) ；1037．18； 1038． 33,36 ；1039．17；1040．21， 31 ， 51；1041．6，21；1042．29，33； 1045. 51；1061．3，21，22；1062．7，8，10； 1064．6；1066． 8 ；1067． 9 ；1068．4， 16；1069．7， 35 ；1070． 12 et saep．； 1071．6，7， 8.
रрaф́n 1029．6， 23.

\(\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma\) áp \(x \eta\) ¢ 1025.1 ；1063．3．
रuvatкeios 1026．20；1051．4．
زレขทُ 1034． 5 ；1044．16， 22 ；1067． 7 ．
ce（？）1022．1．
cohors 1022．5，28， \(3^{1}\) ．
cornicularius 1022． 27.
\(\delta a i \mu \omega \nu\) 1021． 9.
Bávetoy 1024．13；1026．5；1031．9．
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סєіүна 1024． 8.
Seîv 1032．51；1061． 13.
ঠеїб 1036． 30.
\(\delta є \lambda \mu а т ь к \eta\) 1051．І 6.
ठє \(\lambda \mu\) атікєор 1026．Іо；1051．1， 2.
\(\delta \epsilon \nu \sigma \sigma \epsilon\)（magic） 1060.5
\(\delta \in \xi\) tós 1024． 18 ．
סєठ́vт的 103240.
סериátıov 1028．у 6.
סєбرєєієเ 1049．7，12，17， 22.
\(\delta \in u \pi 0 ์ \tau \eta s\) 1071．9．Cf．Indices II，III．
8є́ \(\chi є \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 1072.4\).
dexter 1022． 23.
Sŋдой» 1023．4；1032．17， 34 ；1040． 47 ；
1045．45；1070． 4 I， 46.
 1024． 37 ；1061．8．ठпро́бьо 1033．Іо， 15．тò ס．1040．І 7，32．欠．\(\gamma\) ท̂ 1031．І І．
8．лє́троу 1024． 27 ；1031．22．ס．тра́тє乌̧̆！
1046． 7.
òvápıov．See Index IX（b）．
ס̇á，тéws ôá 1056． 4.

סıаүра́фєı̀ 1032．I3；1046．7．
ঠıаүраф́̆ 1032．у 6.
סıaঠ́́ \(\chi \epsilon \theta\) Өat 1024．43－
סıatâoӨat 1061． 3.



ס́ádugus 1034，introd．

ס́ápтаßos 1031． 12.
Sıaテтодク́ 1027．6；1046．13．
סıаф́́рєเข 1037．10；1061． 12.
סtáфopos 1033．8．סıáфopoy 1040．io el sacp．； 1041． 9 ；1042． 28.
Sióvat 1026．4，5；1032． 43 ；1034．introd．； 1043．1；1053．13， 21,27 ；1055．7； 1062． 15 ；1063．4，8；1066．12； 1068. 24 ；1069． 35 ；1070．33， 54 ．

 1034．introd．；1040． 9 ；1044．4．
סıеитихєi้ 1032．42， 55
8iкатор 1038． 26 ；1044． 27.
8ікп 1040．зо．
Síp
סó 1021．14；1030．II．
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Sıóxクб七s 1046． 2.
ס̌เ七เкทтйs 1032． 45,48 ；1038． 12.
8ian
Sicoós 1024． 39 ；1039．17；1040． 31.
Soxeiv 1027．9；1032． \(3^{8,54 ; 1034, ~ i n t r o d . ; ~}\)
1068．I5；1070． 40.
ठov́ \(\eta \eta\) 1059． 3 ．
סой \({ }^{2}\) os 1030．7；1058．3．
סрахиі．See Index IX（b）．
Spúov（？）1044．7，8， 12.
Súragtai 1027．9；1032． 32 ；1068．．5，13， 26；1069．16， 29.
סvóßòо九 1049．i I．
\(\delta \omega \delta є к а ́ \delta \rho а \chi \mu\) оs 1028．14，22，27， 32.
\(\delta \omega \nu a t i o v o \nu 1047.4\).
є́avtoû 1033． 13.
ধ́ \(\gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega s\) 1032．I 8.
ego 1022． 4.
ぞ \(\theta_{\epsilon}\) и 1024． 34
そ̈vos 1020． 5,8 ．
є \(\theta\) ors 1025．11， 18.
ধで \(\mathrm{\tau}\) เs ẩ 1068． 20.
ศîóva 1021． 17 ；1036． 47 ；1040． \(5^{2}\) ；
1045． 50 ；1064． 6 ；1067． 20 ；1069． 10
fíos 1026． \(9,1_{5} ; 1032\) 17， 24 ．
єітє́й 1032． 5 I；1033．у ；1063．4．7． 9 ； 1067． \(10,15\).
fipŋиィкós 1033． 5 ．
єis，ó єis 1032． \(5^{6}\) ；1036．4．




íкovaios 1037．7；1038．у6．
ékтively 1039．12；1040． 23.
ëkтtats 1040． 27.
ধ゙латоу 1043． 2,3 ；1070． 29.
є่ \(\lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu\) 1031．It．
è \(\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \not \subset \epsilon \nu\) 1032． 30.
＇ं入доүıн́ஸтатоs 1071．I．

є \(\lambda\) this 1059．1；1070． 10.
＇่цаито̂́ 1041．І \(2,23\).
＇ر \(\mu\) ós 1038． 32.
\(\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota\) рía 1061．I3．
інлоді̧єн 1027． 10.
emu 1038． 37 ；1042． 34.
दُ \(\mu \phi\) avís 1021． 2
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є́ \(\mu ф є ́ \mu є т ~ 1032 . ~ І ~ 8 . ~\)
ёvap уоя 1025． 2.
ยૈข

ёлєкє 1033． 8 ；1071． 2.
èvé \(\chi\) єш 1032． 23.
èvє \(\chi\) vpá̧єє 1027． 7
є̇̀єхvрабía 1027．5．

évavaías 1037． 14 ；1038．28．
èvaтávaı 1024．II；1028．20；1029．8， 26 ； 1031．5，10，21；1035．9；1036．10； 1041． 16.
évoiktoy 1036．19， 24,44 ；1037．14， 16 ； 1038． \(27,29,3^{8}\) ．
ย̀ขоккодо́үоя 1038．13；1043．І，

ढ゙vo oos 1029． 27.
ย̇ขтầӨa 1038． 9 ；1071．5．
èvтé入入єбӨоь 1070．16， 50.
évtós 1032． 22.
èvтvүХávetข 1020． \(2 ; 1032.44,49,52\) ．
＇छ́द́aŋयos 1036．24；1038． 30.



є＇\(\xi \in \rho \chi є \sigma \theta a t\) 1070．53， 56.
є＇\(\xi \in \tau\) ú \(\zeta \epsilon \downarrow\) 1032． 52 ；1066． 20 （？）．
ধ＇\(\xi^{\prime} \notin \notin \sigma \theta\) at 1027． 6.
＇\(\xi \eta \gamma \eta r \eta{ }^{\prime}\) 1025．3， 22.
є́ \(\xi\) خ̄s 1035.8 ；1037． 8 ；1083． 6.
є́ортт！1025．I4．

єттако入оข \(\theta_{\text {сí }}\) 1024． 33 ；1031．І8．
є́та́vaүкєs 1037．I5．

є̇тау＇́хєєン 1033． 6.
є́тгаго́p \(\theta \omega \sigma\) เs 1070． 20.
énávต 1032． 34.
етлархía 1042．15．
ễтар ооs 1032． 5.
ধ̇ாยí 1032．1 6， 48 ；1041． 6.
＇̇лє \(\frac{10 ̊ \eta}{} 1061.2\).
ধ่ \(\pi є \rho \omega т a ̂ \nu ~ 1036 . ~ 35, ~ 45 ; ~ 1037 . ~ 18 ; ~ 1038 . ~ 33 ; ~ ; ~\)
1040． \(34,4^{8 ;}\) 1041． 2 I ；1042． 30.
є̈ \(\pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a t ~ 1031.20\).
 10.
ènเүovŋ́ 1035． 6.


є̇ \(\pi\) ıờóv́va 1027．9；1028． 41 ；1029． 22 ； 1030．II， 22 ；1031． 29 ；1032．3， 57 ；
1033．14；1065．introd．；1071． 10.

ধ่лөӨŋккך 1055． 6.
є̇лєкрі̀єєу 1023． 5 ；1028．10．
єтьиє́лєєа 1070． 22.
\(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a t\) 1061． 26.
єтгцдviötov 1070． 45.
＇́ \(\pi i \xi \in \nu\) оs 1029． 25.


ध́тібтадда 1056． 6.
є́ \(\pi เ \sigma т є ́ \lambda \lambda є \iota \nu 1031.8 ; 1045 . ~ 九 8\).
 39，49， 55.


epistula 1022．9， 30.
ілітаури 1033． 6.
є̇тьф́́рєєд 1032． 33 ；1034．4；1039．8， 20 ； 1062． 7.
е̇тоікьоу 1031． 8 ；1052．7；1065．4．

ёруоу 1070．1 з
ёрьо 1052． 8.
е́рлєто́ข 1060．7．
ё \(\rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota\) 1065． 4 ；1067．3，17，18，20； 1068． 27 ；1069． 6 ；1070． 54.
＇́ \(\rho \omega \tau \tilde{a} \nu\) 1061．IO， 19.
esse 1022． 3 i．
ধ̋ँтє 1070． 45.
ётєроя 1024． \(3 \mathrm{I}, 39\) ；1027． 4 ；1032．17； 1034．10；1070． 56.
єัтє 1032． 8 ；1070． 6.
є̈тоц，кат＇ёт．1036．20， 24 ；1038． 30.
єن่ठокццผ́татоs 1042． 13.
єข้єруєтєī้ 1032． \(4^{2}, 55\).
є \(\dot{\epsilon \rho \gamma є ́ \tau \eta ร ~ 1032 . ~} 37\) ；1042．3．
єن̉тореї 1068． 3.
є́vp \(\sigma \iota \lambda\) оуía 1039．I 1.
єи́рібкєє 1068．13；1069．4．
 \(2 ; 1042\) ． 2.
 10.

єỉхарıбтєì 1070． 47 ．

єن̉x́ 1070． 3 ．
 23 ；1067．24；1068．28；1068． 34 ； 1070． 43 ．
éфoס́єutís 1033．10， 15.
ЄँХєн 1020．5，7；1030．24；1033．9，16； 1034． 8 ；1039． 6 ；1040． 7 ；1041． 10 ， 11,23 ；1042． 22 ；1061．4；1088． 15 ， 20 ；1070．25．é Хє \(\sigma\) Oat 1081． 28.
ex 1022． 6.
\(\tilde{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{s}\) 1041．ІІ ；1043． 2 ；1081．7，12， 16 ； 1082．8；1068． 22.
frater 1022． 10.
frons 1022． 22.
ऽєîos 1035．12；1050． 14 ．
ऽттєір 1033． 17.
కuyóv 1042． 25,35 ．
\(\zeta \omega \prime\) 1070． 9.
万 1029． 25,27 ；1033． 14,16 ；1040． 28 ； 1070．13，28， 46 ．

\(\dot{\eta} \gamma є \mu о \nu \in \dot{\epsilon} \in \epsilon\) 1032．4， 20.
ŋ̈ \(\gamma є \mu\) аитко́s 1042． 14.
\(\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu\) ．See Index VIII．
䓜 87 1071． 7.
グठ८ \(\delta \tau a\) 1061． 21.
च̈кє兀ע 1025． 10.
ij \(\lambda \iota a \sigma \tau\) íptò 1014．introd．
そ̀入ıкіа 1020．5， 7.
їнє́ра 1025．18；1029．26；1043．2；1068．14． т́н́́тєроя 1056． 3.
そ̄цза́ртоß оя 1031． 22.

خ́нетía 1040．10，24，26， 44.
їдіхооя 1070． \(3^{\circ}\) ．
\(\eta\) ŋббоу 1070．г5．
Өáлaббa 1087． 29.
Gavpáotos 1038．і 3.
Ө́áaon 1050．i 6.
\(\theta\) धlótatos 1038． \(2 ; 1042 . \mathrm{x}\).
Өє \(\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu} 1081.21\) ；1089． 9 ；1070．19，50， 54.
\(\theta\) eós．See Indices II，V＇IL．
\(\theta\) \(\theta \rho \epsilon \cos 1062.4\).
Bévıs 1027． 12.
Oєшрía 1025． 16.

Opóvos 1050． 4.
Evरárทр 1034．1，7；1038． 7
Oúpa 1036． 3 I；1060．1．
hic 1022． 9.
iconismus 1022． 8 et saep．
iotos 1024．19；1032．9， 15.
 note．
iSaú 1086． 5 ；1069．6，11， 35.
ífpatıkós 1046． 4.
íf \(\rho\) és 1050． 2 ；1072． 14.
iєpoy入ú фos 1029．5， 15.
ífóóoudos 1050． 2 I．
¿ца́тtov 1026． 3.
in 1022． 5,30 ．
iva 1032． \(4^{2}, 55\) ；1033． 18 ；1061． 26 ； 1062．13；1066．7， 15 ；1068．5， 19 ， 24,\(26 ; 1069.4,7,29,35 ; 1071.5,6\).
ivסוктíw．See Index III．
intev́s 1055．introd．

is 1022.8.
їоакноs 1035．14．
iбátıs 1052． 19.
̈̈ซos 1024． \(3^{6} ; 1031.20\) ．＇\(\xi\) ïซov 1034．8；
1040．7．тò йสa้ 1030． 25 ；1032． \(4^{2 .}\)
เのvє \((\) ？ 1051.4.
ícráva؛ 1034．introd．
íбторєì 1027．I 1 ．
iubere 1022． 6.
кáótov 1026． 20.
каө́í 1040． 2 I．
каӨа́тєр 1040． \(3^{\circ}\) ．
каӨapós 1024． \(2^{5}\) ；1036． 29 ；1040．15．
каӨiјкєเข 1024． \(3^{8}\) ；1036． 34.
каӨ́ss 1025．го；1071．І．
кuเขoптเós 1053． 2.
кatvós 1035．13．
какós 1060． 7.
какаир \(і\) ía 1032． \(3^{2 .}\)
кала́vб́a 1047．introd．，2，4．
калеiv 1037．і і．
kalendae 1022．7， 24.
кадós \(1062.4,6 ; 1069.25 . ~ к а \lambda \omega ̂ s ~ 1040\). 33 ；1086． 3 ；1087．3；1069．2．ка́入－ Aıatos 1024． 8.

\section*{XI．GENERAL INDEX OF GREEK AND LATIN WORDS 263}

ки́ \(\mu \eta\) дos 1069．I7．
кä̀ 1081． 13 ．
karissimus 1022．го．

катакеібӨає 1040． 32.
каталєітєєи 1026．7；1034．у．
катá̀о七тоя 1061． 9.
ката \(\xi_{\imath}\) и̂̀ 1071．2， 8.
кататтара́ 1024．10；1031． 10.
кататเӨ́vat 1024． 32 ；1031．І7．
катафє́рєєン 1068． 6.
катафєúүєєン 1032． \(3^{6 .}\)
катє́ \(\notin \epsilon \nu\) 1068． 22.
катоттєขєє 1033． 13.
кєíөat 1034．5；1069．13．
кє入є́ยєL 1024． 28 ；1028． 10 ；1031． 23 ；
1032． 32 ；1071． \(5,6\).
кє́ \(\lambda \lambda a\) 1067． \(11,13\).
кєขт \(\eta\) rápıov 1052． 20 et saep．
кєри́цноь．See Index IX（a）．
кєфа́入атоу 1034．introd．；1045． 49.
кךঠঠєцоріа 1070． 2 I．
кךঠ́єข́єє 1067．6；1068．14， 26.
\(\kappa \bar{\eta} \rho v \xi 1050.6\).
кı⿴囗́vtoy 1069．3， 24.
кเขถ์vขєข่єยข 1033．I I．
кivर̊vpos 1024．19；1033．18．
\(\kappa \lambda_{\mathrm{e}}\) is 1036． 3 I ．
клпроронєї 1067． 8.
хлךрото́ \(\mu\) м 1034.1 ；1044．6，19；1045． 47.
\(\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho\) оя 1024． 24 ；1031． \(15,16\).
коше́s 1034．introd．ко七йs 1034．8； 1045.
37.

коккалоуеір 1031． 17 ．
кодо́ßьоу 1051．ч，8，іч．
коціگєь 1062．г8．
ко́тртоу 1036． 29.
кобкьขєขєєн 1024． 26 ；1040．г 6.
кабرŋт i，1025． 5.
коти́入 \(\eta\) 1070． 30.
кра́тıттos 1031．\＆；1032．1，4⿹5， \(48,5^{2}\) ．
кре́as 1056． 2.

крıө́ 1031． 18 ；1048． 9.
ктєйбтєко́s 1035． 12.
\(\kappa т \eta ̄ \mu a\) 1053．1；1072． 13.
ктйтюр 1032． 18.
кúptos（＇guardian＇）1028． 8.
кúpıos（title）1032．36， \(4^{8 ;} 1033.9\) ； 1047.
```

    I; 1059. 土; 1068. 1, 4, I\; 1071. 1,
    Io. кúpos 1068. 23. Cf. Index II.
    кúpıos ('valid') 1036. 34; 1037. 18; 1038.
33; 1039. 16; 1040. 30; 1041. 20;
1042. 29.
к心́\mu\eta 1024. 21; 1031. 12; 1040. I4;
1041.4.

```

入а́ккоз 1072．го．
\(\lambda_{а \mu ß a ́ v є ı \nu ~ 1024 . ~}^{37}\) ；1025．19；1026．2， 3 ； 1085． 2 ；1063．11；1068． 9 ；1069．7； 1071． 7.
\(\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ s ~ 1042 . ~ 16 ; 1048.7,8\) ．Cf．Index

\(\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ t \eta s\) 1042． 22.
\(\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \downarrow\) 1066． 11 ；1070． 56.
入etтovpyeiv 1067．19．
\(\lambda є \pi \tau\) о́тєроя 1066． 5 ．
גeukós 1051． 21 ；1059．introd．；1069．3．
\(\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \mu\) 1034．introd．；1053．12；1084．9．
\(\lambda_{\eta \mu \mu а т і \zeta е \iota \nu ~ 1056 . ~}^{3}\) ．
\(\lambda \eta \nu o ́ s 1055.3\) ．
入iße入入os 1033． 14.
גıvov́tov 1026．3，12， \(14 ; 1066\) ．10．
入evoûs 1051．2，7，8， 16.
入itpa．See Index IX（a）．
入оүі乡єб机1056．5．
入ázos 1038． \(3^{8}\) ；1041．9；1043． 2 ； 1045. 23 （？）；1047．1；1049．1；1050．1； 1053．13．\(\pi \rho o \dot{s}\) дó \({ }^{\prime}\) ov 1069．19， 25.
入оєто́s 1044．9，16，24， \(28 ; 1054.5 ; 1061\). 25.

入ovoropia 1048．2， 7.
щаүүара ．［1050． 19.
\(\mu a \theta \eta \tau \dot{\prime}\) 1029． 25.
\(\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu ~ 1066 . ~ 5 ; ~ 1070 . ~ І 8 . ~\)
\(\mu a \nu \delta\) ák \(\eta\) s 1049． 3 et saep．
\(\mu\) ца Aávet 1032．\({ }^{2} 5,49\) ；1067． 6.
manus 1022． 20.
налтіор 1051． 17 （？）， 19 （？）．
цартчреє̂̀ 1026． 24 ；1064．I 2 ；1068． 19.
датаíws 1027．Іо．
нафо́рtov 1026．3，І 7，18， 19.
\(\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma\) as 1069． 27 ；1070．8．\(\mu \varepsilon i \zeta \varsigma \nu ~ 1033 . ~ 9 ; ~\)
1070．7．\(\mu\) ́́ytotos 1025．I5；1028．I8；
1029．16；1042．3．Cf．Index II．
\(\mu \in \in \lambda \in เ v\) 1058． 3 ；1087．17，20；1072．15．
\(\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta \mu\) 1070． 48 ．
\(\mu \grave{v} \nu\) ov̉v 1070．I 2.
\(\mu \not ́ p o s ~ 1027.4 ; 1045.37\).
\(\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau\) ós 1070． \(3^{2 .}\)
нєтаס̊ıסóvat 1032．27，28， 34 ．
\(\mu є т а \lambda а \mu ß a ́ v є \iota \nu ~ 1032 . ~ 33 . ~\)
\(\mu \epsilon \tau а \lambda \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu 1032.9\).
\(\mu\) єтоує́ \(\rho \chi_{\ell є \sigma \text { Єat 1049．} 1 .}\)
\(\mu \epsilon \tau а \xi ̆ i ́ v 1026 . ~ 2 . ~\)
\(\mu \in \tau а ф о р a ́ ~ 1049 . ~ 1 . ~\)
нєтрєív 1024．5，44；1040． 17 ；1061．7，8．

\(\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o \nu 1024.26\) ；1031． 22 ；1040．17；
1069． 26.
\(\mu\) е́ \(\chi\) рı 1070． 43.
\(\mu \eta \delta\) tís 1024． 30 ；1067．13；1068．1о， 24 ； 1070． \(25,45\).

\(\mu\) ที้ 1021． 20 ；1030． 21 ；1034．introd．；
1035． 8,15 ；1037． 8 ；1038． 18 ； 1040.
14；1041．16；1043． 2 ；1053． 1.
\(\mu \eta \dot{\pi} \omega\) 1062． 15 ；1068． 13 （ \(\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о v\) Рар．）．
\(\mu \eta \dot{\jmath} \eta \rho\) 1024．I5；1029．3， \(4 ; 1030.4\) ； 1031．7；1032．10；1036．6；1038． 15 ； 1039．2，4；1040．1，3；1041．3； 1042. 19 ；1044．6，10，19，27；1063．12； 1070．5，17，37．
\(\mu \eta \tau р о ́ \pi\) тдеıs 1032． 7 ；1044．4．
\(\mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi 0 \lambda\) ít \(\eta\) s 1028． 13.
нímos 1050． 25.
\(\mu\) ко日ós 1025．19；1049．4， \(10,15,20\) ； 1053． 24 ；1069． 9.
 7；1038． 17.
\(\mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma t s 1036.22,34 ; 1037.18,20 ; 1038\). \(33,35,38\).
\(\mu \nu a ̂\) 1052． 10 ct sacp．
\(\mu \nu \eta \mu \eta\) 1038． 8 ；1042．16．
дóvos 1033．12；1043． 3 ；1057． 3.
нодо́лтєүos 1027． 3 ．
цои \(\begin{aligned} \text { ovádıos（？）1026．} 19 .\end{aligned}\)
дидоко́тоя 1042．19， 35.
\(\mu v p t a ́ s . ~ S e e ~ I n d e x ~ I X ~(b) . ~\)
\(\mu v \rho о\) П́кŋ 1026． 21.
vaoviov 1053． 3 el saep．
vav́кג \(\eta \rho o s\) 1071．Io．
vaúrŋs 1071． 3 ．
ขєодпрі́а，уоунұขía 1035．8；1037．8； 1038. 17；1041． 15.
véos 1024．35；1031． 19 ；1040．І5； 1042. 9；1072．9．уєஸ́тєроя 1029． 2 ； 1042. 17 ；1061． 5.
\(\nu \in \tau \rho \varphi(?) 1044.17,22\).
\(\nu \in \dot{v} \epsilon \downarrow\) 1038．20， 24 ．
ท \(\eta \dot{\eta} \neq \iota \nu\) 1062． 13 ．
\(\nu о \mu \eta ́ 1\) 1038． 32.
nomen 1022． 7 ．
vорі广єш 1070．І І．
ขо́жибда 1039． 8.
ขодтєи́єєข 1038．28，29；1042． 25.
ขо́коя 1039．I3．
vóós 1032． \(2 \mathrm{I}, 24 ; 1041.4\) ；1045．46．
vótos 1038． 21,25 ．
ขиктоат рátךүоs 1033．4．
numeri 1022． 5 ．
ขû̀ 1032．17，23；1070．7，16．Tà vìv 1061． 4.

そevía 1064． 10.
\(\xi \in \sigma \tau \eta s\) 1043．2， 3.
छủえov 1053． 3 et saep．；1008． 19 （？）．

¿ßoдós．See Index IX（b）．
ö \(\delta є 1033.14\) ．
óóós 1068． 25 ．
oйєбӨat 1070． 42.
оікіа 1027． 3,\(4 ; 1034.6,8 ; 1036.14,19\) ， 26,43 ；1037． 10 ；1038． 20,26 ； 1070. 29，49，5 ．
оіко́тєбор 1032．9，15；1036．19；1044． 7 ct sacp．
оікоя 1043． 2 ；1060． 6.
оікөице́⿱亠乂刂 1021．5， 10.
оіує́ \(\mu \pi о \rho о\) аs 1055． 2.
oivos 1054． 6 ；1055．8．
oios 1070． 42 ．
òдıүшреì 1065． 6.
ঠ̀до́кдұроя 1037． 12 ；1038． 23.
о́локо́ттьуоs 1026． 5 ．

о́ \(\mu \eta\) риттй＇s 1025．8；1050． 26.
ö \(\mu\) víє 1028． 34 ；1030．14， 22 ；1031． 23 ．
 1049． 5 et sacp．
огодоуєї 1036． 36,45 ；1037．19；1038． 33 ； 1039．5；1040．6， 34,49 ；1041．II， 21；1042．21，26， 30.
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јцота́трия 1030． 6.
о́ \(\mu\) ои̂ 1047．6；1053．І甲．
д̀vך入árns 1049． 5 et satp．
व̈уора 1031． 12 ；1044．3，4，5；1056．3； 1063．3，5，10．кат＇ӧрода 1059．7； 1070． 46.
\({ }^{\text {övos }} 1049.3\) et sact．；1068． 22 ；1069． 29.
o่vúxives 1026．Io， 17.
¿そ̌́｜ßафпу 1051．I8．
óто́тау 1037．16；1038． 3 r ；1042． 27.
а́тпріка 1039． 9.
ӧлтєбӨă 1059． 2 ct sacp．
ฮ̋ँшs 1032． 39 ；1045．48，52；1061．6； 1064． 7.
ó \(\rho \theta\) ڤ̄s 1040． 33.
ípi\}єєข 1032. 23 ．
ӧркоя 1026．6；1029． 27 ；1030． 23.
ธркойр 1072．і6．
ös，\(\epsilon \in \xi\) oṽ 1027． 6.
о̋птє \(1031.16 ; 1038.29 ; 1039.9\) ； 1040. \(12 ; 1070.14,24\).

йтау 1062． 6.
öтє 1083．\＆．
ช̈тє 1062．4，6，7．9；1063．4；1064．5；
1066．9，11；1067．7，12，17，20； 1068.
\(21 ; 1070.47,56 ; 1072\) ． 19.
ov่ờ \({ }^{\circ} \lambda \omega\) s 1070． \(4^{8}, 55\).
oủdeís 1063． 7 ；1066．10， 12 ；1070．43．
จข่ঠє́ாотє 1062．I I．
ov̀etpavós 1035． 3 ．
où入ŋ́ 1024． 17.
ởท 1032． \(16,36,48,52 ; 1033.10 ; 1045\). 38 ；1061． 17 ；1063． 10 ；1064． 6 ； 1066．6；1067．7，9；1068． 8 at sact．； 1070．12，40；1071．2， 8.
ои̃тตร 1026．9， 15 ；1032． 50 ；1053．2，13； 1065． 7.
\(\dot{\delta} \phi \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu\) 1021．1， 14 ；1032． 12 ；1041．II， 23；1045．49；1067．14；1071．3， 9.
ठ申є \(\lambda \lambda_{\eta}\) 1024． 3 I ．
ö \(\phi \rho\) ús 1024．I 7．
ó \(\chi\) úp \(\omega \sigma\) ts 1053． 1 ．
dं \(\psi\) apioíov 1067． 28.
ơ \(\psi\) เs \(1070 .{ }^{1} 5,50\) ．
таүкратєабтท́s 1050．12， 14.
mâyos 1041．4．
тгиס́ápov 1070． 52.

таиð́кós 1066．ıо．
таєถิंор 1061． 25.
тадіткク 1069． 19.
\(\pi a \lambda\) rtós 1071． 2.
тú入є̀ 1071． 6.

таутадй 1039．ェ8．
тадто́os 1040． 29.
\(\pi a ́ v v 1070.47\).
па́ттия 1032．10， 28.
тарауүє \(\lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu} 1032.18\).
тара \(р\) а́фєєц 1032． \(1,3\).
тараүрафй 1032． 3 I．
тариӧєð̊́vo七 1033．г6；1036．28；1037． 17 ；
1054．3；1055．2；1062．17；1070．5ı．
тара \(\theta\) єбтs 1039． 7.
тараӨŋँкך 1039．12， 16.
таракалє̂̂ข 1061． 5 ；1068． 17 ；1070．8； 1071． 1.
торакєӓөөає 1032． 24 ；1058．1．
таралоц३а́vєєу 1036． 3 I；1038． \(3^{2 .}\)
тара入д \(\mu \pi т\) кко́s 1040． 18.
таране́уєє 1043．1．
\(\pi а р а \mu є т \rho \epsilon \stackrel{\nu}{\nu} 1040.7 .18,40 ; 1056.2\).
таратúdios（？）1026． 12.
тара́бтабts 1033．8， 17.
таратіө́val 1032．19，22，39；1045．42．
тарафилакí 1033．7， 16.
тареívat 1032． \(50,51,53 ; 1037.9\) ； 1038 ．
35；1041．16；1061．28；1070．45，50，56．
\(\pi а \rho є \pi \iota\) ठि \(\eta \mu \in\) ĩ 1023． 4.
\(\pi и \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \nu 1042.26 ; 1057.2\).
pars 1022． 23.
\(\pi\) äs 1021． \(11,14,17\) ；1032． 37 ；1036． 16 ， 30；1037．13；1038．26；1039．10， 15 ， 19；1040． 30 ；1041．20；1045． 52 ； 1060．7；1061．24；1065．3； 1070. 3 et saep．
тачтофо́pos 1028． 17,25 ．
татท́р 1027．8，22，29；1032．10；1067． 25 ； 1070．5， 36.
татр̣̂os 1025．13．
\(\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu\) 1032．＋5；；1062．12；1066．12； 1067． 21,29 ；1068． 21 ；1069．13， 18 ； 1070． 26 ；1071．5， 6.
\(\pi \in ́ \mu \pi \tau \eta\) 1048． 12,13 ．
\(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \beta\) ßодог．See Index IX（b）．
per 1022． 25 ．
\(\pi є \rho i \beta \lambda \in \pi \tau\) оs 1038．І 1 ．
\(\pi є \rho \iota \gamma \rho u \not \eta^{\prime}\) 1020．1．
\(\pi є р і ́ \rho \chi є \sigma \theta a t\) 1033． 12.
\(\pi є р \iota ́ \chi є \iota 1\) 1027． 6.
\(\pi \epsilon\) ріобо 1030.2.
\(\pi \epsilon \rho t \sigma \sigma o ́ s ~ 1070.16\).
\(\pi\) ィขáкıò 1051．І 8.
пเтрє́бкєє 1026． 4 et sacp．；1062． 9.
\(\pi เ \sigma \tau \omega ิ{ }^{\circ} 1031\).
пtтtáktoy 1063． 14.
\(\pi \lambda a ́ t o s ~ 1053 . ~ 3 ~ c t ~ s a c p . ~\)
\(\pi \lambda \eta \nu\) 1036． 16.
\(\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \sigma_{\text {Is }}\) 1034．introd．
\(\pi\) лойо 1048． 3 et sacp．；1068．3， 5 ．
тоєє二̀ 1032． 35 ；1040．20；1042． 3 I；
1045． 39 （？）；1061． 22 ；1064． 10 ； 1065.
3 ；1066． 8 ；1069． 2 ；1070． 42 ； 1071.
3，4， 9 ．
то́коя，1062．3， 17.
mó \(\frac{1 s}{}\) ．See Index VI（a）．
тод七тєи́єб \(\theta a \iota\) 1048．2，4，5，7．
тод入áкıs 1033．11；1070．14（t saep．
тo入ús 1032． 34 ；1066． 24 ；1067． 27 ；
1068．29；1070．6，15．\(\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega v\) 1029． 24 ．
\(\pi \lambda\) еїтоs 1081．I；1066． 2.
то́pos 1044．9， 13.
торфі́ра 1051． 13.
торфи́ртои 1069． 12.
тогацітпя 1053． 2.
тотє́ 1070． 50.
 30；1070．13， 42.
\(\pi р а у \mu а т ь к о ́ s ~ 1045 . ~ 46 . ~\)
pracesse 1022． 5.
траєто́битоs 1047．1．
\(\pi \rho\) â̧̧s 1027．10；1036． \(3^{2}\) ；1039．14； 1041．I9．
\(\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma є \iota \nu ~ 1063.7 ; 1087.3\).
\(\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{\rho}{(t i t l e)} 1026.24\) ；1072．3．
\(\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{1}{}(\)＇older＇）1061． 15.
троá \(ধ \epsilon \iota\) 1070． 2.
probare 1022． 4.
\(\pi\) то́yovos 1021． 2.
троүрйфєьц 1029．12，14；1042． \(3^{1}\) ； 1044. 16.

троєьтеіً 1033． 15.
\(\pi р а\) өєпнía 1040． 47 ；1041． 25.
\(\pi\) токєїөөа 1024． 46 ；1029． 23 ；1036． 26 ， 44 ；1038． 35 ；1040．22， 49 ；1041．22， \(25 ; 1042.32\).

троуаєîv 1070． 23.
\(\pi \rho \sigma \nu \circ \eta \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}\) 1056．і．
\(\pi\) рóvota 1070． 19.
\(\pi \rho о о ф \epsilon і\) ілєь 1034．introd．
\(\pi\) рós，каї \(\pi\) pós 1045． 38 ．
\(\pi \rho о \sigma\) горєи́єє 1070． 46.
тробßaivet 1028．11， 19.
\(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta \circ \lambda \eta\) 1027．7．
\(\pi \rho \circ \sigma\) ঠ̂oरầ 1021． 6.
\(\pi \mu \sigma \sigma \not \eta_{\kappa є \iota \nu}^{1033 . ~} 14\).
\(\pi \rho a п t \in ́ v a t ~ 1070.55\).
троткиขєіे 1070． 8.
\(\pi \rho\) ócoóos 1046． 3.
троатіттєь 1027． 7.
тро́бтауна 1033．8．
\(\pi \rho о \sigma\) тávбє \(\sigma \theta a \iota\) 1062．5．
тро́ттьдаи 1032． 12.
\(\pi \rho a \sigma t\) ө́́vaı 1045．1，6，12；1062．4．
\(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi \omega \nu\) ề 1028．14；1032． 13.
\(\pi \rho \circ ́ \sigma \omega \pi \frac{}{}\) 1033． 8,17 ；1071． 1.
\(\pi\) ро́тєроу 1032． 9 ；1044．2， 7 ．
\(\pi \rho о т \iota\) Ө́vat 1020．6，8；1032． 45,48 ．
\(\pi \rho a \ddot{\pi} a \lambda \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota\) 1034． 3.
\(\pi \rho о \chi є \rho i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon 1031.19\).
\(\pi \rho\) íтavis 1025． 2.
\(\pi \rho \dot{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma}\) 1023． 3 ．
пи́ктทs 1050． 15.
\(\pi v \nu\) Ө́ve \(\sigma\) Өa 1063． 6 ；1064． 4.
тupós 1024．24，29，45，46；1031．9； 1034.
introd．；1040． 8 et saep．；1044． 3 et sacp．；
1069． 17.
\(\pi \bar{\omega} \varsigma 1069.10\).
qui 1022． 5 ．
pívens 1050． 17.
referre 1022． 6.
pi \(i \zeta a 1069.28\).
pitias 1051．13．

рív \(\eta\) 1066．4， 17.
р́vij̧el 1066．19， 20.
рıта́pıos 1033． 3.
 19；1084． 13 ；1065．9；1072．22．í \(\rho-\)
 1063．13；1066． 23 ；1067． 24 ； 1068. \(28 ; 1069.34\) ；1070． 43 ．

\section*{II．GENERAL INDEI OF GREEK AND LATIN WORDS}
\(\sigma a \beta a \dot{\omega} \theta 1060.4\).
ááos 1051． 20.
salus 1022.3 ．
scribere 1022． 29.
бєavтoû 1061． 26 ；1062． 13 ；1070． 22.

sex 1022． 4.
бпраиєєь 1032． 27 ．
бך \(\mu\) tıv̄əӨat 1025． 21 ；1056．8；1057． 4.
б \(\eta \mu \neq \rho \sigma \nu\) 1063． 4.
б८§́pıov 1066． 20.
бıঠ̂ךрoùs 1035．13．
sine 1022．12， 16,18 ．
बwốvıo 1051． 12.
singularis 1022． 26.
sinister 1022．14， 20.
бıтодóyos 1024． 3 ．
бїtas 1071．2， 6 ．
бкаф́̂̊̀七七 1068．7．
ккортіos 1060．5．
aós 1061． 24.
бочßaठ̂ıó̉ßas 1042．14．
бттє́pиа 1024． 13 ；1031．5， 9.
สтоvóá̧єє 1061．I6；1066．16；1069．іо，
\({ }^{13}, 23 ; 1072.7\).
बтavóatos 1064．7．
बтouóク 1068． 18.
atavpós 1058． 2.
бтєфаиךфорєї 1021．I 5.

arixápıò 1051．7．
атоцхєir 1033． 35.
атратэуía 1024． 44.
aтратэүо́s．See Index VIII．
отратіढ́rŋs 1023． 3 ．
subicere 1022． 9.
बvүүраф＇́ 1034．6．
бvyхшрє̂̃ 1032．II．
бv \(\lambda \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v є є \nu 1064.7\).
бข \(\mu\) ваіреш 1065． 5 ．
\(\sigma \nu \mu \beta \dot{u} \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \nu} 1063.2\).
бі́ \(\mu\) ах оз 1043．I．
\(\sigma v \mu \pi a \nu \eta \gamma v \rho i \xi \epsilon \iota 1\) 1025．I1．

аข \(\mu\) фшнєї 1055． 4.
бәцфஸ́vas 1032． 14.
avyá \(\gamma \epsilon \omega\) 1045．22，28，32．
बvעєортi乡धt 1025． 12.


बvveđ̄̄s 1033．7．
би́v \(\theta \in \mu\) 1053． 25 （？）．
бvขtєpoy入úфos 1029．6， 7.
のข์vтa̧เs 1046． 5 ．
बvעтє \(\overline{\text { eiv }}\) 1034．introd．
बvitŋpeív 1063．I I．
बivtpópos 1034．2， 7.
बvขтvүхávєiv 1061．6，15，16， 23 ；1070． 40.
supercilium 1022．I4．
suus 1022． 2.
бфаıроиа́хоs 1050．13．
бфраүі广єц 1062．18；1067．І2．
बфvpis 1070． 3 I．

\({ }^{\sigma} \chi^{0 \lambda} \dot{\text { a }}\)

\(\sigma \omega \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota 1044.26\) and note．
бөдátıov 1068．6，13， 26.
\(\sigma \omega \tau \eta ์ \rho 1032.37\).
बштпрia 1070． 3.
tabularium 1022． 30.
тú̀antov．See Index IX（b）．
тágıs 1030．13；1032． 59 ；1042． 15.
тapбати́s 1053． 25 （ \(\tau a \rho \theta\) ．Pap．）．
тáגa 1069．ı 6.
тахѝ тахи́ 1060．8．тахє́шs 1070． 41.
т ́́xos 1069． 4.
\(\tau \in\) 1021． 11 ；1027．11；1032． 17 ； 1033. 16；1036． 33 ；1039． 14 ；1041． 19.
те́кขà 1026．8；1059．2，6；1063．і； 1070. 4， 18.
тє \(\lambda \in\) た̂̀ 1037． 13 ；1038． 2 ；；1081．12， 17 ．
ténetos 1035．I3．
тєлєєо̂̃ 1071．3．etelioth 1042． 34 ．
те́ \(\lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu a\) 1031． 22.
тєлєขтầ 1028．27， 32 ；1030． 10 ；1032．29， 35.
\(\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma а \rho \epsilon \sigma к а \iota \delta є к а є \tau \not \eta^{\prime}\) 1028． 12.
\(\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\beta} \beta о \lambda о \nu . \quad\) See Index 1X（b）．
тє́ \(\chi \nu \eta\) 1029． 26.
т t́ws ס̀á 1056． 4.
тíp
тi \(\theta_{\epsilon} \sigma\) 相 1041． 9.
тіктєt 1069． 21.
\(\tau<\mu \dot{\eta} 1026.6,22 ; 1046.1\) ；1052．1； 1055.
6；1056． 2 ；1057．2．
típtos 1025． 20.
tiro 1022． 4.
tis 1063．7；1071．3，9；1072．19．
то́коз 1046． 2.
тотархіа 1024． 4 ：1031． 6.
то́тоя 1024．5；1032．14，19；1036． 16 ； 1038．24， 32 ；1063． 11.
то́тє 1062． 6.

тра́тєҚа ঠпнобіа 1046．7．•
триßако́s 1051． 9.
трьшкє入і́itoy 1066． 13.
т \(\dagger \dot{\beta} \beta o \lambda o v . ~ S e e ~ I n d e x ~ I N ~(b) . ~\)
тvүхávєє 1070． 18.
тихท่ 1030．ェ6；1031． 25 ；1032． \(3^{8,}\) Ј．
iytaiveıy 1081．2， 26.
 1031． 18.
ziós 1028．15；1031．13；1035．2； 1038. \(14,34,38 ; 1040.2,39\) ；1042．16，19， 30，35；1063．2；1065．2；1067．16； 1070． 34 ．
víhoós 1032． 27.
imaítos 1033． 18.
 1039．\({ }^{1} 5\) ；1040． 29 ；1041． 20 ；1045． 3 et satp．；1068． 17.
ітатєía．See Index III．
i \(\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau \eta ; s\) 1030． 8.
iл \(\hat{\epsilon}_{\rho} \theta_{\epsilon} \sigma t s\) 1039． 10.
iлєєтіттєь 1040． 25 ．
i \(\pi \epsilon \rho \tau[. ..] \sigma \iota s\) 1045． 50.


ітоүра́фєи，1032．22， 26.
ілоүрафй 1032．35，41，43．
ітобєєкขи́vat 1066． 21.

ітодоуєір 1024． 30.
iлолоуоs 1032．ı6．
іпо́ \(\nu \eta \mu\) 1027．5， 9 ；1032． 1 ．
іттируєє 1033． 6.
іто́хрє \(\omega\) 1027．2， 8.
v̈aтєроу 1062． 8.
valere 1022． 9.
фаіуєбөai 1061． 17.
факıá入ıov 1026．4，12， 13 ．

фйעа 1032． 28 ；1040． 52 ；1084．5．
феі仑єб \(\mathrm{\theta}\) аи 1065．6． 8 ；1070． 26.
фе́рєн 1053．16；1082． 14 ；1068．9．
\(\phi \theta i v e t v 1070.14\).
ффßлаты́pıò 1051．6， 11.

фíдos 1062．16．філтатоs 1062．1．

форетрí̌e九 1069． 16 （？）．
фо́pos 1035． 14.
фортion 1049．3，9，14， 19.
фроитi¢єє 1045． \(3^{8 ;}\) 1072．S．
фродт ís 1033． 5 ；1070． 23.
фоо⿱亠幺เซти́s 1054． 3.
фv́えa६ 1050． 16.
фиגíl 1030． 2.
фитеі́a 1032．19．
\(\phi \omega \rho \phi \omega \rho\)（magic）1060．4．
\(\chi^{\text {аірєє } 1024.5 ; 1025.9 ; 1038.16 ; 1039 .}\) \(5 ; 1040.6\) ；1041． 5 ；1042． 21 ； 1054. 2 ；1055． 1 ；1056． 1 ；1057．1；1061． 2 ；
1082． 2 ；1063．1；1064． 2 ；1066． 2 ；
1087．2；1068． 2 ；1089．1；1070．2．
\(\chi^{\text {tidxoís 1046．1，}} 25\) ．
харі广єбөат 1061． 20.
\(\chi\) đ́pıs 1021．18；1071．3．Хйpı̀ 1067．4； 1068．16， 21.
\(\chi\) хір，ठเ̀̀ \(\chi є\) єpós 1039． 6.
хєเрі泣 1029． 5.
xєєрєтrís 1061． 22.
хєєро́үрафоу 1034．introd．；1040． 53.
\(\chi^{\lambda a \nu i o ́ \imath o \nu ~ 1059 . ~ i n t r o d . ~}\)
\(\chi^{\lambda} \omega \rho o ́ s ~ 1046 . ~ І, ~ 4\).
\(\chi^{\mu \gamma}\) 1037． 1.
хоìt \(\xi\) 1044． 3 et satf．
хо́ртоя 1049．1．
хрєіа 1042． 24 ；1063．8；1068．16， 20.
\(\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \sigma т є\) ̂ 1041． 8 ；1057． 2.
\(\chi\) хй̧єเข 1068． 14 ；1069． 32.
\(\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i \zeta \in \iota \nu\) 1044．17，note．
\(x \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta\) at 1029． 25 ；1036． 25 ．
\(\chi \rho \tilde{\sigma} \sigma\) сs 1042． 23 ．
\(\chi\) хクбтท́pเov 1036． 15 ；1037．13；1038． 25.
хрңбто́s 1070． 10.
хро́vos 1032．34；1035．7；1036．9， 27 ；
1040． 25 ；1066． 24 ；1068． 29.
xpuбós 1042．24， 25,35 ．
\(\chi \omega \mu a \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon i к \tau \eta\) s 1053．12，20， 25.
\(\chi \dot{\omega}\) рa 1045． 3 et saep．
харєї 1021．\(\ddagger ; 1055.6\).
廿єv́囚єสӨat 1028． 37 ；1030． 16 ；1031． 25.
\(\psi \in\) коло́рфироs 1051． 15 ．
\(\psi v \chi \eta\) 1033． 11.
＊由ціои 1071．5．
¿ \(\delta \in\) 1060． 9.
\(\omega \rho \omega \rho\)（magic）1060．．2．
\(\dot{\omega} s=\omega ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon 1032.30\) ；1040．15；1053． 26 ； 1056． 6.
డ̈ \(\sigma \pi \epsilon\) 1085． 6.


\section*{XII．INDEN OF PASSAGES DISCUSSED．}
（a）Authors．

(b) Papyri, Inscriptions, \&c.
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\section*{EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND}

\section*{GRAECO-ROMAN BRANCH.}

THE EGIVPT ENPLORATION FUND, which has conducted Archaeological research
in Egypt since 1882, in 1897 started a special department, called the Graeco-Roman Branch, for the discovery and publication of remains of chassical antiquity and early Christiantity in Egypt.

The Gracco-Roman Branch issues anmual volumes, each of about 250 quarto pages, with facsimile plates of the more important papyri, under the editorship of Prof. Grenfell and Dr. Hunt.

A subscription of One Guinca to the Gracco-Roman Branch entittes subscribers to the annual arolume, and also to the annual Archaeologucal Report. A donation of \(£_{25}\) constitutes life membership. Subscriptions may be sent to the Honorary Treasurers-for England, Mr. H. A. Grueber, British Museum; and for America, Mr. Robert R. Farquhar, \(5^{2} 7\) Tremont Timple, Boston, Mass.

\section*{PUBLICATIONS OF THE EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND.}

\section*{MEMOIRS OF THE FUND.}
I. THE STORE CITY OF PITHOM AND THE ROUTE OF THE EXODUS. For ris3-4. By Enouard Naville. Thirteen Plates and Plans. (Fourth and Revised Edition.) \({ }^{2} 5\) s.
II. TANIS, Part I. For \(1884-5\). By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Eighteen Plates and two Plans. (Second Edition.) \({ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}\) s.
III. NaUKRATIS, Part I. For \(1885-6\). By W. M. Finders Petrie. With Chapters by Cecil Smith, Ernest A. Gardner, and Darclay V. Head. Forty-four Plates and Plans. (Second Edition.) 25 s.
IV. GOSHEN AND THE SHRINE OF SAFT-EL-HENNEH. For 1886-7. By Edouard Naville. Eleven Plates and Plans. (Second Edition.) 25 s.
V. TANIS, Part II ; including TELL DEFENNEH (The Biblical 'Tahpanhes') and Tell nebesheh. For 1887 -8. By W. M. Flinders Petrie, F. Ll. Grifitith, and A. S. Murray. Fifty-one Plates and Plans. \({ }^{2} 5\) s.
Vi. NaUKRatis, Part II. For 1888-9. By Ernest A. Gardner and F. Le. Griffith. Twenty-four Plates and Pians. 25 s.
VII. THE CITY OF ONIAS AND THF MOUND OF THE JEW. The Antiquities of Tell-el-Yahîdîyeh. An Extra Volume. By Edouard Nayille and F. Lle Griffitif. Twenty-six Plates and Plans. 25 s.

Vili. BUBASTIS. For s889-90. By Edouard Nayile. Fifty-four Plates and Plans. 25 s.
IX. TWO HIEROGLYPHIC PAPYRI FROM TANIS. An Extra Volume. Containing THE SIGN PAPYRUS (a Syllabary). By F. Li.. Griffitil. THE geographical papyrus (an Almanac). By W. M. Flinders Petrie. With Remarks by Heinrich Brugsch. (Out of print.)
X. THE FESTIVAL HALL OF OSORKON II (BUBASTIS). For i890-1. By Edouard Nayille. Thirty-nine Plates. 25 s.
XI. AHNAS EL MEDineh. For \(1891-2\). By Edouard Naylle. Eighteen Plates. And the tomb of paheri at el kab. By J. J. Tylor and F. ll. Griffith. Ten Plates. \({ }^{2} 5\) s.
XII. DEIR EL BAHARI, Introductory. For \(1892-3\). By Edouard Naylle. Fifteen Plates and Plans. \({ }^{2} 5\) s.
XIII. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part I. For 3893-4. By Edouard Namlle. Plates I-XXIV (three colonred) with Description. Royal folio. zos.
XIV. DEIR EL BAHAR1, Part II. For 389 -5. By Edouard Namlle. Plates XXV-LV (two coloured) with Description. Royal folio. 3os.
XV. Deshâsheh. For \(1895^{-6}\). By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Photogravare and other Plates. \(\quad 2 ; s\).
XVI. DeIR fL BaHARI, Part III. For 8 86-7. By Edoutard Nayille. Plates LVI-LXXXVI (two coloured) with Description. Royal folio. zos.
XviI. DendereiI. For 1897-8. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Thirty-eight Plates. 25s. (Extra Plates of Inscriptions. Forty Plates. 1os.)
XVIII. ROYAL TOMBS OF THE FIRST DYNASTY. For \(1898-9\). By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Sixty-eight Plates. 2 as.
Nix. Deir El bahari, Part IV. For 1899-1900. By Edolard Navilee. Plates LXXXVII-CXVIII (two coloured) wih Description. Royal folio. 3os.
XX. DIOSPOLIS PARYA. An Evtra I'olume. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Forty-nine Plates. (Out of print.)

NXI THE ROYAL TOMBS OF THE EARLIEST DINASTIFS, Pat II, For 1900-1. By Wi. M. Flinders I'etrie. Sixty-thice llates 25 s. (Thirts-five extra Plates, tos.)
XXII. AbYDOS, Part 1. For 1901-2. By W. M. F. Petrie. Eighty-one Plates, 25 s. XXII. EL AMraH AND ABYDOS. An Extra Volume. By D. Randall-Macluer, A. C. Mace, and F. Ll. Griffith. Sixty Plates. 25 s.

NXIV. Abydos, Part II. For 1902-3. By W. M. F. Petrie. Sixty-four Plates. 2 5s.
NXV. ABYdOS, Part III. Alu Extra lolume. By C. T. Currelly, E. R. Ayrton, and A. E. P. Weigall, \&ec. Sixty-one Plates. 25 s.
ďXVI. EHNASYA. For 1903-4. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Forty-three Plates, \({ }_{2} 5\) s. (ROMAN EHNASYA. Thirty-two extra Plates. 10s.)
XXVII. DEIR EL BaHARI, Part V. For 1904-5. By Edouard Naville. Plates CXIN-CL with Description. Royal folio. 30s.
XXVII. THE ELEVENTH DYNASTY TEMPLE AT DEIR EL BAHARI. Part 1. for 1905-6. By Edouard Naville and II. R. Hall. Thirty-one Plates. \(2_{5} 5\).
NXIS. DEIR EL BAHARI, Part VI. For \(1906-7\). By Edouard Naville. Plates CLI to CLXXIV (one coloured) with Description. Royal folio. 3os.
XXX. THE ELEVENTH DYNASTV゙ TEMPLEAT DEIR EL BAHARI. Part H. For 1907-s. (In preparation.

\section*{ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY.}

Edited by F. Ll. Griffyth.
I. Beni Hasan, Part 1. For \(1890-1\). By Percy E. Newberry. With Plans by G. W. Fraser. Forty-nine Plates (four coloured). \(2_{3} \xi\).
11. BENI HASAN, Part II. For i891-2. By Percy E. Nemberky. With Appendix, Plans, and Measurements by G. W. Fraser. Thirly-seven I'lates (two coloured). 25 s.
11I. EL BERSHEH, Part I. For 1892-3. By Percy E. Newberry. Thirty-four Plates two coloured. \({ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}\) s.
If: EL Bersheh, Part II. For 1893-4. By F. Ll. Griffilh and Percy E. Newberry. With Appendix by G. W. Fraser. Twenty-three Plates (two coloured). \({ }^{255}\).
V. Beni hasan, part III. For \(1894-5\). By F. Ll. Grifhith. (Hieroglyphe, and manufacture, \&cc., of Flint Knives.) Ten coloured Plates. \({ }_{25}\) s.
VI. HIEROGLYPHS FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE EGYPT' EXPLORATION FUND. For \(1895^{-6}\). By F. Ll. Griffith. Nine coloured Ilates. 25 s.
VII. BENI IIASAN. Patt IV. For fs'g6-7. By F. Li. Griffith. (Illustrating beasts and birds, arts, crafts, \&c.) Twenty-seven Plates (twenty-one coloured). 25 s.
Vill. THE MASTABA OF PTAHHETEP AND AKHETHETEP AT SAQQAREH, Part I. For 189 - -8 . By N. De G. Inavies and F. Ll. Griffith. Thirtyone Plates (three coloured). 25 s.
LN. THE MASTABA OF PTAHHETEP AND AKHETHETEP AT saQQareh, Part II. For \(1898-9\). By N. de G. Davies and F.Ll. Griffitir. Thityfive Plates. \(2_{5} s\).
X. THE ROCK TUNBS OF SHEIKH SAÏD. For 1899-1900. By N. de G. Davies. Thirty-five Plates. 25 .
XI. THE ROCK TOMBS OF DEIR EL GEBRÂIII, Part I. For 1900-r. By N. de G. Davies. Twenty-seven Flates (two coloured). \({ }^{25}\).

XIf. DEIR EL GEBRÂWI, Part II. For s901-2. By N. de G. Davifs. Thirty Phates (two coloured). 25 s.
XHI. THE ROCK TOMBS OF EL AMARNA, Part 1. For 1902-3. By N. de G. Davies. Foity-one Plates. \({ }_{25}\) s.
NIV. EL AMarna, Part II. For 1903 -4. By N. de G. Davies. Forty-seven Plates. 25 s.
SV. EL Amarna, lart III. For \(9904-5\). By N. de G. Davies. Forty Plates. \(25^{5}\).
XVI. EL AMARNA, PartIV. For \(1905^{-6}\). By N. de G. Dinies. Forty-five Plates. 2 万s.
XViI. EL AMARNA, I'art V. For \(1906-7\). By Ň. de G. Davies. Forly-four Plates. \(25^{5}\).

SVIII. EL AMARNA: Part VI. For 1907-8. By N. de G. Dalies. Forty-four Plates. 25 s.

\section*{GRAECO-ROMAN BRANCH.}
1. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part I. For 1897-8. By B. P. Grenfell. and A. S. IYUNT. Eight Collotype Plates. 25 s.
II. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part II. For \(1898-9\). By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. IIunt. Eight Collotype Plates. \({ }^{2} 5\) s.
III. FAYÛM TOWNS AND THEIR PAPYRI. For \(1899-1900\). By B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and D. G. Hogarth. Eighteen Plates. 25 s.
IV. THE TEBTUNIS PAPYRI. Double Volume for \(1900-1\) and \(1901-2\). By B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and J. G. Smyly. Nine Collotype Plates. (Not for sale.)
V. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part III. For 1902-3. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates. 25 s.
VI. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part IV. For 1903-4. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. IIunt. Light Collotype Plates, 25 s.
VII. THE HIBEH PAPYRI, Part I. Double Volume for 1904 -5 and 1905-6. By b. P. Grenfell and A. S. IIunt. Ten Collotype Plates. 45 s.

Vili. The oxyrhynchus Papyri, Part V. For 1906-7. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Seven Collotype Plates. 25 s.
IX. TiIE OXIRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part V1. For \(1907-8\). By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Six Collotype Plates. \({ }^{2} 5\) s.
X. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPYRI, Part VII. For 1908-9. By A. S. Hunr. Six Collotype Plates. \(2^{5}\) s.
XI. THE OXYRHYNCHUS PAPXRI, Part VIII. For 1909-10. (In preparation.)

\section*{ANNUAL ARCHEAOLOGICAL REPORTS.}
(Yearly Summaries by F. G. Kenyon, W. E. Crum, and the Officers of the Society, with Maps.) Edited by F. Ll, Griffith.
THE SEASON’S WORK, For \(1890-\mathrm{i}\). By Edouard Naville, Percy E. Newberry, and G. W. Fraser. 2 s . g d .

For 1892-3 and 1893-4. 2s. 6d. each.
" \(1894-5.3 s .6 d\). Containing Report of D. G. Hogarth's Excavations in Alexandria.
" 1895-6. 3s. With Illustrated Article on the Transport of Obelisks by Edouard Naville.
," IS96-7. 2s. 6d. With Articles on Oxyrhynchus and its Papyri by B. P. Grenfell, and a Thucydides Papyrus from Oxyrhynchus by A. S. HUNT.
,, 1807-8. 2s. 6d. With Ilfustrated Article on Excavations at Hierakonpolis by W. M. F. Petrie.
" \(1898-9.25 .6\) d. With Article on the Position of Lake Mocris by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
," 1899-1900. 2s. 6d. With Article on Knossos in its Egyptian Relations by A. J. Evans.
And nine successive years, \(2 s .6 d\). each.

\section*{SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS.}

Aoria theor: 'Sayings of Our Lord,' from an Early Greek Papyrus. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. 2s. (with Coliotypes) and \(6 d\), net.
NEW SAYINGS OF JESUS AND FRAGMENT OF A LOST GOSPEL. By B. P. Grenflell and A. S. Hunt. is. net.

Fraglient of an uncanonical Gospel. By B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt. Is. net.
ATLAS OF ANCIENT EGXPT. With Letterpress and Index. (Out of print.)
GUIDE TO THE TEMPLE OF DEIR EL BAHARI. With Plan. (Out of print.)
COPTIC OSTRACA. By W. E. Crum. ios. 6d. net.
Slides from Fund I'hotographs may be obtained through Messrs. Newton \& Co., 3 Flect Strect, E.C.; and Prints from Mr. R. C. MTuray, 37 Dartmouth Park Hill, N.IV.

Offices of the Egypt Exploration Fund:
37 GREAT RUSSELL STREET, LONDON, W.C., AND
527 TREMONT TEMPLE, BOSTON, MASS., U.S.A.

\section*{Agents:}

BERNARD QUARITCH, II GRAFTON STREET, NEW BOND STREET, W.
KEGAN PAUL, TRENCII, TRÜBNER \& Co., DRYDEN IIOUSE, GERRARD STREET, W. ASHER \&c Co., 14 BEDFORD STREET, COVENT GARDEN, W.C., AND 56 UNTER DEN LINDEN, BERLIN.
IIENRV FROWDE, AMEN CORNER, E.C., AND 29-35 WEST 32 ND STREET, NEW YORK.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since the Bensly-James edition ( 1895 ), two valuable MSS. of the twelfth century have come to light, one at Brussels, described by Donatien de Bruyne in Rev. Bénedictine, 1907, 1p. 254-7, the other, which is being utilized by B. Violet for his forthcoming edition, at Lcon.

